Advances in Physics Theories and Applications
ISSN 2224-719X (Paper) ISSN 2225-0638 (Online)
Vol 14, 2013

Holonomic Approach To Quantum Computation, Quantum Dynamical Manifestation Of Chaotic Behavior, Isolated Quantum Many-Body Systems, Random-Matrix Theory Of Quantum Transport, Matrix Models And One-Dimensional Fermions, Entanglement Entropy And Other Editorials Of Similar Nature: Ánthrōpos Métron "Man [Is] The Measure [Of All Things]" Motto Of Protagoras (As Quoted In Plato's Theaetetus 152a) Lopadotemachoselachogaleokranioleipsanodrimhypotrimmatosilphioparaomelito-Katakechymenokichlepikossyphophattoperisteralektryonoptekephalliokigklopeleiolagoio-Siraiobaphetraganopterygon Models

(Fictional Dish: The Word Quite Literally Is Just The Smooshing Together Of The 17 Ingredients Contained Within)

@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@
@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@
@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@@

[1]Dr. K.N.P.Kumar

Post Doctoral Scholar, Department of Mathematics, Kuvempu University, Janna Sahyadri, Shankaraghatta, Karnataka, India

[2]Prof. C.J.Prabhakara

Chairman and Professor Department of Computer Science, Jnana sahyadri, Kuvempu University, Shankaraghatta, Shimoga District, Karnataka, India

[2]Prof. S.K. Narasimha Murthy

Chairman and Professor Department of Mathematics, Jnana sahyadri, Kuvempu University, Shankaraghatta, Shimoga District, Karnataka, India

[2]Dr. B.J.Gireesha

Assistant Professor Department of Mathematics, Jnana sahyadri, Kuvempu University, Shankaraghatta, Shimoga District, Karnataka, India

@@
@@
@@

Abstract: Critical unhappiness, annoyed Relevance, lists and blocks, explicational opinion, statemental annotation has been made when defining the relation of holonomic computation vis a vis the random matrix theory, notwithstanding the Assortment-blend, collection-combinations, combo-gallimaufry proving to be palatable due to investigations conducted thereof. Because of its geometric nature, holonomic quantum computation is fault tolerant against certain types of control errors. Although proposed more than a decade ago, the experimental realization of holonomic quantum computation is still an open challenge. In this Letter, **Guanru Feng, Guofu Xu, and Guilu Long** report the first experimental demonstration of nonadiabatic holonomic quantum computation in a liquid NMR quantum information processor. Two noncommuting one-qubit holonomic gates, rotations about x and z axes, and the two-qubit holonomic CNOT gate are realized by evolving the work qubits and an ancillary qubit nonadiabatically. The successful realizations of these universal elementary gates in nonadiabatic holonomic quantum computation demonstrate the experimental feasibility of this quantum computing paradigm. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.190501 **Experimental Realization of Nonadiabatic Holonomic Quantum Computation Phys. Rev. Lett 110, 190501 – Published 6 May 2013 Guanru Feng, Guofu Xu, and Guilu Long** Erik Sjöqvist et al develop a non-adiabatic generalization of holonomic quantum computation in which high-speed universal quantum gates can be realized using non-Abelian geometric phases. In amplification, they demonstrate how a set of non-adiabatic holonomic one- and two-qubit gates can be implemented by utilizing optical transitions in a generic three-level Λ configuration. Scheme opens up wide range of amplitudinal ramifications of the possibility of realizing universal holonomic quantum computation on qubits characterized by short coherence time. **Erik Sjöqvist et al 2012 New J. Phys. 14 103035 doi:10.1088/1367-2630/14/10/103035 Non-adiabatic holonomic quantum computation Paolo Zanardi 1,2 and Mario Rasetti 1** show that the notion of generalized Berry phase i.e., non-abelian holonomy, can be used for enabling quantum computation. The computational space is realized by a n-fold degenerate eigenspace of a family of Hamiltonians parametrized by a manifold M. The point of M represents classical configuration of control fields and, for multi-partite systems, couplings between subsystems. Adiabatic loops in the control M induce non trivial unitary transformations on the computational space. For a generic system it is shown that this mechanism allows for universal quantum computation by composing a generic pair of loops in M. **Holonomic Quantum Computation Paolo Zanardi 1,2 and Mario Rasetti 1,3 A Wu, P. Zanardi, and D. A. Lidar** show how to realize, by means of non-Abelian quantum holonomies, a set of universal quantum gates acting on decoherence-free subspaces and subsystems. In this manner there are possibilities of bringing together the quantum coherence stabilization virtues of decoherence-free subspaces and the fault tolerance of all-geometric holonomic control. They deliberate and discuss the implementation of this scheme in the context of quantum information processing using trapped ions and quantum dots. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.130501 **Holonomic Quantum Computation in Decoherence-Free Subspaces Phys. Rev. Let 95, 130501 – Published 22 September 2005 L.-A Wu, P. Zanardi, and D. A. Lidar** Among the many proposals for the realization of a quantum computer, holonomic quantum computation is distinguished from the rest as it is geometrical in nature and thus expected to be robust against decoherence. Here **Antti O. Niskanen, Mikio Nakahara, and Martti M. Salomaa** analyze the realization of various quantum gates by solving the inverse problem: Given a unitary matrix, they develop a formalism by which concomitant loops in the parameter space generating this matrix as a holonomy could be found. They demonstrate that such a one-qubit gate as the Hadamard gate and such two-qubit gates as the controlled-NOT gate and the SWAP gate, and the discrete Fourier transformation can be obtained with a single loop. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.67.012319 **Realization of arbitrary gates in holonomic quantum computation Phys. Rev. A 67, 012319 – Published 29 January 2003 Antti O. Niskanen, Mikio Nakahara, and Martti M. Salomaa Paolo Solinas, Paolo Zanardi, and Nino Zanghì** present a numerical study of the robustness of a specific class of non-Abelian holonomic quantum gates. They take into account the parametric noise due to stochastic fluctuations of the control fields which drive the time-dependent Hamiltonian along an adiabatic loop. The performance estimator used is the state fidelity between noiseless and noisy holonomic gates. They carry over analysis with different correlation times and find out that noisy holonomic gates seem to be close to the noiseless ones for "short" and "long" noise correlation times. This result can be interpreted as a consequence of the geometric nature of the holonomic operator. Simulations have been performed by using parameters relevant to the excitonic proposal for the implementation of holonomic quantum computation [P. Solinas et al., Phys. Rev. B 67, 121307 (2003)]. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.042316 **Robustness of non-Abelian holonomic quantum gates against parametric noise Phys. Rev. A 70, 042316 – Published 29 October 2004 Paolo Solinas, Paolo Zanardi, and Nino Zanghì** Holonomic Quantum Computation (HQC) is an all-geometrical approach to quantum information processing. In the HQC strategy information is encoded in degenerate eigen-spaces of a parametric family of Hamiltonians. The computational network of unitary quantum gates is realized by driving adiabatically the Hamiltonian parameters along loops in a control manifold. By properly designing such loops the nontrivial curvature

of the underlying bundle geometry gives rise to unitary transformations i.e., holonomies that implement the desired unitary transformations. Conditions necessary for universal QC are stated in terms of the curvature associated to the non-abelian gauge potential (connection) over the control manifold. In view of their geometrical nature the holonomic gates are robust against several kinds of perturbations and imperfections. This fact along with the adiabatic fashion in which gates are performed makes in principle HQC an appealing way towards universal fault-tolerant QC. **JIANNIS PACHOS and PAOLO ZANARDI, Int. J. Mod Phys. B 15, 1257 (2001) DOI: 10.1142/S0217979201004836 QUANTUM HOLONOMIES FOR QUANTUM COMPUTING** The exact formula of the one-level distribution of the Schmidt eigenvalues is obtained for dynamical formation of entanglement in quantum chaos. The formula is based on the random matrix theory of the fixed-trace ensemble, and is derived using the theory of the holonomic system of differential equations. **Hiroto Kubotani, Satoshi Adachi, and Mikito Toda** confirm that the formula describes the universality of the distribution of the Schmidt eigenvalues in quantum chaos. **Exact Formula of the Distribution of Schmidt Eigenvalues for Dynamical Formation of Entanglement in Quantum Chaos Phys. Rev. Lett 100, 240501 – Published 17 June 2008 Hiroto Kubotani, Satoshi Adachi, and Mikito Toda Robbert Dijkgraaf Cumrun Vafa** point out two extensions of the relation between matrix models, topological strings and $\mathcal{N}=1$supersymmetric gauge theories First, they note that by considering double scaling limits of unitary matrix models one can obtain large-N duals of the local Calabi–Yau geometries that engineer $\mathcal{N}=2$ gauge theories. In particular, a double scaling limit of the Gross–Witten one-plaquette lattice model gives the SU (2) Seiberg–Witten solution, including its induced gravitational corrections. Secondly, we point out that the effective superpotential terms for $\mathcal{N}=1$ADE quiver gauge theories is similarly computed by large-N multi-matrix models, that have been considered in the context of ADE minimal models on random surfaces. The associated spectral curves are multiple branched covers obtained as Virasoro and W-constraints of the partition function. Copyright © 2002 Published by Elsevier B.V. **Nuclear Physics B Volume 644, Issues 1–2, 11 November 2002, Pages 21–39 On geometry and matrix models Robbert Dijkgraaf Cumrun Vafa Doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00764-2** Accentuiatory and corroboratory work **by Robbert Dijkgraaf, Annamaria Sinkovics, and Mine Tem** provide evidence of the relation between supersymmetric gauge theories and matrix models beyond the planar limit. They compute gravitational R2 couplings in gauge theories perturbatively, by summing genus one matrix model diagrams. These diagrams give the leading 1/N2 corrections in the large N limit of the matrix model and can be related to twist field correlators in a collective conformal field theory. In the case of softly broken SU(N) \cN=2 super Yang-Mills theories, we find that these exact solutions of the matrix models agree with results obtained by topological field theory methods. **Adv. Theor. Math Phys.Volume 7, Number 6 (2003), 1155-1174.Matrix Models and Gravitational Corrections Robbert Dijkgraaf, Annamaria Sinkovics, and Mine Tem** Observatory and apodictic evidence of the quantum many body systems and the concomitant sine qua non relation thereof with the random matrix theory has several research reviews, one of which is by **T. A. Brody, J. Flores, J. B. French, P. A. Mello, A. Pandey, and S. S. M. Wong** It now appears that the general nature of the deviations from uniformity in the spectrum of a complicated nucleus is essentially the same in all regions of the spectrum and over the entire Periodic Table. This behavior, moreover, is describable in terms of standard Hamiltonian ensembles which could be generated on the basis of simple information-theory concepts, and which give also a good account of fluctuation phenomena of other kinds and, apparently, in other many-body systems besides nuclei. The main departures from simple behavior are ascribable to the moderation of the level repulsion by effects due to symmetries and collectivities, for the description of which more complicated ensembles are called for. One purpose of this review is to give a self-contained account of the theory, using methods—sometimes approximate—which are consonant with the usual theory of stochastic processes. Another purpose is to give a proper foundation for the use of ensemble theory, to make clear the origin of the simplicities in the observable fluctuations, and to derive other general fluctuation results. In comparing theory and experiment, the authors give an analysis of much of the nuclear-energy-level data, as well as an extended discussion of observable effects in nuclear transitions and reactions and in the low-temperature thermodynamics of aggregates of small metallic particles. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.53.385 © 1981 American Physical Society **Random-matrix physics: spectrum and strength fluctuations Rev. Mod. Phys. 53, 385 – Published 1 July 1981 T. A. Brody, J. Flores, J. B. French, P. A. Mello, A. Pandey, and S. S. M. Wong** The use of exactly solvable Richardson-Gaudin models to describe the physics of systems with strong pair correlations is reviewed. The article begins with a brief discussion of Richardson's early work, which demonstrated the exact solvability of the pure pairing model, and then shows how that work has evolved recently into a much richer class of exactly solvable models. The Richardson solution leads naturally to an exact analogy between these quantum models and classical electrostatic problems in two dimensions. This analogy is then used to demonstrate formally how BCS theory emerges as the large-N limit of the pure pairing Hamiltonian. Several applications to problems of relevance to condensed-matter physics, nuclear physics, and the physics of confined systems are considered. Some of the interesting effects that are discussed in the

context of these exactly solvable models include (i) the crossover from superconductivity to a fluctuation-dominated regime in small metallic grains; (ii) the role of the nucleon Pauli principle in suppressing the effects of high-spin bosons in interacting boson models of nuclei, and (iii) the possibility of **fragmentation in confined boson systems.** Interesting insight is also provided into the origin of the superconducting phase transition both in two-dimensional electronic systems and in atomic nuclei, based on the electrostatic image of the corresponding exactly solvable quantum pairing models. DOI: **http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.76.643 Colloquium: Exactly solvable Richardson-Gaudin models for many-body quantum systems Rev. Mod. Phys. 76, 643 – Published 6 August 2004 J. Dukelsky, S. Pittel, and G. Sierra Nicolas Curien** presents a way to study the conformal structure of random planar maps. The main idea is to explore the map along an SLE (Schramm–Loewner evolution) process of parameter $\kappa=6$ and to combine the locality property of the SLE6 together with the spatial Markov property of the underlying lattice in order to get a non-trivial geometric information. They follow this path in the case of the conformal structure of random triangulations with a boundary. Under a reasonable assumption called (*) that we have unfortunately not been able to verify, we prove that the limit of uniformized random planar triangulations has a fractal boundary measure of Hausdorff dimension 13 almost surely. This agrees with the physics KPZ predictions and represents a first step towards a rigorous understanding of the links between random planar maps and the Gaussian free field (GFF). Communicated by F. Toninelli **Communications in Mathematical Physics A Glimpse of the Conformal Structure of Random Planar Maps Nicolas Curien** Karl Löwner (later known as Charles Loewner) introduced his famous differential equation in 1923 to solve the Bieberbach conjecture for series expansion coefficients of univalent analytic functions at level n = 3. His method was revived in 1999 by Oded Schramm when he introduced the Stochastic Loewner Evolution (SLE), a conformally invariant process which made it possible to prove many predictions from conformal field theory for critical planar models in statistical mechanics. The aim of this paper is to revisit the Bieberbach conjecture in the framework of SLE processes and, more generally, Lévy processes. The study of their unbounded whole-plane versions leads to a discrete series of exact results for the expectations of coefficients and their variances, and, more generally, for the derivative moments of some prescribed order p. These results are generalized to the "oddified" or m-fold conformal maps of whole-plane SLEs or Lévy–Loewner Evolutions. We also study the (average) integral means multifractal spectra of these unbounded whole-plane SLE curves. We prove the existence of a phase transition at a moment order $p = p^*(\kappa) > 0$, at which one goes from the bulk SLE κ average integral means spectrum, as predicted by the first author (Duplantier Phys. Rev. Lett. 84:1363–1367, 2000) and established by Beliaev and Smirnov (Commun Math Phys 290:577–595, 2009) and valid for $p \le p^*(\kappa)$, to a new integral means spectrum for $p \ge p^*(\kappa)$, as conjectured in part by Loutsenko (J Phys A Math Gen 45(26):265001, 2012). The latter spectrum is, furthermore, shown to be intimately related, via the associated packing spectrum, to the radial SLE derivative exponents obtained by Lawler, Schramm and Werner (Acta Math 187(2):237–273, 2001), and to the local SLE tip multifractal exponents obtained from quantum gravity by the first author (Duplantier Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 72(2):365–482, 2004). This is generalized to the integral means spectrum of the m-fold transform of the unbounded whole-plane SLE map. A succinct, preliminary, version of this study first appeared in Duplantier et al. (Coefficient estimates for whole-plane SLE processes, Hal-00609774, 2011). Communicated by Krzysztof Gawedzki **Annales Henri Poincaré August 2014 Date: 28 Aug 2014 The Coefficient Problem and Multifractality of Whole-Plane SLE & LLE Bertrand Duplantier, Chi Nguyen, Nga Nguyen, Michel Zinsmeister** This paper is concerned with computing the spectral dimension of (critical) 2d-Liouville quantum gravity. As a warm-up, we first treat the simple case of boundary Liouville quantum gravity. **Rémi Rhodes, Vincent Vargas** prove that the spectral dimension is 1 via an exact expression for the boundary Liouville Brownian motion and heat kernel. Then we treat the 2d-case via a decomposition of time integral transforms of the Liouville heat kernel into Gaussian multiplicative chaos of Brownian bridges. They show that the spectral dimension is 2 in this case, as derived by physicists (see Ambjørn et al. in JHEP 9802:010, 1998) 15 years ago. Communicated by Anton Bovier **Annales Henri Poincaré December 2014, Volume 15, Issue 12, pp 2281-2298 Date: 17 Dec 2013 Spectral Dimension of Liouville Quantum Gravity Rémi Rhodes, Vincent Vargas Alexander Belavin, Boris Dubrovin, and Baur Mukhametzhanov make an extended analysis of** the study of (q, p) Minimal Liouville Gravity with the help of Douglas string equation. We generalize the results of [1,2], where Lee-Yang series (2, 2s + 1) was studied, to (3, 3s + p 0) Minimal Liouville Gravity, where p 0 = 1, 2. We demonstrate that there exist such coordinates $\tau_{m,n}$ on the space of the perturbed Minimal Liouville Gravity theories, in which the partition function of the theory is determined by the Douglas string equation. The coordinates $\tau_{m,n}$ are related in a non-linear fashion to the natural coupling constants $\lambda_{m,n}$ of the perturbations of Minimal Lioville Gravity by the physical operators $O_{m,n}$. They find this relation from the requirement that the correlation numbers in Minimal Liouville Gravity must satisfy the conformal and fusion selection rules. After fixing this relation we compute three- and four-point correlation numbers when they are not zero. The results are in agreement with the direct calculations in Minimal Liouville Gravity available in the literature [3–5]. ArXiv ePrint: 1310.5659

Journal of High Energy Physics January 2014, 2014:156, Open Access Date: 28 Jan 2014 Minimal Liouville gravity correlation numbers from Douglas string equation Alexander Belavin, Boris Dubrovin, and Baur Mukhametzhanov Julien Barral, Antti Kupiainen, Miika Nikula, Eero Saksman, Christian Webb study Mandelbrot's multiplicative cascade measures at the critical temperature. As has been recently shown by Barral et al. (C R Acad Sci Paris Ser I 350:535–538, 2012), an appropriately normalized sequence of cascade measures converges weakly in probability to a nontrivial limit measure. They prove that these limit measures have no atoms and give bounds for the modulus of continuity of the cumulative distribution function of the measure. Using the earlier work of Barral and Seuret (Adv Math 214:437–468, 2007), compute the multifractal spectrum of the measures. They also extend the result of Benjamini and Schramm (Commun Math Phys 289:653–662, 2009), in which the KPZ formula from quantum gravity is validated for the high temperature cascade measures, to the critical and low temperature cases. Communicated by F. Toninelli **Communications in Mathematical Physics January 2014, Volume 325, Issue 2, pp 685-711 Date: 01 Nov 2013 Critical Mandelbrot Cascades Julien Barral, Antti Kupiainen, Miika Nikula, Eero Saksman, Christian Webb T Banks and W Fischler elucidate** a new version of holographic cosmology, which is compatible with present observations. A primordial $p = \rho$ phase of the universe is followed by a brief matter dominated era and a brief period of inflation, whose termination heats the universe. The flatness and horizon problems are solved by the $p = \rho$ dynamics. The model is characterized by two parameters, which should be calculated in a more fundamental approach to the theory. For a large range in the phenomenologically allowed parameter space, the observed fluctuations in the cosmic microwave background were generated during the $p = \rho$ era, and are exactly scale invariant. The scale invariant spectrum cuts off sharply at both upper and lower ends, and this may have observational consequences. They argue that the amplitude of fluctuations is small but cannot yet calculate it precisely. **T Banks and W Fischler 2005 Phys. Scr. 2005 56 doi:10.1238/Physica.Topical.117a00056 Holographic Cosmology** Further addition of heads of accounts to the nature's general ledger are as follows:Nonadiabatic Holonomic Quantum Computation, Adiabatic Loops In The Control Induce Non Trivial Unitary Transformations On The Computational Space, Holonomic Quantum Computation In Decoherence-Free Subspaces, Non-Abelian Holonomic Quantum Gates, Quantum Holonomies And Quantum Computing, Schmidt Eigenvalues For Dynamical Formation Of Entanglement, Distribution Of Schmidt Eigenvalues, Matrix Models And Gravitational Corrections, Quantum Many Body Systems And Random Matrix Theory, Exactly Solvable Richardson-Gaudin Models, Conformal Structure Of Random Planar Maps And Multifractality, Liouville Quantum Gravity, Multifractality Of Whole-Plane SLE & LLE, P = PSPACE Problem, $\mathbf{NL \subsetneq PSPACE \subsetneq EXPSPACE}$, Douglas String Equation And Liouville Gravity, Mandelbrot Cascades, Primordial $p = \boldsymbol{\rho}$ Phase Of The Universe Is Followed By A Brief Matter Dominated Era And A Brief Period Of Inflation, Whose Termination Heats The Universe, Holonomic Approach To Quantum Computation, Quantum Dynamical Manifestation Of Chaotic Behavior, Isolated Quantum Many-Body Systems, Angular Focusing, Squeezing, And Rainbow Formation, Random-Matrix Theory Of Quantum Transport, Distribution Of The Scattering Matrix, Matrix Models And One-Dimensional Fermions, Entanglement Entropy, Holographic Realization Of Fermi Points And Surfaces, Quantum Measurement Problem, Cosmological Inflation, Collapse Mechanism Of The Inflationary Fluctuations, Accumulated Probabilities Against Chance Occurrences Exceeding Trillions To One (Radin, 1997), Irreversibility Of Nonlinear Processes And Thus The Irreversibility Of Time In The Macroscale Universe, Basis Of Subjective Experience Is Non-Locality, Phenomenon Of "Learning" In Humans Is A Subjective Process That Involves Perception, Memory, Intentionality, And Evaluation Of Outcome And Behavior Change, Brain Is Clearly A Quantum Computer (Schempp & Marcer, 1996) Which Utilizes Both Quantum And Space/Time Information, Quantum Holography, Existence Of A Quantum Hologram Associated With Each Physical Object Provides Each Physical Object With The Non-Local Waveform Predicted By Quantum Theory's Wave/Particle Duality And Extends Quantum Theory To All Physical Matter, We Inhabit A Quantum World Where Non-Local Effects Should Be Expected At All Levels Of Functioning, Fractal Geometry Of Chaos Theory, Brain Stored Information Encoded As In A Hologram And Mapped By The Fourier Transform, Brain: Analog Device Using Non-Local Properties Of The Quantum Hologram, Non-Locality In Nature. Concomitant properties are discussed. One monolith is to be constructed notwithstanding the presentation in nine module platter

Key Words: Holonomic Approach to Quantum Computation, Quantum Dynamical Manifestation Of Chaotic Behavior, Isolated Quantum Many-Body Systems, Random-Matrix Theory Of Quantum Transport, Matrix Models And One-Dimensional Fermions, Entanglement Entropy

@@@

Quotations:

Why Do You Have Police? Why Do You Have Military? To Catch The Bad Boys? Who Are Bad Boys? Those Who Perpetrate Actions That Are Detrimental To Others Interests; What Guarantee Have You That You Are Not Doing With The Same Mind In Science, What With You Are The Product Of Nature Or For That Matter Nature Is The Product Of Your Mind? How Can Von Neumann Algebras And Hypersurfaces Explain Nature When She Has Shown Her True Self? You Are Just Mistaking The Nature Which Makes You Think The Way And That Is Very Understandable (Mine)
Disgruntled Amorous Salacious Rectitude, Moral Rectitude Propaganda, Dysinformational Propaganda, Information That Is Designed To Mislead, Persuade Disinformation Hype, Indoctrination Publicity, Advertising Agitprop, Announcement Brainwashing, Doctrine Evangelism, Handout Hogwash, Implantation Inculcation, Newspeak Promotion, Promulgation Proselytism, Publication Advertisement, Bill Blurb, Broadcast Circular, Classified Ad Commercial, Communication Declaration, Display Endorsement, Exhibit Exhibition, Flyer Literature, Notice Notification, Placard Plug, Poster Proclamation, Promotion Promulgation, Propaganda Publication, Publicity Squib, Throwaway Want, Diffusion Circulation, Dispersal Dispersion, Dissemination Dissipation, Expansion Propaganda, Propagation Scattering, Attitude Axiom, Basic Belief, Canon Concept, Convention Conviction, Credenda Creed, Declaration Dogma, Fundamental Gospel, Implantation Inculcation, Indoctrination Instruction, Position Precept, Pronouncement Propaganda, Proposition Regulation, Rule Statement, Teaching Tenet, Tradition Universal Law, Unwritten Rule Information, Break Clue, Confidence Counsel, Cue Data, Dirt Dope, Dossier Earful, Enlightenment Erudition, Illumination Info, Inside Story Instruction, Intelligence Knowledge, Leak Learning, Lore Lowdown, Material Message, Network Notice, Notification Orientation, Propaganda Report, Science Scoop, Score Tidings, Tip What's What, Whole Story Wisdom, Ballyhoo Blurb, Buildup Hard Sell, Hoopla Hype, Notice Pitch, Pizzazz Plug, Press Press-Agentry, Promo Propaganda, Public Relations Publicity, Puffery Pushing, Squib Publicity, Advertising Announcement,

Announcing Attention, Ballyhoo Big Noise, Billing Blurb, Boost Broadcasting, Build-Up Clout, Commercial Currency, Distribution Fame, Handout Hoopla, Hype Ink, Limelight Noise, Notoriety Pitch, Plug Press, Press-Pageantry, Promulgation Propaganda, Public Notice Public Relations, Pushing Release, Report Réclame, Scratch Spotlight, Spread Write-Up, Malevolent Relevance, Malevolent Malignant, Mischievous Nasty, Pernicious Petty, Spiteful Vengeful, Venomous Vicious. Virulent Wicked, Awful Bad, Baleful Beastly, Bitter Catty, Cussed Deleterious, Despiteful Detrimental, Envious Evil, Cutting Acerbic, Acid Acrimonious, Barbed Biting, Bitter Caustic, Positive Remarks All Kills Truth

The Body Without Organs: Artaud - Worringer's Gothic Line - What The "Difference Of Level" In Sensation Means Vibration -Hysteria And Presence Bacon's Doubt Hysteria, Painting, And The Eye

This Ground, This Rhythmic Unity Of The Senses, Can Be Discovered Only By Going Beyond The Organism. The Phenomenological Hypothesis Is Perhaps Insufficient Because It Merely Invokes The Lived Body. But The Lived Body Is Still A Paltry Thing In Comparison With A More Profound And Almost Unlivable Power [Puissance]. We Can Seek The Unity Of Rhythm Only At The Point Where Rhythm Itself Plunges Into Chaos, Into The Night, At The Point Where The Differences Of Level Are Perpetually And Violently Mixed(Francis Bacon: Logic Of Sensations)

From A Whiteheadian Perspective, However, There Are At Least Two Key Sources Of Misunderstanding Latent In Some Of These “Returns” To “The Body”, “The Object” And “Materiality”. There Is A Rather Too Hasty Dismissal Of The Concept Of Subjectivity As Such And There Is A Related Tendency To “Flatten Out” Any Would-Be Distinctions Between Human And Non-Human Entities. Such Positions Thus Risk A Return To A Bleak Anti-Subjectivism That Mocks Those Who Might Cling To The Idea That **“Humans Are Very Different From Knives**

Or Paper" (Harman, 2002, Cited In Thrift, 2008). With Respect To The Latter, For Example, Nigel Thrift Invokes The ANT Principle Of The Democracy Of Things And States That In His Theory "Things [And Human Beings] Are Given Equal Weight, And I Do Mean Equal" (Thrift, 2008, P. 9). Much Of This Is Done In The Name Of A Whiteheadian Inspiration Since The Book Begins With Strong References To Whitehead, Including Key Concepts Such As The "Actual Occasion".The Point Concerning The Dismissal Of Subjectivity Can Be Brought Out Most Starkly By Contrasting One Of Thrift's Statements About His Non-Representational Theory With A Statement From Whitehead Himself. "Thus Things", Writes Thrift, "Are Not Just Bound By Their Brute Efficacy To The Visible Termini Of Humans In Some Form Of Latent Subjectivism Such As 'Concern' Or 'Care'". Now, It Is Certainly True That For Whitehead A "Thing" Is, By Definition, "Describable Without Reference To Its Entertainment" In An Occasion Of Experience (Whitehead, 1933/1935, P. 226). In This Sense, Things Are Not "Bound By Their Brute Efficacy To The Visible Termini Of Humans". But It Is Certainly Problematic To Imply That His Work Is Based Upon A Move Away From The Subject–Object Relation As The Fundamental Structure Of Experience And Hence From Concepts Such As Concern And Care. On The Contrary, On This Matter Whitehead's Thinking Is Quite Comparable To That Of His Contemporary Martin Heidegger In Being Grounded In The Concept Of Concern. The Centrality Of This Concept Is Due To The Way In Which It Brings Together Subject And Object As Relative Terms In The Unity Of What He Calls An Actual Occasion Of Experience: Thus The Quaker Word "Concern", Divested Of Any Suggestion Of Knowledge, Is More Fitted To Suggest This Fundamental Structure. The Occasion As Subject Has A "Concern" For The Object. And The "Concern" At Once Places The Object As A Component In The Experience Of The Subject, With An Affective Tone Drawn From This Object And Directed Towards It. With This Interpretation, The Subject–Object Relation Is The Fundamental Structure Of Experience. (Whitehead, 1933/1935, P. 226) Granted, Thrift Does State That He Wishes To "Temper… The More Extreme Manifestations Of This Lineage, Which Can End Up By Positing A Continuity Of And To Experience About Which I Am Sceptical" (2008, P. 6) However, One Wonders What Is Left Of Whiteheadian Cosmology If This Radical Extension Of The Concept Of Experience And Hence Of Empiricism Is Omitted. It Is A Little Like Being A Marxist Without The Dialectical Materialism. It Is Also Difficult Not To Be

Sceptical About The Remaining Self-Consciously "Inhuman" Theoretical Framework "In Which Individuals Are **Generally Understood As Effects Of The Events To Which Their Body Parts (Broadly Understood) Respond And In Which They Participate" (Thrift, 2008, P. 60).** In Recent "Radical" Social Theory, It Seems The Baby Of Subjectivity Is At Risk Of Being Thrown Out With The Bathwater Of Representationalism, Leaving Only The Hollow Remainder Of A Reactive Ensemble Of "Body Parts". Some Clarity Is Therefore Needed If We Are Not Simply Going To Recruit Whitehead Into Concerns Alien To His Own. His Chief Problem Was Not The Notion Of The Subject/Object Structure Of Experience Itself, But Its Too Rapid Identification With The Difference Between Knower And Known (Whitehead, 1933/1935, P. 225). It Is This Conflation Of The Deeper Subject/Object Relation With The More Superficial Distinction Between Knower And Known That Gives Rise To A "Representational" Style Of Thinking And Its Interminable Debates. I Will Argue That It Is Precisely By Way Of The Subject/Object Relation Of The Actual Occasion That We Are Best Positioned To Conceive Of "The Cumulation Of The Universe And Not A Stage-Play About It" (Whitehead, 1927–1928/1985, P. 237). Palgrave Communications | Palgrave Macmillan Journals Journal Home > Archive > Original Articles > Full Text Original Article Subjectivity (2008) 22, 90–109 Doi:10.1057/Sub.2008.4 A.N. Whitehead And Subjectivity Paul Stenner1 1University Of Brighton, Brighton, UK Correspondence: Paul Stenner, School Of Applied Social Science, University Of Brighton, Falmer Campus, Mayfield House, Brighton BN9 1PH, UK. E-Mail: P.Stenner@Brighton.Ac.Uk For Models Please See One Of The Papers In The Series Attributed To Spatial Restraints It Is Accommodated Where It Could Be

Subtle Realms Of Mind And Consciousness Studies, Bias, Belief And Intention Clearly Have An Effect On Results(Edgar Mitchell: Section 43); *Give A Name Have A Subjective Possibility Of Results Based On Previous Theories And There Would Be Creation Of Bias And Possible Perceptional Accentuation And Augmentation Or A Dissipated Reality(Italics Mine)*

Film Criticism Faces Twin Dangers: It Shouldn't Just Describe Films But Nor Should It Apply To Them Concepts Taken From Outside Film. The Job Of Criticism Is To Form Concepts That Aren't Of Course 'Given' In Films But Nonetheless Relate Specifically To Cinema, And To Some Specific Genre Of Film, To Some Specific Film Or Other. Concepts Specific To Cinema, But Which Can Only Be Formed Philosophically. (N 58; C2 280) (Gilles Deleuze: Stanford Encyclopedia Of Philosophy)

We Make Sense Of Objects, Events Or State Of Affairs When We Pin Them Down And Describe Them, State Propositions About Them. We Can Then Develop These Propositions Into Logical Forms To Draw Conclusions, Make Predictions Or Whatever. The Trouble Is, Neither Objects As We See Them, Nor Propositions As We Commonly Use Them, Are At All Simple. Objects As We Perceive Them, For Example, Clearly Have All Sorts Of Characteristics As Well That We Don't Perceive. They Have A History, And A Potential For The Future, For Example. The Worst Problem Is That Objects As We See Them Are Actually Mixtures Of Things, The Affects Of Complex Causes: They Are 'Overdetermined', To Use The Phrase Associated With Both Freud And Marx. Deleuze Actually Draws On Resources In Classical Philosophy To Argue This, Especially The Work Of The Stoics, Who Saw Objects And Events As A 'Mixture Of Bodies', This Mixture Emanating From Somewhere In The Depths, Below Conscious Sense Making. Incidentally, This Reliance On The Stoics Also Makes Deleuze A Bit Stoical, In The Sense That He Thinks That These Mixed Bodies Are Part Of Some Unified Whole (He Was Going To Call This Being), And The Role Of Destiny Is Associated With The Whole. It Follows That Ethically And Conceptually, All Human Beings Can Do This Discover The Ways In Which Being Works. There's No Point Complaining About It Either. This Is The Basis Of Badiou's Critique Of Deleuze. It Is Also The Basis Of Deleuze's Anti-Humanism: We Don't Understand Reality By Trying To Develop A Conscious Synthesis, Tracking Analogies Based On Some Notion Of A Shared Essence, Or Seeing God As An Ultimate Synthesiser For That Matter. Events And States Of Affairs Are Also More Complex Than They Look, And Deleuze Illustrates This Discussion With The Extraordinary Notion Of 'Compossibility' In Leibniz. Basically, The Idea Is That Singularities Can Produce Events In All Sorts Of Divergent Ways, All Of Them

Equally Possible, And This Potential Is Shrunk If We Just Study The Actual Course Of Events. Objects Are More Complex Than They Look, With All Sorts Of Bits Of Their Being Unavailable To Us, But Language Is Equally Complex. Here, Deleuze Tries To Demonstrate This By Looking At People Who Use All Kinds Of Linguistic Anomalies Such As Paradoxes, Or, In The Case Of Lewis Carroll Especially, Portmanteau Words Or Esoteric Words. Although These Constructions Offend Logic, And Are Strictly Speaking Nonsense, They Still Make Sense. This Also Points To Some Powers Of Language That Are Not Immediately Available To Inspection. More Conventionally, We Know That The Use Of Particular Words Can Have Different Functions In Any Language System—They Can Denote, They Can Manifest Inner Thoughts, And They Can Signify (In The French Sense, That Is Indicate The Existence Of A Structured Language System Which Enables Us To Communicate With Each Other. Deleuze Proposes To Modify Classical Saussurian Semiotics By Adding A Moveable Element, An 'Empty Space' Or Floating Signifier'). Again Wordplay Can Deliberately Confuse These Different Functions, As When I Use The Term ‘It’ To Denote A Specific Object, Only To Refer To A Whole Process As ‘It’, To Express Myself By Saying Something About ‘It’ And So On (Actual Easy Examples Are Thin On The Ground In Deleuze!). Then There Is One Of Those (Many) Philosophical Diversions Into Various Types Of Explanation For The Extra Elements That Are Not Available To Actual Objects Or Speech Acts. Platonists Thought The Extra Bits Referred To Some Universal Form Or Idea, Other Philosophers Like Husserl Or Kant Suggest There Was Some Transcendental Realm Beyond The Immediate. Deleuze Offers Objections To Both For Those Approaches, And Suggests That The Real Is Actually Divided Into Virtual And Actual Levels (Delanda’s Commentary Is Invaluable Here). The Objects And Words Of Our Immediate Perception Are Actualised Are Condensed Out From Much More Complex Objects And Events At The Virtual Level. In This Book, About The Only Candidate For These Complex Objects Is The Singularity, Which Actualizes Itself In Various Partial Ways. Deleuze Goes On To Develop This Idea By Saying That Singularities Themselves, And The Events And Objects They Actualize, Are Produced In A Random Or Arbitrary Fashion, The Chance Is At The Heart Of Actualisation. We Learn That The Virtual Also Has Its Own Sense Of Time, Which Is Not The Usual One Which Is Highly Limited By The Insistence On The Present Tense In Human Operations. It Is A Very Abstract Discussion, Which

Finally Comes To An Example I Could Understand—The Emergence Of Language In Freud. As Infants Develop, Their Biological Urges Produce Certain Infantile Concepts Like Part Objects. These Are Classically Mixed, Deriving From Contradictory Drives In The Depths Of The Unconscious. The Sounds The Infant Makes Our Initially Just Bodily Emanations, But They Gradually Come To Take On The Form Of Linguistic Units, Like Phonemes. There Are Elements Of Adult Language Available Too, Of Course, But These Are Initially Unintelligible. Then A Process Described As The Phantasm Manages To Combine Various Infantile Concepts Together Into A'Disjunctive Unity', A Mixture Of Heterogeneous Elements Which Deleuze Thinks Characterises Most Objects. A Kind Of Primitive Narrative Develops In The Phantasm, Partly Driven By Biological Drives, And Partly Driven By Emerging Linguistic Competencies (Such As The Oedipal Scene). The Key To This Is The Freudian Notion Of The Phallus, Which Both Refers To Biological Organs Like Penises, And To Linguistic And Cultural Functions To Do With Authority And Value. The Phallus Is The Ideal Ambiguous Object (Or Empty Object As Deleuze Insists On Calling It), Able To Zigzag Between Bodies And Language, And Thus Make Divergent Series 'Resonate'. The Phantasm Therefore Develops An Energy Of Its Own, Which Permits A Relative Disengagement From Sexual Energy (Sublimation) And, In The Final Stages, The Proper Development Of Language In The Form Of Symbolisation. At Last We Have Language And Events Brought Together On The Surface, Which Is Of The Level Of Consciousness. If I Have Read The Appendices Correctly, This Phantasmic Form Is Then Generalised To Include All The Operations Of Making Sense As Describes Right At The Beginning. I Have Lots Of Reservations About This Whole Schema, In Fact, Ranging From The General Structure Of The Argument, Where Philosophical Issues Are Introduced As If They Were Necessary, Whereas Their Role Is Been Predetermined All Along (For Example, Deleuze Has Always Admired Stoics, And Here They Are As Offering The Best Account), Right Down To The Curious Insistence On The Phantasm As The Adult Form Of Making Sense—In My View, Dreams Are A Lot More Like A General Model Of Thinking, And They Include The More Sophisticated Linguistic Operations Of Metonymy (Condensation), And Metaphor (Displacement). **Alexander I. Stingl's Blog A Nomadic Scholarship Entity NOTES ON: Deleuze, G (1990) The Logic Of Sense, Trans Mark Lester, Edited By Constantin Boundas, New York: Columbia University** Press For

Models Kindly See One Of The Papers In The Series. Attributable And Ascribable To Constraints On Space Accomodation Is Provided In Some Paper, Notwithstanding The Generality And Commonalty Of The Observation And Its Concatenatability With The Other Modules

Thought Constructs Kill Truth By Differentiating A Thing In To Good And Bad; Sometimes Thought Constructs Occupy Both States: Psi In Dirac Brakets = C (Good) E (Good) In Dirac Brakets + C (Bad) E (Bad) In Dirac Brakets

Truth Is Both Path And Destination; Every Action Of Human Being Is Shiva Or Rama The Pure Consciousness Where All Dualities Cease; Space Becomes Time; Mass Becomes Energy; Being Becomes NonBeing; Self Becomes Nonself……Plato's Holographic Principle Is Extendable To Sense, Touch, Smell And Taste

Do Not Pretend To Be Enigmatic; Moon Doth Exist Even If You Do Not See; Infidelity Exists Even If You Donot Have Evidence; So Does Black Money; Unless Parameters Are Posited For Simulation/Dissimulation You Are Getting Everything Wrong!

Free Will, Determinism, And The Big Bang: Multi Realism Blog (Craig): Wikipedia http://multisenserealism.com/2012/08/27/deleuzes-the-logic-of-sense-part-i/. Craig Weinberg Blog

Events Occur In Time, But There Is No Time Except That Which Has Been Produced By The Big Bang. It Makes No Difference Whether The Big Bang Is The Only Beginning Of The Only Universe Or Just The Beginning Of One Of Many Universes, Either Way It Doesn't Explain The Beginning Of Existence. Some Say That Everything Following The Big Bang Is Random, Not Designed, But Why Is

'Designed' Even An Option? If That Word Means Anything, And Anything Has Ever Been Designed By Anything, Then What Difference Does It Make Whether That Capacity Of Intention Came Early On In The Universe Or More Recently? How Do We Know The Difference Between What We Design And What Is Random? How Do We Know That Randomness Even Exists? We Can't Generate True Randomness Computationally, We Can Only Grab Onto Some Pattern That Seems Nearly Random By Some Arbitrary Duration Of Measurement And Say That It Is Good Enough. Random Is A Concept, And The Difference Between Random And Intentional May Ultimately A Matter Of Perspective. Some Interesting Possibilities Arise When We Consider The Observation That The More Something Seems Intentional, The More It Is 'Like Me' And The More Unlike Me Something Appears, The More It Seems Mechanistic. In A Way That Is Similar To How Any Object Appears As A Dot Or Smudge If It Is Too Small Or Distant For Us To See, The Notion That Perceptual Relativity Dictates The Quality Of Intention And Unintention Is A Provocative Hypothesis Of MSR. Random Events Cannot Follow A Series Or Have Results. That Doesn't Mean That There Has To Be An Entity Making Everything Happen, But It Suggests That The Universe Is A Phenomenon Of Appearance, And Part Of Appearance Is Oscillation Between Intentional And Unintentional Attributes. The Universe Speaks In Both Entropy And Significance. Randomness Doesn't Do Anything, Can't Be Anywhere Or Feel Anything. Randomness Is An Abstraction Derived From An Expectation Of The Contrary. By Random, All We Mean Is That It Lacks Pattern And Intent – Both Of Which Must Be Implicitly Present Before They Can Be Hypothetically Absent. There Is Sense And There Is Non-Sense, Order And Dis-Order, It Is Not Non-Randomness And Dis-Chaos. Many People Believe That Physical Law Excludes The Possibility Of Free Will Because Of Strong Causal Closure. By This, What Is Meant Is That There Is No Room In Physics For Any Force That Causes A Physical Effect That Has Not Been Accounted For, Whether Or Not This Is Actually True Or Not Is Questionable In The First Place. The Sudden Addition Of Dark Matter And Dark Energy In The 1990s, Which Together Must Account For 95.1% Of Mass-Energy Of The Universe, Doesn't Seem To Have Encountered Nearly As Much Resistance From The Scientific Community Than Conscious Intention Has. Even If It Were The Case, However, And The Typing And Reading Of These Words Will Be Someday Explained By Purely Neurochemical Mechanisms, The Question Of Why The Feeling Of Intention Exists, And How It

Can Be Produced Will Remain Unanswered. My Free Will Demands That Your Free Will Admit That There Is No Free Will. In All Of The Contemporary Debates On Free Will There Seems To Be A Blind Spot, In Which Free Will Does Not Exist, Except Where It Concerns The Application Of The Results Of Scientific Experiments. There Is Always A Call For The Educated And Enlightened To Voluntarily Change Their Own Minds, To Be Persuaded By The Argument Of Their Own Free Will. The Hypocrisy Is Hard To Overlook Once You See It. It Seems That The Anti-Free Will Assertion Makes An Exception For People Who Are 'Right'. Being Right Seems To Give Us A Right To Have Opinions Which Others Cannot, Due To Their Enslavement To Physical Causes. An Issue Which Often Comes Up In Free Will Debates Is How Our Stance On Free Will Impacts Criminal Prosecution. Again, The Blind Spot Of The Anti-Free Will Philosopher Projects That While Criminals May Not Be Held Liable For Their Actions Because Their Neurology Is To Blame, Then We Can't Allow Society To Take Credit Or Blame For Its System Of Justice Either. If Guilt Or Innocence Is Irrational, Then Believing That We Can Modify Our Own Attitudes Toward Guilt And Innocence Must Also Be Irrational. What Seems More Irrational Is To Codify Law Into A Mechanistic Formula Which Diminishes Our Capacity For Thought And Feeling In Consideration Of The Fate Of Others (Or Others Considering Our Fate).Zero-Tolerance Determinism: Another Pillar Of The Anti-Free Will Position Is That It Must Be An All-Or-Nothing Phenomenon. This Seems To Be More Of A Hasty Generalization Than An Honest Look At The Phenomena. In A More Tolerant Analysis, It Should Be Clear That Free Will Doesn't Have To Be Absolutely Free. The Fact That Our Conscious Mind Thinks That It Was Presented With Certain Options Still Requires That We Choose Freely From Among Those Options. It's True That We Are Always, In A Sense, Only Able To Choose What Our Mind Thinks Is The 'Best' Option (Even If That Choice Is Based On Bad Advice From Our Stomach Or Emotions), But Still There Is An Intentional Participation Which Cannot Be Explained By Statistical Functions. No Matter How Constrained We Are By The Rules Of The Road, Our Car, The Limits Of Our Driving Skill, Etc, There Is Still A Difference Between Driving And Being Asleep At The Wheel, Or Between Driving And Being Forced To Drive Somewhere At Gunpoint. We Should Not Look Only At How Our Freedom Seems To Vanish Upon Thorough Inspection, We Must Also Look At How It Appears In The First Place – Unbidden And Self-Evident. Look At The Universal Appeal Of Freedom And The Universal

Disparagement Of That Which Is Unfeeling, Robotic, And Mechanistic. One Thing That Is Seldom Mentioned In These Endless Debates Is Creativity. Were The Egyptians Destined To Build Pyramids And Not Megalithic Circles? Was It Inevitable That The Star Spangled Banner Was Written In Reference To The United States? Did Deterministic Processes Have No Choice But To Create The Illusion Of Free Will? Why? The Work Of Benjamin Libet Is Frequently (Compulsively?) Cited As Well, Work Which Even Libet Himself Later Made Clear Did Not Show That Free Will Didn't Exist. The Fact That A Neurological Signal Can Be Detected Before The Various Parts Of Us (The Self Who Makes The Decision, The Self Who Knows They Make The Decision, The Self Who Reports That They Make The Decision) Can Arrive At A Consensus Does Not Mean That The Initial Impulse Doesn't Correspond To Conscious Experience. There Is Also The Matter Of Focusing On Repetitive, Reflex Actions Which Minimize Free Will And Maximize Predictive Expectation. These Kinds Of Experiments Are Like Proving That Chefs Lack Imagination By Studying The Fry Cooks At Mcdonalds. Since Physics And Neuroscience Has No Theory At All As To The Origin Or Utility Of Consciousness, We Cannot Give Inanimate Instruments The Benefit Of The Doubt When It Comes To Our Subjectivity. Because We Are A Single Zygote Which Has Reproduced Itself, In Some Sense, Every Cell In Our Body Is 'Us' Just As Much As Any Organ Or Process In Our Body. We Are Complex, But Not In The Way That A Machine Is Complex. We Are Not Assembled From Specialized Parts, We Are A Single Whole, Divided Into Relative Specialization. Just Because Every Part Of Us Doesn't Know What Every Other Part Of Us Is Doing At All Times, Doesn't Mean That It Isn't All 'Us' Doing It Pansensitive Relativity:If The Perceptual Relativity Hypothesis Is Correct, And Pansensitivity Is The Engine Of Both Physics And Subjectivity, Then Cause Itself Is Relativistic. Intention Is Real And Primitively Creative In The First Person, And Unreal/Recombinant-Derivative In The Third Person…But Third Person Is Only Real Because There Is A First Person Participant Present.

The Authoritative Physical Doctrines Of The 17th Century Thus Sedimented The Plausibility Of An Ontology Which Holds That The Ultimate And Fundamental Reality Is A "Succession Of Instantaneous Configurations Of Matter" (Whitehead, 1926/1985, P. 63) That Is To Say, The "Stuff" Of Nature Is Ultimately Composed Of Brute Material With The Property Of Simple Location: It Belongs To A

Specifiable Point In Time And A Specifiable Point In Space. It Is Well Known, For Example, That A Foundational Gesture Of Modern Physical Science Was The Gesture Of Excluding What Are Variously Called "Final Causes", "Ends", "Purposes", "Intentions", "Teleological Causes" Or "Aims", From Any Scientific Explanation Of The Natural World (Whitehead, 1934, P. 24). Objective Physical Events Are Caused By Other Objective Physical Events In Complex Chains Of Causality, And There Is No Point At Which Something "Subjective" Like An Aim Or A Purpose Or A Value Might Intervene Into Such Objective Physical Systems. Subjectivity – Including Issues Of Aim And Enjoyment – Is Thus Excluded From Nature As A Matter Of Methodological Course: "Scientific Reasoning Is Completely Dominated By The Presupposition That Mental Functionings Are Not Properly Part Of Nature" (Whitehead, 1938/1966, P. 156). (Ibid)

Cosmic Microwave Background Is The Handiwork Of Celestial Brahman Anti Brahman; Like I Thought It Means What Is Usually Attributed To Colours Were, Danger, Stop, Or Wave Length Ascriptions Has Been Done Over The Years; Reactionary Potential Of Mine Or Any Other Is The Same As That Of A Scientist; Donot Allow Mind To Play With You; It Is A Detrimentally Ramificatory; Notion Of Experience Is Not Restricted To The Spectacular Subjectivity Of Discursively Mediated Sensory Consciousness But Finds Its Proper Place In The World Of Nature. Through The Same Gesture, Nature Is Wrestled Free From A Scheme Of Abstract Thought Which Construes It As Essentially "A Dull Affair, Soundless, Scentless, Colourless; Merely The Hurrying Of Material, Endlessly, Meaninglessly" (Whitehead, 1926/1985, P. 69*). (Italics mine)* Models Given One Of The Papers In The Series Under The Assumption Of The Referential Veracity And Integrity Of The Foregoing Proposition Palgrave Communications | Palgrave Macmillan Journals Journal home > Archive > Original Articles > Full text Original Article Subjectivity (2008) 22, 90–109. doi:10.1057/sub.2008.4 A.N. Whitehead and Subjectivity Paul Stenner1 1University of Brighton, Brighton, UK Correspondence: Paul Stenner, School of Applied Social Science, University of

Brighton, Falmer Campus, Mayfield House, Brighton BN9 1PH, UK. E-mail: P.Stenner@brighton.ac.uk (White quoted in this article)

Kafka: Towards A Minor Literature Can Be Distinguished From Deleuze's Other Texts On Literature In That It Was Written With Guattari, And It Strongly Bears The Stamp Of Anti-Oedipus, Published Just Three Years Earlier, And The Concepts Utilised There. In Many Ways, It Can Be Read As A Development Of The Same Themes In Regard To Kafka's Work. This Text Is A Marked Departure From All Of The Dominant Interpretations Of Kafka's Writing, Which Is Generally Considered Either Psychoanalytically (As A Projection Of Interior Guilt Onto The World Through Writing) Or Mythically, That Is, As A Reserve Of Symbols And Closely Related To Negative Theology And Jewish Mysticism. Deleuze And Guattari Consider Kafka As A Proponent Of A Joyful Science, Of Writing As A Way Of Creating A Line Of Flight Or Freedom From The Forms Of Domination. They Write: The Three Worst Themes In Many Interpretations Of Kafka Are The Transcendence Of The Law, The Interiority Of Guilt, The Subjectivity Of Enunciation. (K 45) In Contrast, Deleuze And Guattari Read Kafka As A Proponent Of The Immanence Of Desire. The Law Is No More Than A Secondary Configuration That Traps Desire Into Certain Formations: Bureaucracy, Of Course, Is The Main Example In Kafka's Work, Where Offices, Secretaries, Lawyers And Bankers Present Figures Of Entrapment. They Also See Kafka As Directly Targeting The Oedipus Complex, The Triangle Of "Daddy-Mommy-Me": The Too-Well Formed Family Triangle Is Really Only A Conduit For Investments Of An Entirely Different Sort That The Child Endlessly Discovers Underneath His Father, Inside His Mother, In Himself. The Judges, Commissioners, Bureaucrats, And So On, Are Not Substitutes For The Father; Rather, It Is The Father Who Is A Condensation Of All These Forces That He Submits To And That He Tries To Get His Son To Submit To. (K 11-2) (Ibid)

My Answer To Quora Question "If Consciousness Has No Evolutionary Advantage, Doesn't That Imply That It Is An Emergent Property? " While Human Consciousness Has Certainly Been Shaped By Evolution, Which Does Not Mean That Consciousness Itself Could Have Evolved From Non-Consciousness. Whether We Are Talking About Other Species Of Animals Or Cells Or Organic Molecules, The Same Issues Which We Run Into In Explaining Human Consciousness Are Still Present At Any Scale. The Issues Of The Hard Problem Of Consciousness, Explanatory Gap, Binding Problem, And Symbol Grounding Problem Make The Mind-Body Split Just As Relevant Wither The 'Body' Is A Brain, Neuron, Or Subatomic Particle. No Matter What, You Have To Explain How An 'Interior World' Can 'Exist' In A Physical Structure Whose Behavior Is Causally Closed. Whatever Way You Slice It, If We Accept That T-Cells Can Be Effective In Detecting And Neutralizing Threats On A Cellular Level Without Having Consciousness, Or That DNA Can Create Cellular Machines Which Build A Brain Without Consciousness, And Then We Are Admitting That Consciousness Doesn't Make Sense As A Functional Adaptation. The Rest Of The Universe Already Works Too Well Without It. There Is Nothing Especially Interesting About A Hominid's Need For Food And Shelter Which Would Demand Rich Awareness To Develop Out Of Blind Reflex. Single Celled Organisms Chase Food, Avoid Danger, Etc Also. We Are Then Left With Considering That Either Consciousness Could Somehow Be An Accident Of Evolution, Or That Consciousness May Be Intrinsic To All Physical Phenomena In Some Sense (Panpsychism, Panexperientialism) Or Even That Consciousness Is The Universal Substrate Upon Which All Phenomena Depends (Idealism, Idealist Monism). If Consciousness Is A Mutation That Has No Functional Role (A Spandrel), We Have To Ask Why It Would Even Be A Possibility. Remember That If Consciousness Is A Mutation, We Are Assuming That There Is A Whole Universe Already In Place Which Is Overflowing With Processes, Biological And Otherwise, Which Are Perfectly Capable Of Directing Themselves Effectively While Being Unconscious. It's Actually A Radically Anthropocentric Cosmology Since We Are Privileging Our Tiny Piece Of History In The Universe As The Only Piece Which Is Not Devoid Of Experience. We Are Saying That Everything That Existed Before Humans Was Unconscious, Therefore An Invisible, Intangible, Silent Void With No Memory Etc. If We Are Not Intending That, And Prefer To

Think That The Universe Looked, Sounded, Felt, And Tasted Just Like It Does For Homo Sapiens Since The Dawn Of Time, Then We Would Have To Ask Exactly What We Think Consciousness Is Adding To That Kind Of Eternal-Universal 'Unconsciousness'. If Consciousness Is Intrinsic To Physical Phenomena (As In Penrose-Hameroff's Microtubule-Based Quantum Consciousness) Or Is Intrinsic To Information Integration (As In Tononi-Koch's IIT), We Still Have The Same Kind Of Mind-Body Problem. A 'Body' Which Is A Statistical Function Rather Than A Literal Form In Space Is Still Falls Short Of Explaining Why And How There Is Any Such Thing As Consciousness. In My View, Only The Idealist Monist View Or What I Call Pansensitivity Makes Sense Ultimately As The Parent Of Both Physics And Information. Just As We Learn To Count On Our Fingers, All Forms Of Information Are Representations Of Experiences Which Have An Aesthetic Foundation – A Seeing, Feeling, Touching, Thinking, Etc. Without That Sensory-Motive Context From The Start, There Would Nothing To Evolve; Only Abstractions In The Dark (Or Not Even Dark). Once We Can Get Over Ourselves As A Species And Recognize That Consciousness Doesn't Begin And End With Us, I Think That Awareness Will Be Seen As The Container Of Relativity Itself, With Quantum Mechanics And Evolutionary Biology As A Consequence Of Deeper Stories Rather Than Their Originator. **Multisense Realism A Cosmology Of Sense, Essence & Existence For Models See One Of The Papers In The Series Procrastinated Due To Constraints On Space.** **Craig Weinberg Blog**

@@
@@
@@

Acknowledgements: I have made concerted efforts, sustained and protracted endeavours for the inclusion of every one and every source either in references or cross references. In the eventuality of any act of omission and commission, I make a sincere entreat, earnest beseech and fervent appeal to kindly pardon me. By nature, the work called for browsing and collating and coordinating thousands of various documents. Please excuse me in case of any prevarication from rules, or miss classification of the protagonist or antagonist laws is based on the characterstics and pen chance, predilection, proclivity and propensities of the systems under investigation. We acknowledge in unmistakable and unambiguous terms the help of Stanford encyclopedia, Kants writings, and Deleuze's Logic Of Sense, Penrose and Hawking's nature of space and time, Penrose's Emperor's New Mind, Shadows of mind, Ken Wilber's spectrum of consciousness etc., great men seem to be endless… ad infinitium…...google search and Wikipedia. Concerted and orchestrated efforts are made to put on recordial evidence the names of all people either under references list or mostly by cross references so that no one is missed. If there be any act of omission or

commission, it is my sincere entreat, earnest beseech, fervent appeal to kindly pardon me and the error is absolutely inadvertent and in deliberate. Let not any sensibilities, susceptibilities, and sentimentalities be hurt. Parson's pattern variables provide a way of categorizing the types of choices and forms of orientation for individual social actors, both in contemporary society and historically .I want to put on record with humble gratefulness the help by American Physical society, nature and other Noetic institutes of US who sent lot of alerts for my reference which was very valuable, and could not have been found by me despite assiduous and fervent search. Most important type is that concerning the stability of solutions near to a point of equilibrium. This may be discussed by the theory of Lyapunov. In simple terms, if all solutions of the dynamical system that start out near an equilibrium point x_e stay near x_e forever, then x_e is Lyapunov stable. More strongly, if x_e is Lyapunov stable and all solutions that start out near x_e converge to x_e, then x_e is asymptotically stable. The notion of exponential stability guarantees a minimal rate of decay, i.e., an estimate of how quickly the solutions converge. The idea of Lyapunov stability can be extended to infinite-dimensional manifolds, where it is known as structural stability, which concerns the behavior of different but "nearby" solutions to differential equations. Input-to-state stability (ISS) applies Lyapunov notions to systems with inputs. von Neumann stability is necessary and sufficient for stability in the sense of Lax–Richtmyer (as used in the Lax equivalence theorem): The PDE and the finite difference scheme models are linear; the PDE is constant-coefficient with periodic boundary conditions and have only two independent variables; and the scheme uses no more than two time levels (See Wikipedia) Von Neumann stability is necessary in a much wider variety of cases. It is often used in place of a more detailed stability analysis to provide a good guess at the restrictions (if any) on the step sizes used in the scheme because of its relative simplicity. Albeit forwarded in nine module systematizations, the entire gamut is to be seen in a single shot, and the presentation of nine schedule twenty seven storey models is to circumvent typing of hundreds of superscripts and subscripts. In fact the statement is made inclusive of all previous models, and the variables are definitely different for each schedule, which again is reinstated due to typing of corresponding variables millions of systems, a fastidious and fussy work again. I beg pardon for any inconvenience caused to the readers due to such utilization of convention. I am grateful to Professor Chadralekha MD PhD. Tagore medical College, Chennai for deliberations and discussions on Medicine. To discussions on Physics topics credit goes to Dr. A.S. Krishna Prasad, Former Director DRDO, and Bangalore Chapter. Prof. Sunita MSc. PhD., of MS Ramaiah University helped me with valuable suggestions on Aerodynamics and propellant chemistry. Sir KVB Pantulu, former Chairman of NALCO, ESSAR Steels helped in formatting process and project management advices.

Note: Here we talk of the characterstics of systems which satisfy the condition of cosmological constant or any other for that matter or variable or axiomatic predication. . There are lots of zeroes corresponding and concomitant to the second law of black holes. Infact as many as that of extant and existential blackholes exist. At the outset, it is to be stated that there are hadrons in every system. Supersymmetry between forces and matter, with both open and closed strings; no tachyon; group symmetry is SO (32) and its axiomatic predications, predicational anteriorities, character constitution shall be extant and existential in very many systems, and the characterstics are taken in to consideration in the classification scheme. Many systems have such fundamental instabilities like that of quantum gravity and characterstics of those systems form the citadel and fulcrum, bulwark and manor, mainstay and reinforcement, alcazar and chateau theory has a fundamental instability on which the classification schémas are valid. There are lots of systems which follow the axioms of string theory It is the characterstics of this system which are taken in to consideration in the classification scheme. "All institutionalization involves common moral as well as other values. Collectivity obligations are, therefore, an aspect of every institutionalized role. But in certain contexts of orientation-choice, these obligations may be latent ...." (Parsons, 1951, p. 99). There are various systems that have the same bastion, support system, stylobate and sentinel as that of the Deleuzean terms and predications and phenomenological methodologies systemized. Look at this beautiful passage whose stability analysis would be eye opener. To "deconstruct" is not the same as to destroy. Deconstruction attempts to undo logical contradictions, to overturn rigid conceptual oppositions while releasing new concepts and meanings that could not be included in the old system. At the heart of Western metaphysics, for example, Derrida finds the opposition between "speech" and "writing." This binary logic functions in an illicit way to establish speech as the means of giving "presence" to the

world, while writing is deemed derivative and inferior In Derrida's sense of "grammatology," however, all production of meaning is writing and subject to the infinite play of signification. By taking away the transcendental signified and advancing the concept of "differance" (language organized around difference and deferred, or mediated, understandings), Derrida, like Nietzsche, wants to leave us without transcendental illusions, metaphysical unities, and foundations that constrain thought and creativity. **THE POSTMODERN TURN IN PHILOSOPHY: THEORETICAL PROVOCATIONS AND NORMATIVE DEFICITS by Steven Best and Douglas Kelner http://www.gseis.ucla.edu/faculty/kellner/kellner.html** Each and every system has electrons, neutrons and protons and for that matter quarks. There shall be strong nuclear force and weak nuclear force. There are many systems that satisfy the criterion specified by the equation, principle or statement in question. Characterstics of the investigating systems form the bastion for the classification scheme and doxa thereof. Systemic differentiation is conducted. Despite gravity being constant, there exists gravity between two objects, and this could be taken as a system. Depending upon some parametric representationalities, functionalities, advantageousness, appropriateness, benefit, facilitation, fittingness, helpfulness, instrumentality, merit, practicality, serviceability, suitability, and usefulness, utility, these systems could be classified in to various categories. In respect of an equation, there shall be many systems that satisfy the given equation. Equations themselves could be by the utilization of the model solved term by term as has been exemplified and illustrated many time in the previous papers. There is lot of systems that could be brought in to the orbit of and gamut of the theory in question which the investigatable systems satisfy the axiomatic predications and postulation alcovishness of the systems in question. Towards the end of classificational consummation, consolidation, corporation and concatenation we take the characterstics of the systems, the predicational interiorities, ontological consonance and primordial exactitude, accolytish representations, functional topology, apocryphal aneurism and atrophied asseveration, event at contracted points, and other parameters as the bastion and stylobate of the stratification purposes. Such totalistic entities would have easy paradigm of relational content, differentiated system of expressly oriented actions with primary focus and locus of homologues receptiveness and differentially instrumental activity, variable universalism and particularism, imperative compatibilities and structural variabilities, interactional dynamical orientation, institutionalization and internalisation of pattern variables common attitudinal orientation of constituionalisation of internalized dispositions, and a qualitative gradient of structural differentiation and ascribed particularistic solidarity abstraction or interactional dynamics, internal differentiation, structural morphology, formal characterization, concept formulation, phenomenological methodologies, constituent structure, transformational minimal condition, paradigmatic feasibilities, programmatic plausibilities, comparative variability, normative aspect of expectational prediction, projection and prognostication as consideration of the investigatory systems. Any scale can be used that is convenient to the classification scheme. It is important to note that the scheme of classification and the stratification doxa must not be adversely antagonistic, inexorably irreconcilable, antithetically antipodal, diametrically opposed, repugnantly retrogressive, inimically inverse, violatively unsimilar, diversely dissimilar, antipathetically anti thetical, conflictingly combative, obstructively pugnacious, inimically obstructive, repellently restrictive, disputatiously gainsaying or conformingly pugnacious to the axiomatic predications and postulation alcovishness of the theory in question or the equation representative or constitutive thereof. Sole intention, main objective and primary aim is twofold. One is towards the end of circumvention of the extra equation and the concomitant and corresponding variable therein. Second is avoidance of clustered congest, swarmed huddle, mustered pack, sardine squash, and swamp throng in the scheme of classification. Only thing that is sought out is the consonance in the entire diaspora and body fabric of the systems under study. This statement is true and holds unmistakably true for all the papers and I sincerely entreat readers to remember the statement and read the paper against this background. When we write A+B we mean by that B is being added to A or vice versa. It is like adding milk to water and water to milk. There may or may not be a time gap. As said earlier there may be many systems that satisfy the conditions of the equations and those systems that are investigatory or investigatable are taken in to consideration based on their characterstics in the classification doxa. When there are more than two entities, we can take logarithm and find the value of that factor to be taken with anti log to obtain prediction and projected values of the model. In case of A-B, we are removing B from A and that means B is eating up A. These factors are taken in to consideration in the application of the model to equations. Log (ab) and Log (a+b) is well defined. Model stands out as universal

testament and template for application to each sentence and equation what with the quantification process done and the correlations well defined. In the eventuality of non existence of any connection at some phase, the model would have the accentuation and attrition coefficients and detritions coefficients as zero rendering the equations of concatenation simpler. Projection formula which incorporates in its diaspora the initial values provide autheticative determination, unimpeachable validation, incontrovertible establishment , apodictic evidence, reliable roll out, unfailing cinquecento quattrocento trecento, incontrovertible indication of the final finale, notwithstanding appellation, appellative, brand, cognomen, compellation, designation, flag, handle, identification, label, moniker, nomen, slot, style, surname, tab, tag, term, title designation, appellation, appellative, class, classification, denomination, description, epithet, of the classification scepter, scenario, scimitar, schottische. There is pure and impure consciousness in every one. Gratification producing and deprivation producing one's can be easily classified from individual general ledgers. Similar analogy holds for collective general ledger and cosmic general ledger or nature's general ledger. It is also to be noted that while dealing with equations towards the end of consummation of the measure, it is necessary that the two variables are to be classified in to three sections and each one would have the adventitious and decidedly stated relationship whereby the fundamental equations are drawn up and the analysis made. All the parametric representationalities, conditionalities, orientationalities remain unequivocal as stated in the variables stated in to consideration section and are different from module to module. In essence the paper is to be read as holistic one with the sole intention, primary objective and raison d' etre to build a TOE. Towards the end of circumvention of typing hundreds of superscripts and subscripts which would be a sardine squash and the concomitant operational difficulties, model is presented in piece meal of nine modules. Logarithms are to be taken in respect of those which incorporate more than one variable in bra-ket. Values of log (ab) and log (a+b) are readily available. Anti logarithm shall be taken at the end to predict, project, prognosticate the value of the variable. This is true for tensors, vectors and other variables too. Affirmational assertion, and explanation justification, statement of vindication, annotational commentary, and explicational glossary for each and every system changes and physical interpretation of results is one thing that is to be with earnest endeavour and feverish and febrile expediency. Editions never ever mean the same and identicality of parameters and this has been explicitly and unmistakably stated in the model apriori itself. Akin and analogous, cognate and concurrent, correspondent and congruent, comparable and complementary, synonymous and duple, tantamount and agnate, commensurate and correlative representation is only to highlight the importance and subterfuge the replication of work. It is my fervent solicitation to kindly bear with me for any lapses, notwithstanding the orchestrated efforts for a paper without any minor errors. Postulation predication, conclusive presumption, differential presuppositions, underscored decidedly axiomatic statement of the statement, equation form the bye word or the watch word in the aggrandizement-amplification, caricature and crock, understatement- unembellishment, elocution-emphasis, enunciation-inflection, announcement-argument, articulation, assertion, asseveration, choice of words, commentary and communication, declaration and definition, delivery-diction, elucidation-emphasis, enunciation-execution, explanation, exposition-formulation, idiom, interpretation and intonation tone and tenor of stratification. Now, how do find the reaction of systems to these singularities. You do the same thing a boss does for you. "Problematize" the events and see how you behave. I will resort to "pressure tactics". "intimidation of deriding report", or "cut in the increment" to make you undergo trials, travails and tribulations. I am happy to see if you improve your work; but may or may not be sad if you succumb to it and hang yourself! We do the same thing with systems. systems show conducive response, felicitous reciprocation or behave erratically with inner roil, eponymous radicality without and with blitzy conviction say like a solipsist nature of bellicose and blustering particles, or for that matter coruscation, trepidiational motion in fluid flows, or seemingly perfidious incendiaries in gormandizing fellow elementary particles, abnormal ebullitions, surcharges calumniations and unwarranted(you think so but the system idoes not!) unrighteous fulminations. Amount of emotion or affect that is appropriate or expected in an given form of interaction. Particular individuals and diffuse obligations (see c and d) are associated with affectivity, whereas contacts with many individuals (universalistic) in a bureaucracy may be devoid of emotion and characterized by affective neutrality. Affective neutrality may refer to self discipline and the deferment of gratification (eg Weber's spirit of capitalism). In contrast, affectivity may be associated with expressing emotions. Adams and Sydie also refer to affective neutrality being associated with ego control (p. 15). (Parsons: Wikipedia). So the point that is made here is "like we

problematize the "events" to understand the human behaviour we have to "problematize" the events of systems to understand their behaviour. This statement is made in connection to the fact that there shall be creation or destruction of particles or complete obliteration of the system (blackhole evaporation) or obfuscation of results. Some systems are like “inside traders" they will not put signature atoll! How do you find they did it! Anyway, there are possibilities of a CIA finding out as they recently did! So we can do the same thing witn systems to. This is accentuation, corroboration, fortification, .fomentatory note to explain the various coefficients we have used in the model as also the dissipations called door. In the bank example we have clarified that various systems are individually conservative, and their conservativeness extends holisticallytoo.that one law is universal does not mean there is complete adjudication of nonexistence of totality or global or holistic figure. Total always exists and "individual" systems always exists, if we donot bring Kant in to picture! For the time being let us not! Equations would become more ensorcelled and frenzied..... philosophy merges with ontology; ontology merges with univocity of being; analogy has always a theological vision; not a philosophical vision; one becomes adapted to the forms of god; self and world; the univocity of being does not mean that there is one and the same being; on the contrary, beings are multiple and different they are always produced by disjunctive synthesis; and they themselves are disintegrated and disjoint and divergent; membra disjuncta.the univocity of being signifies that that being is a voice that is said and it is said in one and the same "consciousness”. In consciousness research, two rival sets of theories can be recognized: (A) Scientific material interpretations of consciousness are based on axioms that view consciousness in the context of highly advanced intentional processing of information in which subject-object relations evolve, and (B) humanistic interpretations of consciousness are based on axioms that view consciousness in the context of, say, "centered pulsations" that enable a conscious agent to act from his or her center of awareness. In this paper I will argue for the selection of axioms that favor humanistic interpretations of consciousness. The Pursuit of Autonomy Interdisciplinary Observations to Human Consciousness: Wautischer, Helmut (1993) the Pursuit of Autonomy. Interdisciplinary Observations to Human Consciousness: Social Neuroscience Bulletin, 6 (4). pp. 52-56.Everything about which consciousness is spoken about being is the same for everything for which it is said like gravity; it occurs therefore as an unique event for everything; for everything for which it happens; eventum tantum; it is the ultimate form for all of the forms; and all these forms are disjointed; it brings about resonance and ramification of its disjunction; the univocity of being merges with the positive use of the disjunctive synthesis, and this is the highest affirmation of its univocity like gravity; it is the eternal resurrection or a return itself, the affirmation of all chance in a single moment, the unique cast for all throws; a simple rejoinder for Einstein’s god does not play dice; one being, one consciousness, for all forms and all times. a single instance for all that exists, a single phantom for all the living; a single voice for very hum of voices; or a single silence for all the silences; a single vacuum for all the vacuums; consciousness should not be said without occurring; if consciousness is one unique event in which all the events communicate with each other; univocity refers both to what occurs to what it is said. This is attributable to all states of bodies and states of affairs and the expressible of every proposition. So univocity of consciousness means the identity of the noematic attribute and that which is expressed linguistically and sense fully. Univocity means that it does not allow consciousness to be subsisting in a quasi state and but expresses in all pervading reality; **CONSCIOUSNESS AND ITS UNIQUENESS June 12, 2012 at 8:40pm (See Deleuze Logic of sense, Wikipedia and Stanford encyclopedia for more details)** Atrocious contrivance and device, gratificational primogeniture, calamitous dodge and expedient, depraved, destructive, disastrous, execrable gambit and gimmick, great solace and succor, iniquitous, injurious, loathsome, low, maleficent, malevolent machination and maneuver, promethaleon of candor, frankness, honesty, honor, ingenuousness, innocence, openness, reality, sincerity, truthfulness, spiteful, stinking, ugly, unpleasant, unpropitious play and ploy, progenitor of jurisprudence and circumspection, racket and ruse, savvy, scam, stratagem, subterfuge, tactic and wile, forthrightness, honesty, truthfulness embodied and personified is the structural predisposition and dispensation of the complex. Nobody need have to take the Brahman-Anti Brahman agency to be a recalcitrant, repugnant, and refractory proposition. God gives and also takes away. That is the point made. And of course lesser gods, and God doth follow them and they follow God. That is why they are lesser gods. And wield the power on lesser mortals like us. When we mean gratification and deprivation we mean celestial Brahman Anti Brahman and Terrestial Brahman and Anti Brahman agencies producing such happiness or sadness by any means such as (blending- amalgamation,

adulterant-adulteration, amalgam-amalgamation, blend- combination, composite- compound, debasement-denaturant, fusion-hybrid, intermixture-reduction, amalgam- mixture, composite- compound, fusion-mishmash, aggregate- alloy, amalgamation-blend, commixture-composite, composition-compost, conglomerate-fusion, goulash-medley, mishmash-stew, blend-coalition, commixture-compound, federation-heating, integration-junction, melting-merger, synthesis-unification, assortment- combination, adulteration-alloy, assimilation-association, batter-blend, brewed combine, composite compound, concoction confection, conglomeration cross of money, orientation(dis), penury creation, murder, mayhem plunder, pillage, apocalypse, Armageddon in various forms and permutation and combination of Manichaean artifice, agathokakological malevolence, agley chicane fourberie, fraud, furtiveness, gambit, awry and bad ,flagitious mechanizations, cacodemonic gambit, hanky-panky, intrigue, machination, deprecatory and diabolic underhandedness and wiles, energumenical skullduggery, goetic chicanery, lenocinant stratagem, malefic and maleficent strategy, malominous sell, sellout, sham subterfuge, peccable, humbug, imposture, misrepresentation peccant fraud, fraudulence, hoax, qued , scelerate pretense, ruse, sell, sellout, sham stratagems' of maladroit manouevures , sinistral ,tortious and venal sophistry, contingency enterprise, endangerment emprise, jeopardizing adventure, jejune jeremiad, avoidable inertia, latent passiveness: with each action accounted and showing balance in the nature's general ledger as also actionlessness:e). All these are recorded in Nature's general ledger. Neuron DNA encapsulates all the actions passions, actions, interactions, transactions or the lack of it at various levels of individual, collective and cosmic levels. In celestial Brahman Anti Brahman ledger there is cessation of dualities. One more point to be made is if 5=3+2, then we can say 5 gormandizes 3 or 5 gobbles up 2. Quintessentially such a statement arises out of the difference of LHS and RHS term wise. It must be remembered despite all theoretical abstractions and generalizations be it proton mass or Higgs Boson, or topology of a space, it is notwithstanding the fundamentality of nature is just a number. Just everything in Physics and mathematics is just a number. Let us assume I buy rice in Bangalore (District) worth 5k.g. I can always divide 5k.g.s of rice in categories of Bangalore (South), Bangalore (west), Bangalore (East) and Bangalore (South). On similar basis, there are billions of neutrons, protons and concomitant interactions which would satisfy the given numerical value. Example of gravity above makes things clear. Everything atleast is a function of time and someplace or some other coordinates, subordinates or superordinates. Mass of proton is 2.47 does not mean total mass of all protons is 2.47. It is this argument that is used in the classification scheme. By the very nature, self the unmonitoring but the witness consciousness agency identifies itself with something, be it a profession, an actor on the screen, when it does not have a identity. Such examples are legion, a Charlie Chaplin in "The Kid" or Raj kapoor in "Jagte Raho". These are people who just wanted to live and living without being put under surveillance every moment is the greatest part of their life. This is "Absolute Subjectivity" of Shiva. Such a state is always craving for identification for in pure consciousness all identities are lost. It is this "identitylessness" or having very minimal identity is what pervades most in India and this is due to expansion of individual consciousness. When that happens, be it education, or wealth, the "identity' becomes so well entrenched, they become the exact opposite of "pure consciousness"," impure consciousness" (Shakti). This is a state of "Absolute or relativistic objectivity". There was this case of a lady who went to such an extent of planning, she was sure that whatever she does must work out. When it did not, she lapsed in to "identity crisis". Such thing as this might happen in well developed countries where the competition is extremal and to be achieved at any cost. Realisation that one is not the doer but the witness consciousness is just like watching a movie in which one has acted. It is in this sense "advaita", "non duality' seems to be of cardinal and preeminent importance for the analytical treat ment of "identity' and "identity lessness", both happen in space time. "Multiverses" in this sense is also like a different film of an actor released at different theaters and it is in this sense we state that that every object in space time is a multiverse. You must have totally immersed in doing a "string theory" problem or watching a "film", oblivious of surroundings. Essentially creation (space time) also is what is happening in mind and honed in to you billion times. Identification of anything with a designation or signification is one that leads to association within spacetime, which would only have pathological consequences and detrimental ramification as stated above or positive implications of "happiness" because of the identity itself. Search or 'show" has to go on! It gives a sense of consummation. a watching pot never boils; donot go to check nature; you are disturbing it; it will never show its true colours bhakti and Virakthi, gratification and deprivation, raga and dwesha is essential to live in space. it is the quantum interest thereof that produces energy to live

.gratification and deprivation which form the bastion, pillar ,post and stylobate and sentinel of all human actions depends on tams, rajas, and sattva of individual or insentient object. Verily tamas, rajas and satva are the characterstics of space itself, nay the behavioural pattern and attitudinal orientation. There are peaceful places like Vienna or Sringeri and there are violent places like others. Space is warped and woofed by the Akshara, the quantum information of primordial syllable Om. cosmic general ledger is the one vibration of which is brahman; quantum information possessions thereof form the sentinel of all transactions (Gargi to Yajnavalkya: my interpretation)adhocism, nonseqientiality of events, anti sequentiality of events, simultaneity of phenomenon, concurrent happenings , improbability of phenomenon happening itself are characterstics of brahman-anti brahman (Terrestial and celestial) are the cause of non predictability of happenings like in black hole, or in the areas of operation of brahman-anti brahman (Terrestial and celestial). brahman AntiBrahman actions, interactions, decisions, circumspection, adhocism, satisfies sensitive to initial conditions; topologically mixing; dense periodic orbits, the axiomatic predications and postulation alcovishness of chaos theory. Like gravity is a characteristic of space, so is tamas, rajas, sattva, with spaces knotted together by quantum information (for models see below) .accidents are preplanned actions of Terrestial and celestial Brahman AntiBrahman. All actions are perpetrated by them, be it train on fire or death. Belief systems play an important role in the determination of tamas, rajas, and satva state of human beings. There is neither cause nor effect. All actions are performed by Terrestial and celestial Brahman anti Brahman and it is off the record. psychic energy is negative equivalent to –mc^2, so that second law of thermodynamics is satisfied on a holistic basis vis-à-vis material energy ParaBrahaman is infinite individual, collective and cosmic consciousnesses are infinite (concomitant general ledgers) for they are in the evolution mode with respect to each other in that order. Later emanates from the former as transactions emanate from the conservative state of assets and liabilities. Is not zero true for infinite conservative systems inside space and time? zero is the only consummation, consolidation and conservation. if fifty out of seventy members come out of the room at whatever time you come out, talk about the grand limbo of oblivion and hibernation of lifeless world you are going to and if you are not a celebrity, then it is not coincidence, it is coordination. Nature is playing the same trick on you. that a generalised law is there and you can measure does not make things less good albeit recalcitrant, refractory and rogue particles occur time and again, by and large. Entering in to all living beings through his constant part on the new moon night, he is born therefrom next morning. Absolute ParaBrahaman (zero) projects himself again and again on the screen of individual consciousness which appears of depairing despondent world. Some where the balancing act is done on karma. cosmic general ledger reflects upon itself to keep all transactional ties conservative (Brihadarnkayaka Upanishad: 1.5 14) for models see next paper through its divine power (i interpret man creates god; it is attribution and ascription of various Shaktis would the formation of god takes place like space and time) the self assumes (eb) the form of a deity man can contemplate and venerate, even though siva, the pure subject, can never in fact be an object of meditation (adhyeya). Until we realise our true identity with Sankara, he is worshipped and conceived to be a reality alien to ourselves. While we are in the realm of creation, he too is a creation or mode or appearing of the absolute, manifest to us in meditation, through his freedom as an eternal, omniscient being. There is no gulf between the created and the uncreated creator: nothing in reality, although an object of knowledge, ceases to be Siva: this is the reason why meditation [on this or that aspect] of reality bestows its fruit. The world of the senses and mind appears to the well awakened (suprabuddha) as a theophany, an eternal revealing of god in his creation. The doctrine of vibration declares that "there is no state in word, meaning or thought, either at the beginning, middle or end, which is not Siva." 59 to utter any word is, in reality, to intone a sacred formula.every act is a part of Siva's eternal cosmic liturgy, every movement of the body a ritual gesture (mudras), and every thought, god's thought. By what path are you not attainable? What words do not speak of you? in which meditation are you not an object of contemplation? What indeed are you not, o lord? 61 spandashakti, which accounts for the appearing of all things, is also the means by which deity in its many varied forms appears to man. Ksemaraja concludes: the ultimate object of worship of any theistic school differs not from the Spanda principle. The diversity of meditation is due solely to the absolute freedom of Spanda. 62 Sankara is not only the supreme object of devotion; as the static polarity of the absolute, he is the inner reality which holds together its Siva and Sakti outer manifestations. Phenomena are patterns of cognitions projected onto the surface of self-luminous siva-consciousness. There they become apparent, directly revealed to consciousness according to their

manifest form. siva is accordingly symbolized as the ground or surface of awareness, smooth and even like a screen (samabhittitalopama). 64 inscribed on this screen (kutfya) are the countless manifest forms which appear within it rendering it as diverse and beautiful as a fossil ammonite (falagrama). 65 Siva is the sacred ground upon which the cosmic mandalas are drawn, the absolute surface of inscription which bears the mark (cihna) of the universe. Abhinava writes: the variety of this world can only be manifest if the highest lord, who is essentially the pure light of consciousness, exists; just as a surface is necessary for a picture. if external objects were perceived in isolation then, because 'blue' and 'yellow', etc., are self-confined and the perceptions [we have of them] refer to their objects alone and so are insentient, mute and dumb in relation to one another . . . how would it be possible to be aware that an object is variegated? but just as depths and elevations can be represented by lines on a smooth wall, and we perceive [a female figure and think], similarly it is possible to be aware of differences in the variegated (contents of experience) only if all the diverse perceptions are connected together on the one wall of the universal light of consciousness. 66 Siva is the perfect artist who, without need of canvas or brush, paints the world pictures. the instant he imagines it, it appears spontaneously, perfect in every respect. The colours he uses are the varying shades and gradations of his own Spanda energy and the medium his own consciousness. Intractable disaffect, Mutinous obdurate, Rebellious recalcitrant, Seditious refractory, Insubordinate insurgent, antagonistically antipodean, discrepant incompatible, Contumacious coadjutant, Conflicting converse, Unyielding obdurate, the antagonistic and anachronistic dispositional ties of Anti Brahman are in fact the sine qua non of Brahman only (See Vishwaroopa of Mahavishnu, which contains the polarised positives on RHS and negatives on LHS). The universe is coloured with the dye of its own nature (svabhava) by the power of Siva's consciousness (a//). Rajanaka rama says: homage to him who paints the picture of the three worlds, thereby displaying in full evidence his amazing genius {pratibha)\ to Sambhu who is beautiful with the hundreds of appearances laid out by the brush of his own unique, subtle and pure energy. 68 analogously, at the microcosmic level, all the cognitions and emotions, etc., which make up the individual personality form the outward flow of essentially introverted consciousness. They are specific pulsations (vise$aspanda) or aspects of the universal pulsation (samanyaspanda) of pure t consciousness. at the lower level, within the domain of maya, they represent the play in the fettered soul of the three primary qualities (gunas) or feeling-tones' which permeate to varying degrees his daily experience. These are: 1) sattva — the quality of goodness and luminosity which accompanies blissful experience both aesthetic and spiritual. 2) Rajas — the passion or agitation which oscillates between the extremes of 'light' and 'darkness' and characterises inherently painful experiences. 3) Tamas — the torpor and delusion which accompany states of inertia and ignorance. 69 the liberated soul recognises that these three are the natural and uncreated powers of pure consciousness. for him they are manifest respectively as: 1) Sankara 's power of knowledge (jnana) — the light of consciousness (prakasa); 2) the power of action (kriya) — the reflective awareness of consciousness (vimarsa)\ 3) the power of maya — which does not mean here the world of diversity, but the initial subtle distinction which appears between subject and object in pure consciousness. 70(doctrine of vibration: mark S.G. Dyczkowski) .to "deconstruct" is not the same as to destroy. Deconstruction attempts to undo logical contradictions, to overturn rigid conceptual oppositions while releasing new concepts and meanings that could not be included in the old system. at the heart of western metaphysics, for example, derrida finds the opposition between "speech" and "writing." this binary logic functions in an illicit way to establish speech as the means of giving "presence" to the world, while writing is deemed derivative and inferior in derrida's sense of "grammatology," however, all production of meaning is writing and subject to the infinite play of signification. By taking away the transcendental signified and advancing the concept of "differance" (language organized around difference and deferred, or mediated, understandings), derrida, like Nietzsche, wants to leave us without transcendental illusions, metaphysical unities, and foundations that constrain thought and creativity. The postmodern turn in philosophy: theoretical provocations and normative deficits by Steven best and Douglas Kelner http://www.gseis.ucla.edu/faculty/kellner/kellner.html. you cannot see beyond consciousness for that is the limit of your storage of information or the basis for understanding further information. Turiya is empty bucket; it is from which prajna the measure of arises; turiya measures the number of quantum information stores in other three states of waking, dreams, and dreamless deep sleep (Manduka Upanishad; my interpretation for models next paper).let there be path where angels tread: it is a force never born never dies the brahman an anti brahman verily there are parallel universes in (eb) in the ambit of space and time; the society of

sinners and the sinned with the grammar of evil; parallel universes contain(e) multiverses in them; all physics, philosophy, break down(e) in these universes; astronomical gratification ,deprivation, glorification, mortification, projections, axes, dimensions, rotations, foldings, concurrences, motions, shocks, are the axiomatic predications of multiverses and parallel universes; death is when self (the witness consciousness; register of individual general ledger) leaves the body; ostensibly parallel seem to have (e) an objective; parallel universes donot (e) have objectives; their paradigm of relational content, differentiated system of expressly oriented actions with primary focus and locus of homologues receptiveness and differentially instrumental activity, variable universalism and particularism, imperative compatibilities and structural variabilities, interactional dynamical orientation, institutionalization and internalisation of pattern variables common attitudinal orientation of constituionalisation of internalized dispositions, and a qualitative gradient of structural differentiation and ascribed particularistic solidarity abstraction or interactional dynamics, internal differentiation, structural morphology, formal characterization, concept formulation, phenomenological methodologies, constituent structure, transformational minimal condition, paradigmatic feasibilities, programmatic plausibilities, comparative variability, normative aspect of expectational prediction, affection- appreciation, awareness- discernment, emotion- feeling, gut reaction, heart insight, intuition, judgment, keenness, perceptiveness, rationale, sensation, sense, sensitiveness, sensitivity, sentiment, susceptibility, taste, vibes, admiration, aesthetic sense, affection, appraisal, assessment, attraction, awareness, cognizance, commendation, comprehension, enjoyment, esteem, estimation, grasp, high regard, knowledge, liking, love, perceptional realization, recognitional regard, relish, respect, responsiveness, sensibility, sensitiveness, sensitivity, sympathy, understanding, valuation, acknowledgment, airing, baring, , confessional defenselessness, denudation-denunciation, disclosure, display, divulgence-exhibition, hazard, introduction, jeopardy, laying open, liability, manifestation, nakedness, openness, peril, presentation, publicity, revelation, risk, showing, susceptibility , susceptiveness,susceptivity, unfolding, unmasking, unveiling, vulnerability, vulnerableness, action, affection, appreciation and ardor, behavior, capacity, compassion, concern, cultivation and culture, delicacy, discernment and discrimination, emotion, empathy, faculty, fervor, fondness, heat, imagination, impression and intelligence, intensity and intuition, judgment, keenness- palpability, passion, pathos, pity, reaction, refinement, sensibility, sensitivity, sentiment, sentimentality , sharpness, spirit, sympathy, tangibility, taste, tenderness, understanding, warmth, fond memories, hearts and flowers, homesickness, longing, pining, reminiscence, ,schmaltz, sentimentality wistfulness, yearning satiation is only ostensible; their job is to get the job done; their business is to mind other's business ;all laws of physics and finance breakdown; it is antilaw,piscatorial Piratish and bubonic bucaneerishness; there is free transactions between in multiverses; when reflected on the screen of consciousness they appear as extant existential universe. Transactions are astronomical and gratification deprivation, glorification and mortification incalculable; they have no barriers of region, religion, language which they create for others. theirs objectives are: corruption criminality, debasement debauchery, degradation evil immoral lewdness licentiousness, perversion sinfulness, vice viciousness, vileness wickedness, improbity corruption, atrocity decadence, degeneration degradation, depravity evil, immorality impurity, infamy iniquity, looseness lubricity, perversion profligacy, sinfulness turpitude, vice viciousness, vulgarity wickedness, disgrace bad reputation, abasement abuse, baseness black eye, blemish blur, brand comedown,contempt contumely, corruption culpability, debasement debasing, defamation degradation, derision disbarment, discredit disesteem, disfavor dishonor, disrepute disrespect, humbling humiliation ignominy ill repute, infamy ingloriousness, meanness obloquy, odium opprobrium, pollution ,put-down reproach, scandal scorn, slander slight, slur spot, stain stigma, taint tarnish, turpitude venality, enormity Horribleness , abomination atrociousness, atrocity crime and the positive aspectionalities thereof .let there not be any incursions where evil mercenaries in the garb of mendicants thrive with adversely antagonistic, inexorably irreconcilable, antithetically antipodal, diametrically opposed, repugnantly retrogressive, inimically inverse, violatively unsimilar, diversely dissimilar, antipathetically anti thetical, conflictingly combative, obstructively pugnacious, inimically obstructive, repellently restrictive, disputatious gainsaying or conformingly pugnacious Manichaean artifice, agathokakological malevolence, agley chicane fourberie, fraud, furtiveness, gambit, awry and bad ,flagitious mechanizations, cacodemonic gambit, hanky-panky, intrigue, machination, deprecatory and diabolic underhandedness and wiles, energumenical skullduggery, execrable and goetic chicanery, lenocinant stratagem, malefic and maleficent strategy,

malominous sell, sellout, sham subterfuge, peccable, humbug, imposture, misrepresentation peccant fraud, fraudulence, hoax, qued , scelerate pretense, ruse, sell, sellout, sham stratagems' of maladroit manouevures , sinistral ,tortious and venal sophistry, contingency enterprise, endangerment emprise, jeopardizing adventure, jejune jeremiad, avoidable inertia, latent passiveness . statement for Mephistophelean mercenaries philosophy merges with ontology; ontology merges with univocity of being; analogy has always a theological vision; not a philosophical vision; one becomes adapted to the forms of god; self and world; the univocity of being does not mean that there is one and the same being; on the contrary, beings are multiple and different they are always produced by disjunctive synthesis; and they themselves are disintegrated and disjoint and divergent; membra disjuncta.the univocity of being signifies that that being is a voice that is said and it is said in one and the same "consciousness". everything about which consciousness is spoken about being is the same for everything for which it is said like gravity; it occurs therefore as an unique event for everything; for everything for which it happens; eventum tantum; it is the ultimate form for all of the forms; and all these forms are disjointed; it brings about resonance and ramification of its disjunction; the univocity of being merges with the positive use of the disjunctive synthesis, and this is the highest affirmation of its univocity like gravity; it is the eternal resurrection or a return itself, the affirmation of all chance in a single moment, the unique cast for all throws; a simple rejoinder for Einstein's god does not play dice; one being, one consciousness, for all forms and all times. a single instance for all that exists, a single phantom for all the living; a single voice for very hum of voices; or a single silence for all the silences; a single vacuum for all the vacuums; consciousness should not be said without occurring; if consciousness is one unique event in which all the events communicate with each other; univocity refers both to what occurs to what it is said. This is attributable to all states of bodies and states of affairs and the expressible of every proposition. So univocity of consciousness means the identity of the noematic attribute and that which is expressed linguistically and sense fully. Univocity means that it does not allow consciousness to be subsisting in a quasi state and but expresses in all pervading reality. Negative energy stabilising wormholes are considered candidates for darkmatter. An analysis of negative energy might be an useful ingredient of the thoughts of travel at speeds more than the velocity of light. Peirce explains that an "imperfect continuum" possesses "topical singularities, or places of lower dimensionality" which break continuity, while "the parts of a perfect continuum have the same dimensionality as the whole." (CP 4.642) Circular continua without singularities are time and space. (CP 6.210-212) By using math as his lens, Peirce envisioned singularities or lower dimensional holes in the imperfect cosmologic continuum, while maintaining that a probabilistic law of chance exists that entropy decreases **(Wikipedia).** On the side of philosophy**,** quite explicitly, Deleuze provides a critique of Husserl's "sleight-of-hand" change from a static categorical phenomenology to one supposedly more dynamically constitutive of reality. This a clear cut **chagrined circumvention**, **contraventional curbing**, **defeated disgruntlement**, **dissatisfactional downer**, **dragged failure**, **fizzled foil**, **grievance hindrance**, **impedimental irritation**, letdown, nonfulfillment, nonsuccess, obstruction, old one-two, **resent mental setback**, unfulfillment of the earlier theories makes Sartre contend that human existence is a conundrum whereby each of us exists, for as long as we live, within an overall condition of nothingness (nothing-ness)—that ultimately allows for free consciousness. But simultaneously, within our being (in the physical world), we are constrained to make continuous, conscious choices. It is this dichotomy that causes anguish, because choice (subjectivity) represents a limit on freedom within an otherwise unbridled range of thoughts. Subsequently, humans seek to flee our anguish through action-oriented constructs such as escapes, visualizations, or visions (such as dreams) designed to lead us toward some meaningful end, such as necessity, destiny, determinism (God), etc. Thus, in living our lives, we often become unconscious actors—Bourgeois, Feminist, Worker, Party Member, Frenchman, Canadian or American—each doing as we must to fulfill our chosen characters' destinies. However, Sartre contends our conscious choices (leading to often unconscious actions) run counter to our intellectual freedom. Yet we are bound to the conditioned and physical world—in which some form of action is always required. This leads to failed dreams of completion, as Sartre described them, because inevitably we are unable to bridge the void between the purity and spontaneity of thought and all-too constraining action; between the being and the nothingness that inherently coincide in our self. Deleuze insists on the absolute non-resemblance between what conditions (metastable, pre-individual plane of singularities) and what is conditioned (actualized or the individuated 'thing'). Only then do "the conditions of the true genesis become apparent," Deleuze writes (Logic of Sense 105). Without addressing this issue, Deleuze's borrowings from

Simondon, Lautman and structuralism remain untethered. Because of Bowden's decision to completely sidestep Deleuze's overt negotiations with phenomenology, his otherwise sophisticated commentary suggests a pedagogical narrowness. If, the omission of phenomenology strengthens Bowden's focus on the ontological priority of the event over substantial ontologies via the genealogy of figures and movements Deleuze outlines, it also incompletely articulates the problem of the sense-event as Deleuze formulates it. We have lost any idea of whom Deleuze takes to be his primary adversary, against whom he stakes out his position. This worry is most clearly manifested in Bowden's description of Deleuze's ontological prioritizing of events as offering a "transcendental ontology." For Bowden Deleuze's transcendental ontology defines events that "are ontologically prior to substances, 'all the way down'" (82). But Bowden provides a second definition: it is that the priority of events over worldly individuals means that the world of events "is not something external to the conditions of knowledge" (69). **SEAN BOWDEN the Priority of Events: Deleuze's Logic of Sense Sean Bowden, the Priority of Events: Deleuze's Logic of Sense, Edinburgh University Press, 2011, 296pp., $40.00 (hbk), ISBN 9780748643646. Reviewed by David Scott, Coppin State University Weltschmerz, agony, apprehension, blues, depression, dread, mid-life crisis, misgiving, nervousness, uneasiness, angst were expressed with such effulgent words…… aggrandizement-amplification, caricature and crock, understatement- unembellishment, elocution-emphasis, enunciation-inflection, announcement, argument, articulational assertion, asseverational choice of words, commentary and communication, declaration and definition, delivery, diction, elucidation, emphasis, enunciation-execution, explanation, exposition-formulation, idiom, interpretation and intonation about the human predicament is brought out in Being and Nothingness. Being and Nothingness offers a critique of Sigmund Freud's theory of the unconscious, based on the claim that consciousness is essentially self-conscious. Sartre also argues that Freud's theory of repression is internally flawed. According to Sartre, in his clinical work, Freud encountered patients who seemed to embody a particular kind of paradox—they appeared to both know and not know the same thing. In response, Freud postulated the existence of the unconscious, which contains the "truth" of the traumas underlying the patients' behavior. This "truth" is actively repressed, which is made evident by the patients' resistance to its revelation during analysis. Yet what does the resisting if the patients are unaware of what they are repressing? Sartre finds the answer in what Freud calls the "censor". "The only level on which we can locate the refusal of the subject," Sartre writes, "is that of the censor.** The Holographic Principle, as conceived in current physics, applies to fields, and perhaps, even to more elementary entities, called strings and branes. These more elementary entities remain hypothetical at the time of this writing. There are many layers between the level of fields and that of neurons. We know that neurons are surrounded by a surface phospholipid membrane, which supports an electrochemical process that is fundamentally necessary to human experience. As the information supported by the membrane surface according to the Holographic Principle is proportional to its area, we should expect to find that the neural correlates of consciousness most clearly associated with those parts of the neuron that have the have a high proportion of neuronal surface area, and, in particular, receptive surface area. Dendrites account for an average of about 90% of the neuron is receptive surface area (Wong, 2002), and so would be expected to be the most important neural structures in information processes. We find this to be true **The Holographic Principle Theory of Mind MARK GERMINE Institute for Psycho science (Wikipedia).** Discordant-discrepant, dissonant-hard-line, implacable, incompatible, incongruous- inconsistent, inexorable-inflexible, inharmonious-intransigent, opposed, reluctant, unappeasable, uncompromising, unfriendly some of these expatiations and enumerations might sound, a more generalised interpretations is given by a Vedantin. Look at this arresting passage: The teacher continued to be silent. When addressed a second and third time he said: "I am teaching, but you do not follow. The Self is silence." The undetermined and unthinkable character of the Brahman is a consequence of the absolute's eternal and immutable nature. To concede the existence of a real universe is, from the Vedantin's point of view, to posit the existence of a reality apart from the Brahman. Nor can we simply identify a real universe with the absolute unless we are prepared to compromise its unchanging, absolute status. The criterion of authenticity is immutability. Reality never changes; only that which is less than real can appear to do so. Reality is constant in the midst of change. What this means essentially is that there is change although nothing changes. This impossible situation is reflected in the ultimate impossibility of change itself. That which does not exist prior to its changing and at the end, after it has changed,

must be equally non-existent between these two moments. Although the world of change appears to be real, it cannot be so. Change, according to the Vedantin, presupposes a loss of identity. Reality cannot suffer transformation; if it were to do so, it would become something else and the real would be deprived of its reality. The immortal can never become mortal, nor can the mortal become immortal. The ultimate nature of anything cannot change. Change of any sort is merely apparent (vivarta); the world of change and becoming is a false super-imposition (adhyaropa, adhyasa) on the absolute. In cosmic terms, the mistake (bhranti) consists of the supposition that the real Brahman is the unreal universe and the unreal universe is the real Brahman. In microcosmic terms, it is the mistake of falsely conceiving the body, mind or even one's personality to be the Self. In the same way as the image of a snake is falsely superimposed on a rope, similarly the universe is falsely projected onto the real substratum, the Brahman. Ignorance is not merely a personal lack of knowledge, but a cosmic principle. As such it is called "Maya," the indefinable factor (anirvacaniya) that brings this mistake in identity about. The reality status of this cosmic illusion is also indefinable: on the one hand it is not Brahman, the sole reality; on the other hand it is not absolutely non-existent like a hare's horn or the son of a barren woman. Brahman is the source of world appearances only in the sense of being their unconditioned ground or essential nature. The universe is false not because it has no nature of its own but because it does have one. Just as the illusion of a snake disappears when one sees that it is nothing but a rope, similarly cancellation (badha) of the empirically real occurs when the absolute reality of the Brahman is realised. Thus, according to Vedanta, appearance implies the real, while the real need not imply appearance. To appear is essentially to appear in place of the real, but to be real is not necessarily to appear. All things -exist because the absolute exists. It is their Being. Thus the very existence of phenomena implies their non-existence as independent realities. When they are known to be as they are, in the fullest sense of their existence, their phenomenal nature disappears leaving the ground of Being naked and accessible. This approach was validated by a critique of experience. The Vedanta established that space, time and the other primary categories of our daily experience can have no absolute existence. It was therefore necessary to make a distinction between relative truths — that accepted by the precritical common man — and an absolute truth discovered at a higher level of consciousness. The Saiva absolutist 17 rejects any theory that maintains that the universe is less than real. From his point of view a doctrine of two truths, one absolute and the other relative, endangers the very foundation of monism. The Kashmiri Saiva approach is integral: everything is given a place in the economy of the whole. It is equally wrong to say that reality is either one or diverse. Those who do so fail to grasp the true nature of things which is neither as well as both. "We do not" says Abhinavagupta, "base our contention that [reality] is one because of the contradictions inherent in saying that it is dual. It is your approach {paksa) that accepts this [method] While, if [duality and oneness] were in fact [to contradict each other], they would clearly be two [distinct realities]." The Vedantin, who maintains that non-duality is the true nature of the absolute by rejecting duality as only provisionally real, is ultimately landed in a dualism between the real and illusory by the foolishness of his own excessive sophistry (vacafadurvidya). Oneness is better understood as the coextensive unity (ekarasa) of both duality and unity. They are equally expressions of the absolute. Gopinath Kaviraj says: According to Sarikara, Brahman is truth and Maya is inexplicable (anirvacaniya). Hence the [Advaitin's] endeavour to demonstrate the superiority of Advaita philosophy is turned against his own system. It tarnishes the picture of its philosophical perfection and profundity. He cannot accept Maya to be a reality, therefore his non-dualism is exclusive. The whole system is based on renunciation and elimination and thus is not all-embracing.... By accepting Maya to be Brahman (brahmamayi), eternal (nitya) and real (satyarupa), Brahman and Maya [in the Tantra] become one and coextensive. **The Doctrine of Vibration: An Analysis of the Doctrines and Practices of Kashmir Shaivism Mark S. G. Dyczkowski. Concept of being from Shaivite point of view:** Solutional behaviour and stability analysis of such systems is of paramount importance, notwithstanding the assumptions made which are not farfetched, in that it throws light on the fact whether they could be candidates for yet unsolved problems, providing Authetication determination, unimpeachable validation, incontrovertible establishment, apodictic evidence, reliable roll out, unfailing cinquecento **quattrocento trecento,** incontrovertible indication for the wide amplitudinal ramificatory usage of the thesis propounded. The world is now the other person's world, a foreign world that no longer comes from the self, but from the other. The other person is a "threat to the order and arrangement of your whole world...Your world is suddenly haunted by the Other's values, over which you have no control"(Satre: being and Nothingness) Sartre states that many relationships

are created by people's attraction not to another person, but rather how that person makes them feel about themselves by how they look at them. This is a state of emotional alienation whereby a person avoids experiencing **their subjectivity by identifying themselves with "the look" of the other**. The consequence is **conflict**. In order to maintain the person's own being, the person must control the other, but must also control the **freedom of the other "as freedom**". These relationships are a profound manifestation of "bad faith" as the for-itself is replaced with the other's freedom. The purpose of either participant is not to exist, but to maintain the other participant's looking at them. This system is often mistakenly called "love", but it is, in fact, nothing more than emotional alienation and denial of freedom. *In essence we are always simulating and selling ourselves at the cost of our freedom. Both as a script writer and participant consciousness, human beings experienceâ complete subjective feelings and sometimes absolute subjective feelings, which if remains unchecked might lead to pathological ramifications*. (italics mine) Perspicuous forbearance, Sophisticated seasoning, Partcipational observation, Background actuality, Existential worldliness, Existential strife, Predicational anteriorities, Character consonance, Ontological consonance, Primordial exactitude, Phenomenological correlates, Accolytish representation, Atrophied asseveration. Anamensial alienisms, Anchorite aperitif, Arcadian Atticism all delineated in the conditionalities and functionalities and orinetatilities of the system which incorporate the rules and regulations, axiomatic predications and postulation alcovishness of the foregoing state form the bastion, pillar, post, stylobate and sentinel of the classification scheme and doxa. appropriate and diagnostic, differentiating-discriminating, distinctive-distinguishing, emblematic- especial, essentially exclusive, fixed, idiosyncratic-inborn, inbred, indicative and individual, individualistic-inherent, individualizing and ingrained, inherent, innate, local, marked, native, normal, original, particularly- peculiar, personal, private, proper, regular, representative-singular, special, specific, symbolic, symptomatic- unique these form the classification and stratification measure that is suitable notwithstanding the constancy in many a case, as in gravity, which depends on the mass and distance for two given objects**.** Like Kant and Bergson, Deleuze considers traditional notions of space and time as unifying forms imposed by the subject. He therefore concludes that pure difference is non-spatio-temporal; it is an idea, what Deleuze calls "the virtual". (The coinage refers to Proust's definition of what is constant in both the past and the present: "real without being actual, ideal without being abstract.") Proust, Le Temps Retrouvé, ch. III: see the fourth line from the bottom of this page, or, in English translation, the thirteenth paragraph here: "I began to discover the cause by comparing those varying happy impressions which had the common quality of being felt simultaneously at the actual moment and at a distance in time, because of which common quality the noise of the spoon upon the plate, the unevenness of the paving-stones, the taste of the madeleine, imposed the past upon the present and made me hesitate as to which time I was existing in. Of a truth, the being within me which sensed this impression, sensed what it had in common in former days and now, sensed its extra-temporal character, a being which only appeared when through the medium of the identity of present and past, it found itself in the only setting in which it could exist and enjoy the essence of things, that is, outside Time. [...] **Nothing but a moment of the past Much more perhaps; something which being common to the past and the present, is more essential than both. [...] a marvellous expedient of nature had caused a sensation to flash to me—sound of a spoon and of a hammer, uneven paving-stones**—simultaneously in the past which permitted my imagination to grasp it and in the present in which the shock to my senses caused by the noise had effected a contact between the dreams of the imagination and that of which they are habitually deprived, namely, the idea of existence—and thanks to that stratagem had permitted that being within me to secure, to isolate and to render static for the duration of a lightning flash that which it can never wholly grasp, a fraction of Time in its pure essence. When, with such a shudder of happiness, I heard the sound common, at once, to the spoon touching the plate, to the hammer striking the wheel, to the unevenness of the paving-stones in the courtyard of the Guermantes' mansion and the Baptistry of St. Mark's, it was because that being within me can only be nourished on the essence of things and finds in them alone its subsistence and its delight. It languishes in the observation by the senses of the present sterilised by the intelligence awaiting a future constructed by the will out of fragments of the past and the present from which it removes still more reality, keeping that only which serves the narrow human aim of utilitarian purposes. But let a sound, a scent already heard and breathed in the past be heard and breathed anew, simultaneously in the present and in the past, real without being actual, ideal without being abstract, then instantly the permanent and characteristic essence hidden in things is freed and our true being which has for long seemed

dead but was not so in other ways awakes and revives, thanks to this celestial nourishment"While Deleuze's virtual ideas superficially resemble Plato's forms and Kant's ideas of pure reason, they are not originals or models, nor do they transcend possible experience; instead they are the conditions of actual experience, the internal difference in itself. "The concept they [the conditions] form is identical to its object."[Desert Islands, p. 36.] A Deleuzean idea or concept of difference is therefore not a wraith-like abstraction of an experienced thing, it is a real system of differential relations that creates actual spaces, times, and sensations. [See "The Method of Dramatization" in Desert Islands, and "Actual and Virtual" in Dialogues. In fact it not as if the style and substance of science and philosophers have been entirely different. look at the conformality and consonance in the Gaussian Theory and Deleuze's statements on identity and the loss of it in the following passage…….That is, identity is a continuous process that finds its limit in exhaustion. As Deleuze will write, "…the logical relation of causality is inseparable from a physical process of signalling, without which it would not be translated into action. By 'signal' we mean a system with orders of disparate size, endowed with elements of dissymmetry; by 'sign' we mean what happens within such a system, what flashes across the intervals when a communication takes place between disparates. The sign is indeed an effect, but an effect with two aspects: in one of these it expresses, qua sign, the productive dissymmetry; in the other it tends to cancel it" (dr, 20). An actualized entity just is such a signal-sign system, remaining in perpetual communication with the virtual multiplicity it actualizes, constituting its own elements and identity. Such is Deleuze's account of what Badiou refers to as the operation of the "count-for-one"… an operation that is immanent to being itself and energetic in character. yet how are we to discern the local nature of ontological situations, the manner in which there is no global, overarching global situation in which all local situations are embedded as if parts of a whole? in part the above passage already responds to this question. if series must be brought into relation to communicate in order for causality to occur, and if the resonance of series is always a matter of chance, of a throw of the dice, like Lacan's objet a where no series enjoys primacy of model over copy, then all we have are local situations without a total situation. Unlike Heidegger's analysis of Dasein where Dasein is always being-in-the-world, Deleuze's simulacra or actualizations are always divergent, enjoying only local relations. Heidegger argues that in order to understand the being of Dasein we must understand the manner in which Dasein is a being-in-the-world. By contrast, Deleuze's concept of multiplicity provides us with the principle of a new structuralism, of a new local ontology, that allows us to understand the immanent organization of a multiplicity without referring it to an embedding global space. as DeLanda so beautifully puts it in relation to the mathematician gauss, "…when gauss began to tap into these differential resources, a curved two-dimension surface was studied using the old Cartesian method: the surface was embedded in three-dimensional space complete with its own fixed set of axes; then, using those axes, coordinates would be assigned to every point of the surface; finally, the geometric links between points determining the form of the surface would be expressed as algebraic relations between the numbers. but gauss realized that the calculus, focusing as it does on infinitesimal points on the surface itself (that is, operating entirely with local information), allowed the study of the surface without any reference to a global embedding space. basically, gauss developed a method to implant the coordinate axes on the surface itself (that is, a method to implant the coordinate axes on the surface itself (that is, a method of 'coordinatizing' the surface) and, once points had been so translated into numbers, to use differential (not algebraic) equations to characterize their relations. as the mathematician and historian Morris Kline observes, by getting rid of the global embedding space and dealing with the surface through its own local properties, 'gauss advanced the totally new concept that a surface is a space in itself'" (intensive science and virtual philosophy, 11-12).according to the old Cartesian method, we can only outline the properties of a space by relativizing it to a global space in terms of which it is then mapped. by contrast, gauss is able to explore a space in terms of its intrinsic metric and organization as a local space, without referencing it to a whole of which it is conceptualized as a part. **The Image of Thought**

"Image of thought "permeates both popular and philosophical discourse. According to this image, thinking naturally gravitates towards truth. Thought is divided easily into categories of **truth and error**. The model for thought comes from the educational institution, in which a master sets a problem and the pupil produces a solution which is either true or false. This image of the subject supposes that there are different faculties, each of which ideally grasps the particular domain of reality to which it is most suited. In philosophy, this conception results in discourses predicated

on the argument that "**Everybody knows..."** the truth of some basic idea. Descartes, for example, appeals to the idea that everyone can at least think and therefore exists. Deleuze points out that philosophy of this type attempts to eliminate all objective presuppositions while maintaining subjective ones. Deleuze maintains, with Artaud, that real thinking is one of the most difficult challenges there is. Thinking requires a confrontation with stupidity, the state of being formlessly human without engaging any real problems. One discovers that the real path to truth is through the production of sense: the creation of a texture for thought that relates it to its object. Sense is the membrane that relates thought to its other. Accordingly, learning is not the memorization of facts but the coordination of thought with a reality. "**As a result, 'learning' always takes place in and through the unconscious, thereby establishing the bond of a profound complicity between nature and mind".** Deleuze's alternate image of thought is based on difference, which creates a dynamism that traverses individual faculties and conceptions. This thought is fundamentally energetic and signifying: if it produces propositions, these are wholly secondary to its development. Philosophy merges with ontology; ontology merges with univocity of being; analogy has always a theological vision; not a philosophical vision; one becomes adapted to the forms of god; self and world; the univocity of being does not mean that there is one and the same being; on the contrary, beings are multiple and different they are always produced by disjunctive synthesis; and they themselves are disintegrated and disjoint and divergent; membra disjuncta.the univocity of being signifies that that being is a voice that is said and it is said in one and the same "consciousness". Everything about which consciousness is spoken about being is the same for everything for which it is said like gravity; it occurs therefore as an unique event for everything; for everything for which it happens; even tum tan tum;it is the ultimate form for all of the forms; and all these forms are disjointed; it brings about resonance and ramification of its disjunction; the vorticity of being merges with the positive use of the disjunctive synthesis, and this is the highest affirmation of its univocity like gravity; it is the eternal resurrection or a return itself, the affirmation of all chance in a single moment, the unique cast for all throws; a simple rejoin der for Einstein's god does not play dice; one being, one consciousness, for all forms and all times. a single instance for all that exists, a single phantom for all the living; a single voice for every hum of voices or a single silence for all the silences; a single vacuum for all the vacuousness consciousness should not be said without conjuring if consciousness is one unique event in which all the events communicate with each other; univocity refers both to what occurs to what it is said. The attributable to all states of bodies and states of affairs and the expressible of every proposition So univocity of consciousness means the identity of thé noematic attribute and that which is expressed linguistically and sense-fully. Univovity.We mean that it does not allow consciousness to be subsisting in aquasi state and but expresses in all pervading reality. (Reference: LOS: Deleuze) Deleuze sometimes spoke of getting up behind an author and "creating a monster", as if his reading methodology somehow consisted of a distortion of the philosopher's or author's thought. Certainly there is a case to be made for this with regard to the process of deterritorialization, where something is wrested from a territory and deterritorialized upon a new territory, like the animal paw that is deterritorialized from the earth and reterritorialize on the branch. however, it seems to me that the more interesting aspect of Deleuze's approach to other philosophers and art is not so much his "monstrous creations" (i seldom find them particularly monstrous), but rather their Gaussian or Riemannian style, where he explores them in terms of their own internal organization and metric, without reducing them to something alien such as history, society, biology (reductivism), or the signifier. What we find in Deleuze's approach to phenomena is a Gaussian technique. for instance, take Deleuze's books on cinema, his book on Francis bacon, or his study of sacher-masoch. In the first instance, Deleuze's carefully separates cinema from narrative and the signifier, studying it in terms of its specific organization pertaining to the production of images. in the case of bacon, Deleuze doesn't look for an underlying narrative or "meaning", but instead studies the manner in which bacon composes and organizes his images and lines, both in terms of their production and actuality, so as to liberate a logic of sensation. finally, in approaching sacher-masoch, Deleuze allows Sacher-Masoch's novels to speak for themselves in terms of their desire and relation to pain, stalwartly refusing to reduce masochism to the complement of sadism. in each case, we have a local exploration of a "space" of multiplicities that is extremely precise. Sean Bowden the priority of events: **Deleuze's logic of sense sean Bowden, the priority of events: Deleuze's logic of sense, Edinburgh university press, 2011, 296pp., $40.00 (hbk), isbn 9780748643646 Reviewed by David Scott, Coppin state university and Gaussian spaces and multiplicities a note on Gaussian spaces and multiplicities posted by**

larval subjects under uncategorized. What you "see" is not always what you "get." Many people mistakenly take their own visions literally without "seeing through" the various possibilities that are outside their belief system, knowledge or skill base. Deeper reality is not remote in the physical sense but in a psychological sense. The archetypes of the collective unconscious are arrayed behind the scenes of current worldwide conditions, of crisis and confusion. They mirror our own states back at us, whether we perceive them as such or interpret them plausibly or not. The noise of ordinary consciousness and beliefs drowns out the signal. Unconsciousness is the background of our ordinary awareness. Our organism is very much at the center of such effects. The organismic source is our human bodies and the focus of human consciousness. The fantasy principle dethrones reality, but can be dissociative or compensatory. The human mind is a meme-Scape. Pre-conceived concepts vie with structures, concepts with images. Like scientists who ignore assumed truths, we leapfrog over our beliefs and personality deficits, claiming idiosyncratic imagination is literal reality. It couldn't be further from the truth and symbolism is utterly lost. The metaphor that might heal us enslaves us. Perhaps images like the holographic universe have an implicate order. Can we have a sense of the cosmos in the world without projecting myriad fantasies on it that we embrace literally? Has the world become so horrible it is unreasonable to be realistic? We may need to look at our drives and wishes, rather than the fantasy content. Psyche constructs reality. Our experience of so-called reality is always mediated by our image of it. Even if all the contents of the psyche are real, that doesn't mean they are realistic. That psyche is real is still a radical proposition, but psychic politics certainly color the self-image and ideas of everyone. We observe and participate with images It is not a question of nature or nurture (genes alone or experience alone). Rather, everything is both. We inherit the structures that make our experience what it is. But the structure itself is “empty,” and each human culture “fills” it with its own specific adaptations. It is difficult to define an archetype and set boundaries that distinguish it from others. In a hologram each part contains all the information but in lower resolution. Archetypes have this holographic quality. There are patterns within patterns within patterns. Some overlap with others, and some are nested inside others. Archetypal realities, passed on through DNA, are expressed in distinctive neuronal tracts in the brain. They include customs and laws regarding property, incest, marriage, kinship, and social status or roles; myths and legends; beliefs about the supernatural; gambling, adultery, homicide, schizophrenia, and the therapies to deal with them. A mythic and visionary language of immediate experience encompasses themes of deepest, highest, and ultimate concern. Most fantasy-based individuals are at a complete loss to coherently explain their own conventional behavior much less anomalous events and their deep meaning, much less the cultural unconscious or mythological unconscious matrix. But they try, and become utterly entrenched in their belief that they are right about the nature of the world and reality. We have pseudo-memories about our personal lives. Why not more so for our collective life? The subject matter often revolves around catastrophe, creation and the mythopoeic forces of mankind. Ignorant of such dynamics, interpretive mistakes and displaced psychic contents proliferate into errors of fact. Propaganda, media distortions, memes, and disinformation compound the social problem of misapprehension further. Shameless self-promotion by personalities of such ideas leads to cults. They make up myths about the myths of by-gone eras. Roiling unconscious images can be fatally confusing. Thought illusions culminate in projections and projections of mythology. Jung suggested symbols live only as long as they are pregnant with meaning. Philosophy arose from criticism of myth, from discussing and challenging it. In science, we criticize, reject and eliminate theories. At the edge of the abyss of the unknown, new signs and symbols emerge. Credible theories and paradigms must include biology, physics, and neurophysiology. One of the reasons people "see God", or a guru, or anomalies may be because our brains are constructed to see reality through the eyes of others. There are heaps of mirror neurons which are there to make us feel the 'other'. Mirror neurons do for psychology what DNA did for biology. They provide a unifying framework and help explain a host of mental abilities. As in the psychochemical processes of empathy or falling in love, a complex feedback loop sustains a state of mind. But when we empathically transpose ourselves into someone else's position, we expose ourselves to that reality -- cognitively and emotionally. The unconscious complicates empathy, both ways. Mirror neurons might well play a role in bonding, language and self-awareness. Naively, we take too much as self-evident. But 'seeing' does not always ‘believe', though many make this error or leap in logic and formulate their choices and future accordingly. Yet, there is only one way to learn what consciousness is. Experience. But we have no satisfactory explanatory edifice for consciousness. Would such a theory release in each of us our own inner knowledge of the

creativity of our own consciousness, and its infinite possibilities? The problem is trying to define a verb, a dynamic, as if it were a noun. But we do recognize the effect of consciousness. It functions to mediate states of consciousness, high and low psychobiological arousal. Consciousness is the subconscious lifted up by the physical body. When the body fails, the consciousness collapses back into the subconscious. All our thoughts come from the subconscious which can see our intentions but not our world. This relates somehow to intention being imaginary and not of the physical frictionized world (King). Gerald Edelman postulates that the flows of information in the brain are mediated through‘re-entrant' feedback loops. As evolution provides new cognitive functions, new re-entrant loops are established. Even language itself is an archetype -- a chaotic field of dynamic associations. A subtle net of tropes, grammar, symbols, and meaning, the program language begins in limbic resonance. Some phenomena generate their own language patterns, nomenclature, and internal coherence of meaning and representation. n a holographic universe, even time and space could no longer be viewed as fundamentals. Because concepts such as location break down in a universe in which nothing is truly separate from anything else, time and three-dimensional space would also have to be viewed as projections of this deeper order. At its deeper level reality is a sort of super hologram in which the past, present, and future all exist simultaneously. This suggests that given the proper tools it might even be possible to someday reach into the super holographic level of reality and pluck out scenes from the long-forgotten past. Or not. A fantasy of such penetration or phenomenon inside the head is not the same as that penetration. **Jung in the 21st Century: Synchronicity and science By John Ryan Haule Mind Control Countermeasures.** Adversely antagonistic, inexorably irreconcilable, antithetically antipodal, diametrically opposed, repugnantly retrograde, inimically inverse, violatively unsimilar, diversely dissimilar some statements in Vedanta, it is not superfluous or redundant. It is evolutionary. Autheticative determination, unimpeachable validation, incontrovertible establishment, apodictic evidence, reliable roll out, unfailing cinquecento **quattrocento trecento,** incontrovertible indication of the evolutionary process towards understanding is ever present in all, its thematic and discursive form. it is the relentless holding on to a theory this is despicable albeit the theory is not. Look at this paragraph. It is the ‘I am the body/mind’ belief that gives rise to the ‘I am not (e) the world’ belief. These two beliefs are (=) co-created.”Consciousness projects (e&eb) the appearance of the mind, body and world by taking the shape of thinking, sensing and perceiving.” “Attention is Consciousness with an object. When the object vanishes, attention simply remains what it always is, Consciousness.”“There is (=) no purpose to (e) meditation. The purpose is already accomplished.” “Everything that is experienced is experienced by, through, in and as Consciousness.” “The seen cannot be separated from seeing and seeing cannot be separated from (e) Consciousness.” “The Reality of any experience is not hidden in the appearance; it is expressed by the appearance.” “Once we see that everything is Consciousness... Maya still dances, but it is a dance of love not seduction.” There are some truly excellent sections such as a long one taking, as a starting point, the following quotation from the painter Cézanne: “Everything vanishes, falls apart, doesn’t it? Nature is always the same but nothing in her that appears to us lasts. Our art must render the thrill of her performance, along with her elements, the appearance of all her changes. It must give us a taste of her Eternity.” Rupert begins: “That statement must be one of the clearest and most profound expressions of the nature and purpose of art in our era.” And he goes on for some 14 pages to elaborate on this claim, examining the nature of the ‘elements’ Existence, appearance and Consciousness and their relationship. “This Reality is the support or ground of the appearance. The appearance may be an illusion, but the illusion itself is real. There is an illusion. It has Reality.” He refers to the rope-snake metaphor and says that: “We do not see anything new. We see in a new way.” “So that we know that nature is real, that there is something present, that there is a reality to it, even if everything that appears to us is insubstantial and fleeting.” **The Transparency of Things' by Rupert Spira Book Review by Dennis Waite The following is a review of Rupert's book: 'The Transparency of Things: Contemplating the Nature of Experience’. There is an essay from the book here and the essay on Cézanne may be read at the awakened eye website For models see Mitigation Of Beam-Induced Backgrounds, Multi-Particle Azimuthal Correlations, Search For Extra Dimensions, Multi-Higgs-Boson Cascade, Top Quark Pair (Tt) Production Charge Asymmetry, $\Delta Y \equiv Yt - Yt^{-}$, Reflects The Asymmetry In Tt^{-} Production, Ultra-Relativistic Electrons And Other Letters Of Interest: A Synecdochal Syncretism: Et Lux In Tenebris Lucet: Light Shines In The Darkness Models.** What then is the relation of Higgs boson and consciousness? Functionalist approaches generally assume that conscious experience

appears as a novel property at a critical level of computational complexity. On the surface this would seem to deal with issues 1 and 3, however a conscious threshold has neither been identified nor predicted, and there are no apparent differences in electrophysiological activities between non-conscious and conscious activity. Regarding the nature of experience (why we are not unfeeling "zombies") functionalism offers no testable predictions. Problem 2) of 'binding' in vision and self is often attributed by functionalists to temporal correlation (e.g. coherent 40 Hz), but it is unclear why temporal correlation per se should bind experience without an explanation of experience. As functionalism is based on deterministic computation, it is also unable to account for Penrose's proposed non-computability (4), or free will (5). Something may be missing. To address these issues, various proposals have been suggested in which macroscopic quantum phenomena are connected to the brain's known neural activity. For the problem of unitary binding, Marshall (1989) suggested that coherent quantum states known as Bose-Einstein condensation occurred among neural proteins (c.f. Penrose, 1987; Bohm and Hiley, 1993; Jibu and Yasue, 1995). Pre-conscious to conscious transitions was identified by Stapp (1992) with collapse of a quantum wave function in pre-synaptic axon terminals (c.f. Beck and Eccles, 1992). In another proposal, protein assemblies called microtubules within the brain's neurons are viewed as self-organizing quantum computers ("orchestrated objective reduction - Orch OR" e.g**. Penrose and Hameroff, 1995; Hameroff and Penrose 1996a; 1996b; c.f. Hameroff 1997; 1998a; 1998b; 1998c; 1998d). Stability analysis of such theories would certainly provide a gateway for further bringing in the "reality" in space time.** String theory suggests that the graviton particle would not be detected from a particle collision because it would have "jumped" into another dimension. So, if the graviton exists and the theory is correct, there should be a "gap" in the energy profile of all the particles ejected from the collision. To understand this, think of breaking the pack of balls in a game of pool. The total energy of all the balls after the collision will depend on the force with which the cue ball was hit. So, after the collision, the energy of all the balls is measured and added-up to make sure it is the same as the energy of the cue ball. BUT - imagine that there is some energy missing, because one of the pool balls has "gone missing" - into a higher dimension. (POSTED BY THEREALJEFFHAL**) Talbot says** "If the universe is a hologram, in some sense it suggests that there may be two very drastically different levels of reality: the concrete reality that we see when we look at [things]... and at some deep level there's a level of reality where everything dissolves into an ocean of energy that is holographically interconnected.". A theory of everything must encompass all the variations however contradictory it may be. Nor need any theory be sent in to grand limbo of oblivion and hibernation or a differentiated groundless less ness because there are some irregularities which are like audit discrepancies need to be rectified. Although the language of religion and science seem different, both are talking about the central order of nature relative to DNA and information, as is Peirce, Petrus, Bacon, and pharaonic Egypt. This path-ordering, active information suggests that the central pattern or law of our holographic cosmos may be modeled on the biophysical battleground of gene regulation in bacteriophage Lambda, one of nature's most efficient and highly evolved mechanisms identified by experimental praxis. Egyptian texts support that the Lambda lifestyles of lysogeny and lysis can be understood as two paths to two living systems, photosynthesis and its reversal chemiluminescence, the cosmic key for evolution of mind and continuity. The texts support that the Lambda genome is the world-heart of two ways. The viral lifestyle of lysogeny controlled by cI protein results in matter, classical spacetime, our world of projected shadow, photosynthesis, sexual genesis or vertical gene transfer, mind in the human body, and the imperfect continuum. In contrast, the viral lifestyle of lysis controlled by cro protein and lactose metabolism results in transformation to energy, the quantum, chemiluminescence, asexual genesis or HGT, mind in the cosmos, and the perfect continuum. Some scientists believe our cosmos is entangled with another (Chown 2007). On the holographic quantum level, perhaps this is just the competitive entanglement of cI and cro proteins cycling in perpetual motion—an inherent law of nature elucidating the assembly of things. In light of modern experiments, physicist John Wheeler (1988) speculated that the act of conscious observation functions with quantum mechanics, catalyzing a probability or outcome. So, if an observing consciousness has knowledge of the classical path and its magnetic field orientation, an act of measurement or choice might entangle space to reify the holographic quantum paths to a perfect continuum. Peirce guesses that to be drawn into a new system, a particle must have the right mass, the right velocity in the right direction, the right attraction, and it must present itself at the right point (EP 1. 270). Similarly, a finite probability

exists for any particle that approaches the black hole event horizon to bounce back, dependent on the incoming particle's energy, its charge, and its projection of the orbital momentum on the axis of rotation of the black hole (Kuchiev 2003; 2004; 2004a), the same conditions in Egyptian texts (King 2004; 2006).Only an approximate conclusion remains: chance may be knowledge of the cosmos' holographic mode of operation and magnetic fields; habit breaking may result in the transformation of energy by a violation of the second kind; and evolution of mind or energy might be possible through HGT via a cosmic viral code of Lambda-genesis. "Order is simply thought embodied in arrangement;" (CP 6.490). Accordingly, the original meaning of the signs may relate to a viral biophysics of crystallized mind for human evolution via a natural chemical pathway to a perfect continuum. Again, this semiotics of evolvability and order recurs in time with the chaotic dream of reason that projects a pervasive falsification of our perceptual world. As Wallace Stevens envisions in "Connoisseur of Chaos", perhaps "the pensive man may see." **Peircean Process Metaphysics Origin of Science Quantum and Classical Laws Ancient Egyptian Evolutionary Biophysics** Adversely antagonistic, inexorably irreconcilable, antithetically antipodal, diametrically opposed, repugnantly retrograde, inimically inverse, violatively unsimilar, diversely dissimilar are the views of epistemologists in the analysis of raison d'etre of knowledge. Epistemology is the study of knowledge and those things closely related to it: justification, what it takes for you to be justified, the relation between knowledge and justification, whether you can have any justified beliefs at all, and if so, how you come to know (or justifiably believe) things, how you can use what you know (or justifiably believe) to come to know (or justifiably believe) other things, and whether and why it's valuable to know instead of merely having justified and true beliefs. The literature on epistemology is vast. Here's a very brief summary of some epistemological discussions. Concerning knowledge, many epistemologists think knowledge is justified true belief, where the justification you have is linked to the truth of the matter in the right kind of way, though what this way is a matter of debate; some epistemologists think knowledge can't be analyzed this way. Concerning justification's relation to knowledge, some epistemologists think we don't need to be justified to know, and some think we do need to be. Concerning what it takes to be justified, some epistemologists think that what it takes for you to be justified are only factors internal to the believer (Internalists). Others think it takes an external factor, like reliable or well-functioning cognitive faculties (Externalists). Skeptics argue that we can't have any justified beliefs at all, and many epistemologists reply to the skeptic's arguments. Concerning how we use what we know (justifiably believe) to come to know (justifiably believe) other things, some epistemologists (Foundationalists) argue that there are bedrock propositions that we know (justifiably believe), and we build our knowledge (justified beliefs) on those. Others (Coherentists) argue that there aren't bedrock propositions; rather, a set of beliefs is justified as a whole, and several beliefs can be mutually supporting. Concerning the value of knowledge, some argue that knowledge is intrinsically valuable. Others have argued that knowledge is valuable only because of the role it plays in practical reasoning, and others argue that knowledge isn't more valuable than justified and true belief, but there are other epistemic states such as understanding, that do have value above their proper subparts. **Edited y Matthew McGrath (University of Missouri, Columbia). It is not as if there is an anathema or misnomer in the philosophy or physics. Infact most of the pnysicists have used philosophical ideas towards the end of consummation of their their and their concomitant justification thereof.** The eternal return produces becoming-active. It is sufficient to relate the will to nothingness to the eternal return in order to realize that reactive forces do not return. However far they go, however deep the becoming-reactive of forces, reactive forces will not return. The small, petty, reactive man will not return. Affirmation alone returns, this that can be affirmed alone returns, joy alone returns. Everything that can be denied, everything that is negation, is expelled due to the very movement of the eternal return. We were entitled to dread that the combinations of nihilism and reactivity would eternally return too. The eternal return must be compared to a wheel; yet, the movement of the wheel is endowed with centrifugal powers that drive away the entire negative. Because Being imposes itself on becoming, it expels from itself everything that contradicts affirmation, all forms of nihilism and reactivity: bad conscience, ressentiment..., we shall witness them only once. [...] The eternal return is the Repetition, but the Repetition that selects, the Repetition that saves. Here is the marvelous secret of a selective and liberating repetition. There is no need to remind the reader that neither the image of a centrifugal movement nor the concept of a negativity-rejecting repetition appears anywhere in Nietzsche's writings, and indeed Deleuze does not refer to any text in support of this interpretation. Further, one could highlight that Nietzsche never formulates the

opposition between active and reactive forces, which constitutes the broader framework of Deleuze's interpretation. For some years, Marco Brusotti has called attention to the fact that Deleuze introduced a dualism that does not exist in Nietzsche's writings. To be sure, the German philosopher describes a certain number of "reactive" phenomena (for example, in the second essay of the Genealogy of Morality, § 11, he talks about "reactive affects" [reaktive Affekte], "reactive feelings" [reaktive Gefühlen], reactive men [reaktive Menschen]); but these are nonetheless the result of complex ensembles of configurations of centers of forces that remain in themselves active. Neither the word nor the concept of "reactive forces" ever appears in Nietzsche's philosophy. **After the discovery of the two principles of thermodynamics began a debate about the dissipation of energy and the thermal death of the universe which framed the modern renewal of the debate between the linear and circular conceptions of time**. Scientists such as Thomson, Helmholtz, Clausius, Boltzmann and-by way of Kant, Hegel and Schopenhauer-philosophers such as Dühring, Hartmann, Engels, Wundt and Nietzsche have tried to address this problem by using the force of scientific argumentation and of philosophical discussion. Whoever believed in an origin and a final end to the motion of the universe (be it in the physical form of the gradual loss of heat, or in the metaphysical form of a final state of the "world process"), relied on the second principle of thermodynamics or on the demonstration of the thesis of Kant's first cosmological antinomy. On the contrary, those who refused to admit a final state to the universe used Schopenhauer's argument of infinity a parte ante-according to which if a final state were possible, it should already have established itself in the infinity of time past-to propose henceforth a number of alternative solutions. Scientists would propose the hypothesis that energy could have re-concentrated after a cosmic conflagration, thus reversing the tendency towards dissipation. Those belonging to the monistic and materialistic tradition relied on the first principle of thermodynamics and on the infinity of matter, space and time, and regarded the universe as an eternal succession of new forms. A certain critical agnosticism was widespread among scientists and philosophers, oftentimes through a reaffirmation of the validity of Kant's antonymic conflict, this movement avoided to take a stand on specifically speculative issues. Other German philosophers, like Otto Caspari, or Johann Carl Friedrich Zöllner, had reintroduced an organicist and pan-psychical conception of the universe, investing atoms with the ability to escape any state of balance. Indeed, it is probably one of Otto Caspari's works, The Correlation of Things (Der Zusammenhang der Dinge. Gesammelte philosophische Aufsätze (Bleslau: Trewendt, 1881)), which awakened Nietzsche's interest for all things cosmological, in that summer of 1881, in Sils-Maria. addressing Schopenhauer and Eduard von Hartmann's mystical pessimism according to which the world is the creation of a stupid and blind essence (which, after having created the world by mistake, comes to the realization that it had made a mistake and strives to return it to nothingness) Caspari stresses that it is nothing short of mystical to imagine that the world may have been borne out of a an originary and undifferentiated state. Where would it have drawn the first impulse? But, continues Caspari, even if the world had received this first impulse from some deus ex machina, there is no doubt that, in the temporal infinity of past time thus far, it would have either attained the end of the process (but this is impossible because the world would then have ended), or it would be necessarily bound to repeat indefinitely this original mistake, and the entire process that accompanies it. But then, what is the process of the world? We must now take one more step back and understand further the process of the world according to von Hartmann. Hartmann objects that the regressive movement postulated by Schopenhauer is possible only in thought: it remains nothing more than an "ideal postulate" with no real object and which "does not teach us anything about the real process of the world that unfolds in a movement contrary to this backwards movement of thought" (Hartmann, Philosophie des Unbewussten, third edition (1871): 772). Hartmann affirms that if unlike Schopenhauer one admits the reality of time and of the world process, one must also admit that the process must be limited in the past and therefore that there must be an absolute beginning. In Hartmann's mind, failure to do so would result in positing the contradictory **concept of an accomplished infinity**: "The infinity that from the point of view of regressive thinking, remains an ideal postulate, which no reality may correspond to, must, for the world, whose process is, on the contrary, a progressive movement, open up to a determinate result; and here the contradiction comes to light" (Hartmann (1871): 772). What really "comes to light" in this passage is the fact that Hartmann does not provide a demonstration but a petitio principii. Indeed, the concept of the world process analytically contains the concept of a beginning of the world. In all rigor, it is therefore impossible to demonstrate these concepts with reference to each other. Secondly, Hartmann's view that one is bound to accept the reality of the world process even if one rejects the

ideality of Schopenhauer's time is mistaken. Hartmann believes that if time is real there must be a world process with both an **absolute beginning and an absolute end. Without any justification, Hartmann jumps from Schopenhauer's negated time to oriented time.** Hartmann's view is that the world process leads into a final state absolutely identical to the initial state. However, it follows from this that even as the cosmic adventures of the unconscious come to a close, we are still haunted by the specter of a new will and of another beginning of the world process. This exposes a serious internal flaw of Hartmann's system insofar as it jeopardizes the possibility of a final liberation from existence and suffering. This is why in the last pages of his work, "On the Last Principles," he painstakingly calculates the degree of probability of a reawakening of the volitional faculty of the unconscious. Insofar as the will is entirely free, unconditioned and a-temporal, the possibility of a new volition is left to pure mathematical chance and is therefore ½. Hartmann further stresses that if the will were embedded in time, the probability of the repetition would amount to 1 and the process of the world would be bound to begin again, in an eternal return which would completely preclude the possibility of a final liberation. Fortunately, this is not the case since-according to Hartmann's remarkable logic-the world-process develops through time, but the original will is outside of time. In fact, one may even affirm, along the lines of Hartmann's peculiar theory of probability, that every new beginning gradually reduces the probability of the next beginning: let n be the number of times that the will is realized, the probability of any new realization is ½ n. "But it is clear that the probability ½ n diminishes as n increases, in a way that suffices to reassure us in practice" (Hartmann (1869): 663). In his famous work entitled **On the Conservation of Force (1847), Hermann von Helmholtz** had divided the totality of the energy in the universe between potential energy and kinetic energy and affirmed the reciprocal convertibility of the two. In 1852, William Thomson pointed out that there exists a sub-ensemble within kinetic energy, heat, which, once it has been generated, is no longer entirely convertible into potential energy-or into any other form of kinetic energy. Considering that the (partial) reconversion of heat into labor is possible only in situations that present a disparity in temperature, and that heat tends to pass from warmer to cooler bodies by spreading on an even temperature level through space, Thomson concluded that the universe tends towards a final state where any energetic transformations, every movement and every form of life will cease. Caspari's atoms (which bring to mind those in Leibniz's Monadology) resemble some sort of biological monads, endowed with internal states. For Caspari, every atom obeys the ethical imperative to participate in the conservation of the general organism and its movement does not only follow the simple physical kind of interaction but also an a priori law ensuring. If a balance of forces had been attained at any moment, this moment would still be going on: therefore, it never happened. The present state contradicts this proposition. Supposing that a certain state rigorously identical with the present state had, one day, existed, this supposition is not refuted by the present state. As one of the infinite possibilities, it is necessary that the present state had been given anyway, since until now an infinite period of time has already unfolded. **If equilibrium were possible, it must have occurred**; and if the present state has already taken place, then so too the one that preceded it as well as the one preceding that one. Therefore it has already taken place a second time, a third time and so on. And likewise it shall take place again a second time, a third time. Innumerable times forwards and backwards. This amounts to saying that all becoming occurs within a repetition of an innumerable number of absolutely identical states. [.] The immovability of forces, their equilibrium is a conceivable case, but it has not occurred. As a result the number of possibilities is greater than the number of realities. -The fact that nothing identical recurs may be explained not thanks to chance, but only thanks to an intention infiltrated within the essence of force. Indeed, supposing an enormous amount of cases, the random occurrence of the same combination is more probable than the same combination never recurring. The quantum of force in the universe is determinate and not "infinite": let us beware [hüten wir uns] from such conceptual extravaganza! Therefore the number of situations, modifications, combinations and developments of this force is doubtless enormous and practically "immeasurable," but in any case this number is determinate and not infinite. On the other hand, the time in which the universe exerts its force is infinite. That is to say, that force is eternally identical and eternally active: -until the present instant an infinity has already taken place, that is to say that all possible developments must have already taken place. Consequently, the present development must be a repetition and therefore both this that was born from it and this that shall be born from it and so on both forwards and backwards. Everything has taken place an innumerable number of times because the overall situation of all forces always recurs (FP 11 [202] of 1881). Nietzsche regards the mechanistic

vision as more plausible and less anthropomorphic than organicism. However, faced with the two major **cosmological models of his time, the mechanistic model and the organic model, Nietzsche wishes to return its polymorphous, proteiform, unstructured** and chaotic character to nature of which the perfectly non-theological and non-teleological theory of eternal return is the strongest seal. This is the first of the "new battles" which come to whoever is aware of the consequences of the death of God: take any antropomorphism away from nature. In the preparatory papers, the third book of the Gay Science is entitled "Gedanke eines Gottlosen / Thoughts of a Godless One." Aphorism 109 of this book, which immediately follows the famous aphorism against the shadows of God, **Boltzmann's theory belongs to the third phase of the debate on thermodynamics and cosmology. The publication of Thomson's brief paper "On a Universal Tendency in Nature to the Dissipation of Mechanical Energy" of 1852 signals the beginning of the scientific controversies on the problem of the dissipation of energy and opened the first phase of the debate, which was announced in the Reflexions on the Motor Powers of Fire by Sadi Carnot and whose conclusion is represented by Clausius's recapitulative article on the concept of entropy in 1865.[44] The second phase started in 1867 when, at the forty-first congress of German scientists and doctors, Clausius gave a lecture on "The Second Principle of the Mechanistic Theory of Heat," where he applied the results of his research on thermodynamics to the universe**. It is true that in his famous lecture of 1854, Helmholtz had already presented the cosmic consequences of the second principle, but Clausius' contribution had a strong impact on German culture. This is because in this lecture he robustly rejected the possibility to consider the universe as an eternal and self-renewing circle, an ewiger Kreislauf in which force and matter are in constant transformation, as was heretofore affirmed by the materialism of the scientists and philosophers, and he did so in the name of the second principle of thermodynamics. In this way, the debate on the principles of thermodynamics gained great importance in European Culture starting in 1867. In the two first phases, it is Thomson's mechanism that predicts the thermal death of the universe. In the third phase, on the contrary, the meaning of the term mechanism changes radically.[46] In accordance with the apocalyptic climate of this period dominated by the "rebirth of idealism," the "overcoming of scientific materialism" and the "bankruptcy of science", the mechanistic paradigm which had accompanied the birth of modern science became challenged on **account of the second principle of thermodynamics**. According to the theorem of the quasi-periodicity of the motions of mechanical systems demonstrated by Poincaré as part of the problem of the three bodies (1890), a mechanical system must evolve according to a quasi-periodical movement and consequently it must always return-sooner or later-to the initial state. **An easily established theorem informs** us that a limited world obeying solely the laws of mechanics shall always pass through a state closely similar to its initial state. On the contrary, according to established experimental laws (supposing we grant them absolute value and wish to push their consequences to the end), the universe is directed towards a final state, which once it is attained, it shall not be able to escape. In this final state, which shall be like a sort of death, all material bodies shall be at rest at the same temperature. **Poincaré's theorem seems** therefore incompatible with the second principle of thermodynamics, which predicts a unidirectional movement of all natural phenomena until the whole universe is brought to a total standstill. Wilhelm Ostwald and the entire energeticist school of thought contended that the principles of thermodynamics were fundamentally new, and could not be re-incorporated to traditional physics and that they should serve as a basis for a new science that regards the qualitative diversity of energy and its tendency to degradation as its axioms. Against energeticism and in an effort to bring entropic phenomena back into the theoretical framework of mechanism, Ludwig Boltzmann introduced the concept of probability in physics, not as an instrument of calculation, but as an explicative principle. In Boltzmann's statistical thermodynamics, the increase of entropy assumed by Clausius is re-interpreted as an increase in molecular chaos. As a result, it becomes possible to explain mechanistically the evolution of closed systems endowed with increasing entropic value, without it committing us to granting absolute value to the second principle of thermodynamics. **Moreover, one no longer needs to fear the thermal death of the universe insofar as the state of equilibrium will in principle** never be complete, but rather will be attained only statistically, leaving open the possibility of fluctuations towards less probable states. Boltzmann's critics remarked that this hypothesis involved two paradoxes called the objection of reversibility (Umkehreinwand), and that of repetition (Wiederkehreinwand). I shall only address here the second one since it coincides with the theory of the eternal return. Based on Poincaré's theorem quoted above, Ernst Zermelo objected to Boltzmann that his model of

the universe suggested that after a finite (if admittedly very long) time the system would return to its initial position. In his first response to Zermelo, Boltzmann avoids committing himself directly to cosmological questions and he only observes that, in the case of concrete thermodynamic systems, the time of recurrence may be extremely long. For example, in normal conditions of pressure and temperature, one-centimeter cube of gas requires 1010 years to reach a molecular configuration identical to the original one! However, following a response by Zermelo, Boltzmann wrote a new article where he outlines a cosmological picture that he will re-use later in his conclusion to his famous Lectures on Gas Theory. In this cosmological picture, Boltzmann considers the universe as a closed system with constant entropy, within which some fluctuations occur, creating islands of negative entropy. Our solar system originates in one of these fluctuations. As Clausius correctly pointed out, the entropy of our solar system increases constantly as the solar system gets closer to the state of chaos and of the thermal death of the rest of the cosmos. However, in other zones of the universe, some new fluctuations and new islands appear, so that thermal death is never generalized. Here we are given a grand cosmic image, in which the solar system and the sparkle of life that was lit on planet earth are only a fluctuation of order from within a dominant entropic tendency. Life, and the order on which it is based are exceptions, transitory forms taking place in the realm of the shapeless, they are islands of the cosmos that will soon be re-absorbed into chaos. According to Poincaré's theorem, our island will have to be reborn, to develop and die innumerable times in a strictly identical fashion. This happened an infinite number of times during the past eternity and it shall take place again an infinite number of times in the eternity to come. **Boltzmann accepts the "paradox" of recurrence-that is the eternal return of the same-as a legitimate consequence of the probabilistic conception of thermodynamics**. It may be rejected for ethical reasons, it may be stored away as an abstract speculation or dismissed along with other cosmic fantasies, but it cannot be rejected on the basis of any rigorously scientific viewpoint. **The Eternal Return: Genesis and Interpretation BY PAUL D'IORIO(excerpts). Nietzsche found the template of a materialistic cosmology based upon the first principle of thermodynamics. During the same year, he could have found a model of an organistic solution to the problem of thermal death of the universe as well as a discussion on the conformation of space in Zöllner's book On the Nature of Comets** (Johann Carl Friedrich Zöllner, Über die Natur der Kometen. Beiträge zur Geschichte und Theorie der Erkenntnis (Leipzig, Engelmann, 1872), 299 f. and 313 f.); Assumed Association In Space Time Of Particles Or Men Leads To Detrimental Ramification Be In Terms Of Progress Of “Subject Matter” Or In The Pathological Disequilibrium Of Gratification Deprivation Complex Which Again Has Mental Turbulence In Case Collective Consciousness Approve It (Raaga) Or Pernicious Implications In Case The Theory Is Not Accepted; After All What Is A Theory: Set Of Correlations And Causations Which Are “Tested” Against A Collective Consciousness Background, Which Yields “Results’ Which Are Themselves In “Question” Due To The Very Perception And The Simulation Prospective. The Definition Of Quantum Theorists' Terms, Such As Wavefunctions And Matrix Mechanics, Progressed Through Many Stages. For Instance, Erwin Schrödinger Originally Viewed The Electron's Wavefunction As Its Charge Density Smeared Across The Field, Whereas Max Born Reinterpreted It As The Electron's Probability Density Distributed Across The Field. Although The Copenhagen Interpretation Was Originally Most Popular, Quantum Decoherence Has Gained Popularity. Thus The Many-Worlds Interpretation Has Been Gaining Acceptance Moreover, The Strictly Formalist Position, Shunning Interpretation, Has Been Challenged By Proposals For Falsifiable Experiments That Might One Day Distinguish Among Interpretations, As By Measuring An AI Consciousness Or Via Quantum Computing. More Or Less, All Interpretations Of Quantum Mechanics Share Two Qualities: They Interpret Formalism—A Set Of Equations And Principles To Generate Predictions Via Input Of Initial Conditions They Interpret A Phenomenology—A Set Of Observations, Including Those Obtained By Empirical Research And Those Obtained Informally, Such As Humans' Experience Of An Unequivocal World Two Qualities Vary Among Interpretations: Ontology—Claims About What Things, Such As Categories And Entities, Exist In The World Epistemology—Claims About The Possibility, Scope, And Means Toward Relevant Knowledge Of The World. Now How Does A Person In A Crowd Behave? They He Would Due To Herd Mentality; Ok; That Is One Theory; Simulation By Terrestial Brahman Anti Brahman Will Make Them Behave In A Highly Organised And Coordinated Manner; With Association Of Things And Individuals Already Established Resultant Orientation Of The Actions Hall Be Only Oriented Towards The Figment Of Imagination And Product Of Puerile Prognostication Formally Established By Terrestial Brahman Anti Brahman

Before Such A Coordinated And Organised Execution Of Exercise Is Conducted; Analogically, If A Simulation Is Going On In A Bunch Of Particles Or Electrons, And If Some Agency Say Celestial Brahman Anti Brahman Agency Is Simulating It, There Shall Be Coordination And Organisation So That You See “Reality” While The Truth Shall Remain Hidden. Result Is The Suppression Of Information, Delineation Of Disinformation, And The Concomitant Development Of Mushrooms Of Concocted And Fabricated Theories To That “Reality”; In The Case Of Terrestial Brahman Anti Brahman Agency Intention May Be To Bulldoze And Bowdlerize You, Baffle And Befuddle You In To Submission; In The Case Of Celestial Brahman Anti Brahman Agency Only Reason Could Be To Shield The Truth Being Disseminated; But Why?;May Be You Should Never Become God Which You Are Trying To; May Be God Is Really Playing Dice; What Does That Result In To ; You Become A Scezophreniac Associating Again And Again What You Had Been Until Now Of Particles And Other Concomitants Again And Again Leading To Flawed Inferences. Confusing The Epistemic With The Ontic, Like If One Were To Presume That A General Law Actually "Governs” Outcomes—And That The Statement Of A Regularity Has The Role Of A Causal Mechanism—Is A Category Mistake. In A Broad Sense, Scientific Theory Can Be Viewed As (=) Offering Scientific Realism—Approximately True Description Or Explanation Of The Natural World—Or Might Be Perceived With Antirealism. A Realist Stance Seeks The Epistemic And The Ontic, Whereas An Antirealist Stance Seeks Epistemic But Not The Ontic. In The 20th Century's First Half, Antirealism Was Mainly Logical Positivism, Which Sought To Exclude Unobservable Aspects Of Reality From Scientific Theory. Since The 1950s, Antirealism Is More Modest, Usually Instrumentalism, Permitting Talk Of Unobservable Aspects, But Ultimately Discarding The Very Question Of Realism And Posing Scientific Theory As A Tool To Help Humans Make Predictions, Not To Attain Metaphysical Understanding Of The World. The Instrumentalist View Is Carried By The Famous Quote Of David Mermin, "Shut Up And Calculate", Often Misattributed To Richard Feynman. Quantum Mechanical Wave Function (Absolutely Squared) Describes The Completed Interference Pattern, It Must Describe An Ensemble Interpretations Of Quantum Mechanics From Wikipedia. Philosophy Of Science, The Distinction Of Knowledge Versus Reality Is Termed Epistemic Versus Ontic. A General Law Is A Regularity Of Outcomes (Epistemic), Whereas A Causal Mechanism May Regulate The Outcomes (Ontic). A Phenomenon Can Receive Interpretation Either Ontic Or Epistemic. For Instance, Indeterminism May Be Attributed To Limitations Of Human Observation And Perception (Epistemic), Or May Be Explained As A Real Existing Maybe Encoded In The Universe (Ontic). Confusing The Epistemic With The Ontic, Like If One Were To Presume That A General Law Actually "Governs” Outcomes—And That The Statement Of A Regularity Has The Role Of A Causal Mechanism—Is A Category Mistake. Interpretations Of Quantum Mechanics From Wikipedia. Reality Is Constant In The Midst Of Change. Note The Conservation Of Individual, Collective And Cosmic General Ledgers Are What Are Being Spoken Of. Not The Changing Transactions, But The Immutable Refractory Zero. (Italics Mine) What This Means Essentially Is That There Is Change Although Nothing Changes. Like There Are Changing Transactions And Still The Assets And Liabilities Will Be Equal. This Impossible Situation Is Reflected (E&Eb) In The Ultimate Impossibility Of Change Itself. That Which Does Not Exist Prior To Its Changing And At The End, After It Has Changed, Must Be Equally Non-Existent Between These Two Moments. Although The World Of Change Appears To Be Real, It Cannot Be So. (Real)Change, According To The Vedantin, Presupposes A Loss Of Identity. Reality Cannot Suffer Transformation If It Were To Do So, It Would Become Something Else And The Real Would Be Deprived Of Its Reality. The Immortal Can Never Become Mortal, Nor Can The Mortal Become Immortal The Doctrine Of Vibration: An Analysis Of The Doctrines And Practices Of Kashmir Shaivism Mark S. G. Dyczkowski. Concept Of Being From Shaivite Point Of View: In His Famous Work Entitled On The Conservation Of Force (1847), Hermann Von Helmholtz Had Divided The Totality Of The Energy In The Universe Between Potential Energy And Kinetic Energy And Affirmed The Reciprocal Convertibility Of The Two. In 1852, William Thomson Pointed Out That There Exists A Sub-Ensemble Within Kinetic Energy, Heat, Which, Once It Has Been Generated, Is No Longer Entirely Convertible Into Potential Energy-Or Into Any Other Form Of Kinetic Energy. Considering That The (Partial) Reconversion Of Heat Into Labor Is Possible Only In Situations That Present A Disparity In Temperature, And That Heat Tends To Pass From Warmer To Cooler Bodies By Spreading On An Even Temperature Level Through Space, Thomson Concluded That The Universe Tends Towards A Final State Where Any Energetic Transformations, Every Movement And Every Form Of Life Will Cease: The Eternal Return: Genesis And

Interpretation BY PAUL D'IORIO: The Ultimate Nature Of Anything Cannot Change. Change Of Any Sort Is Merely Apparent (Vivarta). The World Of Change And Becoming Is A False Super- Imposition (Adhyaropa, Adhyasa) On The Absolute. It Appears So On The Screen Of Individual, Collective Consciousness, Albeit One Cannot Be So Sure Of Cosmic, For There Are Many Reasons That Cosmic Transactions Also Had To Be Subjected To Change By ParaBrahaman. In Cosmic Terms, The Mistake (Bhranti) Consists Of The Supposition That The Real Brahman Is The Unreal Universe And The Unreal Universe Is The Real Brahman. In Microcosmic Terms, It Is The Mistake Of Falsely Conceiving The Body, Mind Or Even One's Personality To Be The Self. Note We Have Taken Self As Witness Consciousness. Notwithstanding There Is Nothing Wrong In The Conception Of Self As A Dynamical Presupposition, In That Individual Consciousness And Collective Consciousness And Even Cosmic Consciousness Evolutes In To ParaBrahaman. In The Same Way As The Image Of A Snake Is Falsely Superimposed On A Rope, Similarly The Universe Is Falsely Projected Onto The Real Substratum, The Brahman. Ignorance Is Not Merely A Personal Lack Of Knowledge, But A Cosmic Principle. As Such It Is Called "Maya," The Indefinable Factor (Anirvacaniya) That Brings This Mistake In Identity About. The Reality Status Of This Cosmic Illusion Is Also Indefinable: On The One Hand It Is Not Brahman, The Sole Reality; On The Other Hand It Is Not Absolutely Non-Existent Like A Hare's Horn Or The Son Of A Barren Woman. Note Here The Vedantin Avoids Talking About Zero Which We Have Avidly And Assiduously Stressed About. Nothing Is The Factor That Exists. Also See the Remarks about Satre's Being And Nothingness. Brahman Is The Source Of World Appearances Only In The Sense Of Being Their Unconditioned Ground Or Essential Nature. The Universe Is False Not Because It Has No Nature Of Its Own But Because It Does Have One. The Doctrine Of Vibration: An Analysis Of The Doctrines And Practices Of Kashmir Shaivism Mark S. G. Dyczkowski. Concept Of Being From Shaivite Point Of View: It Is The 'I Am The Body/Mind' Belief That Gives Rise To The 'I Am Not The World' Belief. These Two Beliefs Are (=) Co-Created."Consciousness Projects The Appearance Of The Mind, Body And World By Taking (Eb) The Shape Of Thinking, Sensing And Perceiving.""Attention Is Consciousness With An Object. When The Object Vanishes, Attention Simply Remains What It Always Is Consciousness.""There Is No Purpose To Meditation. The Purpose Is Already Accomplished."Everything That Is Experienced Is Experienced By, Through, In And As Consciousness.""The Seen Cannot Be Separated From Seeing And Seeing Cannot Be Separated From Consciousness." "**The Reality Of Any Experience Is Not Hidden In The Appearance; It Is Expressed By The Appearance The Transparency Of Things**' By Rupert Spira Book Review By Dennis Waite The Following Is A Review Of Rupert's Book: 'The Transparency Of Things: Contemplating The Nature Of Experience'. There Is An Essay From The Book Here And The Essay On Cézanne May Be Read At The Awakened Eye Website Nature Rejects The Naiveté That Seeks Absolute Truth. We Are Beginning To Realize, Individually And Culturally, That "Realities" Are All Human Constructions. The Task Becomes One Of "Catching Ourselves In The Act" Of Creating Our Own "Reality" From The Flow Of Events. Human Truth Is Always An Engagement Of Mind With Experience. The Challenge Of The Therapist In These Times Of Chaotic Change Is To Validate The Concept That We Don't Have To Fear The Collapse Of What We Think We Are. Strange Attractors: Transference, Holography, And An **Archetype Burke, J. (2003). Strange Attractors: Transference, Holography, And An Archetype (Doctoral Dissertation, Pacifica Graduate Institute, 2003).** When we get to the topic and understanding of the Transcendental Logic, the brilliant and baffling Transcendental Deduction (TD) Recall the two movements just discussed, the one from experience to its conditions and the one from the forms of valid inference to the concepts that we must use in all judging (the Categories). This duality led Kant to his famous question of right (quid juris) (A84=B116): with what right do we apply the Categories, which are not acquired from experience, to the contents of experience? (A85=B117). Kant's problem here is not as arcane as it might seem. It reflects an important question: How is it that the world as we experience it conforms to our logic? In briefest form, Kant thought that the trick to showing how it is possible for the Categories to apply to experience is to show that it is necessary that they apply (A97).TD has two sides, though Kant never treats them separately John Marlado, accounts, attributes, and ascribes the philosophy of Nishida KitarÅ is lucid, insightful, and deeply informative despite the highly questionable argument that gives the book its structure. In comparison with other, technically more accurate accounts of Nishida's philosophy, it is broader in scope than most but more single-minded in its approach. The analysis is for the most part limited to four books that have been translated into English, out of approximately 16 volumes of philosophical

essays in Japanese, but it aims to capture the contours and most important details of Nishida's entire philosophy. To appreciate the achievement of this account, one would need only to read, in English translation or in the Japanese original, any of the volumes with their streams of repetitive, puzzling expressions and circuitous, often dead- end argumentation. Wilkinson's elucidation of details in the passages he samples makes it easier to understand why Nishida is considered Japan's greatest academic philosopher. A critical look at the frame of the book and some of its own claims reveals where, to my mind, it has gone astray. The argument that frames this book is repeated throughout: Nishida's philosophy is an attempt to articulate, in a characteristically Western philosophical manner, the Zen experience he had as a young man. Nishida first made the attempt by using the conceptual frameworks of Western philosophers, and after they failed him he developed his own, largely by recasting Buddhist insights. In the end, a philosophical articulation of the Zen worldview in Western terms or even in Eastern terms recast by conceptual, Western philosophical methods approved impossible. What Nishida attempted is incommensurable with Western philosophy. While the author first offers these statements as hypotheses (p. 2), one premise of his argument that there is such a thing as "the Zen world-view" or "the Zen experience "remains unquestioned; and another that Nishida's pivotal experience was the Zen experienceâis asserted as "beyond question" (p. 151; see also pp. 28, 48, 10, 114).Wilkinson's presentation of Zen in the first chapter is drawn largely from D. T. Suzuki, with help from Suzuki's portage Abe Masao; some comments on time, birth, and death in; and a few other sources. The result is a caricature that imagines Zen as an invariable, unitary experience. In fact, Dagan's teachings on practice as the manifestation of enlightenment do not sit well with D. T. Suzuki's emphasis on a satori experience, and Suzuki does not enlighten us about what Zen in its various practices has historically been. The author would have benefited from acquaintance with other presentations by Zen teachers, such as that of ShunryÅ Suzuki'sZen Mind Beginner's Mind, with historical accounts of Zen traditions, and with contrasts between DÅgen's view of time and Sam Â· sÄraand that of other Buddhist philosophers. A little research in contemporary Zen scholarship would have shown how implausible is the talk of "nirvana or awareness of mu" (p. 24), "the basic Zen position [that] never varies" (p. 3), "the Zen vision" (pp. 5, 7), "Zen epistemology" , "Zen experience" (p. 59), "the conception of time involved in Zen" (p. 15), and "the fundamental Zen assertion that there is no absolute time" (p. 16). Even if DÅgen's notion that time and being are nondual (p. 16) epitomized most of Zen, Nishida's early notion of "a transcendent, unchanging reality apart from time" (p. 51) would contrast sharply with it. Equally questionable is Wilkinson's contention that Nishida's "pure experience" is equivalent to Zen satori. The notion of pure experience Nishida developed in his first major work may be pivotal for his entire philosophy, but he never claims or implies that any part of his philosophy presupposes an exceptional experience like satori that grounds his convictions and renders them unverifiable to those who have not had the experience, as Wilkinson intimates (pp. 55, 159, and 161). Nishida presents his notions of pure experience and intellectual intuition, the grasp of its unity, and later... Perennialism and Constructivism is studied by Randolph T Dible : experiencer or the observer and the transcendental subjectivity itself Pure objectivity is essentially a deconstruction, apophatic and negativa The encountering of the experiencer or observer—transcendental subjectivity itself—at the foundation of the world leads inevitably to the recognition of pure objectivity as ultimate reality (which can be taken as its ultimate deconstruction, analogous to the apophatic or via negativa), from which objects derive their value, weight, significance, meaning or objectivity. In this way, pure objectivity can be seen as the supra-self-evident Axiological Axiom, so to speak, even Unconditional Love, in romantic terms. This axiology (value theory) has a structure inverse to the relationship between transcendental subjectivity as the radical unity of pure self-reference and on the other hand, the world of forms, as mere traces (representations, indications) of the unique, original "first distinction" Spencer-Brown speaks of at the foundation of his calculus. That is, all forms (i.e., distinctions, differences) would reduce to being the first distinction, also known as the marked state, which can be called penultimate reality (pure self-reference or transcendental subjectivity: the Spirit which animates us), except that forms are complimentary to their content, which is their objectivity or value, which would reduce to the unmarked state or ultimate reality. It is the incongruity of form (thoughts; Whitehead's "negative prehensions") and value (feelings; Whitehead's "positive prehensions," or my notion of objectivity, meaning and qualia; in short, the non-formal aspects of experience) that holds forms open and keeps them from absolute reduction. This accounts for the brute, concrete persistence of the "functional illusion"-- to use a term from Dzogchen Buddhism-- of the world. Thus this system has an axiology of metaphysical objectivity grounded on the

ideal of pure objectivity as the source of all value, meaning and significance, itself the very fecundity of profundity, which is the motive of drawing the distinction in the first place **(Wikipedia, Kant's writings and Logic Of Sense)** Nano rings were created by accident while intending to make quantum dots. They have interesting optical properties associated with excitons and the Aharonov–Bohm effect. Application of these rings used as light capacitors or a buffer includes photonic computing and communications technology. Analysis and measurement of geometric phases in mesoscopic rings is ongoing. Several experiments, including some reported in 2012, show Aharonov-Bohm oscillations in charge density wave (CDW) current versus magnetic flux, of dominant period h/2e through CDW rings up to 85 **μm** in circumference above 77 K. This behavior is similar to that of the superconducting quantum interference devices (seeSQUID). **Aharonov–Bohm nano rings (Wikipedia) Stability analysis of nano rings would help progressively accentuate the research about the materials division that uses nano rings.** From the profound contemplation and wisdom of the Buddha and Mahabodhisattvas, we know there is no such reality. Instead, egolessness (non-self) is the only path to understand the reality of the deluded life. All existences are subject to the law of causes and conditions. These include the smallest particles, the relationship between the particles, the planets, and the relationship between them, up to and including the whole universe! From the smallest particles to the biggest matter, there exists no absolute independent identity. Egolessness (non-self) implies the void characteristics of all existence. Egolessness (non-self) signifies the non-existence of permanent identity for self and existence (dharma). Sunyata stresses the voidness characteristic of self and existence (dharma). Sunyata and egolessness possess similar attributes. As we have discussed before, we can observe the profound significance of sunyata from the perspective of inter-dependent relationships. Considering dharma-nature and the condition of nirvana, all existences are immaterial and of a void-nature. Then we see each existence as independent of each other. But then we cannot find any material that does exist independent of everything else. So egolessness also implies void-nature! From the observation of all existences, we can infer the theory of nirvana and the complete cessation of all phenomena. From the viewpoint of phenomena, all existences are so different from each other, that they may contradict each other. They are so chaotic. In reality, their existence is illusionary and arises from conditional causation. They seem to exist on one hand, and yet do not exist on the other. They seem to be united, but yet they are so different to one another. They seem to exist and yet they do cease! Ultimately everything will return to harmony and complete calmness. This is the nature of all existence. It is the final resting place for all. If we can understand this reality and remove our illusions, we can find this state of harmony and complete calmness. **Teachings in Chinese Buddhism (6) sunyata (emptiness) in the Mahayana context (Wikipedia) Many models have been given concatenating Buddhist doctrines and Vaccum energy. These models essentially throw light on the essence of self(witness consciousness) and the cosmic general ledger (cosmic consciousness) while the imbalance in the gratification deprivation complex always remain unbalanced when it comes to individual general ledger , advocating the evolutionary theory of individual consciousness to cosmic consciousness. It is to be noted that it is not the question of knowing all the transactions but the wisdom of what lies behind the debit- Credit, Debit-Debit, or Credit-Credit principle that is most important for assimilation.** Look at this simple thesis to prove the point stated in the foregoing. Thesis: 'The World Has A Beginning In Time, And In Space It Is Also Enclosed In Boundaries.' Proof: 'For If One Assumes That The World Has No Beginning In Time, Then Up To Every Given Moment In Time An Eternity Is Elapsed, And Hence An Infinite Series Of States Of Things In The World, Each Following Another, Has Passed Away. But Now The Infinity Of A Series Consists Precisely In The Fact That It Can Never Be Completed Through A Successive Synthesis. Therefore An Infinitely Elapsed World-Series Is Impossible, So A Beginning Of The World Is A Necessary Condition Of Its Existence, Which Was The First Point To Be Proved (Kant, Critique Of Pure Reason, Tr. By R. Guyer And A. W. Wood (Cambridge: Cambridge University Press, 1998): B 454, P. 470). As Quoted In The Eternal Return: Genesis And Interpretation BY PAUL D'IORIO"The Cyclical Hypothesis, So Heavily Criticized By Nietzsche (VP II 325 And 334), Arises In This Way." In Fact, Nietzsche Was Not Criticizing The Cyclical Hypothesis But Only The Particular Form Of That Hypothesis Presented In Vogt's Work. All Of Nietzsche's Texts Without Exception Speak Of The Eternal Return As The Repetition Of The Same Events Within A Cycle Which Repeats Itself Eternally. If Deleuze's Interpretation Holds That The Eternal Return Is Not A Circle, Then What Is It? A Wheel Moving Centrifugally, Operating A "Creative Selection," "Nietzsche's Secret Is That The Eternal Return Is Selective" Says Deleuze: The Eternal Return

Produces Becoming-Active. It Is Sufficient To Relate The Will To Nothingness To The Eternal Return In Order To Realize That Reactive Forces Do Not Return. However Far They Go, However Deep The Becoming-Reactive Of Forces, Reactive Forces Will Not Return. The Small, Petty, Reactive Man Will Not Return. Affirmation Alone Returns, This That Can Be Affirmed Alone Returns, Joy Alone Returns. Everything That Can Be Denied, Everything That Is Negation, Is Expelled Due To The Very Movement Of The Eternal Return. We Were Entitled To Dread That The Combinations Of Nihilism And Reactivity Would Eternally Return Too. The Eternal Return Must Be Compared To A Wheel; Yet, The Movement Of The Wheel Is Endowed With Centrifugal Powers That Drive Away The Entire Negative. Because Being Imposes Itself On Becoming, It Expels From Itself Everything That Contradicts Affirmation, All Forms Of Nihilism And Reactivity: Bad Conscience, Ressentiment..., We Shall Witness Them Only Once. [...] The Eternal Return Is The Repetition, But The Repetition That Selects, The Repetition That Saves. Here Is The Marvelous Secret Of A Selective And Liberating Repetition. There Is No Need To Remind The Reader That Neither The Image Of A Centrifugal Movement Nor The Concept Of A Negativity-Rejecting Repetition Appears Anywhere In Nietzsche's Writings, And Indeed Deleuze Does Not Refer To Any Text In Support Of This Interpretation. Further, One Could Highlight That Nietzsche Never Formulates The Opposition Between Active And Reactive Forces, Which Constitutes The Broader Framework Of Deleuze's Interpretation. For Some Years, Marco Brusotti Has Called Attention To The Fact That Deleuze Introduced A Dualism That Does Not Exist In Nietzsche's Writings. To Be Sure, The German Philosopher Describes A Certain Number Of "Reactive" Phenomena (For Example, In The Second Essay Of The Genealogy Of Morality, § 11, He Talks About "Reactive Affects" [Reaktive Affekte], "Reactive Feelings" [Reaktive Gefühlen], Reactive Men [Reaktive Menschen]); But These Are Nonetheless The Result Of Complex Ensembles Of Configurations Of Centers Of Forces That Remain In Themselves Active. Neither The Word Nor The Concept Of "Reactive Forces" Ever Appears In Nietzsche's Philosophy. The Eternal Return: Genesis And Interpretation BY PAUL D'IORIO Some Parts Are Deleted Due To Spatial Constraints. In the midst of thoughts about the eternal return we find at least two other thematic axes On the one hand, the view of the **world as a constant flux of forces without any goal, law, or rules of becoming. A chaos sive natura de-divinized and de-anthropomorphized which constitutes the "ontological substratum**" of the whole of Nietzsche's reflexions On the other hand, an ensemble of fragments of an anthropologico-sociological character, designing a path of liberation leading to the creation of superior individuals by way of a profound transformation of their instinctual structure. This transformation must be achieved by a practice of solitude and internal struggle towards the liberation from the ancient representations of the world and from the incorporated herd values. For an analysis of these thematic perspectives, see Paolo D'Iorio, La linea e il circolo. Cosmologia e filosofia dell'eterno ritorno in Nietzsche (Genova: Pantograf, 1995): 233-322. With most of the parameters quantified, with assumptions there must be picturesque view of the self and non self, space and time, science and philosophy with the concatenational consummations. After The Chapter "On Redemption," Where Zarathustra Dares Not Expose His Doctrine, The Eternal Return Begins To Be Enunciated In Part Three Of The Work. In The First Place, It Is The Dwarf Who Formulates It In The Chapter "On The Vision And The Riddle." Facing The "Gate Of The Instant" Which Symbolizes The Two Infinities That Stretch Towards The Past And The Future, The Dwarf Whispers: "All Truth Is Crooked, Time Itself Is A Circle" The Dwarf Represents The Spirit Of Gravity, And He Embodies The Herd Morality, "The Belittling Virtue" Which Is The Title Of Another Chapter From Part III. The Dwarf Can Endure The Eternal Return Without Great Difficulties Because He Has No Aspirations; Unlike Zarathustra He Does Not Wish To Climb The Mountains That Symbolize Elevation And Solitude. In Two Unpublished Notes, From The Summer And The Fall Of 1883, Nietzsche Writes: The Doctrine Is At First Favored By The Rabble, Before It Gets To The Superior Men. The Doctrine Of Recurrence Will First Smile To The Rabble, Which Is Cold And Without Any Strong Internal Need. It Is The Most Ordinary Of Life Instincts, Which Gives Its Agreement First. Hence, The Content Of The Doctrine Is The Same, But Whereas The Dwarf Can Endure It (Because He Interprets It According To The Pessimistic Tradition For Which "Nothing Is New Under The Sun"), Zarathustra, Who Is The "Advocate Of Life" Regards The Eternal Return As The Strongest Objection To Existence, And As The Rest Of The Dream Suggests, He Does Not Yet Succeed In Accepting It After The Vision At The Gate Of The Instant, The Chapter Is Brought To An End By The Enigma Of The Shepherd. The Eternal Return: Genesis And Interpretation BY PAUL D'IORIO .How does the memory affect the GD complex or for that matter the gratification deprivation of an

individual or a collective identity? Non-Markovian local-in-time master equations give a relatively simple way to describe the dynamics of open quantum systems with memory effects. Despite their simple form, there are still many misunderstandings related to the physical applicability and interpretation of these equations. Here, we clarify these issues both in the cases of quantum and classical master equations. Further introduction of the concept of a classical non-Markov chain signified through negative jump rates in the chain configuration. **E-M Laine et al 2012 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 45 154004 doi:10.1088/0953-4075/45/15/154004 Local-in-time master equations with memory effects: applicability and interpretation.** Supposing That There Were Indeed An "Energy Of Contraction" Constant In All Centers Of Force Of The Universe, It Remains To Be Explained Where Any Difference Would Ever Originate. It Would Be Necessary For The Whole To Dissolve Into An Infinite Number Of Perfectly Identical Existential Rings And Spheres, And We Would Therefore Behold Innumerable And Perfectly Identical Worlds COEXISTING [Nietzsche Underlines This Word Twice] Alongside Each Other. Is It Necessary For Me To Admit This? Is It Necessary To Posit An Eternal Coexistence On Top Of The Eternal Succession Of Identical Worlds? The Eternal Return: Genesis And Interpretation BY PAUL D'IORIO. Science is usually portrayed as dehumanizing. Brave New World epitomizes this fear. "The more we understand the world, the more it seems completely pointless" (Steven Weinberg). Certainly science can seem chilling when conceived in the abstract as a metaphysical world-picture. We may seem to find ourselves living in a universe with all the human meaning stripped out: Participants in a soulless dance of molecules, or harmonics of pointlessly waggling superstrings and their braneworld cousins. Nature seems loveless and indifferent to our lives. What rights have we to be happy? **(Brave New World: Aldous Huxley).** Religion can afford to be dogmatic but science cannot. Look at this passage which counters both scientists and philosophers alike: However, Hartmann Objects That The Regressive Movement Postulated By Schopenhauer Is Possible Only In Thought: It Remains Nothing More Than An "Ideal Postulate" With No Real Object And Which "Does Not Teach Us Anything About The Real Process Of The World That Unfolds In A Movement Contrary To This Backwards Movement Of Thought" (Hartmann, Philosophie Des Unbewussten, Third Edition (1871): 772). Hartmann Affirms That If Unlike Schopenhauer One Admits The Reality Of Time And Of The World Process, One Must Also Admit That The Process Must Be Limited In The Past And Therefore That There Must Be An Absolute Beginning. In Hartmann's Mind, Failure To Do So Would Result In Positing The Contradictory Concept Of An Accomplished Infinity: "The Infinity That From The Point Of View Of Regressive Thinking, Remains An Ideal Postulate, Which No Reality May Correspond To, Must, For The World, Whose Process Is, On The Contrary, A Progressive Movement, Open Up To A Determinate Result; And Here The Contradiction Comes To Light" (Hartmann (1871): 772). What Really "Comes To Light" In This Passage Is The Fact That Hartmann Does Not Provide A Demonstration But A Petitio Principii. Indeed, The Concept Of The World Process Analytically Contains The Concept Of A Beginning Of The World. In All Rigors, It Is Therefore Impossible To Demonstrate These Concepts With Reference To Each Other. Secondly, Hartmann's View That One Is Bound To Accept The Reality Of The World Process Even If One Rejects The Ideality Of Schopenhauer's Time Is Mistaken. Hartmann Believes That If Time Is Real There Must Be A World Process With Both An Absolute Beginning And An Absolute End. Without Any Justification, Hartmann Jumps From Schopenhauer's Negated Time To Oriented Time. The Eternal Return: Genesis And Interpretation BY PAUL D'IORIO. In the last years several theoretical papers discussed if time can be an emergent property deriving from) quantum correlations. Here, to provide an insight into how this phenomenon can occur, authors present an experiment that illustrates Page and Wootters' mechanism of "static" time, and Gambini et al. subsequent refinements. A static, entangled state between a clock system and the rest of the universe is perceived as evolving by internal observers that test the correlations between the two subsystems. They implement this mechanism using an entangled state of the polarization of two photons, one of which is used as a clock to gauge the evolution of the second: an "internal" observer that becomes correlated with the clock photon sees the other system evolve, while an "external" observer that only observes global properties of the two photons can prove it is static.Phys. Rev. A 89, 052122 (2014)DOI:10.1103/PhysRevA.89.052122 arXiv: 1310.4691 [quant-ph] **Time from quantum entanglement: an experimental illustration Ekaterina Moreva.**

Time is an entanglement .When the new ideas of quantum mechanics spread through science like wildfire in the first half of the 20th century, one of the first things physicists did was to apply them to gravity and general relativity.

The results were not pretty. It immediately became clear that these two foundations of modern physics were entirely incompatible. When physicists attempted to meld the approaches, the resulting equations were bedeviled with infinities making it impossible to make sense of the results. Then in the mid-1960s, there was a breakthrough. The physicists John Wheeler and Bryce DeWitt successfully combined the previously incompatible ideas in a key result that has since become known as the Wheeler-DeWitt equation. This is important because it avoids the troublesome infinites—a huge advance. But it didn't take physicists long to realise that while the Wheeler-DeWitt equation solved one significant problem, it introduced another. The new problem was that time played no role in this equation. In effect, it says that nothing ever happens in the universe, a prediction that is clearly at odds with the observational evidence. This conundrum, which physicists call 'the problem of time', has proved to be thorn in flesh of modern physicists, who have tried to ignore it but with little success. Then in 1983, the theorists Don Page and William Wootters came up with a novel solution based on the quantum phenomenon of entanglement. This is the exotic property in which two quantum particles share the same existence, even though they are physically separated. Entanglement is a deep and powerful link and Page and Wootters showed how it can be used (e) to measure time. Their idea was that the way pair of entangled particles evolves is a kind of clock that can be used to measure change. But the results depend on how the observation is made. One way to do this is to compare the change in the entangled particles with an external clock that is entirely independent of the universe. This is equivalent to god-like observer outside the universe measuring the evolution of the particles using an external clock. In this case, Page and Wootters showed that the particles would appear entirely unchanging—that time would not exist in this scenario. But there is another way to do it that gives a different result. This is for an observer inside the universe to compare the evolution of the particles with the rest of the universe. In this case, the internal observer would see a change and this difference in the evolution of entangled particles compared with everything else is an important a measure of time. This is an elegant and powerful idea. It suggests that time is an emergent phenomenon that comes about because of the nature of entanglement. And it exists only for observers inside the universe. **Time is an entanglement** (Wikipedia). Danish religious philosopher Soren Kierkegaard carried out a systematic critique of the pretensions of reason and an abstract rationalism which he believed that the modern age was nurturing. Condemning reflection as a "danger" that ensnares people in logical delays and machinations, Kierkegaard compared it to a prison. Reflection is for him a form of captivity, a bondage which "can only be broken by [passionate] religious inwardness" (1978: 81). **Reflection seduces individuals into thinking its possibilities are "much more magnificent than a paltry decision"** (1978: 82). It leads them to act **"on principle,"** to dwell on the deliberation of the context of their actions and the calculation of their worth or outcome. Kierkegaard argues that this drives away feeling, inspiration, and spontaneity, all of which are crucial for true inner being and a vital relation to God. For Kierkegaard, as Nietzsche would later agree, genuine inner being (and culture) is characterized by the tautness and tension of the soul which characterizes passionate existence. But the "coiled springs of life relationships ... lose their resilience" in reflection (1978: 78) and "everything becomes meaningless externality, devoid of [internal] character" (1978: 62). Kierkegaard thus contributes to the development of an irrationalist tradition that has echoes in some later postmodern thought. Kierkegaard might well have agreed with his contemporary Fyodor Dostoyevsky, who wrote: "An intelligent [reflective] man cannot seriously become anything ... excessive consciousness is a disease" (1974: 3, 5). In an age overtaken by rules and regulations, genuine action -- which Kierkegaard assumes to be subjective and spontaneous -- is frustrated at every turn. Complaining that we are too "sober and serious" (1978: 71) even at banquets, Kierkegaard bemoans the fact that even suicides are premeditated (1978: 68)! "That a person stands or falls on his actions is becoming obsolete; instead, everybody sits around and does a brilliant job of bungling through with the aid of some reflection and also by declaring that they all know very well what has to be done" (1978: 73). Thus, it is passion, not reflection, that guarantees "a decent modesty between man and man [and] prevents crude aggressiveness" (1978: 62). "Take away the passion and the propriety also disappears" (1978: 64). The ambiguity in the word "passion" may cause some confusion here. To say that the age and its individuals are "passionless" is not to say there are no emotions whatsoever, but rather that there is no true spiritual inwardness and depth, no intensively motivated action and commitment. It suggests that passion exists only in a simulated, pseudo-form, "the rebirth of passion" through "talkativeness" (1978: 64). "Chattering" for Kierkegaard gets in the way of "essential speaking" and merely "reflects" inconsequential events (1978: 89-99). Hence, in "the present age," emotions -- which in fact

are all too pronounced -- have been transformed into negative forces. Anticipating Nietzsche's genealogy of the "slave revolt" in morality, Kierkegaard claims that the "enthusiasm" of the prior age of Revolution, a "positively unifying principle," has become a vicious "envy," a "negatively unifying principle" (1978: 81), a leveling force in its own right insofar as those lacking in talent and resources want to tear down those who have them. **THE POSTMODERN TURN IN PHILOSOPHY: THEORETICAL PROVOCATIONS AND NORMATIVE DEFICITS By Steven Best and Douglas Kelner http://www.gseis.ucla.edu/faculty/kellner/kellner.html.** In November 2011, the United States government argued before the US Supreme Court that it wants to continue utilizing GPS tracking of individuals without first seeking a warrant. In response, Justice Stephen Breyer questioned what this means for a democratic society by referencing Nineteen Eighty-Four. Justice Breyer asked, "If you win this case, then there is nothing to prevent the police or the government from monitoring 24 hours a day the public movement of every citizen of the United States. So if you win, you suddenly produce what sounds like 1984... "[65]. What is to be said in unmistakable terms is that when it comes to national security and innocent citizens there is question of compromise even it be an infringement and encroachment of so called privacy which has been made a word to subterfuge the activities that are far said to be fair. Quantum theory has provoked intense discussions about its interpretation since its pioneer days. One of the few scientists who have been continuously engaged in this development from both physical and philosophical perspectives is Carl Friedrich von Weizsäcker. The questions he posed were and are inspiring for many, including the authors of this contribution. Weizsäcker developed Bohr's view of quantum theory as a theory of knowledge. **Harald Atmanspacher, Hans Primas** show that such an epistemic perspective can be consistently complemented by Einstein's ontically oriented position. **Time, Quantum and Information 2003, pp 301-321 Epistemic and Ontic Quantum Realities Harald Atmanspacher, Hans Primas Robert W. Spekkens** present a toy theory that is based on a simple principle: the number of questions about the physical state of a system that are answered must always be equal to the number that are unanswered in a state of maximal knowledge. Many quantum phenomena are found to have analogues within this toy theory. These include the noncommutativity of measurements, interference, the multiplicity of convex decompositions of a mixed state, the impossibility of discriminating nonorthogonal states, the impossibility of a universal state inverter, the distinction between bipartite and tripartite entanglement, the monogamy of pure entanglement, no cloning, no broadcasting, remote steering, teleportation, entanglement swapping, dense coding, mutually unbiased bases, and many others. The diversity and quality of these analogies is taken as evidence for the view that quantum states are states of **incomplete knowledge** rather than states of reality. A consideration of the phenomena that the toy theory **fails to reproduce, notably, violations of Bell inequalities** and the existence of a Kochen-Specker theorem, provides clues for how to proceed with this research program.DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.75.032110 **Evidence for the epistemic view of quantum states A toy theory Phys Rev A 75, 032110 – Published 19 March 2007 Robert W. Spekkens In such specialized and autonomous areas, it is to the eminent and erudite authors to interpret the contradistinctions and contradictions' in the dove tailing explaining. This I feel would be yeoman service in the creation of Nature's general ledger. M. S. Leifer and O. J. E. Maroney** examine the relationship between quantum contextuality (in both the standard Kochen-Specker sense and in the generalized sense proposed by Spekkens) and models of quantum theory in which the quantum state is maximally epistemic. We find that preparation noncontextual models must be maximally epistemic, and these in turn must be Kochen-Specker noncontextual. This implies that the Kochen-Specker theorem is sufficient to establish both the impossibility of maximally epistemic models and the impossibility of preparation noncontextual models. The implication from preparation noncontextual to maximally epistemic then also yields a proof of Bell's theorem from an Einstein-Podolsky-Rosen-like argument. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.120401 Received 25 August 2012Published 20 March 2013 © 2013 American Physical Society **Maximally Epistemic Interpretations of the Quantum State and Contextuality Phys. Rev. Lett 110, 120401 – Published 20 March 2013 M. S. Leifer and O. J. E. Maroney.** Epistemological, onitic and ontological aspects particularly of quantum mechanics have invited profound thinkers to contribute their mite to the growing literature on the subject, which includes the contributions from the subterranean realm and ceratoid dualism of philosophy like that Chaos theory of Deleuze, Derrida , Kierkegaard and others. **Andrei Khrennikov** shows that the Dirac-von Neumann formalism for quantum mechanics can be obtained as an approximation of classical statistical field theory. This approximation is based on the Taylor

expansion (up to terms of the second order) of classical physical variables – maps f: Ω → R, where Ω is the infinite-dimensional Hilbert space. The space of classical statistical states consists of Gaussian measures ρ on Ω having zero mean value and dispersion σ2 (ρ) ≈ h. This viewpoint to the conventional quantum formalism gives the possibility to create generalized quantum formalisms based on expansions of classical physical variables in the Taylor series up to terms of nth order and considering statistical states ρ having dispersion σ2(ρ) = h n (for n = 2 we obtain the conventional quantum formalism). **December 2005, Volume 18, Issue 7, pp 637-650 Date: 28 Nov 2005 Generalizations of Quantum Mechanics Induced by Classical Statistical Field Theory Andrei Khrennikov** It is widely accepted that consciousness or, in other words, mental activity is in some way correlated to the behavior of the brain or, in other words, material brain activity. Since quantum theory is the most fundamental theory of matter that is currently available, it is a legitimate question to ask whether quantum theory can help us to understand consciousness. Several approaches answering this question affirmatively, proposed in recent decades, will be surveyed. It will be pointed out that they make different epistemological assumptions, refer to different neurophysiological levels of description, and adopt quantum theory in different ways. For each of the approaches discussed, these imply both problematic and promising features which will be indicated. **Discrete Dynamics in Nature and Society Volume 2004 (2004), Issue 1, Pages 51-73 http://dx.doi.org/10.1155/S102602260440106X Quantum theory and consciousness: an overview with selected examples Harald Atmanspacher Copyright © 2004 Hindawi Publishing Corporation. This is an open access article distributed under the Creative Commons Attribution License, which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.** Another thought provoking and investigatory article is by Peter G. Lewis et al. Perhaps the quantum state represents information about reality, and not reality directly. Wave function collapse is then possibly no more mysterious than a Bayesian update of a probability distribution given new data. **Peter G. Lewis et al** consider models for quantum systems with measurement outcomes determined by an underlying physical state of the system but where several quantum states are consistent with a single underlying state—i.e., probability distributions for distinct quantum states overlap. Significantly, they demonstrate by example that additional assumptions are always necessary to rule out such a model. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.150404 **Distinct Quantum States Can Be Compatible with a Single State of Reality Phys. Rev. Lett. 109, 150404 – Published 9 October 2012 Peter G. Lewis et al** Communication complexity of a quantum channel is the minimal amount of classical communication required for classically simulating a process of state preparation, transmission through the channel and subsequent measurement. It establishes and perpetuates a limit on the power of quantum communication in terms of classical resources. **Alberto Montina** shows that classical simulations employing a finite amount of communication can be derived from a special class of hidden variable theories where quantum states represent statistical knowledge about the classical state and not an element of reality. This special class has attracted strong interest very recently. The communication cost of each derived simulation is given by the mutual information between the quantum state and the classical state of the parent hidden variable theory. Finally, find that the communication complexity for single qubits is smaller than 1.28 bits. The previous known upper bound was 1.85 bits. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.109.110501 **Epistemic View of Quantum States and Communication Complexity of Quantum Channels Phys. Rev. Lett 109, 110501 – Published 12 September 2012 Alberto Montina for models see elsewhere .** Stability analysis of what? Quantum state representing reality or our knowledge of reality? Does the quantum state represent reality or our knowledge of reality? In making this distinction precise, **Nicholas Harrigan, Robert W. Spekkens** are led to a novel classification of hidden variable models of quantum theory. They show that representatives of each class can be found among existing constructions for two-dimensional Hilbert spaces. Approach also provides a fruitful new perspective on arguments for the nonlocality and incompleteness of quantum theory. Specifically, **Nicholas Harrigan, Robert W. Spekkens** show that for models wherein the quantum state has the status of something real, the failure of locality can be established through an argument considerably more straightforward than Bell's theorem. The historical significance of this result becomes evident when one recognizes that the same reasoning is present in Einstein's preferred argument for incompleteness, which dates back to 1935. This fact suggests that Einstein was seeking not just any completion of quantum theory, but one wherein quantum states are solely representative of our knowledge. Hypothesis is

supported by an analysis of Einstein's attempts to clarify his views on quantum theory and the circumstance of his otherwise puzzling abandonment of an even simpler argument for incompleteness from 1927. **February 2010, Volume 40, Issue 2, pp 125-157 Date: 09 Jan 2010 Einstein, Incompleteness, and the Epistemic View of Quantum States Nicholas Harrigan, Robert W. Spekkens** statistical model of the probabilistic description of physical reality has been studied by many authors. **Andrei Khrennikov posits** a contextual statistical model of the probabilistic description of physical reality. Here contexts (complexes of physical conditions) are considered as basic elements of reality. There is discussed the relation with QM. He proposes a realistic analogue of Bohr's principle of complementarity. In the opposite of the Bohr's principle, **Andrei Khrennikov** principle has no direct relation with mutual exclusivity for observables. To distinguish his principle from the Bohr's principle and to give better characterization, he changes the terminology and speaks about supplementarity, instead of complementarity. Supplementarity is based on the interference of probabilities. It has quantitative expression trough a coefficient which can be easily calculated from experimental statistical data. There is need not appeal to the Hilbert space formalism and noncommutativity of operators representing observables. Moreover, in our model there exists a pair of supplementary observables which cannot be represented in the complex Hilbert space. There are discussed applications of the principle of supplementarity outside quantum physics. **October 2005, Volume 35, Issue 10, pp 1655-1693 The Principle of Supplementarity: A Contextual Probabilistic Viewpoint to Complementarity, the Interference of Probabilities and Incompatibility of Variables in Quantum Mechanics Andrei Khrennikov Foundations of Physics** Description of the vacuum in Yang-Mills theory and arrive at a physical interpretation of the pseudoparticle solution and the attendant violation of symmetries has been done by **R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi.** It is here vaccuum stability forms the bastion, pillar, post and stylobate of the mechanisms of yang mills Theory and action of a particle. The existence of topologically inequivalent classical gauge fields gives rise to a family of quantum mechanical vacua, parametrized by a CP-nonconserving angle. The requirement of **vacuum stability** against gauge transformations renders the vacua chirally noninvariant. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.37.172 **Vacuum Periodicity in a Yang-Mills Quantum Theory Phys. Rev. Lett 37, 172 – Published 19 July 1976 R. Jackiw and C. Rebbi.** Three-dimensional Yang-Mills and gravity theories augmented by gauge-invariant mass terms are analyzed. These topologically nontrivial additions profoundly alter the particle content of the models and lead to quantization of a dimensionless mass-coupling-constant ratio. The vector field excitations become massive, with spin 1 (rather than massless with spin 0), and the mass provides an infrared cutoff. The gravitation acquires mass, mediates finite-range interactions, and has spin 2 (rather than being absent altogether); although its mass term is of third derivative order, there are no ghosts or acausalities. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.48.975 © 1982 The American Physical Society **Three-Dimensional Massive Gauge Theories Phys. Rev. Lett 48, 975 – Published 12 April 1982 S. Deser et al** All scattering amplitudes in the maximally supersymmetric N=4 super-Yang–Mills theory possess a new, dual superconformal symmetry which extends the previously discovered dual conformal symmetry of MHV amplitudes. To reveal this property we formulate the scattering amplitudes as functions on the appropriate dual superspace. Rewritten in this form, all tree-level MHV and next-to-MHV amplitudes exhibit manifest dual superconformal symmetry. We propose a new, compact and Lorentz covariant formula for the tree-level NMHV amplitudes for arbitrary numbers and types of external particles. The dual superconformal symmetry is broken at loop level by infrared divergences. However, we provide evidence that the dual conformal anomaly of the MHV and NMHV superamplitudes is the same and, therefore, their ratio is dual conformally invariant. We show this explicitly for the six-particle amplitudes at one loop. Authors conjecture that these properties hold for all, MHV and non-MHV, superamplitudes in N=4 SYM both at weak and at strong coupling. **Nuclear Physics B Volume 828, Issues 1–2, 21 March 2010, Pages 317–374 Dual superconformal symmetry of scattering amplitudes in N=4 super-Yang–Mills theory J.M. Drummonda DOI: 10.1016/j.nuclphysb.2009.11.022.** By comparison with numerical results in the maximal Abelian projection of lattice Yang–Mills theory, it is argued that the nonperturbative dynamics of Yang Mills theory can be described by a set of fields that take their values in the coset space SU(2)/U(1). The Yang–Mills connection is parameterized in a special way to separate the dependence on the coset field. The coset field is then regarded as a collective variable, and a method to obtain its effective action is developed. It is argued that the physical excitations of the effective action may be knot solitons. A procedure to calculate the mass scale of knot solitons is discussed for lattice gauge

theories in the maximal Abelian projection. The approach is extended to the SU (N) Yang–Mills theory. A relation between the large N limit and the monopole dominance is pointed out. **Physics Letters B Volume 458, Issues 2–3, 8 July 1999, Pages 322–330 An effective action for monopoles and knot solitons in Yang–Mills theory Sergei V. Shabanov DOI: 10.1016/S0370-2693(99)00612-7.** It is here the quintessential formulation of consciousness occurs. Like a man standing on the threshold of infinity trying to ponder what lies beyond the veil, which separates the seen from unseen a true seeker tries to lift the individual general ledger to the cosmic general ledger. Like in Darwinian evolution, mind also has to evolve from individual consciousness to cosmic consciousness. In Kaishmiri Shaivism one withdraws from the finite to the infinite, but one also goes on an outward journey from the infinite to the finite, because both the finite and the infinite have an intimate connection. The finite is not seen as unreal, but as a symbol of the infinite. There is no real distinction between them. Those two movements constitute Spanda, a key concept in Kaishmiri Shaivism. Spanda is the pulsation of the Absolute in different phases of being. There are no opposites like subject and object, unity or duality, absolute or relative. They are just different phases of the universal vibration of the Absolute. The goal is to realize or be at once infinite and finite. One does not turn away from appearances (like in Advaita Vedanta), but one realizes that the Absolute manifests all things. Spanda, the eternal pulsation of the Absolute, oscillates between a passion to create and dispassion from the created. Through it the Absolute transform itself into all things and then returns back into the emptiness of its undifferentiated nature. In Kaishmiri Shaivism the Absolute is seen as pure consciousness (=being). The Absolute is an eternal all-pervasive principle, the highest reality, the nature of all entities eternally and blissfully at rest within its own nature. The Absolute is the nature of the Self (and thus of us all). The Absolute is divine, it is Shiva, the Lord of the Universe. It is full of conscious activity through which it generates the universe, and reabsorbs it into itself at the end of each cycle of creation. Thus we speak of monism, as everything resides within this one absolute consciousness. It sustains all things, it embraces all things, and it pervades all things. All things are appearances within the absolute consciousness, but nevertheless real (in contrast to Advaita Vedanta where appearances are seen as unreal or illusionary). All things appear external (out there, outside ourselves), but they do not have a being on their own. They do not exist as separate entities on their own. Everything is contained within consciousness. What we see as objects are manifestations of consciousness. The event which constitutes the universe is always internal events happening within consciousness because their essential nature is consciousness itself. If a physical object were totally material, and independent or external to consciousness, it could never be experienced. The universe and consciousness are two aspects of a whole. The universe is an attribute of consciousness which bears consciousness as its substance. Doctrine of Vibration: S.G.Dyczkowski What you "see" is not always what you "get." Many people mistakenly take their own visions literally without "seeing through" the various possibilities that are outside their belief system, knowledge or skill base. Deeper reality is not remote in the physical sense but in a psychological sense. The archetypes of the collective unconscious are arrayed behind the scenes of current worldwide conditions, of crisis and confusion. They mirror our own states back at us, whether we perceive them as such or interpret them plausibly or not. The noise of ordinary consciousness and beliefs drowns out the signal. Unconsciousness is the background of our ordinary awareness. Our organism is very much at the center of such effects. The organismic source is our human bodies and the focus of human consciousness. The fantasy principle dethrones reality, but can be dissociative or compensatory. The human mind is a meme-Scape. Pre-conceived concepts vie with structures, concepts with images. Like scientists who ignore assumed truths, we leapfrog over our beliefs and personality deficits, claiming idiosyncratic imagination is literal reality. It couldn't be further from the truth and symbolism is utterly lost. The metaphor that might heal us enslaves us. Perhaps images like the holographic universe have an implicate order. Can we have a sense of the cosmos in the world without projecting myriad fantasies on it that we embrace literally? Has the world become so horrible it is unreasonable to be realistic? We may need to look at our drives and wishes, rather than the fantasy content. Psyche constructs reality. Our experience of so-called reality is always mediated by our image of it. Even if all the contents of the psyche are real, that doesn't mean they are realistic. That psyche is real is still a radical proposition, but psychic politics certainly color the self-image and ideas of everyone. We observe and participate with images It is not a question of nature or nurture (genes alone or experience alone). Rather, everything is both. We inherit the structures that make our experience what it is. But the structure itself is “empty,” and each human culture “fills” it with its own specific adaptations. It is difficult to define

an archetype and set boundaries that distinguish it from others. In a hologram each part contains all the information but in lower resolution. Archetypes have this holographic quality. There are patterns within patterns within patterns. Some overlap with others, and some are nested inside others. Archetypal realities, passed on through DNA, are expressed in distinctive neuronal tracts in the brain. They include customs and laws regarding property, incest, marriage, kinship, and social status or roles; myths and legends; beliefs about the supernatural; gambling, adultery, homicide, schizophrenia, and the therapies to deal with them. A mythic and visionary language of immediate experience encompasses themes of deepest, highest, and ultimate concern. Most fantasy-based individuals are at a complete loss to coherently explain their own conventional behavior much less anomalous events and their deep meaning, much less the cultural unconscious or mythological unconscious matrix. But they try, and become utterly entrenched in their belief that they are right about the nature of the world and reality. We have pseudo-memories about our personal lives. Why not more so for our collective life? The subject matter often revolves around catastrophe, creation and the mythopoeic forces of mankind. Ignorant of such dynamics, interpretive mistakes and displaced psychic contents proliferate into errors of fact. Propaganda, media distortions, memes, and disinformation compound the social problem of misapprehension further. Shameless self-promotion by personalities of such ideas leads to cults. They make up myths about the myths of by-gone eras. Roiling unconscious images can be fatally confusing. Thought illusions culminate in projections and projections of mythology. Jung suggested symbols live only as long as they are pregnant with meaning. Philosophy arose from criticism of myth, from discussing and challenging it. In science, we criticize, reject and eliminate theories. At the edge of the abyss of the unknown, new signs and symbols emerge. Credible theories and paradigms must include biology, physics, and neurophysiology. One of the reasons people "see God", or a guru, or anomalies may be because our brains are constructed to see reality through the eyes of others. There are heaps of mirror neurons which are there to make us feel the 'other'. Mirror neurons do for psychology what DNA did for biology. They provide a unifying framework and help explain a host of mental abilities. As in the psychochemical processes of empathy or falling in love, a complex feedback loop sustains a state of mind. But when we empathically transpose ourselves into someone else's position, we expose ourselves to that reality -- cognitively and emotionally. The unconscious complicates empathy, both ways. Mirror neurons might well play a role in bonding, language and self-awareness. Naively, we take too much as self-evident. But 'seeing' does not always ‘believe', though many make this error or leap in logic and formulate their choices and future accordingly. Yet, there is only one way to learn what consciousness is. Experience. But we have no satisfactory explanatory edifice for consciousness. Would such a theory release in each of us our own inner knowledge of the creativity of our own consciousness, and its infinite possibilities? The problem is trying to define a verb, a dynamic, as if it were a noun. But we do recognize the effect of consciousness. It functions to mediate states of consciousness, high and low psychobiological arousal. Consciousness is the subconscious lifted up by the physical body. When the body fails, the consciousness collapses back into the subconscious. All our thoughts come from the subconscious which can see our intentions but not our world. This relates somehow to intention being imaginary and not of the physical frictionized world (King). Gerald Edelman postulates that the flows of information in the brain are mediated through ‘re-entrant' feedback loops. As evolution provides new cognitive functions, new re-entrant loops are established. Even language itself is an archetype -- a chaotic field of dynamic associations. A subtle net of tropes, grammar, symbols, and meaning, the program language begins in limbic resonance. Some phenomena generate their own language patterns, nomenclature, and internal coherence of meaning and representation. In a holographic universe, even time and space could no longer be viewed as fundamentals. Because concepts such as location break down in a universe in which nothing is truly separate from anything else, time and three-dimensional space would also have to be viewed as projections of this deeper order. At its deeper level reality is a sort of super hologram in which the past, present, and future all exist simultaneously. This suggests that given the proper tools it might even be possible to someday reach into the super holographic level of reality and pluck out scenes from the long-forgotten past. Or not. A fantasy of such penetration or phenomenon inside the head is not the same as that penetration. **Jung in the 21st Century: Synchronicity and science By John Ryan Haule Mind Control Countermeasures.** The lower domain of experience is what we do not directly observe, the quantum realm, while the higher level is what we ordinarily observe, the classical realm. Based purely on experiment, the formulation of quantum theory initially placed the great divide between the quantum and classical domains between

the measuring apparatus and the particle (Herbert, 1985). However, in the early 1930s, John von Neumann, in his rigorous mathematical treatise on quantum mechanics, found no support for such a division, compelling him to conclude that the wave for was collapsed by consciousness. Von Neumann's treatise has been called the "quantum bible" and "the most influential book on quantum theory ever written" (Herbert, 1985). The von Neumann formulation leads us to conclude that the lower realms of quantum reality form a virtually limitless array of potentials that are inherently incapable of realization without the observation of a knowing entity, which we identify with consciousness (Kafatos and Nadeau, 1990). We thus have a correspondence between quantum theory, the hierarchical structure implied by the Holographic Principle, and the higher orders of experience, leading to the full expression of the Conscious Universe. David Bohm's theory of the implicate and explicate orders involves a holographic Principle that is fully consistent with the Holographic Principle discussed here (Bohm 1980; 1986; Bohm and Hiley, 1993). According to Bohm, there is an implicate order that represents the universal, holographic subtext of reality, and which unfolds in every moment to produce the explicate order that we all observe. Thomas Germinario (2004) has equated the implicate order with the unconscious process, and the explicate order with conscious process. He emphasizes the nature of dreams within the implicate order, and the importance of the dream work for maintaining a healthy mind through integration of the implicate order and our daily lives. Allan Combs and Mark Holland (1990) connected the implicate order or holomovement (Bohm, 1980) with Carl Jung is theory of synchronicity (Jung and Pauli, 1955), with the implicate order providing a holographic medium through which apparently disconnected individuals become connected. The principle of synchronicity, the instantaneous connection of people and events beyond the senses, has been equated with the quantum-physical principle of non-locality (Combs and Holland, 1990; Germine, 1991), and has been proposed to be the fundamental mechanism of conscious process (Germine, 1991).Applying the Holographic Principle theory of mind, subjects may be connected synchronously in the manner of two individuals conversing through cellular phones, where the radio signals are transmitted through a distant satellite. The difference here is that the radio signals travel at the speed of light, and thus the there is some miniscule time lapse between the sending and receiving ends. The Holographic Principle implies a much more distant and instantaneous communication pathway, with the analogue of the satellite being the holographic boundary of the Universe, as well as a much richer communication, potentially involving a transmission of thoughts and feelings. Our ego-consciousness seems to mask the universal relatedness implied by the Holographic Principle, and it is perhaps only through transcendence of the ego-consciousness that the higher orders of experience can become conscious. In the phenomenon of synchronicity, there seems to be a meaningful connection between individuals that breaks through the barrier of ego-consciousness. Such a connection is reported by many individuals in the course of dreams, when the ego-consciousness has been suspended, at times informing the dreamer of something that has happened in the life of a meaningfully-connected individual. **The Holographic Principle Theory of Mind MARK GERMINE Institute for Psycho science** Impassioned-intense Connectionist neuroscience, in an effort to attain relevance to clinical practice, has recently given us a theory of the relationship of the unconscious to consciousness which is dualistic (Viamontes and Beitman, 2007), an ad hoc theory of conclusions based on assumptions, the most erroneous of which are that representations of information are information, and that such representations elaborate themselves through a process of representations of representations in neuronal circuits (Bechtel and Abrahamsen,1991). As theory, the connectionist models are lacking heuristic value and **are inimical to the progress** of basic and clinical neuroscience. This is not to denigrate or minimize the importance of neuronal connections, but these connections are rather like the wires in a radio that plays a symphony. The radio, in and of itself, is incapable of playing the symphony. The radio doesn't do not write the symphony, nor does it broadcast the Passionate-raging symphony over the airways. Yet, if we loosen one wire, the symphony is not manifested through the radio. The brain is not subject to the same vulnerability, in that it has parallel and redundant networks of processing, and, it is in this sense that the connectivity of the brain becomes important. Connectionism, to the extent that it accepts consciousness and the unconscious at all, attributes them to separate groups of "circuits" in the brain, with consciousness-processing circuits having a limited but detailed ability to analyze information, and unconscious-processing processing more information in less detail (Viamontes and Beitman, 2007). There is an element of truth in this view in that information that has importance and meaning resonates within the brain at higher levels of experience and at higher levels of holographic recursion. This

resonance involves what we know, what we feel, and what we are capable and willing to consciously realize. The Universe, as William Blake noted, can be seen in a grain of sand. Indeed, the grain of sand requires the Universe to exist. The human organism requires the Self to exist. The Self is not a simple amalgam of sensory experiences, as suggested in the connectionist model (Blinder, 2007). This amalgam view of the Self can be damaging when applied to psychotherapy, as the connectionists suggest (Blinder, 2007). The Self is ours forever and ever, from eternity to eternity. As Milton suggested, the mind is its own place. Our deepest purpose is for our minds to resonate with the supra-conscious levels of experience, much as it is purpose of the radio to play the symphony. If the symphony is not to our liking, we can turn the radio off. So, perhaps, the transpersonal consciousness can turn our own individual and collective radios off, or perhaps turn the volume down, if we are not in harmony with the Universal Mind. Physics has given us many enigmas. Quantum theory itself is an enigma. But some of the enigmas seem to involve the limitation of our own abilities of discernment. The thought experiment of "Schrödinger's Cat," as it is often interpreted (e.g. Gribbin, 1984), assumes that the cat is not conscious, and is incapable of observation in the context of collapse of the wave function. This is due, in large part, to the false dichotomy of information and experience. Our observation of other animals indicates, to those that are attuned to the animal mind, who can "feel" the animal mind, that, at the very least, all mammals are conscious. It would seem that the great neuropsychiatrist, Stanley Cobb (1948), may have been correct in his attribution of levels of consciousness to a wide variety of organisms: "...lower animals with no cerebrum appear to be conscious...even plants such as the sunflower that turns towards strong light may have a vague awareness or warmth and comfort. There are many degrees of consciousness and it is my contention that it is integrated at many levels like other important functions of the central nervous system."With respect to the theory of mind, recent mainstream thinking remains classical and mechanistic. Such views of mind are advocated by our most in prominent neuroscientists (e.g. Changeux, 1985; Gazzaniga, 1985) as neuroscience research attempts to explain all mental function on the basis of pure mechanism and the localized function of specific areas of the brain. Francis Crick, the distinguished Nobel Laureate, had a very influential second career as a neuroscientist. He concluded that we are basically soulless creatures, that all mental processes could be reduced to identifiable, neural correlates in the brain, and that, in fact, we are nothing but a collection of neurons (Crick, 1995). Crick even went so far as to say that what we call the soul is a group of neurons located in the prefrontal lobes of the brain. Another Nobel Laureate, Gerald Edelman (1987), has written a critically acclaimed book on "neural Darwinism," which purports that the brain is "circuitry" is constructed through a developmental process of "survival of the fittest" neurons and neuronal connections. At the same time the total purposelessness and lack of direction in evolution is expounded, again to critical acclaim, by Richard Dawkins (1986). The idea that there essentially no self or soul imbued with agency and self determination was expounded by Gilbert Ryle (1949/2002) with his parody of the "ghost in the machine," and this work continues to be influential among many scholars of the mind. Philosophers such as Patricia Churchland (1986), who coined the term "neurophilosophy," take an "eliminative materialist" view of belief, free will, and consciousness. Other philosophers, such as Daniel Dennett (1991) would make experience "epiphenomenal," a by-product of brain processes with no effect whatsoever on the function of mind. However, unlike neuroscience, which is still in its infancy, the philosophy of mind has had a long history, including such notable figures as Plato, Aristotle, Descartes, Kant, Locke, Hume, Kierkegaard, and James, to name just a few. Perhaps, as noted by Ervin Laszlo (1974): "in today's world, most of the traditional functions of cognitive synthesis have atrophied and are ignored and neglected." Hopefully, what we have presented here will be a step in the right direction for what Laszlo calls a "conceptual synthesis" to help fill the need for meaningful engagement is such a world. The fundamental elements of such a synthesis have been described by Laszlo as follows: Conceptual synthesis performs at least five basic functions in the guidance of affairs. They are the mystical, the cosmological, the sociological, the pedagogical or psychological, and the editorial functions. The mystical function inspires in man a sense of mystery and profound meaning related to the universe and of himself in it. The cosmological function forms images of the universe in accord with local knowledge and experience, enabling men to describe and identify the structure of the universe and the forces of nature. The sociological function validates supports and enforces social order, representing it in accord with the nature of the universe, or as the natural or right form of social organization. The pedagogical or psychological function guides individuals through stages of life, teaching ways of understanding themselves and others and presenting

desirable responses to life is challenges and trials. Finally, the editorial function of conceptual is to define some aspects of reality as important and credible and hence to be attended to, and other aspects unworthy of serious attention. **The Holographic Principle Theory of Mind MARK GERMINE Institute for Psycho science** Kant sums up this inversion and its spirit early in the Critique of Pure Reason: Up to now it has been assumed that all our cognition must conform to the objects; but all attempts to find something about them a priori through concepts that would extend our cognition have, on this presupposition, come to nothing. Hence let us once try whether we do not get farther with the problems of metaphysics by assuming that the objects must conform to our cognition, which would agree better with the requested possibility of an a priori cognition of them, which is to establish something about objects before they are given to us. This would be just like the first thoughts of Copernicus, who, when he did not make good progress in the explanation of the celestial motions if he assumed that the entire celestial host revolves around the observer, tried to see if he might not have greater success if he made the observer revolve and left the stars at rest. (B xvi) Onticology, like all variations of object-oriented ontology, is realist in its orientation. In defending a realist ontology onticology holds that the vast majorities of objects, actants, beings, or entities are independent of humans and are what they are regardless of whether any humans regard them or register them. In short, onticology rejects any anthropomorphic, idealist, or anti-realist thesis to the effect that to be is to be the correlate of mind, spirit, the body, the human, and language or otherwise. While it is certainly the case that knowledge is necessarily dependent on the object to which it relates, the converse does not hold true. Objects are not dependent on being known, regarded, perceived, or spoken about. As such, and to put it in Aristotlean terms, knowledge is an accident of objects, not objects an accident of knowledge. As Althusser so nicely puts it, "[n]o doubt there is a relation between thought-about-the-real and thisreal, but it is a relation of knowledge, a relation of adequacy or inadequacy of knowledge, not a real relation, meaning by this a relation inscribed in that real of which the thought is the (adequate or inadequate) knowledge" (Reading Capital, 96). Althusser goes on to remark that "[t]he distinction between a relation of knowledge and a relation of the real is a fundamental one: if we did not respect it we should fall irreversibly into either speculative or empiricist idealism" (ibid.). Onticology categorically endorses Althusser's verdict. It is a fundamental necessity to distinguish between those relations that belong to the object and those that belong to knowledge. Contemporary philosophy continuously confuses these two very different sorts of relations. Naturally the question arises of how it is possible to surmount our relation to the object so as to determine whether objects themselves possess the properties we encounter in relating to objects. In other words, given that we can only ever relate to the object in relating to the object how is it possible to surmount this relation to get at the being of the object itself? Much more will have to be said about this later– and the answers will be surprising with respect to standard prejudices about realism –however, for the moment it can be said that onticology takes its epistemological inspiration from the transcendental realism of Roy Bhaskar. Among other things, Bhaskar sought to provide a transcendental grounding for the sciences. Insofar as onticology defends the thesis that the field of being is much more vast than the field of objects investigated by the natural sciences, it parts way with the thesis that the domain of being is exhausted by the domain of natural objects. However, the general form of Bhaskar's argument holds for our realist purposes. A transcendental argument seeks to elucidate the conditions under which certain acknowledged practices and forms of cognition are possible. Kant, for example, asked what must be the case for mathematical judgments to be possible. How is it both that we are able to extend our knowledge, as if by magic, through mathematical judgments and, more significantly, that these judgments are able to provide genuine knowledge of the world despite the fact that these forms of reasoning are not based on experience? Part of Kant's argument consisted in claiming that mind imposes the forms of space and time on the data of experience. In other words, space and time are not attributes of being itself but rather of the mind that regards being. Insofar, Kant argues, as mathematics is ultimately a rumination on the nature of space and time taken in their most abstract form and insofar as the mind imposes space and time on the manifold of sensation, it thus follows that a priori judgments about the nature of spatio-temporal relations are possible that anticipate the structure of actual-space times without directly experiencing these space-times. Why? Because any manifold of sensation must necessarily be structured by these forms imposed by intuition. **Onticology– A Manifesto for Object-Oriented Ontology Part I Posted by larval subjects under Object-Oriented Philosophy My Question Is This: You Say, "Granted There Are Deeper And Deeper And More Primitive Forms Of "Knowledge" Or Abstraction Into Mechanism, But I**

Wouldn't Say That The Atomic Level Brings A New Epistemic World Into View." But Doesn't Any Kind Of Knowledge, However Primitive, Imply Bringing Some Kind Of Epistemic World Into View Or Proto-View? Joel: David, Yes Exactly. My Question Is, Just How Far Down The Complexity Gradient Can The Meaning Of 'Knowledge,' Or 'Semiotics, Or Specifically Representation (Maya) Be Stretched Without Breaking? I Can Comfortably Stretch It To The Genetic Level, As The Proto-Epistemic, Where Genetic Codes 'Represent' Phenotypic Structures Or Modes, And The Whole Of Evolution Learns From Its Mistakes And Successes As That Knowledge And History Is Encoded Into And As The Recapitulation Of The Embryogenesis Of The Organism. But An Atom, In My Model, While Certainly Reacting To Its Environment From Its Own Attractor, Essence, And 'Interiority' (Prehension) Isn't Making Representations Of It To Do So. But The Key To The Power And 'Essence' Of Representation (And Simultaneously Its Limitation) Is The Capacity Of Abstraction And Choice. Evolution Does This At A Rudimentary Level (And Anthropomorphizing A Bit) With Its Sending Into The World Its 'Random' Variations, Organismic Ideas, In A Sense. Its 'Mind' Is Literally On The Outside As Our Living World And Biosphere. A 'Choice' Is Made In The Biospheric Interiority, And Knowledge For The Evolutionary/Embryogenetic Trajectory Is Gained By The "Differential Reproductive Success" Of These Individuals Lives Through Time, As The Increasing Intelligence, Representation, And Capacity For Choice Is Directly Injected Into The Flow Through Sexual Selection. But Where Is The Encoded/Abstracted (Enfolded) Representation Of Options And A Choice Among Them Made At The Atomic Level? I Am Working With The Most Cutting-Edge And Coherent Models For The Atom (Including My Own) That I Can Find Among The Heterodoxy, And I See No Evidence Of Representation And Choice At This Level, Or Rather No Real Way To Further Stretch The Meaning Of The Terms To This Level. Sure There Is Uncertainty From Infinite Difference And Immanent Causation (See Bohm On Infinite Causation), But Without Representation There Really Is No Choice (Although Crudely We Could Call A 'Bifurcation' A 'Choice' By The System As A Whole). So I Am Simply Saying That Representation At The Atomic Level Is Entirely Enfolded And These Are Really Just Ontic Level Phenomena. The Atomic Level, In My View, Is Pre- Not Yet Proto-Epistemic, Which I Place At The Genetic Level Where We Find The First Codes And Primitive Representation. This Just Means That There Is A Real Distinction Between Ontic And Epistemic (And A Gradient Between Them) And We Can't Collapse The Two Into Just The Ontic-Epistemic. And Yet, With The Distinction Intact, And The Gradient To Explore, They Remain Nondual, Univocal, ONE Or Ontic.What I Would Say Exists At All Levels (My Own Self-Aware Myth About The Given) Is What I Call The "Symbiogenesis Of Subject And Object". This Is The Spinozan Essence Of Dynamic Stability And Growth, And Leibnizian Prehension. Also Called The "Nucleation Of Observability" In Spinbitz. It Is From This Nucleus Of The Observer (Fuller) In A "Point-Free Geometry" (Whitehead) Of Pointless "Points Of View" As Conditions Of Boundary That Wilber's "All Is Perspective" Finds Its Ontic Grounding. And This Symbiogenesis Is Also A Rudimentary Or Deep-Level Native Or Primitive Intelligence, Evolution At The Involution Of The Subject-Object Interface. It Is An Exploration Of Creative Learning And Direct Immanent Awareness, Unmediated (Enfolded) By Representational Forms (Unfolded). It Just Is, In Waves (Cosmic Vertebrae) Before It Abstracts, Represents And Incarnates The Flesh Of What Could Be. Matter Is Enfolded Seed Of The Flower Of Its Abstraction. Maya Is This Depth And Recursion Of Brahma Into And Through The Boundary Conditions Of Abstract Relation. And Brahma Is The Span Of The Emergent Rigor Of The Cosmic Ergodic Spine That Opens The Recursions Into The Field Of Infinite Difference. In Immanent-Transcendent Waves, Literally And Empirically A Limit-Cycle In Ergodicity, It Enfolds And Unfolds From Anatom To Anatomy, From Infinite Intelligence Or Omnirelational Awareness Of Self, Through The Representational Depths Of Self As Self-Consciousness. Omni-Evolution, Trans-Dynamics, And The Anatom Posted On February 21, 2013A Discussion With Tom Huston, Part II The Following Is Part 2 Of An Excerpt From A Discussion Initiated By Tom Huston On His Facebook Page, Edited And Embellished For Clarity. Find Part One, Here. In This Part We Dig Into The Nature Of The "Anatom" And The "Ergodic Spine" Of An Electro-Fractal Cosmos, And Into The Trans-Dynamic Integration Between Being And Becoming, And The X-Interface−The Crossroads Of The Ontic-Epistemic (Brahma And Maya) And The Subject-Object Polarities. Univocal_Dynamics_V1 Tom Huston Is A Founding Member Of Integral Institute And A Former Editor Of Enlighten Next Magazine. His Webpage Is Tomhuston.Com. David Marshall Is A Writer And Editor Living In Chicago. No meaning, value, or normativity to be found in nature, that there is nothing **natural beings ought to be,**

but that, rather, these judgments arise from us (See last post of larval subjects) which has led some to raise valuable questions about the coherence of these claims. The problem arises when the following three propositions are taken together: 1. there is nothing outside of nature.2. Beings have no intrinsic meaning, purpose, or value (in and of themselves, there's nothing they ought to be). 3. Value judgments about what beings and being ought to be arise from us and beings like us (bonobo apes, dolphins, institutions, birds of paradise, etc). The problem arises between proposition 1 and 3. How can it both be true that there is nothing outside of being and those normative judgments belong to us and other beings capable of making normative judgments, not nature? The problem arises from restricting these judgments to humans and beings capable of making these judgments. In making such a claim it seems as if we're saying that there's something outside of nature, something that is beyond nature, thereby violating the first thesis and potentially reintroducing the nature/culture distinction. By contrast, if we say that normative judgments are the special domain of those living beings with the proper degree of sentience to make such judgments, then we seem to reintroduce the nature/culture distinction and fall back into the sorts of problems that I outlined in my last post (and that are so nicely critiqued by thinkers such as Latour). Is there a way out of this? I don't know. Great_Chain_of_Being_2_ (lighter) ASIDE: It seems to me that this is really what the debate between realism and anti-realism, realism and socio-linguistic constructivism surrounding the new materialisms and speculative realists has really been all about. It's very easy to treat this as an abstract, academic debate: "Are you a realist or are you an anti-realist?", as if it were just a matter of what happens to be true. But it seems to me that this debate has, in reality, always been about politics. As I outlined in my last post, we have perpetually seen how appeals to the real and natural have been used in the name of oppressive power, inscribing both the exploitation of nature and the oppression of various people in the very fabric of being itself. Theistic theology and realist ontology have perpetually been used in the name of what Deleuze called "State Philosophies" or philosophies that ontologize contingent orders of power and privilege (e.g., "the great chain of being" used to justify patriarchy, monarchy, serfdom, poverty, etc, and appeals to nature used to justify poverty and racial inequality (The Bell Curve), patriarchy (evolutionary psychology), heteronormativity, capitalism, etc). Because arrangements of power and inequality are always contingent in the sense that there's no marked difference in the capacities of peoples, power always looks for a transcendent supplement that would provide justification through ontological necessity. Antirealism– from the Greek atomists to present –became the radical and emancipatory gesture because it revealed the lie behind all of these forms of social organization or their inherit contingency or arbitrariness. Realism, by contrast, has all too often functioned as an apologetics for arbitrary power and social organizations. Here it's worth recalling what Foucault said about science: "…Even before we know to what extent something like Marxism or psychoanalysis is analogous to a scientific practice in its day-to-day operations, in its rules of construction, in the concepts it uses, we should be asking the question, asking ourselves about the aspiration to power that is inherent in the claim to being a science. The question or questions that have to be asked are: "What types of knowledge are you trying to disqualify when you say that you are a science? What speaking subject, what discursive subject, what subject of experience and knowledge are you trying to minorize when you say 'I speak this discourse, I am speaking a scientific discourse, and I am a scientist.' What theoretico-political vanguard are you trying to put on the throne in order to detach it from the massive, circulating, and discontinuous forms that knowledge can take?" (Society Must Be Defended, 10). All of these questions hold equally for claims to something being real. What is one trying to minorize when claiming something is real? What becomes privileged? What is excluded? It is these questions that have been at the heart of the realism debates, for as Spencer-Brown taught us, every distinction has a marked and unmarked space, draws attention to something to be included and pushes something into the unconscious or the domain of the invisible, hidden, or veiled. This is above all the case with evocations of the real. However, as I've tried to show antirealism leads to its own problems. First, so long as we exclude real beings from our ontological inventory, we are unable to fully understand how power functions ("such and such a set of cultural formations have been thoroughly debunked, yet people still live as if they believed them"). Not only do we not fully understand the sources of the problems due to too much focus on the discursive and semiotic, but we deny ourselves valuable sites of political intervention at the level of infrastructure. Second, we are prevented from addressing things such as cultural racism, such as that found in Heidegger's privileging of the West and the Greeks and Germans in particular. We do a good job addressing biological and theological racism, heteronormativity, and sexism by showing how it is

a cultural construction, but when faced with racism such as Heidegger's where he argues that there's something "unique" about the Greek event, it's language, and about the German language, or Badiou/Zizek's racism with respect to the "Pauline Event", we really have no response. Here someone like Jared Diamond or Fernand Braud el is needed to explain global-geographical inequalities. Third, the tools of the cultural turn really do not provide us with the means of thinking the ecological as a site of the political. For this reason, I've tried to formulate a third way– which might be called "constructivist realism" or "constructivist naturalism" –that retains the insights of the cultural turn, while also allowing a robust place for the material. I don't claim to be original in this. I think that many such as Manuel DeLanda, Deleuze and Guattari, Stacy Alaimo, Karen Barad, Jane Bennett, etc., are up to something similar. It's a vast project that requires the work of a multitude of voices, especially given the way in which the culturalism pervades humanities. **The Relational and the Non-Relational: Notes towards an Immanent and Pluralist Theory of Meaning Posted by larval subjects under uncategorized k-bigpic I have deleted or reformulated some sentences for which I beg pardon attributable to spatial constraints** What is correlation, what is causation? What is coordination? What is coincidence? These thoughts have bothered me since long. I have been able to excavate some reliable material from Wikipedia which I would like to share. "All views are partial and contingent – that's the lesson of pluralism, and you say as much yourself when you say that we must be attentive to ways our own knowledge might contain superstitions. But it's not discourse that determines whether one view works and another doesn't – it's a confrontation with **non-discursive (non-human)** agencies." I wonder if part of the issue here is that we understand pluralism differently. For me this doesn't sound like pluralism at all. Rather, it just sounds like our **epistemological condition**. We [hopefully] come to understand those portions of existence we question or investigate. The reason we come to investigate them is largely contingent. Finally, the accounts of these features of existence we give can be mistaken. All of this is perfectly consistent with a monism. I'm not sure why what he outlines above is the lesson of pluralism. It seems to me that every realist knows this. It seems to me that ontological pluralism is something quite different. Ontological pluralism is the thesis that there are many different worlds inhabited by many different entities. Thus, for example, you would have one world; say that of Lucretius, that's only inhabited by atoms and their combinations. You would have another world; say the world of the Mongolian shaman, that's inhabited by spirits that do all sorts of things. The ontological pluralist is saying that these spirits are, that they exist, that they're real. This is something quite different than merely saying that there are partial and contingent points of view on the world. It's this saying that these entities are rather than that some person or groups of people believe that they are that is the nub of the issue. Now, I think part of the issue here is that there's an ambiguity in the term ontology. Ontology can be one of two things. On the one hand, ontology is a group or persons set of beliefs as to what is. Here it's trivially true that there are pluralities of ontologies and the realist readily recognizes this. This is the whole reason there are debates over ontology. Mongolian shamans have their ontology, Europeans theirs, Christian fundamentalists theirs, materialists theirs, etc. When striving to understand and communicate with others it's vital to understand these ontologies because, as rhetoricians like Burke point out, our **beliefs about what is** are among the things that motivate our action. Despite having never seen bacteria I was my hands because I believe there are bacteria and viruses on door handles and whatnot and don't want to get sick. My belief about a particular thing existing is what motivates my action. And who knows, perhaps this belief is as superstitious as the belief that the crops failed because God was displeased with my community. On the other hand, ontology is a theory **about what is.** It is making a claim that something exists. This is where the rubber hits the road. The ontological pluralist seems committed to the thesis that every group set of beliefs about what exists is sufficient for granting the existence of those entities. This is what I find objectionable in Latour. Obviously I'm not bothered by Latour's suggestion that we should take into account the role that nonhumans like speed bumps, rivers, microbes, etc., play in the form that social assemblages take. But this is not all that Latour claims. This is precisely where the philosopher might balk. Unlike the ethnographer, the philosopher is not interested in what people believe exists, but rather philosophers– at least of the realist variant –are trying to figure out what is. In other words, the realist philosopher begins with the premise that not all of these beliefs about what is are true. So for the philosopher, recognizing that Mongolian shaman's believe in the existence of shamans would only be the first step. The next step would consist in determining whether there's good reason for thinking such entities really do exist, i.e., whether there's good reason for believing these entities have mind and culture independent reality. Lest readers think that

I'm just picking on the supernatural here, we can ask similar questions about strings, subatomic particles, galaxies, etc., etc., etc. I guess the question really comes down to what exactly we mean by ontological pluralism. When we talk about ontological pluralism are we defending the thesis that people have different theories of being? If so, then ontological pluralism is trivially true. If this is what is meant, then I certainly share Jeremy's view that it's valuable to understand the different world's people believe in. Certainly when I was practicing as an analyst I didn't get in ontological debates with my patients and it was necessary to understand their theory of being or their ontology to properly attend to them. Or, when we talk about ontological pluralism are we defending the thesis that all these ontological theories are true and refer to really existing entities? That's quite a different claim and is not one I would defend or endorse. Now someone might object that "in both The Democracy of Objects and Onto-Cartography you defend the thesis that there are multiple worlds." This is true. Because I hold that not everything is related I'm led to the conclusion that there are diverse worlds. However, I also hold that however many worlds there might be, these worlds are nonetheless composed solely of material entities. Within the framework I propose I wouldn't suggest that there's one world where there are spirits and another world where there are souls and yet another where there are only material entities. My view is that there aren't spirits or souls in any of these worlds. One of the things I keep hearing in these discussions is that somehow the realist adopts a view from nowhere. I honestly don't understand this criticism. Investigation always occurs somewhere and requires all sorts of mediations involving technologies, experiments, etc. It's that labor of gathering evidence, conducting experiments, using technologies to observe the world, etc., that gradually gives us a body of data that allows us to say there's good reason to believe that such and such a thing exists and has these powers. Another charge seems to be that the realist refuses to recognize that their claims about the world are fallible. I find this charge particularly strange because it's precisely because the realist recognizes the difference between our theories of the world or what we say about the world and the world itself that fallibility is built into the core of his position. Realism doesn't mean one holds they have special access to the world, that they know all truths, or that they have the truth in hand, only that there are truths to be known and that we can be mistaken about things. Circling **Squares Philip** has a post responding to my quandaries about how to mesh realism and pluralism. He writes: Ontologically and metaphysically the idea of realist pluralism is no longer an issue. There are (appropriately) numerous variants but the basic idea that reality is itself pluralistic is well established. The question is political-discursive. It's what Stengers and Latour are getting at with their concepts of diplomacy and cosmopolitics. They grant, first, that all entities exist and, second, that to say that someone's cherished idol (or whatever disputed entity they hold dear) is non-existent is a **'declaration of war'** – 'this means war,' as Stengers often says. They thus shunt onto-political discourse off of the terrain of knowledge/belief in the sense of existence/non-existence. Their basic claim seems to be that 'respect for otherness,' i.e. political pluralism, can only come from granting the entities that others hold dear an ontology, even if you don't 'believe' in them. You are thus permitted to say 'I do not follow that god, he has no hold over me' but you are not permitted to say 'your god is an inane, infantile, non-existent fantasy, grow up.' And it's not just a question of politeness (although there's that too). The point is to grant others' idols and deities an existence – one needn't agree over what that existence entails, over what capacities that entity has or what obligations it impresses upon you as someone in its partial presence but to deny it existence entirely is to 'declare war' – to deny the possibility of civil discourse, of pluralistic co-existence. I believe that it was Richard Rorty who once quipped something like claims to reconcile realism and idealism always seem to end with a triumph of idealism. We don't, in fact, get realism through such approaches, but rather just get a pervasive anti-realism. I think this is also the problem with the "non-controversial pluralism" advocated by Stengers and Latour that Phillip defends here. Such pluralism is not realism but is, in fact, a thoroughgoing social constructivism. I think this is the central problem with Latour's argument in Irreductions (these days I regret having ever defended it). In rejecting both Enlightenment critique and what he calls "reduction" he wants to say something like "The Pentacostal really is filled with the Holy Spirit", that for the 19th working scientist heat really is a fluid and phlogiston really is what allows things to burn, and that for the Greek lightning really is an expression of Zeus's anger. Latour tells us that we aren't to reduce or explain away the entities posited by another group's "ontology" but are to develop explanations from within that ontology. It might not sound particularly sexy– and it certainly doesn't tell us what is worth thinking –but I can't help but believe that philosophy is the critical and reflective investigation of basic concepts that guide our investigation of the world about us, how

we ought to live our lives, and what form of governance might be best. Compare two figures. A scientist might ask, what causes depression? We can very well imagine a philosopher turning around and asking the scientist, what is causality? The scientist presupposes a concept of causality in her investigations. She uses this concept in her inquiry. Now she might have a sophisticated concept of causality or she might never have thought much about causality at all, using it in the sort of colloquial and unreflective way that Plato decried when, for example, people like Euthyphro talked about piety. A whole cascade of questions arise when we raise a question like "what is causality?" We can ask whether or not causality exists at all. We can ask how we distinguish between correlation and two events that merely accompany one another from genuine causation. This, for example, was Hume's question. But perhaps most importantly we can ask whether there is only one form of causality or many forms of causality. Is there only one-to-one causation; one cause and one effect? Is there many-to-one causation; or many events conspiring to produce an effect? Is there one-to-many causation; or one event producing a variety of different effects? We can even ask whether causality necessarily moves from past to present or whether there aren't forms of causality that move from future to past! **January 23, 2014 Pluralism and Realism:** multiple-worlds Over at Struggles Forever, Jeremy Trombley has an interesting post up on "the ontological turn" in anthropology or ethnography. I've been meaning to have a discussion with him about this as I think it's an issue many of us are struggling with. For example, the core project of The Democracy of Objects– a proj ect which I think many have missed –is to somehow reconcile some version of social constructivism with a realist ontology capable of making room for ecology (which requires realist and materialist positions as there's a fact of the matter where global warming is concerned) as well as the role played by objective agencies in social assemblages such as technologies, infrastructure, features of geography, local climates, the growth cycles of plants and animals, waste, etc. Maybe we can try to organize some cross-blog event to discuss these issues. I certainly think they're close to the heart of Jeremy, Michael of Archive Fire, Arran James, and a host of others. As an aside, I'm beginning to realize how the different sites of the political I've been outlining– semiopolitics, thermopolitics, oikopolitics (political economy), geopolitics, eropolitics (the politics of sex and desire), biopolitics, and chronopolitics (and I'm sure there are other political sites!) –are drawing me away from traditional Marxism. Assuming that classical Marxism holds that economics or the conditions and relations of production are determinative of all other sites of the political, the various sites of the political that I've been outlining would lead to the conclusion that there is not one determinative base of the political. This would not require committing Marx to flames, but rather of recognizing the phenomenon of overdetermination, or of a variety of different entangled sites of the political. But I digress. First, I find myself wondering what the ontological turn means in ethnography. Is it 1) the investigation of the different ontologies held/proposed by different cultures? E.g., the Aztecs believed that reality was structured in this way, while the Greeks in that way, and the ancient Chinese this way, etc? Or 2) is it an investigation of how real entities– independent of cultural beliefs –influence cultural formations? Or is it a combination of both? A position that I would favor. January 20, 2014 Thoughts on the Social and Political Implications of Correlationism .Lion Mirror Truth be told, as my thought has evolved the issue of correlationism had fallen off the radar for me. Somehow the debate had come to seem too "philosophical" to me, too "scholastic", too remote from what interests me: understanding why social assemblages are organized as they are, how power functions in social assemblages, and what we might do to address that power and change things. Somehow the question of whether or not we can get out of the correlation between thinking and being just came to seem remote from these sorts of issues. Somehow it seemed too epistemological. ASIDE: Numerous discussions over the years have led me to believe that the debate over correlationism is poorly understood (or maybe I just don't understand it). On countless occasions I've heard people say "of course we must relate to things in order to know them." Well yeah, of course! I don't think this is what the critic of correlationism is getting at. It seems to me that correlationism is something more robust than the theses that we must relate to something to know it. Correlationism instead seems to require the theses that thought and being are indiscernible. Put more concretely, the correlationist is someone who argues that we either a) can never tell whether being is merely a construction of our thought (weak correlationism), or b) who argues that thought actually constructs being (strong correlationism). In other words, correlationism is another name for idealism. One can hold that we must relate to something in order to know it without being a correlationist. As an aside I should also add that I am a correlationist about some things. For example, I think money is something

constructed by society and is therefore a strong correlationist when it comes to money. At any rate, for a long time I'd become rather indifferent to debates about correlationism and philosophies of access. I had learned the lessons of speculative realism– which I could have also learned, I think, from Deleuze and Guattari, the new materialist feminists, actor-network theorists such as Latour –and had moved on. However, occasionally you come across a tone of phrase that pitches something in a different light. In The Cut of the Real, Katerina Kolozova writes, …the political problem of contemporary philosophy identified by the 'new realists' is, in fact, the product of a more fundamental epistemic problem. In his book After Finitude, Quentin Meillassoux calls this problem 'correlationism' and identifies it as an essentially post-Kantian legacy, which continues to dominate and limit philosophy. As a matter of fact, correlationism lies at the heart of postmodern theory and consists in the premise that thought can only 'think itself,' that the real is inaccessible to knowledge and human subjectivity, and that there is nothing but discursive constructs that fully determine thinking and that are meth0dologically accounted for all the way down. (1 – 2) Thought thinking only itself: Thought only encountering itself. In the jargon of postmodern and poststructuralist lingo, this would be the thesis of infinite semiosis, where signs ("thoughts") only ever relate to other signs. Within this framework, discursivity comes to be the hegemonic framework defining all of being. At the level of politics and social theory more generally, if the correlationist thesis is true the consequences are clear: all social phenomena are discursive and all solutions to social and political problems will be discursive. The sole sphere of the political will be the discursive and all questions of politics will be questions of speech-acts and interpretation. The problem here is not that many theorists recognize that the discursive and semiotic plays an important role in the social and the political. It does and I've repeated this tirelessly. The problem is with what happens when thought or the semiotic becomes a hegemon, an "all", foreclosing our ability to recognize other forms of power. What I've wanted to say is that not all power functions discursively. In my last post and elsewhere I spoke of some other forms of politics: Thermopolitics: The politics surrounding **energy in the form of calories and fuels such as gasoline** and coal, and how our life and our very bodies are structured by energy dependencies and by being trapped in particular distributive networks that render these forms of energy available. I'm being quite literal when I speak of energy, talking about the effects, for example, of the absence of food in certain educational environments on cognition, for example; and am generally hostile to metaphorical extensions of the concept of energy which I see as erasing the dimension of real materiality. Geopolitics: The role that features of natural and built geography such as mountain ranges, rivers, oceans, soil conditions, roads, housing design, etc., play in the form that social relations take and how they impact individual bodies. Chronopolitics: The way in which the structurations of time organize what is possible for us. For example, the structuration of the working day, how much we can say and comprehend at any given time, the impact of things like the invention of the clock, etc. **Oikopolitics: This would be the domain of political economy described so well by Marxists**. So five different types of politics: Semiopolitics (or what currently dominates critical theory), thermopolitics, geopolitics, chronopolitics, and oikopolitics. No doubt there are other sites of the political or political struggle that we could speak of, but this is a good start. Also, it should be obvious that these aren't exclusive domains, but are entangled in all sorts of important ways. For example, something might take place at the level of semiopolitics (speech, law, rhetoric, norms, and communication) that has all sorts of impact at the level of thermopolitics. Congress might decide to cut programs that fund school meal programs. This, in turn, will have a thermodynamic impact on those students that go without the calories they need developmentally and cognitively to function in a particular way. There is an entanglement here of semiopolitical and thermopolitical domains. The young student here has been constrained both at the level of **semiotic phenomena and thermodynamic structures**. The point is that if true, semiotic intervention (speech-acts, protests, interpretations, deconstructions, etc) will not be an appropriate response to all political problems because social formations are not entirely structured by the semiotic. The child in that school does not suffer from a lack of the right signs, but from a lack of calories needed to run the engine of his thought and body. Certainly semiotic interventions might be needed to render that energy available, but it is the energy itself that is at issue and the absence of that energy that forms the spider web entangling him in his position. A correlationist perspective tends to erase this as even being a site of the political. **Larval Subjects January 28, 2014 Ontological Anarché Posted by larval subjects under Uncategorized January 27, 2014 Roden on Pluralism Over at enemy industry Roden has an excellent post up on the pluralism discussion. Check it out here. January 25, 2014 Different Senses of**

Pluralism and Ontology Posted by larval subjects under Uncategorized Responding to one of my comments over at his blog, Jeremy Trombley : I have deleted restricted, reformulated some sentences .Kindly bear with me. Intention is to make the discursive enucleation consistent with the subject in question**.** Recourse to epistemology of the quantum mechanics generic and fervor of string theory is a sine qua non for the further comprehension of the theory and ontology .How would the world appear to us if its ontology was that of classical mechanics but every agent faced a restriction on how much they could come to know about the classical state? We show that in most respects it would appear to us as quantum. The statistical theory of classical mechanics, which specifies how probability distributions over **phase space evolve** under Hamiltonian evolution and under measurements, is typically called Liouville mechanics, so the theory we explore here is Liouville mechanics with an epistemic restriction. The particular epistemic restriction we posit as our foundational postulate specifies two constraints. The first constraint is a classical analog of Heisenberg's uncertainty principle; the second-order moments of position and momentum defined by the phase-space distribution that characterizes an agent's knowledge are required to satisfy the same constraints as are satisfied by the moments of position and momentum observables for a quantum state. The second constraint is that the distribution should have maximal entropy for the given moments. Starting from this postulate, authors derive the allowed preparations, measurements, and transformations and demonstrate that they are isomorphic to those allowed in Gaussian quantum mechanics and generate the same experimental statistics. They argue that this reconstruction of Gaussian quantum mechanics constitutes additional evidence in favor of a research program wherein quantum states are interpreted as states of incomplete knowledge and that the phenomena that do not arise in Gaussian quantum mechanics provide the best clues for how one might reconstruct the full quantum theory. I: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.86.012103 **Reconstruction of Gaussian quantum mechanics from Liouville mechanics with an epistemic restriction Phys. Rev. A 86, 012103 – Published 10 July 2012 Stephen D. Bartlett, Terry Rudolph, and Robert W. Spekkens.** Deleuze in fact characterizes modes of existence, with their powers and capacities. The answer is this: Deleuze approaches modes of existence, ethically speaking, not in terms of their will, or their conscious decision making power (as in Kant), nor in terms of their interests (as in Marx, for example), but rather in terms of their drives. For Deleuze, conscious will and preconscious interest are both subsequent to our unconscious drives, and it is at the level of the drives that we have to aim our ethical analysis. Here, I would like to focus on two sets of texts on the drives taken, not from Nietzsche and Spinoza, but rather from Nietzsche and Leibniz (Leibniz being one of the first philosophers in the history of philosophy to have developed a theory of the unconscious). The first set of texts comes from Nietzsche's great early book entitled Daybreak, published in July 1881. Nietzsche first approaches the question of the drives by giving us an everyday scenario: "Suppose we were in the market place one day," he writes, "and we noticed someone laughing at us as we went by: this event will signify this or that to us according to whether this or that drive happens at that moment to be at its height in us—and it will be a quite different event according to the kind of person we are. One person will absorb it like a drop of rain, another will shake it from him like an insect, another will try to pick a quarrel, another will examine his clothing to see if there is anything about it that might give rise to laughter, another will be led to reflect on the nature of laughter as such, another will be glad to have involuntarily augmented the amount of cheerfulness and sunshine in the world—and in each case, a drive has gratified itself, whether it be the drive to annoyance, or to combativeness or to reflection or to benevolence. This drive seized the event as its prey. Why precisely this one? Because, thirsty and hungry, it was lying in wait" (D 119). This is the source of Nietzsche's doctrine of perspectivism ("there are no facts, only interpretations"), but what is often overlooked is that, for Nietzsche, it is our drives that interpret the world, that are perspectival—and not our egos, not our conscious opinions. It is not so much that I have a different perspective on the world than you; it is rather that each of us has multiple perspectives on the world because of the multiplicity of our drives—drives that are often contradictory among themselves. "Within ourselves," Nietzsche writes, "we can be egoistic or altruistic, hard-hearted, magnanimous, just, lenient, insincere, can cause pain or give pleasure" (Parkes, pp. 291-292). We all contain such "a vast confusion of contradictory drives" (WP 259) that we are, as Nietzsche liked to say, multiplicities, and not unities. Moreover, these drives are in a constant struggle or combat with each other: my drive to smoke and get my nicotine rush is in combat with (but also coexistent with) my drive to quit. This is where Nietzsche first developed his concept of the will to power—at the level of the drives. "Every drive is a kind of lust to rule," he writes, "each one has its perspective that it would

like to compel all the other drives to accept as a norm" (WP 481). DELEUZE AND THE QUESTION OF DESIRE: TOWARD AN IMMANENT THEORY OF ETHICS Daniel W. Smith. **In on a Possible Physical Metatheory of Consciousness Miroljub Dugic et al** show that the modern quantum mechanics, and particularly the theory of decoherence, allows formulating a sort of a physical metatheory of consciousness. Particularly, the analysis of the necessary conditions for the occurrence of decoherence, along with the hypothesis that consciousness bears (more-or-less) well definable physical origin, leads to a wider physical picture naturally involving consciousness. This can be considered as a sort of a psycho-physical parallelism, but on very wide scales bearing some cosmological relevance. Open Systems & Information Dynamics Volume 9, Number 2, 153 (2002) arXiv: quant-ph/0212128. On a broader perspective and background, the theoretical computation of the universe is justified.IN physics and cosmology, digital physics is a collection of theoretical perspectives based on the premise that the universe is, at heart, describable by information, and is therefore computable. Therefore, according to this theory, the universe can be conceived of as either the output of a deterministic or probabilistic computer program, a vast, digital computation device, or mathematically isomorphic to such a device. Digital physics is grounded in one or more of the following hypotheses; listed in order of decreasing strength. The universe or reality: is essentially informational (although not every informational ontology needs to be digital); is essentially computable (the pancomputationalist position); can be described digitally; is in essence digital; is itself a computer (pancomputationalism); is the output of a simulated reality exercise. Following Jaynes and Weizsäcker, the physicist John Archibald Wheeler wrote the following: [...] it is not unreasonable to imagine that information sits at the core of physics, just as it sits at the core of a computer. (John Archibald Wheeler 1998: 340) It from bit. Otherwise put, every 'it'—every particle, every field of force, even the space-time continuum itself—derives its function, its meaning, its very existence entirely—even if in some contexts indirectly—from the apparatus-elicited answers to yes-or-no questions, binary choices, bits. 'It from bit' symbolizes the idea that every item of the physical world has at bottom—a very deep bottom, in most instances—an immaterial source and explanation; that which we call reality arises in the last analysis from the posing of yes–no questions and the registering of equipment-evoked responses; in short, that all things physical are information-theoretic in origin and that this is a participatory universe. (John Archibald Wheeler 1990: 5) David Chalmers of the Australian National University summarised Wheeler's views as follows: Wheeler (1990) has suggested that information is fundamental to the physics of the universe. According to this 'it from bit' doctrine, the laws of physics can be cast in terms of information, postulating different states that give rise to different effects without actually saying what those states are. It is only their position in an information space that counts. If so, then information is a natural candidate to also play a role in a fundamental theory of consciousness. We are led to a conception of the world on which information is truly fundamental, and on which it has two basic aspects, corresponding to the physical and the phenomenal features of the world. (Wikipedia). **Participatory consciousness forms the bastion, pillar, post, stylobate and fulcrum of the redressal measures and corrective steps taken for circumvention of many problems, identified and unidentified, spread widest commonalty. Anne Baring's sententious and pithy article will be good to have a timely outlook.** I would like to open my contribution to this meeting with the words of Ken Wilber that I quoted in the first Millennium Symposium: 'The secret impulse of life is towards greater consciousness. Maybe the evolutionary sequence is from matter to body, to mind, to soul, to spirit - each transcending and including, each with a greater depth and greater consciousness and wider embrace' Ken Wilber, and with these from Rupert Sheldrake's contribution to the same meeting: "It is possible to make quite a good case that the sun could be conscious and if the sun, then why not the stars? Why not the galaxies? Why should there not be galactic minds? And if they communicate with each other then the distances are far too great for light to be the medium of transmission. We are into inter-galactic telepathy." After many decades of study, I know of only one diagram in all religious traditions that can adequately explain these statements; that can give us both a blue-print of the many levels of consciousness and a route-map to help us reach beyond our present level of understanding. I would like to introduce a diagram of the four worlds of Kabbalah to illustrate the theme that spirit has brought these four worlds into being and that we, living in the fourth and manifest world know nothing of the existence of the other three invisible ones. It is the clearest diagram I know of that can explain to us the multi-levelled structure of consciousness and our place in the great chain of being. We are indeed, as Wilbur suggests, evolving from matter to body, to mind, to soul, to spirit but where have we come from? It is possible that, as participants in the emanation of

spirit into manifest life, we have also come from spirit to soul, to mind, to body, to matter. Everything, from the immense galactic energies to the minute forms of matter participates in one life, is generated from one creative spirit. There is nothing outside this life, this spirit. This diagram suggests that truth is not an article of faith but the experience of participating in the undiscovered reality of what has brought us into being. We cannot know truth until we have entered into communion with that reality. Continuing in similar vein **Michel Bitbol dilates upon the dialectic deliberation and polemical argumentation between** hermeneutists and eliminativists. When he formulated the program of Neurophenomenology, Francisco Varela suggested a balanced methodological dissolution of the "hard problem" of consciousness. He shows that his dissolution is a paradigm which imposes itself onto seemingly opposite views, including materialist approaches. I also point out that Varela's revolutionary epistemological ideas are gaining wider acceptance as a side effect of a recent controversy between hermeneutists and eliminativists. Finally, he emphasizes a structural parallel between the science of consciousness and the distinctive features of quantum mechanics. This parallel, together with the former convergences, point towards the common origin of the main puzzles of both quantum mechanics and the philosophy of mind: neglect of the constitutive blindspot of objective knowledge. Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences **Phenomenology and the Cognitive Sciences 2002, Volume 1, Issue 2, pp 181-224 Science as if situation mattered Michel Bitbol.** Caroline Williams investigated affective density of the political and its effect on our understanding of political subjectivity. Taking up Spinoza's challenge to think about affect beyond corporeal embodiment, he argues that there is a modality of affectivity that cannot simply be inscribed within the borders of subjectivity. theorising affect as an impersonal force anchored in a relational ontology that gives due recognition to the circulation of affects, as well as to their ambivalent structure in creating sites of identification, and he utilises this ontology to reflect on the dynamic of the political and the shape of political subjectivity. Williams argues that Spinoza's philosophy (through ideas of conatus and imagination) offers the conceptual resources to reconfigure the composition of affective subjectivity as a transindividual social bond and as an unconscious dynamic of ethico-political existence. **Subjectivity (2010) 3, 245–262. doi:10.1057/sub.2010.15 Affective processes without a subject: Rethinking the relation between subjectivity and affect with Spinoza Caroline Williams** Phenomenological Time bears ample evidence and infallible observatory and apodictic evidence albeit with a sense of chagrined circumvention and defeated disgruntlment circularity of both psychological and phenomenological interpretations of art which are sometimes insightful, but most often work on the logic of cyclical time and unavoidable drives and representations played out as a 'natural' order: where 'men can't avoid being boys' a circularity which valorizes a helplessness/truthfulness conundrum. One begins with a classical phenomenological fallacy with Duchamp's Fountain, does the urinal keep returning or do we keep returning to the urinal? Put in this way, it is a male question, something that phenomenology conveniently conceals when positing the "I", or indeed, the "we". But we must be careful not to simply assume that the phenomenological first person is white, heterosexual, able-bodied and male, providing a foundation upon which knowledge should be based as a universal condition. Deleuze's second critique of time is linked to Kant. This model of time extends not only to phenomenology but to the phenomenological interpretation of art and is seen as a straight line. In the Critique of Pure Reason, Kant cuts up circular time and reassembles it as a series of sensory experiences which memory processes and which constitute subjectivity. Whereas the circular model of time (and interpretation) inscribes fatalism into the subject and the world, the phenomenological method is in danger of solipsism, where the subject constitutes the world. Much of embodied philosophy and enactivist theories of cognition go down this line with a plethora of art historical analyses by the likes of Rosalind Krauss, Michael Fried and others taking the lead from Merleau-Ponty. These approaches successfully challenge the **homunculus model of a Cartesian mind-body** dualism where there is a supervisor in the mind who processes experience and even consciousness and to whom all representations are directed. But phenomenology is mainly concerned with perceptual consciousness, the processing of colors, shapes, forms, motion in art and the world. The senses and sensorimotor processes are the fundamental pathways by which the interior is connected to the exterior in a chiasm of self-constitution in the world. The problem with this is that we explore the art through our sense perceptions, and what does art reveal to us?—our sense perceptions! Such solipsistic approaches have real problems relating complex and abstract conceptual production to bodily and sensorimotor contingencies, and they nearly always posit the subject as the site and object

of discovery in the world. To be fair, phenomenology has moved on to rather more sophisticated models of intersubjectivity, taking into account the specificities of different kinds of bodies and ways of being beyond the white, male heterosexual as the common denominator, yet many naïve approaches to art perpetuate the notion that perceptual, rather than conceptual processes and evolutionary neural patterns are primary, fundamental ways to understand the art experience In fact, these aspects are only part of art production and reception, aspects which are often filtered out or made known to us in order for us to parody such trigger responses, as in much of Duchamp's work, which holds in contempt such retinal approaches to art. If we take the **subject of abstract expressionism** in the 1950s or later minimalist art, art history, teaching and interpretation still insists on putting the act of perceiving as fundamental to the constitution not only of the phenomenological first person but also of art itself. The male act of usually standing up, which consists of directing a stream with the hand(s) into a container or on a flat surface, sand or snow in order to leave patterns and traces (the usual child's prank) underlies the logic of macho 1950s Abstract Expressionist culture, especially that of Jackson Pollock, so effectively parodied by Carolee Schneeman's Vagina Scroll, 1975. Here, painting or writing is a kind of a discharge which invests the **object and space with** the phenomenological "I", disciplined by existential struggle and reflected back by the traces, signatures and gestures left behind in the work of art, rediscoverable as an element in the making of other subjectivities.It is precisely this kind of solipsism which is blind to the art event as something well in excess of re-establishing habits of subjective self-identity through the production and interpretation of art. The attempt to reinforce a phenomenological identity upon the event by personalizing sense experience is unable to see art as something which remodels the phenomenological 'I' and neural plasticity itself. We learn new technologies and redraw our neural pathways through such new and spontaneous experiences which draw us into intersubjective worlds. Duchamp's Fountain and Deleuze's Repetition and Différence Grégory Minis sale (*I have made some changes*) The relation of different groups to deconstruction is settled by its relation to groups. An American enthusiast--one who has had a role in the development of our affection for Derrida--notices that the motivational chains are phobically driven by concerns with social scale. Richard Rorty: "He wants to figure out how to break with the temptation to identify himself with something big. . . ."(10) And: "So I take Derrida's importance to lie in his having had the courage to give up the attempt to unite the private and the public, to stop trying to bring together a quest for private autonomy and an attempt at public resonance and utility. He privatizes the sublime, having learned from the fate of his predecessors that the public can never be more than beautiful."(11) Notice is made here of what is indeed the telling feature. Levinas is praised, for example, for his alarm when "the social will [is] sought in an ideal of fusion . . . the subject losing himself in a collective representation, in a common ideal. . . . It is the collectivity which says 'us,' and which, turned toward the intelligible sun, toward the truth, experience, the other at his side and not face to face with him. The tellingly titled D'un ton apocalyptique contains passages that are particularly excited: These people situate themselves outside the ordinary, but they have in common this: they describe themselves as having an immediate and intuitive relation with mystery. And they want to attract, to seduce, and lead others to the mystery, through mystery. This agogic function of the leader of men, of the duce, of the Führer, of the leader, places him above the crowd that he manipulates with the aid of a small numbers of adepts joined together in a sect with a secret language, a clique or a small party with its ritualized practices. The mystifiers pretend to have exclusive access to the privilege of a secret mystery. . . . The revelation or the unveiling of the secret is something that they jealously reserve for themselves. Jealousy is here a major characteristic. There is not narcissism and non-narcissism; there are narcissisms that are more or less comprehensive, generous, open, extended. What is called non-narcissism is in general but the economy of a much more welcoming, hospitable narcissism, one that is much more open to the experience of the other as other. I believe that without a movement of narcissistic reappropriation, the relation to the other would be absolutely destroyed; it would be destroyed in advance. The relation to the other--even if it remains asymmetrical, open, without reapporpriation--must trace a movement of reappropriation in the image of oneself for love to be possible, for example. Love is narcissistic. Beyond that, there are little narcissisms, there are big narcissisms. . . .(23) **Justice of the Pieces Deconstruction as a Social Psychology Douglas Collins** Sabine Wilke cogently argues for the rise of the aesthetic dimension in philosophical writing by showing how the metaphorical and poetic style of Heidegger, the principles of phrase configurations with Adorno, or the topographic writing of derrida replace through their very form something what traditionally would have been subject to discursive exposure The concern

shifts from telling to showing, showing first and foremost that something remains untold. An exemplary book in this aesthetic drive is Avital Ronell's Telephone Book where the playful layout and explicit break with traditional design interrupt the sequential logic of the paragraphs thereby performing the very argument at stake: open up new circuits of meaning and signification. The engagement with form and publishing formats is only consequential since media theory as exposed by Walter J. Ong, Eric A. Havelock, Parry Miman or Marshall McLuhan besides many others made it clear that different communication technologies condition the mode of thought of a given culture The fractals of Benoit Mandelbrot or the butterfly shaped Lorenz attractor are the popular icons of this turn in the sciences. For communication studies and philosophy, Vilém Flusser was one of the pioneer thinkers reflecting the rise of what he called "techno-images." The photograph is the paradigmatic case which condenses the whole crises of Western thought and culture on its grainy surface. It subverts the linear logic of writing.6 Flusser's central diagnosis detects a shift from the grand narratives associated with the literate mind and Modernity towards creating and envisioning the world through image based practices. Writing himself in a succinct, visually inspired style, Flusser contents that the web of meaning, spun throughout the centuries by our textual practices, has become transparent. We discover the void between the letters through which the world escapes: "We are alienated from the world of text, precisely because we see through them as our own product."7 As a consequence we are confronted with a world of dissociated facts and information bits. Flusser hails this fragmented world as the advent of the zero dimensional point-universe that will provide the new raw material for our image based practices. Techno-images are nothing else than a conscious, inherently artistic drawing-together of point-elements as exemplified first and foremost by the silver-grains of the photograph but also by more recent pixel based techno-images. Similar to blueprints, designs or statistical curves, the weather map for example visualizes and contracts a tremendous amount of meteorological data from widely distributed stations into a single image of high- and low pressure
areas, temperatures, wind speeds, etc. Techno-images "compute" in this sense the universe of unimaginable, abstract information-bits into a concrete surface – the image. Echoing Paul Feyerabend, these image practices have to be understood as creative practices where the truth of scientific models has been replaced by its aesthetic quality **Aesthetics, Writing, Networked Computers Jörg Muller Dissertation Submitted to the Division of Media and Communications of The European Graduate School in Candidacy for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy**
Lothar Schäfer (1) and Sisir Roy (2) in Quantum Reality, the Importance of Consciousness in the Universe, the Discovery of a Non-Empirical Realm of Physical Reality, and the Convergence with Ancient Traditions of Indian and Western Philosophy investigate metaphysical aspects that include 1) the discovery of a non-empirical part of physical reality in a realm of potentiality; 2) the emanation of the empirical world out of a realm of non-material forms; 3) the discovery that the nature of physical reality is that of an indivisible Wholeness – the One; and 4) panpsychism: the possibility that the One is aware of its processes like a Cosmic Consciousness. The convergence of powerful traditions of seemingly disparate cultures is particularly important to point out in the present process of globalization, when a unifying view is needed to avoid controversy and conflict. To quote them in extenso : This is the nonlocality of the quantum world. Menas Kafatos and Robert Nadeau (1990) have drawn a remarkable conclusion from this phenomenon: If reality is nonlocal, the nature of the universe is that of an undivided wholeness. Because our consciousness has emerged from this wholeness and is part of it, it is possible to conclude that an element of consciousness is active in the universe: a Cosmic Consciousness. Pre-reflective self-consciousness is pre-reflective in the sense that (1) it is an awareness we have before we do any reflecting on our experience; (2) it is an implicit and first-order awareness rather than an explicit or higher-order form of self-consciousness. Indeed, an explicit reflective self-consciousness is possible only because there is a pre-reflective self-awareness that is an on-going and more primary self-consciousness. Although phenomenologists do not always agree on important questions about method, focus, or even whether there is an ego or self, they are in close to unanimous agreement about the idea that the experiential dimension always involves such an implicit pre-reflective self-awareness. In line with Edmund Husserl (1959, 189, 412), who maintains that consciousness always involves a self-appearance (Für-sich-selbst-erscheinens), and in agreement with Michel Henry (1963, 1965), who notes that experience is always self-manifesting, and with Maurice Merleau-Ponty who states that consciousness is always given to itself and that the word 'consciousness' has no meaning independently of this self-givenness (Merleau-Ponty 1945, 488), Jean-Paul Sartre writes that pre-reflective self-consciousness is not simply a quality added to the experience, an

accessory; rather, it constitutes the very mode of being of the experience: This self-consciousness we ought to consider not as a new consciousness, but as the only mode of existence which is possible for a consciousness of something (Sartre 1943, 20 [1956, liv]). The notion of pre-reflective self-awareness is related to the idea that experiences have a subjective 'feel' to them, a certain (phenomenal) quality of 'what it is like' or what it 'feels' like to have them. As it is usually expressed outside of phenomenological texts, to undergo a conscious experience necessarily means that there is something it is like for the subject to have that experience (Nagel 1974; Searle 1992). This is obviously true of bodily sensations like pain. But it is also the case for perceptual experiences, experiences of desiring, feeling, and thinking. There is something it is like to taste chocolate, and this is different from what it is like to remember what it is like to taste chocolate, or to smell vanilla, to run, to stand still, to feel envious, nervous, depressed or happy, or to entertain an abstract belief. Yet, at the same time, as I live through these differences, there is something experiential that is, in some sense, the same, namely, their distinct first-personal character. All the experiences are characterized by a quality of mineness or for-me-ness, the fact that it is I who am having these experiences. All the experiences are given (at least tacitly) as my experiences, as experiences I am undergoing or living through. All of this suggests that first-person experience presents me with an immediate and non-observational access to myself, and that consequently (phenomenal) consciousness consequently entails a (minimal) form of self-consciousness. To put it differently, unless a mental process is pre-reflectively self-conscious there will be nothing it is like to undergo the process, and it therefore cannot be a phenomenally conscious process. The mineness in question is not a quality like being scarlet, sour or soft. It doesn't refer to a specific experiential content, to a specific what; nor does it refer to the diachronic or synchronic sum of such content, or to some other relation that might obtain between the contents in question. Rather, it refers to the distinct givenness or the how it feels of experience. It refers to the first-personal presence or character of experience. It refers to the fact that the experiences I am living through are given differently (but not necessarily better) to me than to anybody else. It could consequently be claimed that anybody who denies the for-me-ness of experience simply fails to recognize an essential constitutive aspect of experience. Such a denial would be tantamount to a denial of the first-person perspective. It would entail the view that my own mind is either not given to me at all — I would be mind- or self-blind — or is presented to me in exactly the same way as the minds of others. There are also lines of argumentation in contemporary analytical philosophy of mind that is close to and consistent with the phenomenological conception of pre-reflective self-awareness. Alvin Goldman provides an example: [Consider] the case of thinking about x or attending to x. In the process of thinking about x there is already an implicit awareness that one is thinking about x. There is no need for reflection here, for taking a step back from thinking about x in order to examine it…When we are thinking about x, the mind is focused on x, not on our thinking of x. Nevertheless, the process of thinking about x carries with it a non-reflective self-awareness (Goldman 1970, 96). A similar view has been defended by Owen Flanagan, who not only argues that consciousness involves self-consciousness in the weak sense that there is something it is like for the subject to have the experience, but also speaks of the low-level self-consciousness involved in experiencing my experiences as mine (Flanagan 1992, 194). As Flanagan quite correctly points out, this primary type of self-consciousness should not be confused with the much stronger notion of self-consciousness that is in play when we are thinking about our own narrative self. The latter form of reflective self-consciousness presupposes both conceptual knowledge and narrative competence. It requires maturation and socialization, and the ability to access and issue reports about the states, traits, dispositions that make one the person one is. To claim that every kind of self-consciousness is conceptual is overly cognitive. Bermúdez (1998), to mention one further philosopher in the analytic tradition, argues that there are a variety of **nonconceptual forms of self-consciousness** that are "logically and ontogenetically more primitive than the higher forms of self-consciousness that are usually the focus of philosophical debate" (1998, 274; also see Poellner 2003). This growing consensus across philosophical studies supports the phenomenological view of pre-reflective self-consciousness. (References: Stanford Encyclopedia, Post Modersnist Theories, Internet Encyclopedia of Philosophy) Korzybski pointed out that there are two ways to slice easily through life; to believe everything or to doubt everything. Both ways save us from thinking. So, from time to time you have to give yourself a Virtual Reality Check. The hallmark of postmodern philosophy has been disbelief or skepticism of all "metanarratives," or translations of reality. Postmodernism has even turned its profound skepticism on such important humanist concepts as "objective truth" and reason.Yet, for a

deconstructionist postmodern society, individually we are still riddled with superstition and gullibility, and open to manipulation through our belief systems as any politician, philosopher, clergy, or salesperson will attest. Further, most people are painfully naive when it comes to even the simplest scientific understanding. Most of us don't have a clue about the fundamental nature of physical reality or our own psychological nature, and our ability to be fooled by our senses and mind, or methods of social and technological persuasion. We may think we know, but the penetration is deeper than we dare imagine. Nature rejects the naiveté that seeks absolute truth. We are beginning to realize, individually and culturally, that "realities" are all human constructions. The task becomes one of "catching ourselves in the act" of creating our own "reality" from the flow of events. Human truth is always an engagement of mind with experience.. We don't need to fear the collapse of our personalistic belief system (the "box" we live in), nor our belief in absolute truth. **A strong desire to engage in the "quest for uncertainty" complements our anxiety that perhaps there is no absolute, objective ground to reality**. The warrant of Truth is ever elusive when we deconstruct the foundational justifications of our convenient notions about the way the world works. It is easy to confuse what is actually the creation of beliefs with the "discovery of Truth," a common goal of science and theology. Science offers no ontological Scientific Picture of the World but substitutes a number of applied theories as meta-theory. It's close, powerful and useful: it 'works' and allows use to do work. But the still mysterious "true" metatheory may reveal the basis of consciousness. But who knows when we will lift that veil? Permeating the living reality of our culture are certain contagious notions, fads and trends that have the ability to influence the way we think about the nature of Reality and ourselves. Some of them are toxic and can consume you; others are just whims of pop culture infectious and benign as the common cold. **Jung** described the concept of psychic contagion by certain archetypal forces inherent in the human psyche, which manifest in our spiritual lives and belief systems. He spoke of both conscious and unconscious contamination. Notions like this range from simple superstitions to scientific concepts, to urban myths. Notions sweep through our culture and insinuate themselves within its fabric, as fads, whether they are "real" or not, they can be influential. They mood alter us, make us feel we belong. An analysis of these notions is useful in distinguishing a common human phenomenon from any potential "alien influence" which may or may not be exerted on us from an unknown source. **Strange Attractors: Transference, Holography, and an Archetype Burke, J. (2003). Strange Attractors: Transference, Holography, and an Archetype (Doctoral dissertation, Pacifica Graduate Institute, 2003).** In consideration to the Nobel Proze for Chemistry this year(2014), a stability nalysis of the proble in to single molexues would be a contemporaneous question that has to be taken cognisace of and given importance to . Determination of molecular structure by geometry optimization became routine only after efficient methods for calculating the first derivatives of the energy with respect to all atomic coordinates became available. Evaluation of the related second derivatives allows the prediction of vibrational frequencies if harmonic motion is estimated. More importantly, it allows for the characterization of stationary points. The frequencies are related to the eigenvalues of the Hessian matrix, which contains second derivatives. If the eigenvalues are all positive, then the frequencies are all real and the stationary point is a local minimum. If one eigenvalue is negative (i.e., an imaginary frequency), then the stationary point is a transition structure. If more than one eigenvalue is negative, then the stationary point is a more complex one, and is usually of little interest. When one of these is found, it is necessary to move the search away from it if the experimenter is looking solely for local minima and transition structures. The total energy is determined by approximate solutions of the time-dependent Schrödinger equation, usually with no relativistic terms included, and by making use of the Born–Oppenheimer approximation, which allows for the separation of electronic and nuclear motions, thereby simplifying the Schrödinger equation. This leads to the evaluation of the total energy as a sum of the electronic energy at fixed nuclei positions and the repulsion energy of the nuclei. Notable exceptions are certain approaches called direct quantum chemistry, which treat electrons and nuclei on a common footing. Density functional methods and semi-empirical methods are variants on the major theme. **Orlando Alvarez** discusses Polyakov's quantization of the string in the presence of a boundary allowing for an arbitrary topology for the world sheet. In addition to the dynamical conformal factor discovered by Polyakov, there are a finite number of new degrees of freedom if the surface is more complicated than a sphere or a disc. The quantization of the Liouville theory in an arbitrary topology is also discussed. A one-loop calculation shows that the model is renormalizable if one performs a mass renormalization and an additive field renormalization. The renormalization group equations

have a perturbative infrared unstable fixed point in all topologies. Copyright © 1983 Published by Elsevier B.V. **Nuclear Physics B Volume 216, Issue 1, 25 April 1983, Pages 125–184 Theory of strings with boundaries: Fluctuations, topology and quantum geometry Orlando Alvarez DOI: 10.1016/0550-3213(83)90490-X** Quantum geometry (the modern loop quantum gravity involving graphs and spin-networks instead of the loops) provides microscopic degrees of freedom that account for black-hole entropy. However, the procedure for state counting used in the literature contains an error and the number of the relevant horizon states is underestimated. In our paper a correct method of counting is presented by **Marcin Domagala and Jerzy Lewandowski.** Results lead to a revision of the literature of the subject. It turns out that the contribution of spins greater than 1/2 to the entropy is not negligible. Hence, the value of the Barbero–Immirzi parameter involved in the spectra of all the geometric and physical operators in this theory is different than previously derived. Also, the conjectured relation between quantum geometry and the black-hole quasi-normal modes should be understood again. **Marcin Domagala and Jerzy Lewandowski 2004 Class. Quantum Grav 21 5233 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/21/22/014 Black-hole entropy from quantum geometry** Quantum geometry predicts that a universe evolves through an inflationary phase at small volume before exiting gracefully into a standard Friedmann phase. This does not require the introduction of additional matter fields with ad hoc potentials; rather, it occurs because of a quantum gravity modification of the kinetic part of ordinary matter Hamiltonians. Authors draw the cognizance of application of the same mechanism that can explain why the present day cosmological acceleration is so tiny. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.89.261301 **Inflation from Quantum Geometry Phys. Rev. Lett 89, 261301 – Published 12 December 2002 Martin Bojowald** The loop quantum cosmology of the closed isotropic model is studied with special emphasis on a comparison with traditional results obtained in the Wheeler-DeWitt approach by **Martin Bojowald** .Investigation includes the relation of the dynamical initial conditions to boundary conditions such as the no-boundary or the tunneling proposal and a discussion of inflation from quantum cosmology. **DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.67.124023 Loop quantum cosmology, boundary proposals, and inflation Phys. Rev. D 67, 124023 – Published 23 June 2003 Martin Bojowald and Kevin Vandersloot** In loop quantum cosmology, the universe avoids a big bang singularity and undergoes an early and short super-inflation phase. During super-inflation, non-perturbative quantum corrections to the dynamics drive an inflaton field up its potential hill, thus setting the initial conditions for standard inflation. **Shinji Tsujikawa et al** show that this effect can raise the inflaton high enough to achieve sufficient e-foldings in the standard inflation era. They also analyse the cosmological perturbations generated when slow-roll is **violated after super-inflation** and show that loop quantum effects can in principle leave an **indirect signature** on the largest scales in the CMB, with some loss of power and running of the spectral index. **Shinji Tsujikawa et al 2004 Class. Quantum Grav 21 5767 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/21/24/006 Loop quantum gravity effects on inflation and the CMB Quantum geometry from quantum information** is the study of **Florian Girelli and Etera R Livine .**Loop quantum gravity defines the quantum states of space geometry as spin networks and describes their evolution in time. We reformulate spin networks in terms of harmonic oscillators and show how the holographic degrees of freedom of the theory are described as matrix models. This allows us to make a link with non-commutative geometry and to look at the issue of the semi-classical limit of loop quantum gravity from a new perspective. This work is thought of as part of a bigger project of describing quantum geometry in quantum information terms. **Florian Girelli and Etera R Livine 2005 Class. Quantum Grav 22 3295 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/22/16/011 Reconstructing quantum geometry from quantum information: spin networks as harmonic oscillators.** Quantum geometric mechanics is the topic of study by **Dorje C. Brody Lane P. Hughston** establishing a clear relation between the quantum mechanics and quantum geometry and also the entangled states. The manifold of pure quantum states can be regarded as a complex projective space endowed with the unitary-invariant Fubini–Study metric. According to the principles of geometric quantum mechanics, the physical characteristics of a given quantum system can be represented by geometrical features that are preferentially identified in this complex manifold. Here we construct a number of examples of such features as they arise in the state spaces for spin, spin 1, spin and spin 2 systems, and for pairs of spin systems. A study is then undertaken on the geometry of entangled states. A locally invariant measure is assigned to the degree of entanglement of a given state for a general multi-particle system, and the properties of this measure are analysed for the entangled states of a pair of spin particles. With the specification of a quantum Hamiltonian, the resulting

Schrödinger trajectories induce an isometry of the Fubini–Study manifold, and hence also an isometry of each of the energy surfaces generated by level values of the expectation of the Hamiltonian. For a generic quantum evolution, the corresponding Killing trajectory is quasiergodic on a toroidal subspace of the energy surface through the initial state. When a dynamical trajectory is lifted orthogonally to Hilbert space, it induces a geometric phase shift on the wave function. The uncertainty of an observable in a given state is the length of the gradient vector of the level surface of the expectation of the observable in that state; a fact that allows us to calculate higher orders corrections to the Heisenberg relations. A general mixed state is determined by a probability density function on the state space, for which the associated first moment is the density matrix. The advantage of a general state is in its applicability in various attempts to go beyond the standard quantum theory, some of which admit a natural phase-space characterization. **Journal of Geometry and Physics Volume 38, Issue 1, April 2001, Pages 19–53 Geometric quantum mechanics Dorje C. Brody Lane P. Hughston DOI: 10.1016/S0393-0440(00)00052-8** Expansion (unmesa) of [consciousness] or the creative intuition {pratibha) is (=) [experienced] in the interval which divides two [moments] of differentiated perception (vikalpa) It is here that they arise and disappear. The Sastras and Agamas proclaim with reasoned argument that it is (=) free of thought-constructs {Nirvikalpa) and precedes (e) Path to Liberation all mental representations of any object. None can deny that a gap exists between perceptions insofar as two moments of thought are (=) invariably divided. This [gap] is (=) the undifferentiated unity of all the countless manifestations. Similarly, in the outer more objective sphere, where change consists (e) of the alterations in the configurations of manifest appearances (Abhasa\ the transition from one to (e&eb) another corresponds to (e&eb) a phase of pure luminosity that marks (eb) the beginning of one form and the end of another. The world of manifestation and differentiated perceptions (Yikalpa) thus extends from one Centre to (eb) the next. **What is meant again is the realisation or the evolution of individual consciousness or general ledger to be the cosmic general ledger is the sole criterion for the Satchidananda state where all dualities cease** Although it is never in fact divorced from (e) the subject who resides there, the ignorant fail (e) to grasp this fact and so, cut off from (e) the Centre, the world of objectivity becomes (=) for them the sphere of Maya. Bhagavatotpala quotes the Light of Consciousness amvitprakdsa): This ever pure experience (suddhanubhava) is variegated by (e) each form [revealed within it] Even so it remains unstained (nirmala) when moving to another. Just as a cloth which is naturally white, once dyed, cannot (e) change colour without [first] becoming white again, similarly the pure power of awareness, (citi) once coloured by (e) form, is pure [again] at the Centre where that form is (=) abandoned and from whence it proceeds to (e&eb) another. In his Essence of Vibration (Spandasarpdoha), K§Emaraja explains that the rise and fall of every individual perception in the field of awareness is (=) a specific pulsation of consciousness. This is exactly the individual general ledger transaction lifted to the transaction in cosmic general ledger. During The Initial Instant Of Perception, T Consciousness Is Manifestly Apparent And The Yogi, Participating In Its Plenitude, Observes The Outer World Without Being Attached To Any Particular Divine Body And Sacred Circle Of The Senses Singling It Out From Any Other, Like A Man Who Observes A City From A High Mountain Peak. He Sees The Outer World Reflected Within His Consciousness Free Of Thought-Constructs And So 'Stamps* The Outer On The Inner While Absorbing The Object And Means Of Knowledge In The Pure Subject Which Grasps Them As The Expansion Of His Own Nature. K§Emaraja Says: By Penetrating Into Bhairavimudra, The Yogi Observes The Vast Totality Of Beings Rising From, And Dissolving Into, The Sky [Of Conscious- Ness], Like A Series Of Reflections Appearing And Disappearing Inside A Mirror. Through The Practice Of Bhairavimudra The Yogi Realises That He Is The Substratum Consciousness (Adhisfhatr) Which Both Underlies And Is The Essence Of All Things. He Discovers That Phenomena Have No Independent Existence Apart From Him And So Are, In This Sense, Void. At The Same Time, He Realises That Because All Things Are Consciousness, They Are Far From Unreal. He Views The Outer World Yet Sees It Not. Beyond Both Voidness And Non-Voidness He Penetrates Into The Supreme Abode (Pararn Padam) Of Siva's Consciousness. [The Powers Of The Senses] Endowed With The Attributes Of The Great Union [Between Subject And Object] Whose Form Is The Wakening Of Man's Spiritual Potential (Kuntfalinf), Fill [With Consciousness] The Outer Clatter Of Diversity (Bhedatfambara) Born Of Its Intense Power And Are Then Established In The Unobscured Abode Of The Void Of Consciousness To Shine [There] Eternally. Thus Residing Beyond Being And Non-Being, The Sole Protector Of The Unity Which Is Tranquil And Expanding [Consciousness], Whose Glory Is All-Embracing And Form Unobscured, Is Called Bhairavimudra. Through The

Practice Of Bhairavimudra, The Yogi Unites The Universal Vibration Of T Consciousness With The Individual Pulsation Of Objectivised 'This' Consciousness. The Two Aspects Of Consciousness Are Now In A State Of Equilibrium Like The Two Pans Of An Evenly Weighed Balance And The Yogi Experiences The Pure Knowledge (Suddhavidya) That: 'I Alone Am All Things'. Thus Becoming The Master Of The Wheel Of Energies He Is Free, Like Siva, To Create And Destroy. When [The Yogi] Is Well Established, Without Wavering, Solely In The Integral Egoity Of His Authentic Nature, The Spanda Principle, And Is Absorbed In Contemplation (Samavisfa), He Becomes One With It The Doctrine Of Vibration (Tanmaya). Then . . . Dissolving And Creating The Universe By Means Of His Introverted And Extroverted Absorption, He Destroys And Creates All Things Out Of Sarikara, His Innate Nature. [Thus] He Assumes The State Of The Universal Experiencer And Having Absorbed All That Is To Be Experienced From [The Grossest Level] — Earth — To (The Subtlest) — Siva — He Reaches The State Of The Supreme Subject By Progressively Recognising [His Identity With Him]. Thus, Introverted And Extroverted Absorption Both Lead To The Recognition Of The Pulsation (Spanda) Of One's Own Consciousness. This Is Where Individual General Ledger Becomes Cosmic General Ledger. At The Level Of Consciousness Corresponding To Siva's Basic State (Saryibhavdvastha), The Alternation From Inner To Outer Is Instantaneously Resolved Into The Vibration Of His Nature. When The Yogi Finally Comes To Be Constantly Aware Of This Reality, His Enlightenment Is Full And Perfect. Freed Of All Means (Anupaya) And Delighting In The Power Of His Bliss (Anandasakti), He Knows And Does Whatever He Pleases. The Yogi Seeking Self-Realisation Must Acquire Mastery Over This Movement. Ksemaraja Stresses That The Doctrine Of Vibration Teaches That Liberation Can Only Be Achieved By First Withdrawing All Sense Activity In Introverted Contemplation (Nimilanasamadhi) To Then Experience The 'Great Expansion' (Mahdvikdsd) Of Consciousness While Recognising This To Be A Spontaneous Process Within It. This Is Done Through The Practice Of Kramamudra. A Passage From The Now Lost Kramasiitra Explains: Although The Adept's Attention [May Be] Outwardly Directed, He Enjoys Contemplative Absorption Through The Introverted Aspect Of Kramamudra. Initially He Turns Inward From The Outside World And [Then] From Within [Himself] He Exits Into The Outer World Under The Influence Of His Absorption. There Is No Necessity Of Any Glorification Or Mortification Here. It Is Just Like Joining A Job And Retiring And Realising All That Happened Is Just By His Own Effort And Nothing Else. Thus The Sequence (Krama) In This Attitude (Mudra) [Ranges Through] Both Inner And Outer. The Yogi Must Pervade The Surface Level Of Awareness (Yyutthana) With The Same Bliss He Experiences Plunging Into The Depths Of Con-Templative Absorption (Samadhi). Note Here That Samadhi Is A Death Like State And Still Being Matter And Information Stored You Experience Consciousness. Submerging Himself And Emerging Repeatedly From Samadhi, He Eventually Recognises That The Unity Of Consciousness Pervades Both States: The Best Of Yogi's, Who Has Achieved A State Of Complete Absorption Even When Risen From Meditation, [Inwardly] Vibrating Like A Drunkard In Blissful Inebriation From The After-Effects Of The Nectar Of Con- Templation, Sees All Things Dissolving In The Sky Of Consciousness Like A Cloud In The Autumn Sky. He Plunges Repeatedly Within Himself Divine Body And Sacred Circle Of The Senses And Becomes Aware Of His Identity With Consciousness By The Practice Of Introverted Contemplation. Thus Even When He Is Said To Have Risen From Absorption, He Is One With [His] Experience Of It. Ksemaraja Goes On To Explain That This Practice Is Called 'Mudra' Because It Both Fills The Adept With Bliss (Mud) And Is Itself The Bliss Of Consciousness. Moreover, It Dissolves Away (Dra) All Bondage And 'Stamps' The Universe Of Experience With The Seal (Mudra) Of The Fourth State (Turiya) Of Enlightened Consciousness Beyond, And Including, The Three States Of Waking, Dreaming And Deep Sleep. It Is Called 'Krama' Because It Is The Root Source Of All Emanation And All Other Conscious Processes Which Succeed One Another In Ordered Sequence (Krama) And Is, At The Same Time, Their Successive (Krama) Appearance As Well. By The Practice Of Kramamudra The Opposites Fuse And Siva And Sakti Unite. They Yogi Comes To Experience The Simultaneous Pervasion Of All The Lower, Grosser Categories Of Existence By The Higher And The Presence Of The Lower In The Higher. Commencing His Practice In A Low Form Of Bhairavimudra, The Yogi Conjoins The Outer With The Inner; Then, In Kramamudra, He Fills Both The Outer With The Inner And The Inner With The Outer. When He Achieves Perfection In This Two-Fold Movement, He Attains To The Highest Form Of Bhairavimudra In Which The Two Merge Completely In The Experience Of The Absolute (Anuttara), Free Of All Differentiation And Polarities. If He Fails To Maintain Awareness Of This State,

He Again Falls Into Kramamudra Until He Has Finally Completely Merged All The Highest States In The Lower And The Lower In The Higher. He Then No Longer Needs To Resort To Any Means (Anupaya) To Achieve Liberation. All He Says Or Does Anything He Perceives Or Thinks, Instantly Occasions In Him The Highest Level Of Consciousness. Thus The Fruit Of Bhairavimudra Is The Wonder (Camatkara) Or Amazement (Vismaya) That Overcomes The Yogi When He Reaches The Plane Of Union (Yogabhumika) Y Xsl Where All Opposites Merge In The Radiance Of The Great Light Of Consciousness. The Stanzas On Vibration Teach: How Can One Who, As If Astonished, Beholds His Own Nature As That Which Sustains [The Existence Of Everything] Be Subject To This Painful Round Of Transmigration (Kusrti)? The Yogi, Recognising His True Nature To Be The Supreme Subject, Is Astonished To Suddenly Discover That The Individual He Thought He Was, Caught Up In The Trammels Of Thought And Living In A World Enmeshed In The Web Of Time And Space, Does Not Really Exist At All. He Experiences A 'Turning About' (Paravrtti) In The Deepest Seat Of The Doctrine Of Vibration Consciousness As He Penetrates His True Nature. The Sudden Eruption Of This Intuition (Pratibha) Arouses In Him A Cry Of Amazement As He Transcends All Thought-Constructs And, Perfectly Absorbed In His Own Nature, Is Liberated. The Path To Liberation Essentially, Spanda Doctrine Is Concerned With Two Matters. The First Is To Impart To Those Who Are Fit To Receive The Teachings A Deeper Understanding Of The Ultimate Goal Of Life (Upeya). When We Have Understood What Truly Benefits Us And Is Worth Attaining And What, On The Contrary, Is Of No Real Value But Stands In The Way Of This Attainment, We Can Begin To Make Progress Towards Our Goal. This Is Spanda Doctrine's Second Concern, Namely, To Show The Way In Which We Can Develop Spiritually Through Siva's Grace And The Right Application Of The Means To Realisation That It Teaches. When Both These Aspects Of The Teaching Have Been Correctly Understood And Applied, The Spanda Yogi Achieves A Clear And Permanent Realisation Of His Goal And Is Liberated, Thus Fulfilling The Ultimate Aim Of The Teaching. The Doctrine Of Vibration Is Not Meant For The Spiritually Dull. It Is Not For The Worldly Whose Consciousness, Clouded By Ignorance, Is As If Dreaming, Even During The Waking State Of Daily Life, The Dream Of Its Own Thought-Constructs. The Teachings Are Meant For Those Who Are Awake (Prabuddha), Those Who, Full Of Faith And Reverence, Are Always Alert And Intent On Discerning The True Nature Of Ultimate Reality. This Reality Is Understood In Three Basic Ways. The First Is Purely Transcendental. The Stanzas Choose This Aspect As The One Which Formally Defines It Most Specifically. Ultimate Reality Transcends All The Opposites, Including Subject And Object. This Does Not Mean, However, That It Is An Unconscious Void, A Mere Absence Of All Existence. In Fact, This Negative Characterization Of Reality (Which Includes Also A Denial Of All That Is Unconscious) Implies A Positive Immanence In Which The Opposites Are United In The Oneness Of Pure Consciousness That Is Equally Siva And Spanda, His Universal Activity. These Two Seemingly Contrasting Aspects Are Reconciled In The Third, Namely, Reality Understood As The Essential Nature Of All Things. Although Universal And Everywhere The Same, It Is Understood To Be The Essential And Specific Nature Of Each Existent As Its 'Own Nature' (Svabhava). In The Case Of The Individual Soul It Is Even More Specific, More Personal As His Own 'Own Nature' (Svasvabhava). Note Here That Svabhava Is Not Svasbhava. In Fact We Made A Statement That Svabhava Is The Very Characterstics Of Space Time Like, Crime Zones, Silent Zones, School Zones We Classify Space In To. Belonging To None Other Than Oneself It Is The Pure Subjectivity That Perceives Experiences, Enjoys, Reflects, Thinks And Senses As Well As Being The Conscious Agent Who Creates Every Possible Form Of Experience In All The States Of Consciousness. The Liberating Knowledge Of Reality Thus Corresponds To Our Regaining Possession Of Ourselves (Svatmagraha). We Must Lay Hold Of Ourselves And Abide In Our Authentic Nature. Reality Coincides With Our Own Most Fundamental State Of Being (Svasthiti), Free Of All Contrasts And Contradictions. Once We Have Overcome The Negative Forces That Arise From Our Ignorance And Prevent Us From Abiding In Ourselves, We Are Liberated. To Do This, We Must Penetrate Through The Pulsing Fluctuations Of Objectively Experienced States And Perceptions At The Surface Level Of Consciousness And Gain Insight Into The Timeless Rhythm Of Our Own Nature Manifest In The Universal Arising And Falling Away Of All Things. We Are Not Freed Of The Trammels Of Perpetual Change By Setting It Aside; On The Contrary, We Must Gain Insight Into The Recurrent Cycles Of Creation, Persistence And Destruction, Or Else Be Bound By Our Ignorance. This Spiritual Ignorance Consists Essentially Of Our Contracted State Of Consciousness And So Can Only Be Effectively Countered By Expanding It To Reveal Our Own Authentic Nature As This Expanded State Itself, Which

Is The Universal Vibration (Samanyaspanda) Of Consciousness. Vibration Of Strings Which Gives Shape To Objects Is Itself A Information, A Sine Qua Non Of Individual Consciousness. The Spanda- Yogi Treads The Path Of Consciousness Expansion. The Movement From The Contracted To The Expanded State Marks The Transition From Ignorance To Understanding, From The Dispersion And Incompleteness Of A Form Of Consciousness Entirely Centred On An Objectively Perceived And Discursively Represented Reality To A Direct, Intuitive Awareness Of The Unity And Integral Wholeness Of Our Own Absolute Spanda Nature. Along The Way To This Supreme Realisation Consciousness Develops, As Veil After Veil Is Lifted, Until It Becomes Full And Perfect In The Absolute Which Encompasses Within Itself All Possible Formats Of Experience. As Abhinava Says: [This Realisation] Is The Supreme Limit Of Plenitude And As Such There Can Be No Higher Attainment. Any [Other] Attainment [We Can] Conceive Issues From A State That Falls Short Of [This] Perfection. Once* [This] Uncreated Fullness Has Been Attained, Pray Tell, What Other Fruit Can There Be [Beyond It]? The Fettered Soul's Contracted State Of Consciousness Binds Him Because He Is Deprived Thereby Of The Subtle, Intuitive Insight Into The Underlying Unity Of Existence And His Attention Is Focused Instead On Its Gross, Outer Diversity Easily Apparent To Everybody, However Restricted His Consciousness May Be. However, Although The Fettered Soul In This State Is Ignorant Of This Unity, This Does Not Mean That His Knowledge Of Diversity Is False. Ignorance Entails A Form Of Knowledge Which, Although Quite Correct, Is Binding. We Are Not Absolutely Ignorant Of Reality For If We Were We Would Be Totally Unconscious. Spiritual Ignorance Is Always Linked With Some Degree Of Consciousness. Those Subject To The Round Of Birth And Death Are Not Inert Clods Of Earth. This Also Leads To Conclusion That Shakti Responsible Is Impure Because Of Maya Imbibed In It. Thus, Although Ignorance Obscures Consciousness, It Is Wrong To Think Of It, As Dualist Saivites Do, In Terms Of A Defiling Impurity That Shrouds It Like A Cloth Covering Ajar. Spiritual Ignorance Can Be Nothing But Consciousness Itself, Albeit In A Limited State. Siva, Who Is Universal Consciousness, Is The Innate Nature Of Both Its Contracted And Expanded States, Both Of Which Are Forms Of Knowledge, Namely: 1) Supreme Knowledge (Parajnana) Defined As The Revelation Of One's Own Innate Nature As The One Reality Which Is The Being Of All Things. 2) Inferior Knowledge (Aparajndna) Which Jayaratha Explains Results From The Mental Activity (Yyapard) Of The Individual Subject Whose Consciousness Is Contracted. It Consists Of The Mental Representations (Vikalpa) He Forms Of Himself And His Object, Of The Type I Know This'. The Lower Knowledge Obscures The Higher And Binds The Soul By Breaking Up His Direct, Pervasive Awareness Of His Own Pure Consciousness Nature, Free Of Mental Representation. The Stanzas On Vibration Teach: Operating In The Field Of The Subtle Elements, The Arising Of Mental Representation Marks The Disappearance Of The Flavour Of The Supreme Nectar Of Immortality; Due To This [Man] Forfeits His Freedom. As We Have Already Seen, Three Factors Are Necessary For Perception And Thought To Be Possible, Namely, The Perceiving Subject, The Means Of Knowledge And The Object Perceived. Rajanaka Rama, In His Commentary On The Stanza Cited Above, Explains At Length That These Three Factors Correspond To Three Major Divisions In The Lower Thirty-One Categories Of Existence, Namely: 1) The Object. This Consists Essentially Of The Five Primary Sensations Which Are The Subtle Elements {Tanmatras) Of Smell, Taste, Sight, Touch And Sound Along With The Five Gross Elements — Earth, Water, Fire, Air And Ether — Of Which These Sensations Are The Perceivable Qualities. 2) The Means Of Knowledge. This Consists Of The Senses And The Inner Mental Organ. 3) The Subject. At This Level, The Subject Is The Individual Soul (Purusa) Whose Consciousness Is Contracted By The Five Obscuring Coverings (Kancukas) Of Limited Knowledge And Action, Attachment, Natural Law And Time Along With Maya, Their Source. All These Categories Belong To The Impure Creation (Asuddhasrsti), Which Is The Sphere Of Maya Where The Lower Order Of Knowledge Operates And Subject And Object Are Divided. Above Them Are Five More Categories Which Belong To The Pure Creation (Suddhasrsfi) Where Subject And Object Are Still United. The Highest Of Those Categories Are Siva And Sakti. Combined They Represent The State Of Pure T Consciousness And Its Sentient Subjectivity (Upalabdhrta), Respectively. The Next Category Is Called Sadasiva. Here Faint Traces Of Objectivity Appear In The Pervasive, Undivided Consciousness Of Siva And Sakti. Consciousness, Now Full Of The Power Of Knowledge (Jnanasakti), Views The All In A State Of Withdrawal (Nimesa), Shining Within, And At One With Its Own Nature. T Consciousness Predominates Over 'This' Consciousness Which It Encompasses In The Awareness That: 'I Am This [Universe]' (Aham-Idam). Next Comes The Category 'Isvara' Corresponding To The Awareness: 'This (Universe) Is

Me' (Idam-Aham). 'This' Consciousness Takes The Upperhand Over T Consciousness And Unfolds Externally Full Of The Creative Power Of Action (Kriya&Akti). The All Now Becomes More Clearly Manifest As An Independent Reality. It Is Still Experienced As One With Consciousness But Is No Longer Fully Merged Within It. Finally, When Both Subjective And Objective Aspects Share An Equal Status In The Two-Fold Awareness That: 'I Am This (Universe) And This (Universe) Is Me (Ahamidam-Idamaham)\ Pure Knowledge (Fuddhavidya), The Last Of These Categories, Emerges. The Pure Categories Are The Experience Of The Impure Categories When They Are Recognised To Be One With Consciousness. They Are Experienced Within The Domain Of The Pure Universal Subject The Enlightened Yogi Realises Himself To Be. Mental Representations (Yikalpa) Emerge From This Pure Awareness And Subside Into It In Consonance With The Rhythm Of The Emanation And Withdrawal Of The Lower Categories. Impelled By The Universal Will, This Movement Is Spontaneous And Free. Free Of All Hopes And Fears The Enlightened Yogi Sees All Things As Part Of This Eternal Cosmic Game, Played In Harmony With The Blissful Rhythm Of His Own Sportive Nature At One With All Things. The Stanzas On Vibration Teach: Everything Arises [Out Of) The Individual Soul And He Is All Things. Being Aware Of Them, He Perceives His Identity [With Them]. Therefore There Is No State In The Thoughts Of Words Or [Their] Meanings That Is Not Siva. It Is The Enjoyer Alone Who Always And Everywhere Abides As The Object Of Enjoyment. Or, Constantly Attentive, And Perceiving The Entire Universe As Play, He Who Has This Awareness (Sawvitti) Is Undoubtedly Liberated In This Very Life. According To The Doctrine Of Vibration, Only Liberation In This Life (Jivanmukti) Is Authentic Liberation. Liberation After Death (Yideha- Mukti) In Some Form Of Disembodied State Free Of All Perceptions And Notions Of The World Of Diversity Is Not The Ultimate Goal. Ksemaraja Stresses That Liberation Is Only Possible By Realising One's Own Identity With The Whole Universe, However Difficult This May Be. Similarly, He Maintains That The Suspension Of All Mental And Sensory Activity, Which Takes Place In The Introverted Absorption Of Contemplation With The Eyes Closed (Nimilanasamadhi) That Leads To Identification With Transcendent Consciousness Is Complemented And Fulfilled By The Cosmic Vision Had Through The Expansion Of Consciousness That Takes Place In Contemplative Absorption With The Eyes Open (Unmilanasamadhi). Consequently, Ksemaraja Explains That The First Of The Three Sections, Into Which He Divides The Stanzas, Deals With The Former Mode Of Contemplation And The Second Section With The Latter. Significantly, The Last Stanza Of The Second Section Ends With The Declaration That 'This Is The Initiation That Bestows Siva's True Nature'. In Other Words, This Realisation, Attained Through The Expanding Consciousness Of Contemplation With The Eyes Open, Initiates The Yogi Into The Liberated State, Which Is Identification With Siva Whose Body Is The Universe. In Order To Attain This Expanded State Of Liberated Consciousness, The Yogi Must Find A Spiritual Guide Because The Master (Guru) Is The Means To Realisation. The Master Is For His Disciple Siva Himself For It Is He Who Through His Initiation, Teaching And Grace, Reveals The Secret Power Of Spiritual Discipline. Instructing In The Purport Of Scripture He Does More Than Simply Explain Its Meaning: He Transmits The Realisation It Can Bestow. The Master Is At One With Siva's Divine Power Through Which He Enlightens His Disciple. It Is This Power That Matters And Makes The Master A True Spiritual Guide, Just As It Was This Same Power That Led The Disciple To Him In His Quest For The Path That Leads To The Tranquility That Can Only Be Found 'In The Abode Beyond Mind'. The Master Is The Ferry That Transports The Disciple Over The Ocean Of Thought — If, That Is, The Disciple Is Ready. The Disciple Must Be 'Awake' (Prabuddha) 2% Attending Carefully To The Pulse Of Consciousness. This Alert State Of Wakefulness Is At Once The Keen Sensitivity Of Insight As Well As The Receptivity Of One Who Has No Other Goal To Pursue Except Enlightenment. The Highest, Most Perfect Relationship The Disciple Can Have With His Master Is Such As It Is With Siva Himself: One Of Identity. The Exchange That Takes Place Between Them Is An Internal Dialogue Within Universal Consciousness, Their Common Identity (Svabhava). Limiting Itself To A Point Source (Anu) And Obscured By The Thought-Constructs Born Of Doubt And Ignorance, Consciousness Assumes The Guise Of The Disciple Who Seeks To Attain The Expanded Fullness Of His Master's Consciousness. The Master, On The Other Hand, Embodies The Aspect Of Consciousness Which Responds To The Inquiring Consciousness Of His Disciple. Free Of The Notions Of 'Self And 'Other', When The Disciple Is Liberated By His Grace, It Is The Master Who In Reality Liberates Himself. Although Ksemaraja Assures Us That The Master Can By Himself Enlighten His Disciple By The Initiation He Imparts To Him And The Other Means (Yukti) N He Adopts, Even So, He Is Not The Only Guide On The Path.

Apart From The Master There Is Scripture And, Above All, One's Own Personal Experience, Because, As Abhinava Says: The Knowledge [Acquired] By Gradually [Coming To Understand The Meaning Of] The Scriptures And Following The Master [Who Knows Them] Leads, [When] Confirmed For Oneself, To The Realisation Of One's Own Identity With Bhairava. It Is Important To Know The Scriptures. God Reveals Himself Through Them; They Are One Of The Forms In Which He Is Directly Apparent In This World. They Teach Man What Is Worth Attaining And What Should Be Avoided And So Like A Boat Convey Him Across The Ocean Of Profane Existence (Samsara) To The Other Shore Where God's True Nature Is Revealed To Him. However, The Study Of The Scriptures Is Of Value Only If Accompanied By The Spiritual Knowledge That Results From Personal Experience. Mahesvarananda Writes: Being Well Versed In The Nature Of Deity Is One Thing, But Being Well Versed In The Sacred Scripture Is Another, Just As The Peace Of That Abode Is One Thing And What Worldly People Experience Is Another. Vasugupta, Who Found The Sivasutra, Knew The Means To Realisation (Yukti) As Well As The Scriptures And Had Fully Experienced The One Ultimate Reality. Therefore, Ksemaraja Declares Him To Be Amongst The Best Of Teachers. The Stanzas On Vibration (That Ksemaraja Attributes To Vasugupta) Accordingly Transmit The Secrets Of The Sivasutra In Accord With Scripture, Sound Reasoning And Personal Experience. The Latter Is Particularly Important For The Spanda Yogi; He Is Not Interested In Wasting His Time In Useless Discussion About The Experience Of Consciousness Expansion And Its Fruits, For That Can Only Be Known For Oneself. The Yogi Can Achieve This Experience Either Through Faith In The Master Or Personal Insight (Svapratyayatah) Acquired By Unswerving Devotion To God. Ksemaraja Accordingly Quotes A Passage From The Bhagavadgita Where Krsna Says: Those I Deem To Be The Best Yogis Who Fix Their Thoughts On Me And Serve Me, Ever Integrated [In Themselves], Filled With The Highest Faith. But While The Yogi's Development Depends On Faith And Personal Experience Of The Higher States Of Consciousness, He Can, And Must, Strengthen His Conviction In The Light Of Reason .When Reason (Upapatti) And Direct Insight (Upalabdhi) Work Together, They Serve As A Means To Liberation. Reason Alone Cannot Help Us, But When It Is Based On An Intuitive Insight Of Fundamental Principles Along With A Direct Experience Of Reality, Error Is Eradicated And The Yogi Is Freed. In This Way The Awakened Yogi Realizes His Inherent Spiritual Power (Svabala) With Which He Exerts Himself To Distinguish Between The **Motions Of Individualized Consciousness And The Universal Vibration (Samanyaspanda)** Of The Collective Consciousness That Is Their Ultimate Ground And Firm Foundation. Note The Information Of Individual, Collective And Cosmic General Ledger Is Alone Responsible For Creation And Also Destruction. Thus, Although The Doctrine Taught In The Stanzas On Vibration Accords With Scripture, It Is Supported By Reason And Above All By Personal Experience. Thus, For Example, The Seventeenth Stanza Describes The Difference In The Manner In Which The Well Awakened And The Unawakened Experience Their Own Nature (Atmopalambha While The Eighteenth Describes The Experience Of The Well Awakened In The Three States Of Waking, Dreaming And Deep Sleep. Indeed, Rajanaka Rama, One Of The Commentators, Explains That The First Sixteen Stanzas Establish On The Basis Of Personal Experience (Svanubhava) That One's Own True Nature Is Independent Of The Body. Similarly, The Remaining Stanzas Also Discuss The Direct Experience Of One's Own Nature, But This Time As The Unity Of All Things. This Direct Experience, In Its Diverse Aspects, Is Both The Means By Which The Yogi Develops His Consciousness As Well As His Ultimate Goal. **Doctrine Of Vibration: Mark S.G.Dyczkowski Models See Next Paper** From the point of view of the object, the expansion (unmesa) of this pulse is represented(eb) by the initial desire to perceive (didrksa) a particular object, while the contracted (nimesha) phase is (=) the withdrawal of attention from the object previously perceived. From the point of view of the perceiving subjectivity, the phases are (=) reversed, so that the initial desire to perceive marks (eb) the contraction (nimesha) of subjective consciousness while the falling away of the previous perception is (=) its expansion (unmesa). At the higher level, where these two phases are experienced within (eb) consciousness, they represent (eb) the state of the categories of Isvara ('this universe is me') and Sadasiva ('I am this universe'). Utpaladeva says: Expansion (unmesa\ which is in (eb) the external manifestation [of objectivity], is (=) Kvaratattva while contraction (Niemba), which is in the internal manifestation [of subjectivity], is Sadasiva. ***There is no glorification or mortification here. It just like joining the job and retiring the involution and evolution phases***.(italics mine)The Doctrine of Vibration At this level all the powers of consciousness fuse (e&eb) and both phases are (=) manifest as part of one reality. This unity is in fact apparent (eb) to everybody at each moment.

However, within the domain of Maya, which is the sphere of differentiated perceptions (Yikalpa), it is clearly manifest (eb) only at the juncture (Madhya) between two cognitions. In this Centre resides (eb) the void (kha) of consciousness (free of (e) thought-constructs) which divested of (e) diversity, digests into (eb) itself all the psycho-physical processes that give (eb) life to the multiplicity of perceptions. **Here it is important to note that the when the cosmic general ledger zero reflects upon itself and it is projected on the individual consciousness you see a film an illusory animations wherein creation itself becomes subjective wherein the individual consciousness is both the perceiver the perceived being the image on the screen on the screen of individual consciousness**. The yogi moves from the particular vibrations of consciousness at its periphery to (e&eb) the universal throb of the Heart in the Centre. As Abhinava explains: The self-reflective awareness in (eb) the Heart of pure consciousness, present (eb) at the beginning and end of each perception, within (eb) which the entire universe is (=) dissolved away without residue, is (=) called in the scriptures, the universal vibration of consciousness (samanyaspanda) and is (=) the outpouring (uccalana) [of awareness] within one's own nature. All the categories of existence (tattvas) are united in (eb) the Heart of the Centre where the life-giving elixir of Siva's consciousness floods (e&eb) one's own inner nature. To reside in the Centre is to abide by (e) the law of totality (gramadharma) in a state which transcends (e) the workings of the mind (unmana).Consciousness (jnana) with Light as its support, residing in (eb) the Centre between being and non-being is (=) known as the act of abiding in (eb) one's own abode as (=) the perceiving subjectivity (dratfrtva) free of (e) all obscuration. That which has been purified by (e) pure awareness **(suddhavijnana)** is called the transcendent (viviktavastu), said to be (=) the mode of being (v/7//) of the law of totality (gramadharma) through (e&eb) which everything is (=) easily attainable. The power in the Centre (madhyasakti) is (=) the eternal Present Beyond time it is the source of both past and future. To be established there is to abide without a break in Rama, the supreme enjoyer, in every action of one's life. Rama is Siva, the supreme cause Who pervades the fourteen aspects which embrace the entire universe of experience, namely, moving, standing, dreaming, waking, the opening and closing of the eyes, running, jumping, exertion, knowledge [born] of the power of the senses, the [three] aspects of the mind, living beings, names and all kinds of actions. This came as a natural development in Spanda doctrine not only for **this reason but also because the universal ego is experienced as the inner dynamics of absolute consciousness. To conclude our summarial exposition of the Divine Means, which is centred on the direct experience of this pure ego (and hence on Spanda in this form), we turn now to a brief description of its inner, cyclic activity. We shall do this** by examining Abhinava's esoteric exegesis of the symbolic significance of the word 'A HAM', which in Sanskrit means T, and symbolises by its form the ego's dynamic nature. “Dasein Does Not Fill Up A Track Or Stretch ‘Of Life’ — One Which Is Somehow Present-At-Hand — With The Phases Of Its Momentary Actualities. It Stretches Itself Along In Such A Way That Its Own Being Is Constituted In Advance As A Stretching-Along. The ‘Between’ Which Relates To Birth And Death Already Lies In The Being Of Dasein … It Is By No Means The Case That Dasein ‘Is’ Actual In A Point Of Time, And That, Apart From This, It Is ‘Surrounded’ By The Non-Actuality Of Its Birth And Death. Understood Existentially, Birth Is Not … Something Past In The Sense Of Something No Longer Present-At-Hand; And Death Is Just As Far From Having The Kind Of Being Of Something … Not Yet Present-At-Hand But Coming Along … Factical Dasein Exists As Born; And, As Born, It Is Already Dying, In The Sense Of Being-Towards-Death. As Long As Dasein Factically Exists, Both The ‘Ends’ And Their ‘Between’ *Are*, And They Are In The Only Way Possible On The Basis Of Dasein’s Being As *Care* … As Care, Dasein Is The ‘Between’.” **— Martin Heidegger (Thomas Piel - Traducteur), Being And Time** Path to Liberation On without knowledge that everything is manifest within consciousness is illusory or unreal in that sense alone. 78 Things are more real or more tangibly experienced according to their own essential nature (svabhava) to the degree in which we recognise that they are appearances (abhasa) within absolute consciousness As Jayaratha says: Just as images manifest in a mirror, for example, are essentially mere appearances, so too are [phenomena] manifest within conscious- ness. Thus, beause they are external, [phenomena] have no being (sattva) of their own. The Lord says this [not with the intention of saying anything about the nature of things] but in order to raise the level of consciousness of those people who are attached to outer things; thus everything in this sense is essentially a mere appearance. [Knowing this], in order to quell the delusion of duality, one should not be attached to anything external. 79 The ultimate experience is the realisation that everything is contained within consciousness. We can discover this in two ways. Either we merge the external world into the inner subject, or we look upon the

outer as a gross form of the inner. In these two ways we come to recognise that all things reside within our own consciousness just as consciousness resides within them. This all-embracing inwardness is only possible if there is an essential identity between the universe and consciousness. The events which constitute the universe are always internal events happening within consciousness because their essential nature is consciousness itself. 80 We can only account for the fact that things appear if there is an essential identity between consciousness and the object perceived. If a physical object were really totally material, that is, part of a reality independent of, and external to, consciousness, it could never be experienced. Abhinava says: The existence or non-existence of phenomena within the domain of the empirical (iha) cannot be established unless they rest within consciousness. In fact, phenomena which rest within consciousness are apparent (prakasamana). And the fact of their appearing is itself their oneness {abheda) with consciousness because consciousness is nothing but the fact of appearing {prakasa). If one were to say that they were separate from the light of [that consciousness] and that they appeared [it would be tantamount to saying that] 'blue' is separate from its own nature. However, [insofar as it appears and is known as such] one says: 'this is blue 1 . Thus, in this sense, [phenomena] rest in conscious- ness; they are not separate from consciousness. The Doctrine of Vibration The universe and consciousness are two aspects of the whole, just as quality and substance constitute two aspects of a single entity. The universe is an attribute (dharma) of consciousness which bears (dharmiri) it as its substance. It is said that 'substance' is that resting in which this entire group of categories manifests and is made effective. Now, if you don't get angry [we insist that] this entire class of worlds, entities, elements and categories (tattva) rests in consciousness and [resting in it] is as it is. Thus consciousness contains everything in the sense that it is the ground or basis (adhard) of all things, their very being (satta) and substance from which they are made. But, unlike the Brahman of the Advaita Vedanta, it is not the real basis (adhisthand) of an unreal projection or illusion. Consciousness and its contents are essentially identical and equally real. They are two forms of the same reality. Consciousness is both the substratum and what it supports: The perceiving awareness and its object. In this respect, the Kashmiri Saiva is frankly and without reserve an idealist. Although he does not deny the reality of the object, his position is at odds with most commonly accepted forms of realism. The realist maintains that the content perceived is independent of the act of perception. The content is only accidentally an object of perception and undergoes no change in the process of being perceived. His contention, however, is essentially unverifiable; to verify it, we would have to know an object without perceiving it. This, from the Kashmiri Saiva point of view, is not possible. Objects of which we have no knowledge may indeed exist, but they are knowable as objects only if they are related to subjects who perceive them. In this sense, if there were no subjects, there could be no objects. 86 The subject, however, as opposed to the object is, in terms of the phenomenology of perception, apparent to himself. He is self-luminous (svaprakdsa). Thus, conscious- ness (the essence of subjectivity) is one s own awareness by virtue of which all things exist. The realist maintains that consciousness clearly differs from its object insofar as their properties are contrary to each other. The Saivite idealist. However, says that the object is a form of awareness (vijnanakara)™ The objective status of the object is cognition itself. Perception manifests its object and renders it immediately apparent (sphuta) to those who perceive it. It does not appear at any other time. If 'blue' were to exist apart from the cognition of k blue\ two things would appear: 'blue' and its cognition, which is not the case. It is the perception of the object which constitutes its manifest nature. An entity becomes an Integral Monism of Kashmiri Saivism object of knowledge not by virtue of the entity itself but by our knowledge of it. If objects had the property of making other objects appear, it would be possible for one object to make another appear in its own likeness. 'Blue' is perceived to be 'blue' because it is manifest as such to the perceiver. As Abhinava points out: The [nature of an] object of knowledge could not be established through a means of knowledge totally unrelated to it — a crow does not become white because a swan [sitting next to it] is white. Perception, on the other hand, is immediately apparent to conscious- ness. It is self-luminous in the sense that it is directly known without need of being known by any ulterior acts of perception and makes its object known at the same time. Adopting the Buddhist Yogacara doctrine that things necessarily perceived together are the same (sahopa- lambhaniyamavada), the Saivite affirms that because the perceived is never found apart from perception, they are in fact identical. Reality (satya) is the point where the intelligible and the sensible meet in the common unity of being; it cannot be said to exist in itself outside, and apart from, knowledge or vision. Bhagavatotpala in his commentary on the Stanzas on Vibration quotes: Once the object is reduced to its authentic nature, one knows [the

true nature of] consciousness. What then [remains of] objectivity? What [indeed could be] higher than consciousness? Consciousness is essentially active. Full of the vibration of its own energy engaged in the act of perception, it manifests itself externally as its own object. When the act of perception is over, consciousness reabsorbs the object and turns in on itself to resume its undifferentiated inner nature. Knowledge (jnana) manifests internally and externally as each individual entity.... Once knowledge has assumed that form it falls back [into itself]. The Yogacara Buddhist similarly maintains that consciousness creates its own forms. But, according to him, because the perceived and perception are identical; there is no perceived object at all. The so-called outer world is merely a flux of cognitions, it is not real. He is firmly committed to a doctrine of illusion. The reality of consciousness from The Doctrine of Vibration his point of view is established by proving the unreality of the universe. "All this consists of the act of consciousness alone", says Vasubandhu, "because unreal entities appear, just as a man with defective vision sees unreal hair or a moon, etc."He points to dreams as examples of purely subjective constructs which appear to be objective realities. The apparent reality dreams possess is not derived from any concrete, objective world, but merely from the idea of objectivity. While the Yogacara does not say that an idea has, for example, spatial attributes, it does have a form manifesting them. While he agrees with the Saiva idealist that appearances have no independent existence apart from their appearing to consciousness, he maintains that for this reason they are unreal. The creativity of consciousness consists in its diversification in many modes having apparent externality; it is not a creation of objects. While the Kashmiri Saivite agrees that the world is pure conscious- ness alone, he maintains that it is such because it is a real creation of consciousness. The effect is essentially identical with the cause and shares in its reality. Matter and the entire universe are absolutely real, as 'congealed' (sty ana) or 'contracted' (samkucita) forms of consciousness. "This God of consciousness", writes Ksemaraja, "generates the universe and its form is a condensation of His own essence (rasa)" m By boiling sugarcane juice it condenses to form treacle, brown sugar and candy which retains its sweetness. Similarly, consciousness abides unchanged even though it assumes the concrete material form of the five gross elements. The same reality thus abides equally in gross and subtle forms. Consequently no object is totally insentient. Even stones bear a trace (vasana) of consciousness, although it is not clearly apparent because it is not associated with the vital breath (prana) and other components of a psycho-physical organism. Somananda goes so far as to affirm that physical objects, far from being insentient, can only exist insofar as they are aware of themselves as existing. The jar performs its function because it knows itself to be its agent. Indeed, all things are pervaded by consciousness and at one with it and hence share in its omniscience. Thus, Siva, Who perceives Himself in the form of physical objects, is the one ultimate reality. "The jar knows because it is of my nature", writes Somananda, "and I know it because I am of the jar's nature. I know because I am of Sadasiva's nature and He knows because He is of my nature; Yajnadatta [knows] because he is of Siva's nature and Siva [knows] because He is of Yajnadatta's nature". Integral Monism of Kashmiri Saivism Everything in this sense is directly perceived by absolute conscious- ness, and this direct perception (pratyaksa) unifies the knowable into a single, undivided whole. This is the central concept behind a doctrine originally expounded by Narasimha called 'the non-dualism of direct perception' (pratyaksadvaita). This states that consciousness is essentially perceptive and that its perception of all things operates throughout the universe. Insofar as phenomena are clearly evident (sphufa) to us, everything is directly perceived by absolute consciousness, with which our individual consciousness is identical. This direct perception unfolds everywhere; the one true reality, it is alone and without companion or rival (nihsapatna). Even though it remains one, it can, by its very nature, perceive distinctions (bheda) between one entity and another, without this engendering any division within it. We distinguish between two entities in empirical terms on the basis of their mutual exclusion (anyonyabhava). The relative distinction {bheda) between them is essentially the perceived difference between their respective characteristics. Despite this difference they are united within the purview of a single cognition insofar as they are equally both manifest appearances. This cognition is the undivided essence (rasa) or 'own nature' (svabhava) of both. Encompassed by the 'fire of consciousness', there is no essential difference between them. Just as when an emerald and ruby reflect each other's light, the ruby is reddish-green and the emerald greenish-red, similarly everything is connected with everything else as part of the single variegated (vicitra) cognition of absolute consciousness. Mahesvarananda writes: The Supreme Lord's unique state of emotivity (asadharanabhava) is the outpouring of pure Being (mahasatta). It is manifest as the brilliance (sphuratta) of the universe which, if we ponder deeply, [is realized

to be] the single flavour (ekarasa) of the essence of Beauty which is the vibration of the bliss of one's own nature. In this way all things are in reality one although divided from the one another sharing as they do the 'single flavour' (ekarasa) of the pure vibration of consciousness. Kashmiri Saiva Realism Kashmiri Saivism as a whole has been variously called a form of 'realistic idealism', 'monistic idealism', 'idealistic monism' and 'concrete monism'. It is easy to understand why Kashmiri Saivism is The Doctrine of Vibration said to be 'idealistic' and 'monistic', but in what sense is it also 'realistic*? The answer to this question is of no small importance in trying to under- stand the central idea behind its metaphysics and the fundamental importance of the concept of Spanda, in this seemingly impossible marriage between monistic idealism and pluralistic realism. The Kashmiri Saiva approach understands the world to be a symbol of the absolute, that is, as the manner in which it presents itself to us. Again we can contrast this view with that of the Advaita Vedanta. The Advaita Vedanta understands the world to be an expression of the absolute insofar as it exists by virtue of the absolute's Being. Being is understood to be the real unity which underlies empirically manifest separateness and as such is never empirically manifest. It is only transcendentally actual as 'being-in-itself. The Kashmiri Saiva position

represents, in a sense, a reversal of this point of view. The nature of the absolute, and also that of Being, is onceived as an eternal becoming (satatodita), a dynamic flux or Spanda, 'the agency of the act of being'. It is dentified with the concrete actuality of the fact of appearing, not passive unmanifest Being. Appearance (abhasa) alone is real.ppearing (prakasamanatva) is equivalent to the fact of being (astitva). Ksemaraja writes in his commentary on the Stanzas on Vibration: Indeed, all things are manifest because they are nothing but manifestation. The point being that nothing is manifest apart from manifestation The absolutely unmanifest, from this point of view, can have as little existence as the space in a lattice window of a sky-palace. Nay, even less, because even that space can appear as an imagined mage manifest within consciousness. Everything is real according to the manner in which it appears. Even an illusion is in this sense real, insofar as it appears and is known in the manner in which it appears. The empirical and the real are identical categories of thought. As Abhinava says: Thus this is the supreme doctrine (upanisatf), namely that, when- ever and in whatever form [an entity] appears, that then is its particular nature. Perhaps at this stage a brief comparison with Heidegger's ideas might prove to be enlightening and not altogether out of place. According to Heidegger's phenomenology of Being, reality is intelligible in a two-fold Integral Monism of Kashmiri Saivism manner as 'phenomenon' and 'logos'. Heidegger defines what he means by 'phenomenon' as: "that-which-shows-itself. The manifest . . . phenomena are then the collection of that which lies open in broad daylight or can be brought to the light of day — what the Greeks at times implicitly identified as 'ta onta' (the things-which-are)". 127 In his later writings Heidegger drops the term 'phenomenon' in preference for the verbal form 'phainesthai' in order to emphasize even more the actuality or presentational property of Being. Explaining this new form of the term he writes: "Being disclosed itself to the ancient Greeks as 'physis'. The etymological roots 'phy-' and 'pha-' designate the same thing: 'phyein', the rising-up or upsurge which resides within itself as 'phainesthai', lighting-up, self-showing, coming-out, appearing-forth." Heidegger contrasted his notion of phenomenon with semblance (Schein) and with appearing (Erscheinung). In the case of semblance a thing can show itself as that which it is not, as when fool's gold shows itself to be gold. The ancients always allied semblance with non-being. Heidegger points out, however, that semblances are grounded in showings, and so does Abhinava. Both Heidegger and Abhinava consequently maintain that all semblances have a real basis and are to be treated as instances of phenomena along with the so-called real showing or manifestation of non-deceptive objects. So Heidegger states that: 'how- ever much seeming, just that much being'. Thus self-showing or appearing defines Being as phenomenon, but this definition of Being is as yet incomplete. Being is not only self-showing but 'logos' which Heidegger explains means 'discourse' (Rede) in the sense of 'apophansis': 'letting-be-seen'. Phenomenology, which according to Heidegger is the only correct study of Being, means 'letting-be-seen-that-which- shows-itself. This is true of Saiva Paramadvaita as well. The reality of the world demands recognition; we are forced to accept the direct presentation of the fact of our daily experience. As Abhinava says: "if practical life, which is useful to all persons at all times, places and conditions were not real, then there would be nothing left which could be said to be real." A thousand proofs could not make 'blue' other than the colour blue. The reality of whatever appears in consciousness cannot be denied. Objects appear; they do not cease to do so by a mere emphatic denial. The manifestation of an entity in its own specific form is a fact at one level of

consciousness; it is real. The appearing of the same entity in the same form but recognised to be a direct representation of the absolute is also a fact, but at another level of consciousness. It is no more or less real than the first. 'As is the state of consciousness, so is the experience,' says Abhinava. Although the nature of the absolute is discovered at a higher level of consciousness, The Doctrine of Vibration nonetheless it presents itself to us directly in the specific form in which we perceive things; otherwise there would be no way in which we could penetrate from the level of appearing to that of its source and basis. Abhinava writes: Real is the entity iyastu) that appears in the moment of direct perception (sak$atkara), that is to say, within our experience of it. Once its own specific form has been clearly determined one should, with effort, induce it to penetrate into its pure conscious nature. All things are known to be just as they present themselves. The concrete actuality of being known (pramiti), irrespective of content, is itself the vibrant (spanda) actuality of the absolute. Liberating knowledge is gained not by going beyond appearances but by attending closely to them. "The secret," Mahesvarananda says, "is that liberation while alive (jivanmukti) is the profound contemplation of Maya's nature." No ontological distinction can be drawn between the absolute and its manifestations because both are an appearing (dbhasa), the latter of diversity and the former of 'the true light of consciousness which is beyond Maya and is the category Siva'. Those who have attained the category of Pure Knowledge above Maya and have thus gone beyond the category of Maya, see the entire universe as the light of consciousness . . . Just as the markings [on a feather] are nothing apart from the feather, the feather [is nothing apart from] them, similarly, when the light of consciousness is manifest, the whole group of phenomena is manifest as the light of consciousness itself. Within the sphere of Maya, every entity's 'own nature' (svabhdva) corresponds to its specific manifest form. Accordingly it is defined as that which distinguishes it from all else and from which it never deviates. Above the sphere of Maya, that is, above the level of objectivity, is the domain of the subject. At this level, everything is realised to be part of the fullness of the experience r and hence no longer bound by the conditions which impinge on the object. Here the part is discovered to be the whole, that is, consciousness in toto. In this sphere beyond relative distinctions, the yogi realises that (all) the categories of existence are present in every single category. m The yogi experiences every individual particular as the sum total of everything else. He recognises that all things have one nature and that every particular is all things. This is the 'essence' (sard) or co-extensive unity (samarasya) of all things. Integral Monism of Kashmiri SaivismWe have established that reality is manifest according to how [and the degree in which] the freedom of consciousness reveals it and that [this freedom] is the womb of all forms. Just as 'sweetness' is present in its entirety in every atom of the sugarcane, so each and every atom [of the universe] bears within itself the emanation of all things. This is the level of consciousness in which the absolute reflects on itself realising to its eternal delight and astonishment (camatkara) its own integral nature. The reality of the world of diversity is not denied, but experienced in a new mode of awareness free of time and space in the eternal omnipresence of the Here and Now. [Phenomenal forms of awareness] such as 'this [exists]', born of the colouring [imparted to the absolute] by the limitations engendered by the diversifying power of time {kalakalana) also emanate within the Supreme Principle. There [at that level], Fullness {purriata) is the one nature [of all things] and so everything is omnipresent; otherwise, associated with division (khancjlana), the Fullness [of the absolute] would not be full. The content of absolute consciousness consists of diverse appearings (abhdsa) which, because they are manifest through it in this way, do not compromise the wholeness of consciousness. Everything we perceive is a momentary collocation of a number of such manifestations which combine together like 4 a row of altar lamps' (dipavalT) to form the single radiant picture of the universe. The individual objects which constitute the universe are specific collocations of such 'atomic' appearings. Together they form a single unified particular which appears according to its own defining features (svalaksand). A jar, for example, consists of a number of appearances such as 'round', 4 fat\ 'earthen', 'red', etc., which together discharge a single function (arthakriya), in this case, that of carrying the appearance 'water'. They unite with each other much as the scattered rays of a lamp come together when focused, or as the various currents of the sea together give rise to waves. Atomic appearings can combine in any number of ways, provided that they are not contrary to one another as established by the dictates of natural law (niyati). An appearance of 'form', for example, cannot combine with that of 'air'. Insofar as they share a common basis (samanyadhikaranya), a given cluster of appearances appears as a single whole. This common basis is the most prominent member of the group; the appearance 'jar' is such in the example quoted above. Any one appearance in a cluster may assume a more important or subordinate role. The result is a specific The

Doctrine of Vibration awareness of an object of the form: 'here this is such.' While individual appearances do not lose their separate identity {svarupabheda) when they rest on a common basis, even so the particular object which appears according to its own characteristics (svalak$ana) is an individual reality in its own right. It is a different kind of appearance characterised by its association with the appearance of the specific location and time in which it is made manifest. The form of our experience is thus 'I now see this here'. But when we perceive each particular constituent appearance separately, each assumes a separate fixed function. Abhinava cites the following colourful example to illustrate how the various combinations of appearances account for the variety of experience: Thus even though the appearance of the beloved may manifest externally, it is as if far away in the absence of another appearance, namely, that of 'embracing'. So when the [appearing of the beloved] is associated with another appearance [namely that of 'far away'] the power (arthakriya) it formerly had of giving pleasure appears as its contrary. The form our experience assumes depends, not only on the nature of the object perceived, but also on personal factors entirely peculiar to ourselves. This theory explains this in two ways. In one sense, the object remains the same, but one or other of its constituent appearances comes to the fore according to the inclinations of the perceiver. From another point of view, we can say that the perceived object is different for each perceiver according to the difference in the prominent appearance manifest to him. Abhinava, citing as an example a golden jar, illustrates how the same object appears differently to different perceivers according to the use they wish to make of it and to their state of mind: When a person who is depressed and feels that there is nothing [of value for him in the world] sees the jar, he merely perceives the appearance 'exists' [in the form of the awareness that] 'it is\ He is not conscious of any other [of its constituent appearances] at all. An individual who desires to fetch water [perceives] the appearance 'jar'. The man who simply wants something that can be taken somewhere and then brought back [perceives] the appearance 'thing'. The man who desires money [perceives] the appearance 'gold'. The man who desires a pleasing object [perceives] the appearance 'brightness' while he who wants something solid sees the appearance 'hardness'. These 'atomic events' or appearances emerge from the pure subject's consciousness and combine together to form a total event at each moment. Integral Monism of Kashmiri Saivism Daily life (vyavahara) goes on by virtue of this ever renewed flux of appearances. They are connected together and work towards a single unified experience because they appear within the field of consciousness of the universal subject. The aggregate of appearances arises in the [supreme] subject as do [sprouts in] a rice field. Even though each sprout germinates from its own seed, they are perceived as a collective whole. Appearances rest in this way within the universal subject. 'External- ity' is itself another appearance; it arises from a distinction between appearances and the individual subject. So, although all manifestation always occurs within the subject, it appears to be external due to the power of Maya which separates the individual subject from his object. This split must occur for daily life to be possible. Only externally manifest appearances can perform their functions; when they are merged within the subject and at one with him, they cannot do so. Daily life proceeds on the basis of the operation and withdrawal of the conditions necessary for fruitful action to be possible. Appearance in this sense represents the actualisation of a potential hidden in consciousness made possible by virtue of its dynamic, Spanda nature which is both the flow from inner to outer and back as well as the power that impels it. The emergence from, and submergence into, pure consciousness of each individual appearance is a particular pulsation (visesaspanda) of differentiated awareness. Together these individual pulsations constitute the universal pulse (samanyaspandd) of cosmic creation and destruction. Thus, every single thing in this way forms a part of the radiant vibration {sphuratta, sphurana) of the light of absolute consciousness. Light and Awareness: The Two Aspects of Consciousness Absolute consciousness understood as the unchanging ontological ground of all appearing is termed 'Prakasa'. As the creative awareness of its own Being, the absolute is called ' Vimarsa '. Prakasa and Vimarsa — the Divine Light of consciousness and the reflective awareness this Light has of its own nature — together constitute the all-embracing fullness (purnata) of consciousness. The Recognition (pratyabhijfia) school of Kashmiri Saivism develops this concept of the absolute which finds its fullest expression in Utpaladeva's Stanzas on the Recognition of God. Even though neither of these two key terms appear in the Stanzas on Vibration or the Aphorisms of Siva, they recur frequently in their commentaries. Thus, although the original formulation of the Doctrine of Vibration differs from the theology of Recognition in this respect, it was extended in the course of its development to accommodate this concept of the absolute as well. This was possible, and quite justified, insofar as the absolute understood in Pratyabhijfia terms

does not, as we shall see, differ essentially from that of the Spanda school. We can, as Kashmiri Saivites themselves have done, explain one in terms of the other. The Doctrine of Vibration Prakasa: The Light of Consciousness Prakasa is the pure luminosity' (bhdna) or 'self-showing' that constitutes the essence and ultimate identity (atman) of phenomena. That things appear at all is due to the light of consciousness, and their appearing (avabhasana) is itself this Light which bestows on all things their evident, manifest nature. Established in the light of consciousness everything appears there according to its own specific nature (svabhava). Anything that supposedly does not rest in this Light is as unreal as a sky-flower. 3 Thus, according to Rajanaka Rama, unlike the light of the sun, or any other light, this Light not only makes all things apparent, it is also their ultimate source. 4 Full of its divine vibration the Light makes all things manifest and withdraws them into itself. This supra- temporal activity characterises it most specifically; devoid of it, it would be no better than an inert physical phenomenon. At the same time, this light is the conjunction (slesa) or oneness (aikdtmya) of its countless manifest forms, 6 and the collective whole (sampina'ana) of all the categories of existence. The universe is nothing but the shining of the Light within itself. It is the radiant vibration (sphuratta) of this Light, the state (avast hand) in which consciousness becomes manifest.Although the Light shines as all things at all times and hence also makes their diversity manifest, 9 penetrating each object individually as well as collectively, it is not totally 'merged' (magna) or identified with the object so as to suffer any division within itself. Our experience of any object is of the form: T see this': it is not itself an object, but the manifest form the object assumes as a luminous principle of experience. The Light is ever revealed and can never be obscured; objectivity can never cast a shadow on the light of consciousness. The Stanzas on Vibration declare: That in which all this creation is established and from whence it arises is nowhere obstructed because it is unconditioned by [its very] nature. This Light is the highest reality (paramartha). It is the 'Ancient Light' (puranaprakasa) that makes all things new and fresh every moment. It is 'always new and secret, ancient and known to all'. It is the form of the Present (vartamanarupa), the Eternal Now. Time and space are relations between the contents of consciousness; they cannot impinge on the integrity of the absolute itself. 16 Neither space nor time can divide it, for they are one with the Light that illumines them Light and Awareness: Two Aspects of Consciousness and makes them known as elements of experience. But this Light is the shining of the absolute; it is not an impersonal principle. It is the living Light of God, indeed it is God Himself, the Master Who instructs the entire universe. 18 Siva is this 'auspicious lamp', Who illumines all things. He is the Light of consciousness that reveals the presence of both the real and the unreal, of light' and 'darkness'. 20 Abhinavagupta writes: Thus Bhairava, the Light, is self-evident (svatahsiddha); without beginning, He is the first and last of all things, the Eternal Present. And so what else can be said of Him? The unfolding of the categories of existence (tattva) and creation, which are the expansion of His own Self, He illumines, luminous with His own Light, in identity with Himself, and because He illumines Himself, so too He reflects on His own nature, without His wonder (camatkara) being in any way diminished. Since Zwicky's early observations, similar data from other galaxy clusters has yielded the same result – we consistently see that clusters of galaxies appear to By developing an awareness of the Centre, the yogi experiences the bliss of consciousness. 117 Through this gap he plunges into introverted absorption (nimilanasamadhi) and then emerges again to pervade the field of awareness between Centres and so experience the Cosmic Bliss (jagadananda) of the universal vibration of consciousness. 1 i8 He then recognises that this state pervades every aspect of experience. In this way the yogi's consciousness is no longer afflicted by the power which obscures it, hemming the Centre in on both sides with thought-constructs that seemingly deprive it of its fullness. As he realises directly his pure conscious nature as the universal ego free of all mental representations, it expands out to embrace all things within itself. Thus the realisation the Divine Means leads to, and is directly based upon, is that this pure ego is in all things just as all things are within it. In the Spanda tradition, as recorded in the Stanzas on Vibration, no such ego is recognised. ' I9 Man's authentic nature is, however, understood in personal terms as every individual's own 'own nature* (svasvabhava) which is Siva, the universal vibration of pure subjectivity (upalabdhfta). It is not surprising, therefore, that later commentators found these two conceptions to be essentially the same and accordingly identified one's own inner nature with the pure ego. This came as a natural development in Spanda doctrine not only for **this reason but also because the universal ego is experienced as the inner dynamics of absolute consciousness. To conclude our summarial exposition of the Divine Means, which is centred on the direct experience of this pure ego (and hence on Spanda in this form), we turn now to a brief description of its inner, cyclic activity. We shall do this**

by examining Abhinava's esoteric exegesis of the symbolic significance of the word 'A HAM', which in Sanskrit means T, and symbolises by its form the ego's dynamic nature. The objective world of perceptions is, as we have seen, essentially a chain of thought-constructs (prapanca) closely linked to one another and woven into the fabric of diversity (vicitrata). This thought (vikalpa) is a form of speech (vac) uttered internally by the mind (citta), which is itself an outpouring of consciousness. Consciousness also, in its turn, resounds with the silent, supreme form of speech {para vac) which is the reflective awareness through which it expresses itself to itself. Consequently, the fifty letters of the Sanskrit alphabet, which are the smallest phonemic units into which speech can be analysed, are symbolic of the principal elements of the activity of consciousness. Letters come together to generate words and words go on to form sentences. In the same way the fifty phases in the cycle of consciousness represent, in the realms of denoted meaning (vacya)the sum total of its universal activity (kriya) corresponding to the principal forces (kala) which come together to form the metaphysical categories of 186 The Doctrine of Vibration experience, which in their turn appear in the grossest, most explicitly 'articulate' form as the one hundred and eighteen world-systems (bhuvana). 'A', the first letter of both AHAM and the Sanskrit alphabet, is the point of departure or initial emergence of all the other letters and hence denotes Anuttara — the absolute. 'Ha', is the final letter of the alphabet and represents the point of completion when ail the letters have emerged. It represents the state in which all the elements of experience, in the domains of both inner consciousness and outer unconsciousness, are fully displayed. It is also the generative, emission (visarga) which, like the breath, casts the inner into the outer, and draws what is outside inward. The two letters 'A' and 'Ha' thus represent Siva, the transcendental source and Sakti, His cosmic outpouring that flows back into Him. The combined 'A-Ha' contains within itself all the letters of the alphabet — every phase of consciousness, both transcendental and universal. (For a graphic representation of this analysis, see figure 1.) M, the final letter of AHAM, is written as a dot placed above the letter which precedes it. It comes at the end of the vowel series and before the consonants and so is called 'anus vara '(lit. 'that which follows the vowels') and also 'bindu ' (lit. 'dot,' 'drop,' 'point' or 'zero'). While the consonant 'M' symbolises the individual soul (purusa), 'bindu 1 rep resents the subtle vibration of T, which is the life force (jivakala) and essence of the soul's subjectivity manifest at the transcendental, supra-mental level (unmana). 12 ° It is the zero-point in the centre between the series of negative numbers, in this case the vowels which represent the processes happening internally within Siva, and the series of positive numbers — the consonants which symbolise the processes happening externally within Sakti. Bindu, as a point without area, symbolises the non-finite nature of the pure awareness (pramitibhava) of AHAM. It is the pivot around which the cycle of energies from 'A' to 'Ha' rotates, the Void in the centre from which all the powers emanate and into which they collapse. As such, it is the supreme power of action which holds subject, object and means of knowledge together in a potential state in the one Light that shines as all three 121 containing them in its repose 122 (visrdnti). Bindu is the 'knower' (jndtr), who is essentially consciousness that, though omniscient does not manifest its intelligence, like a man who knows the scriptures but having no occasion to explain them to others silently bears this knowledge within himself. As such, it symbolises the union of Siva and Sakti (sivasakti- mithunapina'a) 123 in a state of heightened potency in which they have not yet divided to generate the world of diversity. It stands, in other words, at the threshold of differentiation in the stream of emanation still contained within Siva. Expansion Commences Bindu — The Individual Soul Withdrawal Commences Figure 1 188 The Doctrine of Vibration Then, to the degree in which that which is to be accomplished by the power of action residing within it [as a potential] penetrates into the absolute, it appears initially as bindu, which is the light of pure consciousness. 124 When outer objectivity is reabsorbed into its transcendent source, bindu is the point into which all the manifest powers of consciousness are gathered and fused together. The universal potency of all the letters is thus contained in bindu which, as the reflective awareness of supreme T consciousness, 125 gives them all life. Thus bindu also marks the beginning of Siva's internal movement back to the undifferentiated absolute and so stands at the threshold of both emission and absorption without being involved in either. The three aspects of AH AM together constitute a movement from the undifferentiated source of transcendental consciousness — 'A' — through the expansion or emission of its power — 4 Ha' — to the subject — 'M 1 — which contains and makes manifest the entire universe of experience. The reverse of this movement, which of withdrawal (samhara), is represented by M-Ha-A. AHAM and M-Ha-A alternate in the rotation (ghurnana) of the reflective awareness of T consciousness as immanent Sakti emerges from transcendental Siva to then merge back into Him. As Abhinava says: The universe rests within Sakti

and She on the plane of the absolute (anutiara) and this again within Sakti ... for the universe shines within consciousness and [consciousness shines] there [within the universe by the power of] consciousness. These three poles, forming a couple and merging, make up the one supreme nature of Bhairava Whose essence is AHAM- 126 At the microcosmic level, fc A' represents the initial moment when the subject begins to rise out of himself to view the object. The movement from 'A to 'Ha' marks the emergence of sensation within the field of awareness, which is represented by the fifty letters of the alphabet symbolic of the fifty aspects of the flux of consciousness leading to objectified perception. 'Nl' is tne subject who, resting content within himself when he has perceived his object, merges through the inner flow of awareness into k A\ the absolute. Then from the absolute (A) its emission (Ha) flows back into the pure subject (M) set to perceive his object. Thus all the cycles of creation and destruction are contained within AHAM through which they are experienced simultaneously as the spontaneous play of the absolute. The yogi who recognises this recurrent pulse of awareness to be the movement of his own consciousness merges his limited ego with the Path to Liberation universal ego. **This is natures general ledger** Thus he realises that its power to create, sustain and destroy all things is his own inner strength (svabaia) that he exerts effortlessly in the same state of mystical absorption (turlya) in universal consciousness that the absolute itself enjoys. In this way he shares in the three-fold awareness Siva Himself has of His own nature which Abhinava describes as follows: 4 I make the universe manifest within myself in the Sky of Consciousness. I, who am the universe, am its creator! ' — this awareness is the way in which one becomes Bhairava. 'AH of manifest creation (sadadhvari) is reflected within me, I cause it to persist 1 — this awareness is the way in which one becomes the universe. The universe dissolves within me. I who am the flame of the [one] great and eternal fire of consciousness' — seeing thus one achieves peace. 127 The experience of the liberated thus coincides with the realisation of their own divine nature which, through its power, rules and guides the cosmic order. Thus this attainment (siddhi), which is liberation itself, is in the DoctrLie of Vibration technically called 'Mastery over the Wheel of Energies' (cakresvaratvasiddhi) because the liberated soul, identified with Siva, now governs, as does Siva, the cycle of the powers that bring about the creation and destruction of all things, Here we are talking of individual consciousness becoming cosmic general ledger and the liberationist realises all destruction and creation is by him. This clearly means that he is in his own world of illusion. Creation is subjective quintessentially The Empowered Means (Saktopaya) All the practices taught in the Stanzas on Vibration are internal. Whenever ritual is mentioned, it is invariably interpreted in terms of the dynamics of the inner processes the yogi experiences and implements in the course of his yogic practice. The Doctrine of Vibration, Ksemaraja affirms, 129 is concerned entirely with these inner disciplines centred, as it is, in one way or another, on consciousness or, at least, on the inner activity of the mind. Thus the Empowered Means which, like the other categories we have discussed, is entirely internal, includes an important part of Spanda practice. Spanda practice belonging to the Divine Means centres on one's own inherent nature (svasvabhava) as Siva, the universal perceiver and agent, that belonging to the Empowered Means on His power. Instead of arriving directly at the all-embracing emptiness of subjective conscious- ness, the yogi practising the Empowered Means realises his true nature through the fullness of its energy. Practising the Divine Means, the yogi plunges, as it were, straight into the fire of consciousness; practising the 190 The Doctrine of Vibration Empowered Means he merges with its rays. Either way the yogi is centred equally on ultimate reality. The power of consciousness is no less absolute than its possessor. To make this point Abhinava quotes the Matanga- tantra: This reality consists of the rays of [Siva's] power and is variously said to be the abode of the Lord's manifestation . . . That same [power] illumined [by Siva] is itself also luminous, unshaken and unmoving. That very [power] is the supreme state, subtle, omnipresent, the nectar of immortality, free of obscuration, peaceful, yearning for pure Being alone {vastumatra) and devoid of beginning and end. Perfectly pure, it is said to be the body [of ultimate reality]. 110 The yogi concentrates on the powers operating in all of life's activities as particular pulsations (visesaspanda) in the universal rhythm (samanyaspanda) of the power of consciousness. In this way he rises progressively from the particular to the universal until he reaches pure Being (satta), the greatest of all universals (mahasamanyd) and the highest form of Siva's power. Thus the creative power of Maya, manifest through countless lesser powers, no longer causes the yogi to stray from Siva's consciousness but becomes the means through which it can be realised 13 1 in the illuminating brilliance (sphuratta) which is Siva's pure Being. Thus by discovering the true nature of Sakti, the yogi realises himself to be Siva, its possessor Who consists of all its countless powers. Thus practise belonging to this Means leads to the same pure

consciousness free of thought-constructs realised through the Divine Means. Although the ultimate realisation is instantaneous, the yogi rises to it gradually by freeing his consciousness of the limitations imposed upon it by thought. Abhinava explains: The same occurs in the Empowered Means [as does in the Divine]. At the discursive level of consciousness {yaikalpikibhumi) [where the Empowered Means functions] knowledge and action, although evident, are, for the reasons explained previously, contracted. A blazing energy [is revealed within] the one who dedicates himself to removing the burden of this contraction. [This energy eventually] brings about the inner manifestation {antarabhasa) of pure consciousness he seeks. 132 Consciousness is individualized and its power of knowledge and action contracted by the thought-constructs born of ignorance. The arising of these mental representations, as the Stanzas on Vibration say, deprives the soul of its freedom and immortal life. 133 The practise of the Path to Liberation 191 Empowered Means is meant to free the fettered soul of this constriction on his consciousness. It operates within the mental sphere (cetasy™ and is designed to purify thought (vikalpasamskara) in order to. reveal the pure consciousness which is its ground and ultimate source. Thus, the Empowered Means is concerned with the second instant of perception, during which the subject forms mental representations of his object. Thought functions on the basis of an awareness of relative distinctions between specific particulars, distinguishing them from one another and thus seemingly fragmenting the essential unity of reality. 135 The vibrant vitality of consciousness, universally manifest, is clouded like a mirror by a child's breath 136 and the soul is deprived of the liberating intuition of the one reality free of thought-constructs (nirvikalpa). Abhinava writes: The [fettered soul] is like a dancing girl who although wishing to leave the dancehall is collared by the doorkeeper of thought and thrown back onto the stage of Maya. 137 All thought is centred on objectivity and hence dislodges awareness from the plenitude of pure subjective consciousness. Thus, to regain the original state of rest (visranti) consciousness enjoys, the yogi must rid himself of thought. As thought-forms decrease, pure, thought-free awareness is strengthened 138 until the yogi is fully established in a state in which the relative distinctions (bheda) conceived between entities dissolve away. Everything appears to him as pure Being (sattdmdtra) l}9 and the entire universe shines before him pervaded by Siva's radiance. 140 His intuitive faculty (mati) thus purified, the yogi gains both the perfections (siddhi) of yogic practice and liberation (mukti). His consciousness is now like a well-polished mirror which reflects everything he desires and grants it to him. 141 Abhinava writes: Just as a man who has been ill for a long time forgets his past pain completely when he regains his health, absorbed as he is in the ease of his present condition, so too those who are grounded in pure awareness free of thought-constructs are no longer conscious of their previous [fettered] state. Consciousness, the sole truly existent reality, free of thought- constructs is made fully and evidently manifest by eliminating thesedifferentiated perceptions. The wise man should therefore exert himself to attend closely to this [state of awareness]. I42 The thought-constructs generated within consciousness do not in reality affect it at all. They can neither break up nor add anything to the Light which shines as all things. 143 They are in fact nothing but 192 The Doctrine of Vibration consciousness itself 144 which perceives, through its power of reflective awareness (yimarsa), the multitude of objects in diverse ways, and so assumes this form. 145 Although thought-constructs are mental representations of objects once seen or present, they are products of the power of consciousness and not of the objects they represent. 146 Thought is both analytic and synthetic; 147 it serves the useful purpose of separating individual elements of experience from others and linking together those that appear to be distinct from one another so that they can be better understood. 148 It does not consist merely of false mental constructs projected onto reality that need to be wholly rejected. Thought obscures consciousness and distracts it only when it appears in the form of doubt, vacillating between alternatives. 149 Once this conflicting duality (dvaitddhivasa) 150 is eliminated, thought is purified and rests in itself as the 'thought-less thought' of pure consciousness. 151 By gradually eliminating the multitude of conflicting notions that agitate him, the yogi ultimately achieves the certainty (niscaya) corresponding to a direct awareness of his own divine nature. 152 Abhinava explains: Thought is in reality none other than pure consciousness. Even so, it serves as a means to liberation for the individual soul (anu) only when it takes the form of certainty (niscaya). 153 The yogi must eliminate every doubt and misguided notion that leads him to believe himself to be other than Siva. By developing the thought: 4 1 am Siva', it ultimately affirms itself directly as a pure awareness beyond thought without any intervening mental representations. Abhinava says: Just as the man who thinks intensely that he is a sinner becomes such, just so one who thinks himself to be Siva, and none other than He, becomes Siva. This certainty (ddrtfhya),

which penetrates and affirms itself in our thoughts, coincides with an awareness free of thought- constructs engendered by a series of differentiated mental representa- tions, the object of which is our identity with Siva. 154 As thought is gradually purified, it becomes progressively clearer until its object becomes maximally apparent (sphufatama). 155 The stream of perceptive consciousness (pramana) progressively reveals each aspect of its object which, thus affirming itself with increasing clarity, reveals its ultimate nature. The yogi reflects repeatedly upon it as the object of his realisation and loving devotion, for all that is perceptible and need be known (j fie yd) is Siva alone. As Abhinava says: Path to Liberation 193 What should we say of those who before they are satisfied have to see their beloved again and again, caress her and think about her for a long time? 156 The yogi practising the Empowered Means is initiated into the Great Sacrificial Rite (mahayaga), eternally enacted at the interface between the inner and outer aspects of consciousness, by a direct infusion of awareness from his master who is the embodiment and outer symbol of the yogi's enlightened identity. ,57 The rite begins with ritual bathing (snana) which is in this case the immersion of the body of thought in the white ashes of the cosmic fuel of duality, burnt in the fire of consciousness. 158 The yogi then goes on to worship (puja) by uniting all that is pleasing to the senses in the oneness of consciousness. 159 The ritual formula (mantra) he recites is the eternal resonance of the awareness which is the pulsation of the Heart of his own consciousness. 160 Repetition (Japa) of the formula is every activity, perception, breath or thought which arises within him while plunged in the universal awareness of his true nature. 161 The mental image he visualises meditating (dhyana) on the Deity in the course of the rite, is whatever the yogi spontaneously imagines and contemplates as the outpouring of the universal creativity of consciousness. 162 Ritual gesture (mudra) is whatever bodily posture the yogi may assume when, fully absorbed in consciousness, he moves, staggering about (ghumita) as it were, drunk with the wine of self-realisation. 163 Oblation is performed by offering with devotion and awareness all the sensations which flow in through the channels of the senses to the fire of his subjectivity, which is thus inflamed (uddipita) and makes all things one with itself. 164 The outer ritual which commences in the sphere of the Individual Means thus leads naturally to the inner rite of the Empowered Means. When the yogi's practise (abhyasa) reaches fruition, the rite merges with the spontaneous activity of consciousness. This is fullness (purnata), the completion and reunification of the forces within consciousness which, through the power of ignorance, were formerly dispersed and divided. "Just as a horse driven here and there", writes Abhinava, "over plains, hills and dales follows the will of its rider, so also consciousness, driven by various expedients (bharigi), quiescent or terrific, abandoning duality, becomes Bhairava. Just as by looking repeatedly at one's own face in a mirror one comes to know that it is the same [as the image reflected], so also, [one sees] in the mirror of mental representations of meditation {dhyana), ritual (puja) and worship (area) one's own Self as Bhairava and so quickly identifies with Him. This identification is the realisation that takes place in the absolute (anuttara)." 165 194 The Doctrine of Vibration By ridding himself of the relative distinctions engendered by thought, the yogi practising the Empowered Means, illumined by the power of self- awareness of Pure Knowledge {suddhavidya), transcends the distinction between right and wrong, purity and impurity. He is led to the conviction that the pure consciousness, which is his true nature, is unaffected by whatever action he may do, whether conventionally accepted as good or bad. Abhinava quotes the Malinivijaya as saying: All here is enjoined and all prohibited. This alone, O Lord of the gods, is here prescribed as obligatory, namely that the mind be firmly applied to the true reality. It matters little how this is achieved. He whose mind is firmly established in [this] reality, even if he eats poison, is as little affected by it as are lotus petals by water. 166 Impurity is a state of seeming separation from consciousness. 167 The yogi who has freed himself of all false notions comes to realise that the true nature of consciousness can never be sullied or limited by any object appearing within it. 168 This is the realisation the ancient sages achieved through a direct intuition of reality free of intruding thought-constructs {avikalpabhava), but kept secret in order not to confuse the worldly. 169 Similarly, in reality nobody is ever bound. It is ignorance to believe bondage exists and to contrast it with a conceived state of liberation. 170 If the Self is one with Siva, how can it be either bound or released? 171 Nothing essentially distinguishes those who are bound from those who are free. 172 The difference between their states is merely conceptual. 173 Pure consciousness abides free of all such distinctions. Thus Bhagavatotpala, <n his commentary on the Stanzas, repeatedly stresses that thought- constructs obscure consciousness and misguide the individual soul. 174 Those who are bound are convinced that they are dull witted, conditioned by Karma, sullied by their sin and helplessly impelled to action by some power beyond their control. He who manages to counter this

conviction with its opposite achieves freedom. 175 He who considers himself to be free is free indeed, while he who thinks himself bound remains so. Thus at the highest level of realisation, as Abhinava says: Nothing new is achieved nor is that which in reality is unmanifest, revealed- [only] the idea is eradicated that the luminous being shines not. 176 Nothing is impure, all is perfect, including Maya and the diversity it engenders. To say that illusion exists and that ignorance must be Path to Liberation 195 eradicated implies that it has a separate existence apart from conscious- ness. If this is so, it has as little reality as the shadow of a shadow, but if not,then it must be consciousness itself. Thus, as Kallata says, bondage, the binder and the bound are in fact one. 177 It is Siva Himself Who freely obscures His own nature. Siva binds Himself by Himself. 178 Concealing and revealing Himself, Siva plays His timeless game. At the Divine (sambhava) level of pure Siva-consciousness, the Spanda yogi directly lays hold of the power inherent in his own conscious nature (svabala) which gives life to the psycho-physical organism and impels the senses and mind to action. 179 In this way every thought- construct, and with it the ego, is instantly annulled in the immediacy of the pure subjectivity that remains unaltered throughout every perception and state of consciousness. The same takes place at the Empowered level b> attending to the recurrent activity — Spanda — of the subject, that is, the flux of awareness through the cyclic movement of the powers of consciousness. 180 By attending (avadhana) to this movement the thought- constructs that emerge and subside in the course of perception are seen to be part of this universal process, and, in this way purified, are no longer binding. Thus, Ksemaraja says that the Spanda teachings are concerned most directly with the Empowered Means. m The yogi who is always alert to discern the pulse of Spanda quickly realises his own authentic state of being (nijarfi bhavam). 1 * 2 He is then truly awake, not only literally, but also in the deeper sense that he is awake to his authentic nature, its power and activity. When attention (avadhana) slackens, this movement takes place unconsciously and so the thought-constructs and perceptions generated through it appear to take on an autonomous existence of their own, just as happens when we dream. 183 The spontaneity of the movement that travels between subject and object and holds them together in the pure awareness of the universal subject's identity with his cosmic object devolves into the creative activity of waking and dreaming. Man, in other words, becomes a victim of his states of consciousness and the contents that they, by their very nature, generate within themselves. 184 The Spanda teachings are not only concerned with the structure of thought and its functions, but also with the powers and properties of its vehicle, namely, speech. Speech issues out of consciousness, develops into thought to then become articulated sound. A focal point of Spanda doctrine is thus the role speech plays in the formation of thought- constructs and their purification. Although this takes place at all levels of practise below the Divine (sambhava), the Spanda teachings, meant as they are for advanced yogis, ignore the outer forms of spiritual discipline to concentrate on practise in the Empowered (sakta) psychic sphere (cetas) and what lies beyond it where speech is the pure inner awareness (yimarsa) **Although Abhinava does not differentiate between individual consciousness and cosmic general ledger, one tends to comprehend that right interpretation is the transfor mentioned the individual consciousness to cosmic consciousness responsible for the creation and destruction which the sadhaka sees as his own power. Every one is rama and every one is Shiva. only thing that is essential is striving and concerted efforts and sustained struggle to reach.** The Doctrine of Vibration of the light of consciousness. The Doctrine of Vibration identifies this, the highest level of speech (para vac), with the universal pulse of consciousness that resounds spontaneously within it as the inner flow of its own undifferentiated awareness. 185 Beyond the realms of language, it is the transcendental consciousness in which all language is rooted and pervades all that language denotes as its essential being. Utpaladeva writes: The Supreme Voice is consciousness. It is self-awareness spontaneously arisen, the highest freedom and sovereignty of the Supreme Lord. That pulsing radiance (sphuratta) is pure Being, unqualified by time and space. As the essence [of all things] it is said to be the Heart of the Supreme Lord. 186 When the intention arises within consciousness to discern its own brilliance manifest in the world of denotations and denoted meanings, speech turns from the supreme transcendental level to that of immanence and assumes the form of a pure intuitive awareness (pratibha) which perceives and comprehends its universal manifestation. This is the voice of intuition (pasyanti), which grasps the meaning inherent inwardly in all words and externally in all that they denote. Analogous to the non- discursive, instinctual knowledge animals possess, it is a pure generic perception not yet formed into language in which the act of denotation, its object and that which denotes it are indistinguishable. Illumined by the voice of intuition birds migrate in their due seasons, the cock

crows at dawn and young mammals suck at the breast. 187 Infants similarly reflect and respond instinctively to their environment by virtue of this intuitive sense 188 and through it come to grasp the link between words and the objects they denote. As they learn to speak, they begin to form concepts and so the next two levels of speech develop. One is the outer corporeal speech (yaikhari) and the other the subtler, inner discourse (antah- saryijalpa) of thought that forms at the intermediate level (madhyama) where the ratiocinating mind stands between the higher levels of intuition and its outer verbal expression. In this way the development of speech in infancy reflects its progressive actualisation in every spoken word. A hymn to the Goddess quoted by Bhagavatotpala describes this process well: Therefore, O Supreme Goddess, the highest form of speech should be worshipped as the [universal] cause that establishes the existence of all things by insight {niscaya) into their nature (artha) brought about by their manifestation through the superimposition [of verbal designations]. O Mother, insight into the true nature [of things] is nothing but the Path to Liberation 197 act of intent of that [same speech], apart from which [speech itself and all that it expresses] could not attain to its own nature. Again, in that state [speech] is said to be the light of one's own nature. Free of division and succession it is attainable [only] by the yogi. Then from the state of intent, O Siva, speech [assumes] the nature of thought as the radiant pulse (sphuraria) of desire to speak of that which is in the domain of words. Then consisting of words, it bears a clearly expressed meaning, for if [speech] were not such, meaning could not be understood. 189 personal experience clearly proves that thought is invariably associated with speech. 190 Thought is a function of language. Through it we communicate to ourselves a mental image of the world about us and can construct complex ideas about ourselves. Language is the fabric from which our world of ideas is woven. Mental representation which orders the influx of sensation and presents us with a meaningful, picture of the world, memory, the elaboration of ideas and the shifting tides of emotion are all intimately connected with language and through it to the consciousness which underlies them. To think of language as nothing more than a system of denotation based on a commonly accepted convention (sanketd) fails to fully account forks inherent power to convey meaning (vacakasakti). In order to learn the convention we must be born with an innate ability to grasp meaning, and this ability is not itself learned nor found anywhere within the domain of convention. Lacking this ability we would be caught in an infinitely expanding system of denotation in which each element pointed to some other within it, without ever coming to rest anywhere. Unless we can couple the word 'jar' with the object it denotes, explaining that the word 'pot' is a synonym of the word 'jar' would leave us none the wiser. 191 The connection between word and meaning is only explicable if we postulate that it is an inherent property of the power of awareness to link one with the other. Language must be grounded in the pure cognitive awareness (prama) of consciousness which stands beyond, and yet illumines, the sphere of experience we define and understand through the medium of language. As Abhinava says: Someone may hear another person speak, but if his awareness (prama) is obscured, he is unable to rise, unconscious as he is, to the level of the experiencing subject [who understands] what has been said. He only grasps the outer successive (sound) of what the other person says and thus can only repeat it as would a parrot. An understanding of its meaning presupposes that he has caught hold of his own power of awareness (prama) by attaining the autonomy [of the conscious, universal subject]. **Note that we have defined sachitananada as one which understand Truth and Untruth, Bliss and Unbliss, and Knowledge and ignorance where all these are equal. Truth=Untruth, Bliss=Unbliss and Ignorance=Knowledge** The Doctrine of Vibration Outer, articulate speech consists of a series of ordered phonemic elements produced and combined by the vocal organs to form meaningful words. In order for this to be possible, these elements must also be grounded in consciousness (prama). The articulated phonemes are merely outer, gross manifestations of the phonemic energies (yarnagrama) held in a potential state within consciousness. This 'mass of sounds* (sabdarasi) is the light of consciousness (prakdsd) which makes the universe manifest and contains all things within itself. In other words, it is the totality of consciousness expressed as the collective awareness symbolised by all the letters corresponding to the introverted subjectivity of Siva Himself. The power through which this potential actualises itself into speech and the world of denotation is technically called * Mdtrkd*. It is the reflective awareness (vimarsa) and radiance (sphuratta) of the supreme subject — the 'mass of sounds' (Jabdarasi) — and the undivided wonder Siva experiences when He contemplates the universe He gathers up into Himself in the form of countless words (vdcaka) and their meanings (vdcya). 193 Mdtfkdsakti is manifest in the second movement of consciousness after the primal vibration of the pure luminosity of the 'mass of sounds', as the state of pure potency which arises when its unsullied subjectivity begins to

turn away from itself and is associated with faint traces of objectivity (dmrsya- cchdyd). 194 Mdtrkd contains within itself the various aspects of objectivity that, although not yet manifest, are ready to issue forth. Thus this power, at one with Siva, is called 'Mdtrkd' because she is the mother (mdtrkd) of the universe that she contains within herself as does a pregnant woman her child. 195 The circle of the powers of Mdtrkd (mdtrkdcakra) consists of the phonemic energies contained in AH AM, the universal ego. 196 When grasped in its entirety at its source, these energies elevate the consciousness of the enlightened, but when split up and dispersed give rise to the obscuring forces (kald) which lead the ignorant away from realisation. The fettered soul is ignorant of the pure egoity that is the source of speech and so it generates, through its powers, the many thought-constructs that deprive him of the awareness of unity and obscure Siva's universal activity. 197 The Stanzas on Vibration declare: He who is deprived of his power by the forces of obscuration {kala) and a victim of the powers arising from the mass of sounds (sabdardsi) is called the fettered soul. 198 The powers [of speech] are always ready to obscure his true nature as no mental representation can arise that is not penetrated by speech. 199 The rays of phonemic energies emanate from the light of Siva, the Path to Liberation 199 'mass of sounds' (sabdarasi) in eight groups. They constitute the powers of the inner mental organ and the five senses, figuratively arranged in a circle around the sacred shrine {pi(ha) of Matrka&akti who manifests externally as the body. 200 The eight classes and the names of the deities presiding over them are as follows: 201 Gutturals Brahman! Intellect (buddhi) Palatals Mahegvari Ego (ahankara) Cerebrals Kaumarl Mind (manas) Dentals Narayani Hearing Labials Varahi Touch Semivowels Aindri Sight Sibilants Camuntfa Taste Vowels Mahalak§ml Smell The yogi who grasps the true nature of the power of Matfka and its phonemic forces is liberated 202 by recognising that the activity of the senses and the discursive representations of the mind are in fact emanations of universal consciousness. Conversely, when ignorant, he is affected by its power in its multiple negative aspects known as 'Mahdghora' ('greatly terrible') and, unable to rest within himself free of the sense of diversity, he is constantly disturbed by the flux of extroverted perceptions. Abhinava explains: When the [phonemic energies] are not known to be [emanations of the Lord] they conceal the wonder (camatkara) of consciousness which is the one essential non-discursive awareness [present throughout perception] and even in discursive thought. They obscure it with thought-constructs constituted by the diverse configurations of phonemes and syllables which [although also] a form of the deity [are no longer benevolent but] most terrible. Inducing doubt and fear, they engender the fettered soul's state, bound by the shackles of transmigra tion. But once their true nature is understood correctly in this way, they bestow freedom in this very life This knowledge of their intimate being [at one with the absolute] consists of this, namely, that even in the midst of all these fluctuations, free at their inception of discursive representations, thought-constructs do not conjoin [individualised consciousness] with the wheel of energies consisting of the totality of phonemes, even though [these constructs] are coloured by the many diverse words generated by the aggregate of phonemes. Language has a powerful effect on us. A few words we may hear or read can inspire us with joy, fear or sadness, and the constant inner 200 The Doctrine of Vibration dialogue of thought arouses intense feelings within us. This power hidden in language, which binds us through the thought-constructs it generates, can also be used to free us of them by channeling it through Mantra. Mantric practice begins at the Individual (driava) level where Mantras are recited in consonance with the rising and falling away of the breath. In this way they are charged with the vibration (spandd) of consciousness and, in their turn, make consciousness vibration (Doctrine of Vibration S.G.Dyczkowski) On without knowledge that everything is manifest within consciousness is illusory or unreal in that sense alone. 78 Things are more real or more tangibly experienced according to their own essential nature (svabhava) to the degree in which we recognise that they are appearances (abhasa) within absolute consciousness As Jayaratha says: Just as images manifest in a mirror, for example, are essentially mere appearances, so too are [phenomena] manifest within conscious- ness. Thus, beause they are external, [phenomena] have no being (sattva) of their own. The Lord says this [not with the intention of saying anything about the nature of things] but in order to raise the level of consciousness of those people who are attached to outer things; thus everything in this sense is essentially a mere appearance. [Knowing this], in order to quell the delusion of duality, one should not be attached to anything external. 79 The ultimate experience is the realisation that everything is contained within consciousness. We can discover this in two ways. Either we merge the external world into the inner subject, or we look upon the outer as a gross form of the inner. In these two ways we come to recognise that all things reside within our own consciousness just as consciousness resides within them. This all-

embracing inwardness is only possible if there is an essential identity between the universe and consciousness. The events which constitute the universe are always internal events happening within consciousness because their essential nature is consciousness itself. 80 We can only account for the fact that things appear if there is an essential identity between consciousness and the object perceived. If a physical object were really totally material, that is, part of a reality independent of, and external to, consciousness, it could never be experienced. Abhinava says: The existence or non-existence of phenomena within the domain of the empirical (iha) cannot be established unless they rest within consciousness. In fact, phenomena which rest within consciousness are apparent (prakasamana). And the fact of their appearing is itself their oneness {abheda) with consciousness because consciousness is nothing but the fact of appearing {prakasa). If one were to say that they were separate from the light of [that consciousness] and that they appeared [it would be tantamount to saying that] 'blue' is separate from its own nature. However, [insofar as it appears and is known as such] one says: 'this is blue 1 . Thus, in this sense, [phenomena] rest in conscious- ness; they are not separate from consciousness. The Doctrine of Vibration The universe and consciousness are two aspects of the whole, just as quality and substance constitute two aspects of a single entity. The universe is an attribute (dharma) of consciousness which bears (dharmiri) it as its substance. It is said that 'substance' is that resting in which this entire group of categories manifests and is made effective. Now, if you don't get angry [we insist that] this entire class of worlds, entities, elements and categories (tattva) rests in consciousness and [resting in it] is as it is. Thus consciousness contains everything in the sense that it is the ground or basis (adhard) of all things, their very being (satta) and substance from which they are made. But, unlike the Brahman of the Advaita Vedanta, it is not the real basis (adhisthand) of an unreal projection or illusion. Consciousness and its contents are essentially identical and equally real. They are two forms of the same reality. Consciousness is both the substratum and what it supports: The perceiving awareness and its object. In this respect, the Kashmiri Saiva is frankly and without reserve an idealist. Although he does not deny the reality of the object, his position is at odds with most commonly accepted forms of realism. The realist maintains that the content perceived is independent of the act of perception. The content is only accidentally an object of perception and undergoes no change in the process of being perceived. His contention, however, is essentially unverifiable; to verify it, we would have to know an object without perceiving it. This, from the Kashmiri Saiva point of view, is not possible. Objects of which we have no knowledge may indeed exist, but they are knowable as objects only if they are related to subjects who perceive them. In this sense, if there were no subjects, there could be no objects. 86 The subject, however, as opposed to the object is, in terms of the phenomenology of perception, apparent to himself. He is self-luminous (svaprakdsa). Thus, conscious- ness (the essence of subjectivity) is one s own awareness by virtue of which all things exist. The realist maintains that consciousness clearly differs from its object insofar as their properties are contrary to each other. The Saivite idealist. However, says that the object is a form of awareness (vijnanakara)™ The objective status of the object is cognition itself. Perception manifests its object and renders it immediately apparent (sphuta) to those who perceive it. It does not appear at any other time. If 'blue' were to exist apart from the cognition of k blue\ two things would appear: 'blue' and its cognition, which is not the case. It is the perception of the object which constitutes its manifest nature. An entity becomes an Integral Monism of Kashmiri Saivism object of knowledge not by virtue of the entity itself but by our knowledge of it. If objects had the property of making other objects appear, it would be possible for one object to make another appear in its own likeness. 'Blue' is perceived to be 'blue' because it is manifest as such to the perceiver. As Abhinava points out: The [nature of an] object of knowledge could not be established through a means of knowledge totally unrelated to it — a crow does not become white because a swan [sitting next to it] is white. Perception, on the other hand, is immediately apparent to conscious- ness. It is self-luminous in the sense that it is directly known without need of being known by any ulterior acts of perception and makes its object known at the same time. Adopting the Buddhist Yogacara doctrine that things necessarily perceived together are the same (sahopa- lambhaniyamavada), the Saivite affirms that because the perceived is never found apart from perception, they are in fact identical. Reality (satya) is the point where the intelligible and the sensible meet in the common unity of being; it cannot be said to exist in itself outside, and apart from, knowledge or vision. Bhagavatotpala in his commentary on the Stanzas on Vibration quotes: Once the object is reduced to its authentic nature, one knows [the true nature of] consciousness. What then [remains of] objectivity? What [indeed could be] higher than consciousness? Consciousness is essentially active. Full of the vibration of its own energy engaged in the act of

perception, it manifests itself externally as its own object. When the act of perception is over, consciousness reabsorbs the object and turns in on itself to resume its undifferentiated inner nature. Knowledge (jnana) manifests internally and externally as each individual entity.... Once knowledge has assumed that form it falls back [into itself]. The Yogacara Buddhist similarly maintains that consciousness creates its own forms. But, according to him, because the perceived and perception are identical; there is no perceived object at all. The so-called outer world is merely a flux of cognitions, it is not real. He is firmly committed to a doctrine of illusion. The reality of consciousness from The Doctrine of Vibration his point of view is established by proving the unreality of the universe. "All this consists of the act of consciousness alone", says Vasubandhu, "because unreal entities appear, just as a man with defective vision sees unreal hair or a moon, etc."He points to dreams as examples of purely subjective constructs which appear to be objective realities. The apparent reality dreams possess is not derived from any concrete, objective world, but merely from the idea of objectivity. While the Yogacara does not say that an idea has, for example, spatial attributes, it does have a form manifesting them. While he agrees with the Saiva idealist that appearances have no independent existence apart from their appearing to consciousness, he maintains that for this reason they are unreal. The creativity of consciousness consists in its diversification in many modes having apparent externality; it is not a creation of objects. While the Kashmiri Saivite agrees that the world is pure conscious- ness alone, he maintains that it is such because it is a real creation of consciousness. The effect is essentially identical with the cause and shares in its reality. Matter and the entire universe are absolutely real, as 'congealed' (sty ana) or 'contracted' (samkucita) forms of consciousness. "This God of consciousness", writes Ksemaraja, "generates the universe and its form is a condensation of His own essence (rasa)" m By boiling sugarcane juice it condenses to form treacle, brown sugar and candy which retains its sweetness. Similarly, consciousness abides unchanged even though it assumes the concrete material form of the five gross elements. The same reality thus abides equally in gross and subtle forms. Consequently no object is totally insentient. Even stones bear a trace (vasana) of consciousness, although it is not clearly apparent because it is not associated with the vital breath (prana) and other components of a psycho-physical organism. Somananda goes so far as to affirm that physical objects, far from being insentient, can only exist insofar as they are aware of themselves as existing. The jar performs its function because it knows itself to be its agent. Indeed, all things are pervaded by consciousness and at one with it and hence share in its omniscience. Thus, Siva, Who perceives Himself in the form of physical objects, is the one ultimate reality. "The jar knows because it is of my nature", writes Somananda, "and I know it because I am of the jar's nature. I know because I am of Sadasiva's nature and He knows because He is of my nature; Yajnadatta [knows] because he is of Siva's nature and Siva [knows] because He is of Yajnadatta's nature". Integral Monism of Kashmiri Saivism Everything in this sense is directly perceived by absolute conscious- ness, and this direct perception (pratyaksa) unifies the knowable into a single, undivided whole. This is the central concept behind a doctrine originally expounded by Narasimha called 'the non-dualism of direct perception' (pratyaksadvaita). This states that consciousness is essentially perceptive and that its perception of all things operates throughout the universe. Insofar as phenomena are clearly evident (sphufa) to us, everything is directly perceived by absolute consciousness, with which our individual consciousness is identical. This direct perception unfolds everywhere; the one true reality, it is alone and without companion or rival (nihsapatna). Even though it remains one, it can, by its very nature, perceive distinctions (bheda) between one entity and another, without this engendering any division within it. We distinguish between two entities in empirical terms on the basis of their mutual exclusion (anyonyabhava). The relative distinction {bheda) between them is essentially the perceived difference between their respective characteristics. Despite this difference they are united within the purview of a single cognition insofar as they are equally both manifest appearances. This cognition is the undivided essence (rasa) or 'own nature' (svabhava) of both. Encompassed by the 'fire of consciousness', there is no essential difference between them. Just as when an emerald and ruby reflect each other's light, the ruby is reddish-green and the emerald greenish-red, similarly everything is connected with everything else as part of the single variegated (vicitra) cognition of absolute consciousness. Mahesvarananda writes: The Supreme Lord's unique state of emotivity (asadharanabhava) is the outpouring of pure Being (mahasatta). It is manifest as the brilliance (sphuratta) of the universe which, if we ponder deeply, [is realized to be] the single flavour (ekarasa) of the essence of Beauty which is the vibration of the bliss of one's own nature. In this way all things are in reality one although divided from the one another sharing as they do the 'single flavour'

(ekarasa) of the pure vibration of consciousness. Kashmiri Saiva Realism Kashmiri Saivism as a whole has been variously called a form of 'realistic idealism', 'monistic idealism', 'idealistic monism' and 'concrete monism'. It is easy to understand why Kashmiri Saivism is The Doctrine of Vibration said to be 'idealistic' and 'monistic', but in what sense is it also 'realistic*? The answer to this question is of no small importance in trying to under- stand the central idea behind its metaphysics and the fundamental importance of the concept of Spanda, in this seemingly impossible marriage between monistic idealism and pluralistic realism. The Kashmiri Saiva approach understands the world to be a symbol of the absolute, that is, as the manner in which it presents itself to us. Again we can contrast this view with that of the Advaita Vedanta. The Advaita Vedanta understands the world to be an expression of the absolute insofar as it exists by virtue of the absolute's Being. Being is understood to be the real unity which underlies empirically manifest separateness and as such is never empirically manifest. It is only transcendentally actual as 'being-in-itself. The Kashmiri Saiva position represents, in a sense, a reversal of this point of view. The nature of the absolute, and also that of Being, is onceived as an eternal becoming (satatodita), a dynamic flux or Spanda, 'the agency of the act of being'. It is dentified with the concrete actuality of the fact of appearing, not passive unmanifest Being. Appearance (abhasa) alone is real.ppearing (prakasamanatva) is equivalent to the fact of being (astitva). Ksemaraja writes in his commentary on the Stanzas on Vibration: Indeed, all things are manifest because they are nothing but manifestation. The point being that nothing is manifest apart from manifestation The absolutely unmanifest, from this point of view, can have as little existence as the space in a lattice window of a sky-palace. Nay, even less, because even that space can appear as an imagined mage manifest within consciousness. Everything is real according to the manner in which it appears. Even an illusion is in this sense real, insofar as it appears and is known in the manner in which it appears. The empirical and the real are identical categories of thought. As Abhinava says: Thus this is the supreme doctrine (upanisatf), namely that, when- ever and in whatever form [an entity] appears, that then is its particular nature. Perhaps at this stage a brief comparison with Heidegger's ideas might prove to be enlightening and not altogether out of place. According to Heidegger's phenomenology of Being, reality is intelligible in a two-fold Integral Monism of Kashmiri Saivism manner as 'phenomenon' and 'logos'. Heidegger defines what he means by 'phenomenon' as: "that-which-shows-itself. The manifest . . . phenomena are then the collection of that which lies open in broad daylight or can be brought to the light of day — what the Greeks at times implicitly identified as 'ta onta' (the things-which-are)". 127 In his later writings Heidegger drops the term 'phenomenon' in preference for the verbal form 'phainesthai' in order to emphasize even more the actuality or presentational property of Being. Explaining this new form of the term he writes: "Being disclosed itself to the ancient Greeks as 'physis'. The etymological roots 'phy-' and 'pha-' designate the same thing: 'phyein', the rising-up or upsurge which resides within itself as 'phainesthai', lighting-up, self-showing, coming-out, appearing-forth." Heidegger contrasted his notion of phenomenon with semblance (Schein) and with appearing (Erscheinung). In the case of semblance a thing can show itself as that which it is not, as when fool's gold shows itself to be gold. The ancients always allied semblance with non-being. Heidegger points out, however, that semblances are grounded in showings, and so does Abhinava. Both Heidegger and Abhinava consequently maintain that all semblances have a real basis and are to be treated as instances of phenomena along with the so-called real showing or manifestation of non-deceptive objects. So Heidegger states that: 'how- ever much seeming, just that much being'. Thus self-showing or appearing defines Being as phenomenon, but this definition of Being is as yet incomplete. Being is not only self-showing but 'logos' which Heidegger explains means 'discourse' (Rede) in the sense of 'apophansis': 'letting-be-seen'. Phenomenology, which according to Heidegger is the only correct study of Being, means 'letting-be-seen-that-which- shows-itself. This is true of Saiva Paramadvaita as well. The reality of the world demands recognition; we are forced to accept the direct presentation of the fact of our daily experience. As Abhinava says: "if practical life, which is useful to all persons at all times, places and conditions were not real, then there would be nothing left which could be said to be real" A thousand proofs could not make 'blue' other than the colour blue. The reality of whatever appears in consciousness cannot be denied. Objects appear; they do not cease to do so by a mere emphatic denial. The manifestation of an entity in its own specific form is a fact at one level of consciousness; it is real. The appearing of the same entity in the same form but recognised to be a direct representation of the absolute is also a fact, but at another level of consciousness. It is no more or less real than the first. 'As is the state of consciousness, so is the experience,' says Abhinava. Although the nature of the absolute is discovered at a higher level of

consciousness, The Doctrine of Vibration nonetheless it presents itself to us directly in the specific form in which we perceive things; otherwise there would be no way in which we could penetrate from the level of appearing to that of its source and basis. Abhinava writes: Real is the entity iyastu) that appears in the moment of direct perception (sak$atkara), that is to say, within our experience of it. Once its own specific form has been clearly determined one should, with effort, induce it to penetrate into its pure conscious nature. All things are known to be just as they present themselves. The concrete actuality of being known (pramiti), irrespective of content, is itself the vibrant (spanda) actuality of the absolute. Liberating knowledge is gained not by going beyond appearances but by attending closely to them. "The secret," Mahesvarananda says, "is that liberation while alive (jivanmukti) is the profound contemplation of Maya's nature." No ontological distinction can be drawn between the absolute and its manifestations because both are an appearing (dbhasa), the latter of diversity and the former of 'the true light of consciousness which is beyond Maya and is the category Siva'. Those who have attained the category of Pure Knowledge above Maya and have thus gone beyond the category of Maya, see the entire universe as the light of consciousness . . . Just as the markings [on a feather] are nothing apart from the feather, the feather [is nothing apart from] them, similarly, when the light of consciousness is manifest, the whole group of phenomena is manifest as the light of consciousness itself. Within the sphere of Maya, every entity's 'own nature' (svabhdva) corresponds to its specific manifest form. Accordingly it is defined as that which distinguishes it from all else and from which it never deviates. Above the sphere of Maya, that is, above the level of objectivity, is the domain of the subject. At this level, everything is realised to be part of the fullness of the experience r and hence no longer bound by the conditions which impinge on the object. Here the part is discovered to be the whole, that is, consciousness in toto. In this sphere beyond relative distinctions, the yogi realises that (all) the categories of existence are present in every single category. m The yogi experiences every individual particular as the sum total of everything else. He recognises that all things have one nature and that every particular is all things. This is the 'essence' (sard) or co-extensive unity (samarasya) of all things. Integral Monism of Kashmiri SaivismWe have established that reality is manifest according to how [and the degree in which] the freedom of consciousness reveals it and that [this freedom] is the womb of all forms. Just as 'sweetness' is present in its entirety in every atom of the sugarcane, so each and every atom [of the universe] bears within itself the emanation of all things. This is the level of consciousness in which the absolute reflects on itself realising to its eternal delight and astonishment (camatkara) its own integral nature. The reality of the world of diversity is not denied, but experienced in a new mode of awareness free of time and space in the eternal omnipresence of the Here and Now. [Phenomenal forms of awareness] such as 'this [exists]', born of the colouring [imparted to the absolute] by the limitations engendered by the diversifying power of time {kalakalana) also emanate within the Supreme Principle. There [at that level], Fullness {purriata) is the one nature [of all things] and so everything is omnipresent; otherwise, associated with division (khancjlana), the Fullness [of the absolute] would not be full. The content of absolute consciousness consists of diverse appearings (abhdsa) which, because they are manifest through it in this way, do not compromise the wholeness of consciousness. Everything we perceive is a momentary collocation of a number of such manifestations which combine together like 4 a row of altar lamps' (dipavalT) to form the single radiant picture of the universe. The individual objects which constitute the universe are specific collocations of such 'atomic' appearings. Together they form a single unified particular which appears according to its own defining features (svalaksand). A jar, for example, consists of a number of appearances such as 'round', 4 fat\ 'earthen', 'red', etc., which together discharge a single function (arthakriya), in this case, that of carrying the appearance 'water'. They unite with each other much as the scattered rays of a lamp come together when focused, or as the various currents of the sea together give rise to waves. Atomic appearings can combine in any number of ways, provided that they are not contrary to one another as established by the dictates of natural law (niyati). An appearance of 'form', for example, cannot combine with that of 'air'. Insofar as they share a common basis (samanyadhikaranya), a given cluster of appearances appears as a single whole. This common basis is the most prominent member of the group; the appearance 'jar' is such in the example quoted above. Any one appearance in a cluster may assume a more important or subordinate role. The result is a specific The Doctrine of Vibration awareness of an object of the form: 'here this is such.' While individual appearances do not lose their separate identity {svarupabheda) when they rest on a common basis, even so the particular object which appears according to its own characteristics (svalak$ana) is an individual reality in its own right. It is a different kind of appearance

characterised by its association with the appearance of the specific location and time in which it is made manifest. The form of our experience is thus 'I now see this here'. But when we perceive each particular constituent appearance separately, each assumes a separate fixed function. Abhinava cites the following colourful example to illustrate how the various combinations of appearances account for the variety of experience: Thus even though the appearance of the beloved may manifest externally, it is as if far away in the absence of another appearance, namely, that of 'embracing'. So when the [appearing of the beloved] is associated with another appearance [namely that of 'far away'] the power (arthakriya) it formerly had of giving pleasure appears as its contrary. The form our experience assumes depends, not only on the nature of the object perceived, but also on personal factors entirely peculiar to ourselves. This theory explains this in two ways. In one sense, the object remains the same, but one or other of its constituent appearances comes to the fore according to the inclinations of the perceiver. From another point of view, we can say that the perceived object is different for each perceiver according to the difference in the prominent appearance manifest to him. Abhinava, citing as an example a golden jar, illustrates how the same object appears differently to different perceivers according to the use they wish to make of it and to their state of mind: When a person who is depressed and feels that there is nothing [of value for him in the world] sees the jar, he merely perceives the appearance 'exists' [in the form of the awareness that] 'it is\ He is not conscious of any other [of its constituent appearances] at all. An individual who desires to fetch water [perceives] the appearance 'jar'. The man who simply wants something that can be taken somewhere and then brought back [perceives] the appearance 'thing'. The man who desires money [perceives] the appearance 'gold'. The man who desires a pleasing object [perceives] the appearance 'brightness' while he who wants something solid sees the appearance 'hardness'. These 'atomic events' or appearances emerge from the pure subject's consciousness and combine together to form a total event at each moment. Integral Monism of Kashmiri Saivism Daily life (vyavahara) goes on by virtue of this ever renewed flux of appearances. They are connected together and work towards a single unified experience because they appear within the field of consciousness of the universal subject. The aggregate of appearances arises in the [supreme] subject as do [sprouts in] a rice field. Even though each sprout germinates from its own seed, they are perceived as a collective whole. Appearances rest in this way within the universal subject. 'External- ity' is itself another appearance; it arises from a distinction between appearances and the individual subject. So, although all manifestation always occurs within the subject, it appears to be external due to the power of Maya which separates the individual subject from his object. This split must occur for daily life to be possible. Only externally manifest appearances can perform their functions; when they are merged within the subject and at one with him, they cannot do so. Daily life proceeds on the basis of the operation and withdrawal of the conditions necessary for fruitful action to be possible. Appearance in this sense represents the actualisation of a potential hidden in consciousness made possible by virtue of its dynamic, Spanda nature which is both the flow from inner to outer and back as well as the power that impels it. The emergence from, and submergence into, pure consciousness of each individual appearance is a particular pulsation (visesaspanda) of differentiated awareness. Together these individual pulsations constitute the universal pulse (samanyaspandd) of cosmic creation and destruction. Thus, every single thing in this way forms a part of the radiant vibration {sphuratta, sphurana) of the light of absolute consciousness. Light and Awareness: The Two Aspects of Consciousness Absolute consciousness understood as the unchanging ontological ground of all appearing is termed 'Prakasa'. As the creative awareness of its own Being, the absolute is called ' Vimarsa '. Prakasa and Vimarsa — the Divine Light of consciousness and the reflective awareness this Light has of its own nature — together constitute the all-embracing fullness (purnata) of consciousness. The Recognition (pratyabhijfia) school of Kashmiri Saivism develops this concept of the absolute which finds its fullest expression in Utpaladeva's Stanzas on the Recognition of God. Even though neither of these two key terms appear in the Stanzas on Vibration or the Aphorisms of Siva, they recur frequently in their commentaries. Thus, although the original formulation of the Doctrine of Vibration differs from the theology of Recognition in this respect, it was extended in the course of its development to accommodate this concept of the absolute as well. This was possible, and quite justified, insofar as the absolute understood in Pratyabhijfia terms does not, as we shall see, differ essentially from that of the Spanda school. We can, as Kashmiri Saivites themselves have done, explain one in terms of the other. The Doctrine of Vibration Prakasa: The Light of Consciousness Prakasa is the pure luminosity' (bhdna) or 'self-showing' that constitutes the essence and ultimate identity (atman) of phenomena. That things appear at all is due to the light of consciousness,

and their appearing (avabhasana) is itself this Light which bestows on all things their evident, manifest nature. Established in the light of consciousness everything appears there according to its own specific nature (svabhava). Anything that supposedly does not rest in this Light is as unreal as a sky-flower. 3 Thus, according to Rajanaka Rama, unlike the light of the sun, or any other light, this Light not only makes all things apparent, it is also their ultimate source. 4 Full of its divine vibration the Light makes all things manifest and withdraws them into itself. This supra- temporal activity characterises it most specifically; devoid of it, it would be no better than an inert physical phenomenon. At the same time, this light is the conjunction (slesa) or oneness (aikdtmya) of its countless manifest forms, 6 and the collective whole (sampina'ana) of all the categories of existence. The universe is nothing but the shining of the Light within itself. It is the radiant vibration (sphuratta) of this Light, the state (avast hand) in which consciousness becomes manifest.Although the Light shines as all things at all times and hence also makes their diversity manifest, 9 penetrating each object individually as well as collectively, it is not totally 'merged' (magna) or identified with the object so as to suffer any division within itself. Our experience of any object is of the form: T see this': it is not itself an object, but the manifest form the object assumes as a luminous principle of experience. The Light is ever revealed and can never be obscured; objectivity can never cast a shadow on the light of consciousness. The Stanzas on Vibration declare: That in which all this creation is established and from whence it arises is nowhere obstructed because it is unconditioned by [its very] nature. This Light is the highest reality (paramartha). It is the 'Ancient Light' (puranaprakasa) that makes all things new and fresh every moment. It is 'always new and secret, ancient and known to all'. It is the form of the Present (vartamanarupa), the Eternal Now. Time and space are relations between the contents of consciousness; they cannot impinge on the integrity of the absolute itself. 16 Neither space nor time can divide it, for they are one with the Light that illumines them Light and Awareness: Two Aspects of Consciousness and makes them known as elements of experience. But this Light is the shining of the absolute; it is not an impersonal principle. It is the living Light of God, indeed it is God Himself, the Master Who instructs the entire universe. 18 Siva is this 'auspicious lamp', Who illumines all things. He is the Light of consciousness that reveals the presence of both the real and the unreal, of light' and 'darkness'. 20 Abhinavagupta writes: Thus Bhairava, the Light, is self-evident (svatahsiddha); without beginning, He is the first and last of all things, the Eternal Present. And so what else can be said of Him? The unfolding of the categories of existence (tattva) and creation, which are the expansion of His own Self, He illumines, luminous with His own Light, in identity with Himself, and because He illumines Himself, so too He reflects on His own nature, without His wonder (camatkara) being in any way diminished. Although It Is Possible To Catch Glimpses Of The Highest Reality In Advanced States Of Contemplation Before Attaining Perfect Enlightenment, These States, However Long They Last, Are Transitory (Kadacitka) And When They End The Vision Of The Absolute Ceases With Them. The Highest Realisation, However, Persists In All States Of Consciousness. It Happens Once And Need Never Occur Again. A Passage From A Lost Tantra Declares: "The Self Shines Forth But Once, It Is Full [Of All Things] And Can Nowhere Be Unmanifest." All Spiritual Discipline Culminates In This Moment Of Realisation. Accordingly, Abhinava Stresses That The Goal Of All The Means To Realisation, Even The Individual Means, Is This Absolute Consciousness. Finally, It Is Worth Noting That Although Abhinava Affirms That The Teachings Concerning Anupaya Are Found In The Siddhayogesvarimata And The Malinxvijaya, Both Of Which, According To Abhinava, Are Major Tantras Of The Trika School, It Is In The Theology Of The School Of Recognition That It Is Best Exemplified. Abhinava Himself Refers To Somananda, The Founder Of This School, As Teaching It And Alludes To The Following Passage In The Vision Of Siva To Support His Own Exposition: When Siva, Who Is Everywhere Present, Is Known Just Once Through The Firm Insight Born Of Right Knowledge (Pramana), The Scripture And The Master's Words, No Means [To Realisation] Serves Any Purpose And Even Contemplation {Bhavana) [Is Of No Further Use]. Anupaya Is Therefore, According To Abhinavagupta, The Recognition Of One's Own Authentic Siva-Nature, Which All The Higher Tantric Traditions Teach Is The Ultimate Realisation. This Is Also True Of The Doctrine Of Vibration Whose Precedents Are Clearly Traceable To These Same Traditions. Thus, Although The Stanzas Themselves Never Refer Directly To Enlighten- Ment As An Experience Of Recognition, There Can Be Little Doubt That Spanda Practice Leads To This Same Realisation. Accordingly, Commentators Stress That We Realise The Vibration Of Consciousness By Recognising Its Activity And That Liberation Depends On The Recognition Of This As One's Own Nature. Ksemaraja Describes What Happens In This Moment Of Recognition

According To The Doctrine Of Vibration Thus: At The End Of Countless Rebirths, The Yogi's [Psycho-Physical] Activity [Which Issues From Ignorance] Is Suddenly Interrupted By The Recognition Of His Own Transcendent Nature, Full Of A Novel And Supreme Bliss. He Is Like One Struck With Awe. And In This Attitude Of Astonishment (Vismaya- Mudra) Achieves The Great Expansion [Of Consciousness] {Mahavikasa). Thus He, The Best Of Yogis, Whose True Nature Has Been Revealed [To Him] Is Well Established [At The Highest Level Of Consciousness], Which He Grasps Firmly And His Hold Upon It Never Slackens. Thus He Is No Longer Subject To Profane Existence (Pravftti), The Abhorrent And Continuing Round Of Birth And Death, Which Inspires Fear In All Living Beings, Because Its Cause, His Own Impurity, No Longer Exists. The Divine Means (Sambhavopava) In Anupaya The Yogi Does Not Need To Deal With The World Of Diversity At All; Only Paramasiva Exists There. Beyond Both Immanence And Transcendence, He Has Nothing To Do With The World Of Practice And Realisation. Anupaya Is The Experience Of The Undefinable (Qnakhya) Light Of Consciousness, Which Is The Pure Bliss Beyond Even The Supreme State (Paratlta) Of Sivatattva. At A Slightly Lower Level, Corresponding To The Divine Means, A Subtle Distinction Emerges Between The Goal And The Path. The Yogi Now Practises Within The Domain Of The Outpouring Of The Power Of Consciousness. From This Level He Penetrates Directly Into The Universal Egoity Of Pure Consciousness By The Subtle Exertion (Udyama) Of Its Freedom (Svatantrya) And Reflective Awareness. The Yogi Who Practises The Divine Means Is Not Concerned With Any Partial Aspect Of Reality But Centers His Attention Directly On Its Abounding Plenitude. Hence This Means Is Based On Siva's Own State (Sambhavavastha) In Which Only The Power Of Freedom Operates As The Pure Being (Satta) Or Essence Of All The Other Powers. This State Is The Light Of Consciousness Which, Free Of All Thought- Forms, Is The Basis Of All Practice." The Yogi Who Recognises That Pure Consciousness, Free Of Thought-Constructs (Nirvikalpa), Is His Basic State, Can Practice In Any Way He Chooses; Even The Most Common Mantra Will Lead Him Directly To The Highest State. Thus The Forms Of Contemplative Absorption, Empowered (Sakta) And Individual (Anava), Which Are The Fruits Of The Other Means To Realisation Both, Attain Maturity In This Same Undifferentiated Awareness. This Awareness Is The Pure Ego Manifest At The Initial Moment Of Perception (Prathamikalocana), When The Power Of The Will To Perceive Is Activated. It Is The Subtle State Of Consciousness That Reveals The Presence And Nature Of Its Object Directly: That Which Shines And Is Directly Grasped In The First Moment Of Perception While It Is Still Free Of Differentiated Representations And Reflects Upon Itself Is [The Basis Of The Divine Means] Said To Be The Will. Just As An Object Appears Directly To One Whose Eyes Are Open Without The Intervention Of Any Mental Cogitation (Anusaipdhana), So, For Some, Does Siva's Nature. The Movement Of Awareness At This Level Of Practice Attains Its Goal Quickly. While Consciousness Is Heightened Progressively In The Other Means, Here It Expands Freely To The Higher Levels, Unconfined By Any Intruding Thought-Constructs. The Divine Means Is A 'Thoughtless Thought', A 'Processless Process', That Occurs At The Juncture Between Being And Becoming. Abhinava Explains: When The Heart [Of Consciousness] Is Pure And [Free Of Thought- Constructs], It Harbours The Light Which Illumines The Radiant, Primordial Plane Ipragrabhumi) Together With All The Categories Of Existence. [The Yogi] Then Realises Through It His Identity With Siva Who Is Pure Consciousness The Yogi Must Catch The Initial Moment Of Awareness (Adiparamarsa) Just When Perception Begins^ He Must Not Move On From The First Pure Sensation Of The Object But Return To Its Original Source In His Own T Consciousness. Observing In This Way The Objective Field Of Consciousness Without Laboring To Distinguish Particulars, The Yogi Penetrates Into His Own Subjectivity Which, Vacuous And Divested Of All Outer Supports (Niralamba), Is Not Directed Anywhere Outside Itself (Ananyamukha- Preksin). Here He Can Hold Of The Power Inherent In His Own Consciousness Through Which He Discerns The True Nature Of Whatever Appears Before Him. Thus The Stanzas On Vibration Teach: Just As An Object, Which Is Not Seen Clearly At First Even When The Mind Attends To It Carefully, Becomes Later Fully Evident When Observed With The Effort Exerted Through One's Own [Inherent] Strength (Svabala), In The Same Way, When [The Yogi] Lays Hold Of That Same Power, Then Whatever [He Perceives Manifests To Him] Quickly According To Its True Nature, Whatever Be Its Form, Locus, Time Or State. Thus, Although The Practice Of This Divine Means Starts By Catching Hold Of The Will In The First Moment Of Awareness, It Also Concerns The Second And Third Moments In Which The Means Of Knowledge And The Object Are Made Manifest. When Practice At This Level Proceeds Smoothly And Without Interruption, The Three Powers Of Will, Knowledge And Action Fuse Into The Trident

(Trisula) Of Power, Which Is The Subject Free Of All Obscuration (Niranjana), M At One With The Power Of Action In Its Most Powerful And Evident Form. The Kaula Schools Call This State The Stainless (Niranjanatattva). Equated In The Spanda Tradition With The Dawning Of The Vibration Of Consciousness {Spandodaya), It Is The Enlightenment The Spanda Yogi Seeks. Spanda Practice Is Based On The Experience Of Spanda Which, As We Have Seen, Is Defined As The Intent (Aunmukhya) Of Consciousness, Unrestricted To Any Specific Object And Hence Free Of Thought-Constructs. Spanda Can Therefore Be Experienced Directly When A Powerful Intention Develops Within Consciousness, Whatever Is Its Ultimate Goal Or Cause. We Have Already Noted That Intense Anger, Joy, Grief Or Confusion Is Such Occasions. Similarly, The Yogi Can Make Contact With The Omnipotent Will, Which He As Siva Possesses, Through Intense Prayer. Directing His Entire Attention To Siva, The Benefactor Of The World, Entreating Him Fervently And Without Break, His Will Merges With Siva's Universal Will, Which Is The Source Of Every Impulse And Perception. As He Looks About Him, The Yogi Realises That It Is Siva Himself, The Universal Consciousness And The Yogi's Authentic Identity, Who Ordains His Every Action, Thought And Perception. Thus The Yogi's Cognitive Intent On His Object Coincides With The Universal Will To Make That Object Known To Him, Whether The Yogi Is Awake Or Dreaming. He Is Thus No Longer Like The Worldly Man Who Cannot Dream As He Wishes And Is Forced To Experience Whatever Spontaneously Happens In These States Of Consciousness. Ultimately The Yogi Manages, By Siva's Grace, To Maintain A Constant Awareness Of His Own Pure Perceptive Consciousness (Upalabdhrta) Divested Of All Obscuring Thought-Constructs In Deep Sleep As Well As In The Contemplative State (Turiya) Beyond It. When He Rises To The Higher Levels Of Contemplation In Which The Breath Is Suspended And All Sensory And Mental Activity Ceases, The Yogi Who Manages To Sustain This Pure, Undifferentiated Awareness Does Not Succumb To Sleep As Do Less Developed Yogis. Perfection In The Practice Of The Divine Means Thus Coincides With The Goal Of Spanda Practice, Namely, A Constant, Alert Attention To The Perceiving Subjectivity Which Persists Unchanged In Every State Of Consciousness Both As The Perceiver And Agent Of All That It Experiences. Another Important Spanda Practice Belonging To This Means Is Centering. The Spanda Yogi Seeks To Find The Centre (Madhya) Between One Cognition And The Next; For It Is There That He Discovers The Expansion (Unmesd) Of Consciousness Free Of Thought-Constructs From Whence All Differentiated Perceptions (Yikalpa) Emerge. 107 Abhinava Explains That This Pure Awareness Is Called: . . . The Expansion (Unme$A) Of [Consciousness] Or The Creative Intuition {Pratibha) [Experienced] In The Interval Which Divides Two [Moments] Of Differentiated Perception (Vikalpa). It Is Here That They Arise And Disappear. The Sastras And Agamas Proclaim With Reasoned Argument That It Is Free Of Thought-Constructs {Nirvikalpa) And Precedes All Mental Representations Of Any Object. None Can Deny That A Gap Exists Between Perceptions Insofar As Two Moments Of Thought Are Invariably Divided. This [Gap] Is The Undifferentiated Unity Of All The Countless Manifestations. Similarly, In The Outer More Objective Sphere, Where Change Consists Of The Alterations In The Configurations Of Manifest Appearances (Abhasa\ The Transition From One To Another Corresponds To A Phase Of Pure Luminosity That Marks The Beginning Of One Form And The End Of Another. The World Of Manifestation And Differentiated Perceptions (Yikalpa) Thus Extends From One Centre To The Next. Although It Is Never In Fact Divorced From The Subject Who Resides There, The Ignorant Fail To Grasp This Fact And So, Cut Off From The Centre, The World Of Objectivity Becomes For Them The Sphere Of Maya. Bhagavatotpala Quotes The Light Of Consciousness (Samvitprakdsa): This Ever Pure Experience (Suddhanubhava) Is Variegated By Each Form [Revealed Within It]; Even So It Remains Unstained (Nirmala) When Moving To Another. Just As A Cloth Which Is Naturally White, Once Dyed, Cannot Change Colour Without [First] Becoming White Again, Similarly The Pure Power Of Awareness, (Citi) Once Coloured By Form, Is Pure [Again] At The Centre Where That Form Is Abandoned And From Whence It Proceeds To Another. In His Essence Of Vibration (Spandasarpdoha), K§Emaraja Explains That The Rise And Fall Of Every Individual Perception In The Field Of Awareness Is A Specific Pulsation Of Consciousness. From The Point Of View Of The Object, The Expansion (Unmesa) Of This Pulse Is Represented By The Initial Desire To Perceive (Didrksa) A Particular Object, While The Contracted (Nimesa) Phase Is The Withdrawal Of Attention From The Object Previously Perceived. From The Point Of View Of The Perceiving Subjectivity, The Phases Are Reversed, So That The Initial Desire To Perceive Marks The Contraction (Nimesa) Of Subjective Consciousness While The Falling Away Of The Previous Perception Is Its Expansion (Unmesa). At The Higher Level, Where These Two

Phases Are Experienced Within Consciousness, They Represent The State Of The Categories Of Isvara ('This Universe Is Me') And Sadasiva ('I Am This Universe'). Utpaladeva Says: Expansion (Unme$A\ Which Is In The External Manifestation [Of Objectivity], Is Kvaratattva While Contraction (Nime$A), Which Is In The Internal Manifestation [Of Subjectivity], Is Sadasiva. At This Level All The Powers Of Consciousness Fuse And Both Phases Are Manifest As Part Of One Reality. This Unity Is In Fact Apparent To Everybody At Each Moment. However, Within The Domain Of Maya, Which Is The Sphere Of Differentiated Perceptions (Yikalpa), It Is Clearly Manifest Only At The Juncture (Madhya) Between Two Cognitions. In This Centre Resides The Void (Kha) Of Consciousness (Free Of Thought-Constructs) Which, Divested Of Diversity, Digests Into Itself All The Psycho-Physical Processes That Give Life To The Multiplicity Of Perceptions. The Yogi Moves From The Particular Vibrations Of Consciousness At Its Periphery To The Universal Throb Of The Heart In The Centre. As Abhinava Explains: The Self-Reflective Awareness In The Heart Of Pure Consciousness, Present At The Beginning And End Of Each Perception, Within Which The Entire Universe Is Dissolved Away Without Residue, Is Called In The Scriptures, The Universal Vibration Of Consciousness (Samanyaspanda) And Is The Outpouring (Uccalana) [Of Awareness] Within One's Own Nature. All The Categories Of Existence (Tattvas) Are United In The Heart Of The Centre Where The Life-Giving Elixir Of Siva's Consciousness Floods One's Own Inner Nature. To Reside In The Centre Is To Abide By The Law Of Totality (Gramadharma) In A State Which Transcends The Workings Of The Mind (Unmana). Consciousness (Jnana) With Light As Its Support, Residing In The Centre Between Being And Non-Being Is Known As The Act Of Abiding In One's Own Abode As The Perceiving Subjectivity (Dratfrtva) Free Of All Obscuration. That Which Has Been Purified By Pure Awareness (Suddhavijnana) Is Called The Transcendent (Viviktavastu), Said To Be The Mode Of Being (V/7//) Of The Law Of Totality (Gramadharma) Through Which Everything Is Easily Attainable. The Power In The Centre (Madhyasakti) Is The Eternal Present. Beyond Time It Is The Source Of Both Past And Future. To Be Established There Is To Abide Without A Break In Rama, The Supreme Enjoyer, In Every Action Of One's Life. Rama Is Siva, The Supreme Cause Who Pervades The Fourteen Aspects Which Embrace The Entire Universe Of Experience, Namely, Moving, Standing, Dreaming, Waking, The Opening And Closing Of The Eyes, Running, Jumping, Exertion, Knowledge [Born] Of The Power Of The Senses, The [Three] Aspects Of The Mind, Living Beings, Names And All Kinds Of Actions. By Developing An Awareness Of The Centre, The Yogi Experiences The Bliss Of Consciousness. Through This Gap He Plunges Into Introverted Absorption (Nimilanasamadhi) And Then Emerges Again To Pervade The Field Of Awareness Between Centres And So Experience The Cosmic Bliss (Jagadananda) Of The Universal Vibration Of Consciousness. 1 I8 He Then Recognises That This State Pervades Every Aspect Of Experience. In This Way The Yogi's Consciousness Is No Longer Afflicted By The Power Which Obscures It, Hemming The Centre In On Both Sides With Thought-Constructs That Seemingly Deprive It Of Its Fullness. As He Realises Directly His Pure Conscious Nature As The Universal Ego Free Of All Mental Representations, It Expands Out To Embrace All Things Within Itself. Thus The Realisation The Divine Means Leads To, And Is Directly Based Upon, Is That This Pure Ego Is In All Things Just As All Things Are Within It. In The Spanda Tradition, As Recorded In The Stanzas On Vibration, No Such Ego Is Recognised. ' I9 Man's Authentic Nature Is, However, Understood In Personal Terms As Every Individual's Own 'Own Nature* (Svasvabhava) Which Is Siva, The Universal Vibration Of Pure Subjectivity (Upalabdhfta). It Is Not Surprising, Therefore, That Later Commentators Found These Two Conceptions To Be Essentially The Same And Accordingly Identified One's Own Inner Nature With The Pure Ego. This Came As A Natural Development In Spanda Doctrine Not Only For This Reason But Also Because The Universal Ego Is Experienced As The Inner Dynamics Of Absolute Consciousness. To Conclude Our Summarial Exposition Of The Divine Means, Which Is Centred On The Direct Experience Of This Pure Ego (And Hence On Spanda In This Form), We Turn Now To A Brief Description Of Its Inner, Cyclic Activity. We Shall Do This By Examining Abhinava' S Esoteric Exegesis Of The Symbolic Significance Of The Word 'A HAM', Which In Sanskrit Means T, And Symbolises By Its Form The Ego's Dynamic Nature. The Objective World Of Perceptions Is, As We Have Seen, Essentially A Chain Of Thought-Constructs (Prapanca) Closely Linked To One Another And Woven Into The Fabric Of Diversity (Vicitrata). This Thought (Vikalpa) Is A Form Of Speech (Vac) Uttered Internally By The Mind (Citta), Which Is Itself An Outpouring Of Consciousness. Consciousness Also, In Its Turn, Resounds With The Silent, Supreme Form Of Speech {Para Vac) Which Is The Reflective Awareness Through Which It

Expresses Itself To Itself. Consequently, The Fifty Letters Of The Sanskrit Alphabet, Which Are The Smallest Phonemic Units Into Which Speech Can Be Analysed, Are Symbolic Of The Principal Elements Of The Activity Of Consciousness. Letters Come Together To Generate Words And Words Go On To Form Sentences. In The Same Way The Fifty Phases In The Cycle Of Consciousness Represent, In The Realms Of Denoted Meaning (Vacya), The Sum Total Of Its Universal Activity (Kriya) Corresponding To The Principal Forces (Kala) Which Come Together To Form The Metaphysical Categories Of Experience, Which In Their Turn Appear In The Grossest, Most Explicitly 'Articulate' Form As The One Hundred And Eighteen World-Systems (Bhuvana). 'A', The First Letter Of Both AHAM And The Sanskrit Alphabet, Is The Point Of Departure Or Initial Emergence Of All The Other Letters And Hence Denotes Anuttara — The Absolute. 'Ha', Is The Final Letter Of The Alphabet And Represents The Point Of Completion When Ail The Letters Have Emerged. It Represents The State In Which All The Elements Of Experience, In The Domains Of Both Inner Consciousness And Outer Unconsciousness, Are Fully Displayed. It Is Also The Generative, Emission (Visarga) Which, Like The Breath, Casts The Inner Into The Outer, And Draws What Is Outside Inward. The Two Letters 'A' And 'Ha' Thus Represent Siva, The Transcendental Source And Sakti, His Cosmic Outpouring That Flows Back Into Him. The Combined 'A-Ha' Contains Within Itself All The Letters Of The Alphabet — Every Phase Of Consciousness, Both Transcendental And Universal. (For A Graphic Representation Of This Analysis, See Figure 1.) M, The Final Letter Of AHAM, Is Written As A Dot Placed Above The Letter Which Precedes It. It Comes At The End Of The Vowel Series And Before The Consonants And So Is Called 'Anus Vara '(Lit. 'That Which Follows The Vowels') And Also 'Bindu ' (Lit. 'Dot,' 'Drop,' 'Point' Or 'Zero'). While The Consonant 'M' Symbolises The Individual Soul (Purusa), 'Bindu 1 Rep Resents The Subtle Vibration Of T, Which Is The Life Force (Jivakala) And Essence Of The Soul's Subjectivity Manifest At The Transcendental, Supra-Mental Level (Unmana). 12 ° It Is The Zero-Point In The Centre Between The Series Of Negative Numbers, In This Case The Vowels Which Represent The Processes Happening Internally Within Siva, And The Series Of Positive Numbers — The Consonants Which Symbolise The Processes Happening Externally Within Sakti. Bindu, As A Point Without Area, Symbolises The Non-Finite Nature Of The Pure Awareness (Pramitibhava) Of AHAM. It Is The Pivot Around Which The Cycle Of Energies From 'A' To 'Ha' Rotates, The Void In The Centre From Which All The Powers Emanate And Into Which They Collapse. As Such, It Is The Supreme Power Of Action Which Holds Subject, Object And Means Of Knowledge Together In A Potential State In The One Light That Shines As All Three Containing Them In Its Repose (Visrdnti). Bindu Is The 'Knower' (Jndtr), Who Is Essentially Consciousness That, Though Omniscient, Does Not Manifest Its Intelligence, Like A Man Who Knows The Scriptures But Having No Occasion To Explain Them To Others Silently Bears This Knowledge Within Himself. As Such, It Symbolises The Union Of Siva And Sakti (Sivasakti- Mithunapina'a) In A State Of Heightened Potency In Which They Have Not Yet Divided To Generate The World Of Diversity. It Stands, In Other Words, At The Threshold Of Differentiation In The Stream Of Emanation Still Contained Within Siva. The Absolute. Expansion Commences Bindu — The Individual Soul Withdrawal Commences Then, To The Degree In Which That Which Is To Be Accomplished By The Power Of Action Residing Within It [As A Potential] Penetrates Into The Absolute, It Appears Initially As Bindu, Which Is The Light Of Pure Consciousness. When Outer Objectivity Is Reabsorbed Into Its Transcendent Source, Bindu Is The Point Into Which All The Manifest Powers Of Consciousness Are Gathered And Fused Together. The Universal Potency Of All The Letters Is Thus Contained In Bindu Which, As The Reflective Awareness Of Supreme T Consciousness, Gives Them All Life. Thus Bindu Also Marks The Beginning Of Siva's Internal Movement Back To The Undifferentiated Absolute And So Stands At The Threshold Of Both Emission And Absorption Without Being Involved In Either. The Three Aspects Of AH AM Together Constitute A Movement From The Undifferentiated Source Of Transcendental Consciousness — 'A' — Through The Expansion Or Emission Of Its Power — 4 Ha' — To The Subject — 'M 1 —Which Contains And Makes Manifest The Entire Universe Of Experience. The Reverse Of This Movement, That Of Withdrawal (Samhara), Is Represented By M-Ha-A. AHAM And M-Ha-A Alternate In The Rotation (Ghurnana) Of The Reflective Awareness Of T Consciousness As Immanent Sakti Emerges From Transcendental Siva To Then Merge Back Into Him. As Abhinava Says: The Universe Rests Within Sakti And She On The Plane Of The Absolute (Anutiara) And This Again Within Sakti ... For The Universe Shines Within Consciousness And [Consciousness Shines] There [Within The Universe By The Power Of] Consciousness. These Three Poles, Forming A Couple And Merging, Make Up

The One Supreme Nature Of Bhairava Whose Essence Is AHAM- At The Microcosmic Level, Fc A' Represents The Initial Moment When The Subject Begins To Rise Out Of Himself To View The Object. The Movement From 'A To 'Ha' Marks The Emergence Of Sensation Within The Field Of Awareness, Which Is Represented By The Fifty Letters Of The Alphabet Symbolic Of The Fifty Aspects Of The Flux Of Consciousness Leading To Objectified Perception. 'Nl' Is Tne Subject Who, Resting Content Within Himself When He Has Perceived His Object Merges Through The Inner Flow Of Awareness Into K A\ The Absolute. Then From The Absolute (A) Its Emission (Ha) Flows Back Into The Pure Subject (M) Set To Perceive His Object. Thus All The Cycles Of Creation And Destruction Are Contained Within AHAM Through Which They Are Experienced Simultaneously As The Spontaneous Play Of The Absolute. The Yogi Who Recognises This Recurrent Pulse Of Awareness To Be The Movement Of His Own Consciousness Merges His Limited Ego With The Universal Ego. Thus He Realises That Its Power To Create, Sustain And Destroy All Things Is His Own Inner Strength (Svabaia) That He Exerts Effortlessly In The Same State Of Mystical Absorption (Turlya) In Universal Consciousness That The Absolute Itself Enjoys. In This Way He Shares In The Three-Fold Awareness Siva Himself Has Of His Own Nature Which Abhinava Describes As Follows: I Make The Universe Manifest Within Myself In The Sky Of Consciousness. I, Who Am The Universe, Am Its Creator! ' — This Awareness Is The Way In Which One Becomes Bhairava. 'AH Of Manifest Creation(Sadadhvari) Is Reflected Within Me, I Cause It To Persist 1 — This Awareness Is The Way In Which One Becomes The Universe. The Universe Dissolves Within Me. I Who Am The Flame Of The [One] Great And Eternal Fire Of Consciousness' — Seeing Thus One Achieves Peace. The Experience Of The Liberated Thus Coincides With The Realisation Of Their Own Divine Nature Which, Through Its Power, Rules And Guides The Cosmic Order. Thus This Attainment (Siddhi), Which Is Liberation Itself, Is In The Doctrlie Of Vibration Technically Called 'Mastery Over The Wheel Of Energies' (Cakresvaratvasiddhi) Because The Liberated Soul, Identified With Siva, Now Governs, As Does Siva, The Cycle Of The Powers That Bring About The Creation And Destruction Of All Things, The Empowered Means (Saktopaya) All The Practices Taught In The Stanzas On Vibration Are Internal. Whenever Ritual Is Mentioned, It Is Invariably Interpreted In Terms Of The Dynamics Of The Inner Processes The Yogi Experiences And Implements In The Course Of His Yogic Practice. The Doctrine Of Vibration, Ksemaraja Affirms, Is Concerned Entirely With These Inner Disciplines Centred, As It Is, In One Way Or Another, On Consciousness Or, At Least, On The Inner Activity Of The Mind. Thus The Empowered Means Which, Like The Other Categories We Have Discussed, Is Entirely Internal Includes An Important Part Of Spanda Practice. Spanda Practice Belonging To The Divine Means Centres On One's Own Inherent Nature (Svasvabhava) As Siva, The Universal Perceiver And Agent, That Belonging To The Empowered Means On His Power Instead Of Arriving Directly At The All-Embracing Emptiness Of Subjective Conscious- Ness, The Yogi Practising The Empowered Means Realises His True Nature Through The Fullness Of Its Energy. Practising The Divine Means, The Yogi Plunges, As It Were, Straight Into The Fire Of Consciousness; Practising The Empowered Means He Merges With Its Rays. Either Way The Yogi Is Centred Equally On Ultimate Reality. The Power Of Consciousness Is No Less Absolute Than Its Possessor. To Make This Point Abhinava Quotes The Matanga- Tantra: This Reality Consists Of The Rays Of [Siva's] Power And Is Variously Said To Be The Abode Of The Lord's Manifestation . . . That Same [Power] Illumined [By Siva] Is Itself Also Luminous, Unshaken And Unmoving. That Very [Power] Is The Supreme State, Subtle, Omnipresent, The Nectar Of Immortality, Free Of Obscuration, Peaceful, Yearning For Pure Being Alone {Vastumatra) And Devoid Of Beginning And End. Perfectly Pure, It Is Said To Be The Body [Of Ultimate Reality]. The Yogi Concentrates On The Powers Operating In All Of Life's Activities As Particular Pulsations (Visesaspanda) In The Universal Rhythm (Samanyaspanda) Of The Power Of Consciousness. In This Way He Rises Progressively From The Particular To The Universal Until He Reaches Pure Being (Satta), The Greatest Of All Universals (Mahasamanyd) And The Highest Form Of Siva's Power. Thus The Creative Power Of Maya, Manifest Through Countless Lesser Powers, No Longer Causes The Yogi To Stray From Siva's Consciousness But Becomes The Means Through Which It Can Be Realised In The Illuminating Brilliance (Sphuratta) Which Is Siva's Pure Being. Thus By Discovering The True Nature Of Sakti, The Yogi Realises Himself To Be Siva, Its Possessor Who Consists Of All Its Countless Powers. Thus Practise Belonging To This Means Leads To The Same Pure Consciousness Free Of Thought-Constructs Realised Through The Divine Means. Although The Ultimate Realisation Is Instantaneous, The Yogi Rises To It Gradually By Freeing His Consciousness Of The

Limitations Imposed Upon It By Thought. Abhinava Explains: The Same Occurs In The Empowered Means [As Does In The Divine]. At The Discursive Level Of Consciousness {Yaikalpikibhumi) [Where The Empowered Means Functions] Knowledge And Action, Although Evident, Are, For The Reasons Explained Previously, Contracted. A Blazing Energy [Is Revealed Within] The One Who Dedicates Himself To Removing The Burden Of This Contraction. [This Energy Eventually] Brings About The Inner Manifestation {Antarabhasa) Of Pure Consciousness He Seeks. Consciousness Is Individualized And Its Power Of Knowledge And Action Contracted By The Thought-Constructs Born Of Ignorance. The Arising Of These Mental Representations, As The Stanzas On Vibration Say, Deprives The Soul Of Its Freedom And Immortal Life. The Practise Of The Empowered Means Is Meant To Free The Fettered Soul Of This Constriction On His Consciousness. It Operates Within The Mental Sphere (Cetasy™ And Is Designed To Purify Thought (Vikalpasamskara) In Order To. Reveal The Pure Consciousness Which Is Its Ground And Ultimate Source. Thus, The Empowered Means Is Concerned With The Second Instant Of Perception, During Which The Subject Forms Mental Representations Of His Object. Thought Functions On The Basis Of An Awareness Of Relative Distinctions Between Specific Particulars, Distinguishing Them From One Another And Thus Seemingly Fragmenting The Essential Unity Of Reality. The Vibrant Vitality Of Consciousness, Universally Manifest, Is Clouded Like A Mirror By A Child's Breath And The Soul Is Deprived Of The Liberating Intuition Of The One Reality Free Of Thought-Constructs (Nirvikalpa). Abhinava Writes: The [Fettered Soul] Is Like A Dancing Girl Who Although Wishing To Leave The Dancehall Is Collared By The Doorkeeper Of Thought And Thrown Back Onto The Stage Of Maya All Thought Is Centred On Objectivity And Hence Dislodges Awareness From The Plenitude Of Pure Subjective Consciousness. Thus, To Regain The Original State Of Rest (Visranti) Consciousness Enjoys, The Yogi Must Rid Himself Of Thought. As Thought-Forms Decrease, Pure, Thought-Free Awareness Is Strengthened Until The Yogi Is Fully Established In A State In Which The Relative Distinctions (Bheda) Conceived Between Entities Dissolve Away. Everything Appears To Him As Pure Being (Sattdmdtra) L} And The Entire Universe Shines Before Him Pervaded By Siva's Radiance. His Intuitive Faculty (Mati) Thus Purified, The Yogi Gains Both The Perfections (Siddhi) Of Yogic Practice And Liberation (Mukti). His Consciousness Is Now Like A Well-Polished Mirror Which Reflects Everything He Desires And Grants It To Him. Abhinava Writes: Just As A Man Who Has Been Ill For A Long Time Forgets His Past Pain Completely When He Regains His Health, Absorbed As He Is In The Ease Of His Present Condition, So Too Those Who Are Grounded In Pure Awareness Free Of Thought-Constructs Are No Longer Conscious Of Their Previous [Fettered] State. Consciousness, The Sole Truly Existent Reality, Free Of Thought- Constructs Is Made Fully And Evidently Manifest By Eliminating These Differentiated Perceptions. The Wise Man Should Therefore Exert Himself To Attend Closely To This [State Of Awareness]. The Thought-Constructs Generated Within Consciousness Do Not In Reality Affect It At All. They Can Neither Break Up Nor Add Anything To The Light Which Shines As All Things. They Are In Fact Nothing But Consciousness Itself Which Perceives, Through Its Power Of Reflective Awareness (Yimarsa), The Multitude Of Objects In Diverse Ways, And So Assumes This Form. Although Thought-Constructs Are Mental Representations Of Objects Once Seen Or Present, They Are Products Of The Power Of Consciousness And Not Of The Objects They Represent. Thought Is Both Analytic And Synthetic; It Serves The Useful Purpose Of Separating Individual Elements Of Experience From Others And Linking Together Those That Appear To Be Distinct From One Another So That They Can Be Better Understood. It Does Not Consist Merely Of False Mental Constructs Projected Onto Reality That Need To Be Wholly Rejected. Thought Obscures Consciousness And Distracts It Only When It Appears In The Form Of Doubt, Vacillating Between Alternatives. Once This Conflicting Duality (Dvaitddhivasa) Is Eliminated, Thought Is Purified And Rests In Itself As The 'Thought-Less Thought' Of Pure Consciousness. By Gradually Eliminating The Multitude Of Conflicting Notions That Agitate Him, The Yogi Ultimately Achieves The Certainty (Niscaya) Corresponding To A Direct Awareness Of His Own Divine Nature. Abhinava Explains: Thought Is In Reality None Other Than Pure Consciousness. Even So, It Serves As A Means To Liberation For The Individual Soul (Anu) Only When It Takes The Form Of Certainty (Niscaya). This surprising result--that information capacity depends on surface area—has (e) a natural explanation if the holographic principle (proposed in 1993 by Nobelist Gerard't Hooft of the University of Utrecht in the Netherlands and elaborated by Susskind) is true**.** In the everyday world, a hologram is a special kind of photograph that generates a full three-dimensional image when it is illuminated in the right manner. All the

information describing the 3-D scene is encoded into the pattern of light and dark areas on the two-dimensional piece of film, ready to be regenerated. The holographic principle contends that an analogue of this visual magic applies to the full physical description of any system occupying a 3-D region It proposes that another physical theory defined only on the 2-D boundary of the region completely describes the 3-D physics. If a 3-D system can be fully described by a physical theory operating solely on its 2-D boundary, one would expect the information content of the system not to exceed that of the description on the boundary. Can we apply the holographic principle to the universe at large? The real universe is a 4-D system It has volume and extends in time. If the physics of our universe is holographic, there would be an alternative set of physical laws, operating on a 3-D boundary of spacetime somewhere, which would be equivalent to our known 4-D physics. We do not yet know of any such 3-D theory that works in that way. Indeed, what surface should we use as the boundary of the universe? One step toward realizing these ideas is to study models that are simpler than our real universe. A class of concrete examples of the holographic principle at work involves so-called anti-de Sitter spacetimes **.** The original de Sitter spacetime is a model universe first obtained by Dutch astronomer Willem de Sitter in 1917 as a solution of Einstein's equations, including the repulsive force known as the cosmological constant. De Sitter's spacetime is empty, expands at an accelerating rate and is very highly symmetrical. In 1997 astronomers studying distant supernova explosions concluded that our universe now expands in an accelerated fashion and will probably become increasingly like a de Sitter spacetime in the future. Now, if the repulsion in Einstein's equations is changed to attraction, de Sitter's solution turns into the anti-de Sitter spacetime, which has equally as much symmetry. More important for the holographic concept, it possesses a boundary, which is located "at infinity" and is a lot like our everyday spacetime. Using anti-de Sitter spacetime, theorists have devised a concrete example of the holographic principle at work: a universe described by superstring theory functioning in an anti-de Sitter spacetime is completely equivalent to a quantum field theory operating on the boundary of that spacetime Thus, the full majesty of superstring theory in an anti-de Sitter universe is painted on the boundary of the universe. Juan Maldacena, then at Harvard University, first conjectured such a relation in 1997 for the 5-D anti-de Sitter case, and it was later confirmed for many situations by Edward Witten of the Institute for Advanced Study in Princeton, N.J., and Steven S. Gubser, Igor R. Klebanov and Alexander M. Polyakov of Princeton University. Examples of this holographic correspondence are now known for spacetimes with a variety of dimensions. This result means that two ostensibly very different theories--not even acting in spaces of the same dimension--are equivalent. Creatures living in one of these universes would be incapable of determining if they inhabited a 5-D universe described by string theory or a 4-D one described by a quantum field theory of point particles. (Of course, the structures of their brains might give them an overwhelming "commonsense" prejudice in favor of one description or another **Holographic Space-Time (Crystal Links, Tom Hanks and Wikipedia Francisco Di Biase** propose a quantum-informational holographic model of brain-consciousness-universe interactions based in the holonomic neural networks of Karl Pribram, in the holographic quantum theory developed by David Bohm, and in the non-locality property of the quantum field described by Hiroomi Umezawa. He considers this model an extension of the interactive dualism of Sir John Eccles, of an interconnection between brain and spirit by means of quantum microsites named dendrons and psychons. **Francisco Di Biase** proposes a dynamic concept of consciousness seen as a holoinformational flux interconnecting the holonomic informational quantum brain dynamics, with the quantum informational holographic nature of the universe. This self-organizing flux is generated by the holographic mode of treatment of neuronal information and can be optimized through practices of deep meditation, prayer, and others states of higher consciousness that underlie the coherence of cerebral waves. In brain mapping studies performed during the occurrence of these harmonic states we can see the spectral array of brain waves highly synchronized and perfectly ordered like a unique harmonic wave, as if all frequencies of all neurons from all cerebral centers played the same symphony. This highly coherent brain state generates the non-local holographic informational cortical field of consciousness that interconnect the human brain and the holographic cosmos. The comprehension of this holonomic quantum informational nature of brain-consciousness-universe interconnectedness allows us to solve the old mind-matter Cartesian hard problem, unifying science, philosophy, and spiritual traditions in a more transdisciplinary, holistic, integrated paradigm. In this new arrangement cosmovision, consciousness and transpersonal phenomena becomes part of Science and of the very holoinformational nature of the Holographic Conscious Multiverse. **DOI:**

10.14704/nq.2009.7.4.259 Quantum-Holographic Informational Consciousness Francisco Di Biase NeuroQuantology Holography suggests a considerable reduction of degrees of freedom in theories with gravity. However it seems to be difficult to understand how holography could be realized in a closed re-contracting universe. In this Letter we claim that a scenario which achieves that goal will eliminate all spatial degrees of freedom. This would require a different concept of quantum mechanics and would imply an intriguing increase of power for the natural laws. **Physics Letters B Volume 451, Issues 1–2, 1 April 1999, Pages 19–26 Richard Dawid doi:10.1016/S0370-2693(99)00205-1Vijay Balasubramanian, Per Kraus, Albion Lawrence, and Sandip P. Trivedi** describe probes of anti–de Sitter spacetimes in terms of conformal field theories on the AdS boundary. Basic tool is a formula that relates bulk and boundary states—classical bulk field configurations are dual to expectation values of operators on the boundary. At the quantum level they relate the operator expansions of bulk and boundary fields. Using our methods, we discuss the CFT description of local bulk probes including normalizable wave packets, fundamental and D-strings, and D-instantons. Radial motions of probes in the bulk spacetime are related to motions in scale on the boundary, demonstrating a scale-radius duality. They also discuss the implications of these results for the holographic description of black hole horizons in the boundary field theory.DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.104021 **Holographic probes of anti–de Sitter spacetimes Phys. Rev. D 59, 104021 – Published 26 April 1999 Vijay Balasubramanian, Per Kraus, Albion Lawrence, and Sandip P. Trivedi** The existence of a fundamental scale, a lower bound to any output of a position measurement, seems to be a model-independent feature of quantum gravity. In fact, different approaches to this theory lead to this result. The key ingredients for the appearance of this minimum length are quantum mechanics, special relativity and general relativity. As a consequence, classical notions such as causality or distance between events cannot be expected to be applicable at this scale. They must be replaced by some other, yet unknown, structure. **LUIS J. GARAY, Int. J. Mod. Phys A, 10, 145 (1995) DOI: 10.1142/S0217751X95000085 QUANTUM GRAVITY AND MINIMUM LENGTH** A digital computer is generally believed to be an efficient universal computing device; that is, it is believed able to simulate any physical computing device with an increase in computation time by at most a polynomial factor. This may not be true when quantum mechanics is taken into consideration. This paper considers factoring integers and finding discrete logarithms, two problems which are generally thought to be hard on a classical computer and which have been used as the basis of several proposed cryptosystems. Efficient randomized algorithms are given for these two problems on a hypothetical quantum computer. These algorithms take a number of steps polynomial in the input size, e.g., the number of digits of the integer to be factored. Copyright © 1997 Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics **SIAM J. Comput., 26(5), 1484–1509. (26 pages) Polynomial-Time Algorithms for Prime Factorization and Discrete Logarithms on a Quantum Computer ISSN (print): 0097-5397 ISSN (online): 1095-7111 Publisher: Society for Industrial and Applied Mathematics Peter W. Shor DOI: 10.1137/S0097539795293172** The classical dynamics of M-dimensional extended objects arising from stationary points of the world volume swept out in space time is discussed from various points of view. A introduction to the Hamiltonian mechanics of bosonic compact M (em) branes is given, emphasing the diversity of the different formulations and gauge choices. For moving hypersurfaces, a graph description—including its nonlinear realization of Lorentz invariance—and hydrodynamic formulations (in light-cone coordinates as well as when choosing the time coordinate of a Lorentz observer as the dependent variable) are presented. A matrix regularization for M = 2 (existing for all topologies) is explained in detail for the 2-sphere, as well as multilinear formulations for M > 2. The recently found dynamical symmetry that exists for all M and related reconstruction algebras are covered, just as some explicit solutions of the level-set equations. **Jens Hoppe 2013 J. Phys A: Math. Theor. 46 023001 doi:10.1088/1751-8113/46/2/023001 Relativistic membranes N Bodendorfer et al** rederive the results of our companion paper, for matching space–time and internal signature, by applying in detail the Dirac algorithm to the Palatini action. While the constraint set of the Palatini action contains second class constraints, by an appeal to the method of gauge unfixing, we map the second class system to an equivalent first class system which turns out to be identical to the first class constraint system obtained via the extension of the ADM phase space performed in our companion paper. Central to analysis is again the appropriate treatment of the simplicity constraint. Remarkably, the simplicity constraint invariant extension of the Hamiltonian constraint, that is a necessary step in the gauge unfixing procedure, involves a correction term which is precisely the one found in the companion paper

and which makes sure that the Hamiltonian constraint derived from the Palatini Lagrangian coincides with the ADM Hamiltonian constraint when Gauß and simplicity constraints are satisfied. **N Bodendorfer et al** therefore have rederived new connection formulation of general relativity from an independent starting point, thus confirming the consistency of this framework. **N Bodendorfer et al 2013 Class. Quantum Grav 30 045002 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/30/4/045002 new variables for classical and quantum gravity in all dimensions: II. Lagrangian analysis** As K§emaraja points out, none of the practices taught in the Stanzas on Vibration belong to the Individual Means and so it does not, strictly speaking, concern Spanda doctrine, if that is, we consider the Stanzas to be the basic text of the Spanda school. From K§emaraja's point of view, however, the third section of the Aphorisms of Siva (Sivasutra), which is both the last and most extensive, is largely an exposition of this category of practice. The Stanzas and Aphorisms have been traditionally linked together and so, even though we feel that they should be distinguished sofar as the Stanzas rather than the Aphorisms teach the Doctrine of vibration as such, we are nonetheless justified in referring to the Aphorisms as its major source. Our exposition of the Individual Means will therefore be largely based on K§emaraja's interpretation of the third section of Aphorisms and we will present it, as he does, as an exposition of a possible mystical journey of individualised (anava) consciousness to realisation. We follow K§emaraja because he understood the practise taught in the Aphorisms in these terms, thereby not only illustrating for us how it fits into this scheme but also how he understood the basic categories of practice and their relationship to one another. According to K§emaraja, the first Aphorism of each section of the Sivasutra characterises the condition and nature of the Self at the corresponding three levels of practice. In other words, they indicate the yogi's basic state at each level in terms of his self-identification. This identification corresponds to his existential condition as a degree of self- realisation in the process leading to the authentic self-awareness of the liberated. The very first Aphorism starts directly with this, the highest state, by declaring that the Self is pure, dynamic and universal consciousness (caitanya).This is true for the yogi who has awakened to his authentic nature at the Divine (sambhava) level of being. At the Individual (anava) level, however, the situation has changed. In this sphere of consciousness the intermediate processes of discernment, analysis and classification of perceptions, which bridge the gap in the flow of awareness from the universal subject to a specific object of knowledge, appear to take over the status of the perceiving subjectivity which underlies them. The universal Self recedes into the background as a pure, undefinable awareness, and the individual ego, consisting of the perceptions, thoughts and emotions generated by the contact between the universal perceiver and the perceived, emerges in the juncture between them. Thus at this level, as the Aphorisms say, the Self is the mind. This is the Self which moves (atati) from one state of being to another, from one body to the next carrying with it subtle traces left behind by its sensory and mental activity. Together these are said to constitute, and be caused by, the subtle body technically called the 'City of Eight' (puryasfaka) with which consciousness is identified and due to which it is subject to the constant alterations of pleasure, pain and inertia. The Stanzas teach: [The soul] is bound by the City of Eight (puryaffaka) that resides in the mind, intellect and ego and consists of the arising of the [five] subtle elements [of sensory perception]. He helplessly suffers worldly pleasure and pain (bhoga) which consists of the arising of mental representations born of that [City of Eight] and so its existence subjects him to transmigration.**Whereas consciousness itself is the subject who practises the Divine Means (Sambhavopayd), the subject who practises the Individual Means is the mind. Unlike the Empowered Means, however, the mind is not directed inwards onto itself. At the Empowered level, enlivened by the direct intuition (pratibha) consciousness has of its own nature, mind ceases to function merely in the paradigmatic, formative manner which gives rise to mental representations, but operates instead as the subtle introverted activity of reflective awareness (vimarsa), the power of consciousness (sakti).** This activity, as we have seen, is the essence of Mantra which, independent of the senses, is no longer restricted in any way. At the Individual lew, however, the creative powers of consciousness reflected through the eAtroverted mind are greatly attenuated. All that remains is the power to form thought-constructs and make determined resolutions (sankalpa) which go on to issue through the body into outer action to make the private creations of the mind apparent to others. The Individual Means, therefore, deals with the objectively perceived contents of consciousness and hence with the individual subject as a composite aggregate of objective elements, ranging from the subtle life force (prdrta) to the physical body and its outer environment. The practices belonging to this Means are thus of two types. One is concerned with the individual subject who resides in, and as, the psycho-

physical organism; the other with external reality. What this implies essentially is that practice at this level is not concerned as much with the will or cognitive consciousness ***(refer hiedigger who proposes the same philosophy: italics mine***) as are the other two Means, but with the power of action applied, in the context of the practice taught in the Aphorisms, to the spiritual activity of Yoga. According to Ksemaraja, the Individual Means culminates in the Empowered state and hence leads to the levels of practice beyond it. 251 This is possible because, despite their differences, there is an essential similarity between them. The aim of both the Individual and Empowered Means is to purify the discursive representa- tions of differentiated perceptions (vikalpasamskara) 252 and so lead the yogi to the expanded (yikasita) consciousness of the Divine (sambhava) state. The other levels of practice therefore both sustain and complement it. The activity of individual consciousness can be fully perfected only when it operates through the flow of the conative and cognitive powers which together constitute the pure activity of universal consciousness beyond all means (anupaya). In fact, according to Ksemaraja, all three soteriological types function together in various ways, their corresponding states representing dimensions of the same experience. For example, the upsurge of consciousness (udyama) which is the supreme, **illuminating intuition (parapralibha)** of the Divine state (sambhavavastha) is concomitant with the gathering together of all the powers of consciousness in the Empowered state. The Divine Means, in other words, leads to the experience of Power (sakti) which in its turn, when fully affirmed, marks the attainment of a permanent contemplative consciousness (turiyatita) at the Divine level which persists unaltered in every state of consciousness. Consequently, Ksemaraja concludes his exposition of the first section of the Aphorisms which exemplifies, according to him, the Divine Means, by saying: Thus we have explained the first expansion which starts with [the Aphorism] 'the Self is pure dynamic consciousness' ***(note this happens in evolutionary pocess and in normal human being it remains as witness consciousness italics mine)*** and expounds the nature of the realisation (prathana) attained through the Divine Means. It is the intuitive insight (samapatti) of Bhairava's nature which is, as we have said, the upsurge of consciousness that quells all bondage, namely, the ignorance of that freedom which makes it manifest. Transforming all things into the nectar of one's own innate bliss, it bestows every yogic accomplishment (siddhi) including mystic absorption in the vitality of Mantra, the highest of them all. Accordingly, we have, in the course of this exposition, explained the nature of Sakti in order to show that the Divine nature (Sambhavarupa) possesses [every] power. Another way in which the Means are related to one another is illustrated by the recurrence of the same Aphorism in different sections of the Sivasutra which indicates, according to Ksemaraja, that the same practice belongs to more than one Means. Both times this happens, the Aphorism appears first in the section dealing with the Divine Means and then recurs in that concerned with the Individual Means. In one case, Ksemaraja tells us this is because practice at the Divine level requires no effort whereas at the Individual level, the yogi must exert himself to achieve the same state that at the Divine level dawns spontaneously. At the Empowered level also, as the Sivasutra says, 'effort achieves the goal'. Here, however, because as the Empowered Means is, according to Ksemaraja, predominantly concerned with the contemplation (anusamdhi) of the vitality of Mantra, the effort exerted is that required to bring the practice of Mantra to fulfillment. It is, as Ksemaraja says, 'the spontaneous effort exerted to grasp the initial expansion of intention to apply oneself to the contemplation [of Mantra]. It is this exertion which wins the favour of the gods of Mantra and identifies the adept with them.' The second case of the same practice being taught in different sections of the Aphorisms concerns the realisation of the Fourth State of contemplative consciousness (turiya) in the other three states of waking, dreaming and deep sleep. At the Divine level this takes place by **'violently digesting' (hafhapaka)** the three states in the Fourth. At the Individual level the Fourth state is first experienced at the junctures between the other three states and then induced gradually to spread out from these Centres to pervade the other states like oil extending slowly through a piece of cloth. The difference in this case between the levels of practice is not only that at the Divine level it reaches fulfillment spontaneously, but it is also sudden and complete, leading directly to the liberated state of consciousness Beyond the Fourth (turiyatita) At the Individual level, however, practice is gradual and even when the yogi manages to rise to states of contemplation, he must take care not to fall to lower levels of consciousness. Indeed, until the yogi attains the sudden and direct realisation of perfect enlightenment, whatever be his state of consciousness or level of practice, he is bound to rise and fall because his contemplative state is necessarily transitory (kadacitka) however long it may last. The yogi is more prone to these ups and downs the lower his basic state of consciousness. Consequently, the last section of the Sivasutra repeatedly instructs the yogi not only

how to rise to higher levels of consciousness and maintain them, but also in what way he is liable to fall from them and how to regain them. Ksemaraja stresses that the rise from one level of consciousness to another is marked by the transition from a lower Means to a higher. Conversely, a fall from the higher level to the lower entails practice of a lower Means. The measure of the yogi's level of consciousness and that which sustains him in it allowing him to progress further, is his attentiveness (avadhana) to the higher realities he experiences in the more elevated states. Thus the last Aphorism of the second section of the Sivasutra warns the yogi that if his pure awareness (suddhavidya) of his oneness with all things slackens, he will fall from his awakened state to dream the dream of thought-constructs. From Ksemaraja's point of view this means that the negligent yogi must now resort to the Individual Means described in the next section to return to his former, higher Empowered practice in which he experiences this oneness. Ksemaraja expounds practice at the Individual level, as he sees it in the Aphorisms, as extending from one Means to the next. For example, practice at the Individual level diverts the flow of the vital breath (prana) from its more usual course and induces it to enter the Central Channel (susumna) along which it rises as a pure conscious energy (technically called 'kuritfalini'). This leads the yogi to the Empowered state in which he enjoys the pure awareness of unity. If he manages to make it truly his own and it becomes his basic state of being, he enters the Divine plane (sambhavapadd) of identity with Siva. The Individual Means is both a point of departure to higher levels of practice and the level to which the yogi returns if he falls. Thus although the practices taught in the last section of the Aphorisms may belong to any one of the three Means, they are collectively treated as part of the Individual Means because they start from it and because it is the yogi's abiding standby if he falls. Let us turn now to the basic practice at the Individual level, as Ksemaraja understands it. This is essentially Yoga. According to the Classical Yoga system taught by Patanjali in the Aphorisms of Yoga (Yogasutra), Yoga is defined as 'the quelling of the fluctuations of the mind' (cittavrttinirodha). The aim is to sever the spiritual essence of the Person (purusa) from the defiling materiality of Nature (prakfti), even though the word 'Yoga' means to 'unite' or 'yoke together.' Here, however, Yoga combines both union and cessation. It is the act (kriya) of removing the latent traces (yasana) of differentiated perceptions (yikalpa) born of the impurities (mala) which contract consciousness ***(like pravrutti and Nivrutti; italcis mine***). This is achieved by uniting all the elements of experience (tattva) together in the wholeness of the activity of consciousness. As Jayaratha explains: The [wise] consider Yoga to be the union of one thing with another/ thus, in accord with this dictum, Yoga is the [act] of uniting [all] the metaphysical principles together within consciousness . . . , Ksemaraja seeks initially to establish the best form of Yoga for the yogi to practice at the Individual level. His sources are two Tantras he knew well and considered to be amongst the most important, namely, The Tantra of (Siva's Third) Eye (Netratantra) and The Tantra of the Liberated Bhairava (Svacchandabhairavatantra). The basic model is that of the Eight-limbed Yoga (asfariga) taught by Patanjali which consists of: 1) The five restraints (yama\ namely, abstention from violence (ahirrisa), falsehood (satya), dishonesty (asteya), sexual intercourse (brahmacarya) and desire for more than the essential (aparigrahd) 2) The five disciplines (niyama), namely, cleanliness (sauca), contentment (santo$a), austerity (tapas\ study (svadhyaya) and reverence for God (Uvarapranidhand) 3) Posturing of the body (asana) in a manner conducive to the practice of meditation and physical health. 4) Regulation of the breath (pranayama) 5) Withdrawal of the senses from their objects (pratyahara) 6) Focusing of attention (dharana) 7) Meditation (dhyana), that is, steady, uninterrupted concentration. 8) Contemplation (samadhi). Ksemaraja **rejects** Patanjali's system because he believes it to be a form of Yoga that can, at best, lead only to limited yogic attainments (mitasiddhi). In the Netratantra, however, Siva teaches a different, higher form of the Eight Limbs of Yoga which lead to perfect penetration into the supreme, transcendental principle 280 of which the Netratantra says: Speech cannot express, nor the eye see, the ears hear, or the nose smell, the tongue taste, the skin touch or the mind conceive that which is eternal. Free of all colour and flavour, endowed with all colours and flavours, it is beyond the senses and cannot be objectively perceived. O goddess, those yogis who attain it become immortal gods! By great practice and supreme dispassion . . . one attains Siva, the supreme imperishable, eternal and unchanging reality. A necessary preliminary of all Tantric Yoga is a process technically called the 'purification of the elements' (bhutasuddhi), through which the body is homologized with the macrocosm and so made a fit vessel for the pure, conscious presence of the Deity within it. Ksemaraja equates this with the meditation (dhyana) which, according to the Mdlinivijayatantra, characterises the Individual Means. In order to practice this meditation the yogi must visualise the dissolving away of all the forces in the body.

There are two ways in which this can be done. The first is called 'the contemplation of dissolution' (layabhdvana). Through it the progressive differentiation of consciousness from its causal, pre-cosmic form to its phenomenal manifestation is reversed As the Vijnanabhairava teaches: "One should meditate on the All in the form of the Paths of the world- orders etc. considered in their gross, subtle and supreme forms until, at the end, the mind dissolves away." Mediated by consciousness, the macrocosm rests in the microcosm which is emitted along with it successively in the emptiness of the individual subject, vital breaths, mind, psychic nerves (ndtfi), senses and external body. The yogi reproduces this process by visualising the totality of reality including the world-systems, metaphysical principles and cosmic forces along with the Mantras, letters and syllables which represent them, as arising successively throughout the psycho-physical body so as to constitute it. Deployed in this way they form the Cosmic Path along which the yogi ascends, absorbing as he does so, the lower elements into the higher, thus strengthening and extending his unifying awareness (anusamdhdnd) of the configuration of the Path. Thus, moving from the gross elements constituting the outer physical body, to pure sensations (tanmdtra), then to the senses and mind back to their primordial source, the yogi rises from the **embodied subjectivity** of the waking state to the Fourth State (turiya) of contemplation where he is one with the pervasive intent which initiates the creative vision of consciousness. Abhinava writes: Once [the yogi] has known [this] Path in its completeness, he must then dissolve it into the deities who sustain it and these successively into the body, breath, mind [and emptiness] as before, and all these into his own consciousness. Once this is full and an object of constant worship, it destroys, like the fire at the end of time, the ocean of transmigration.Thus, the second method Ksemaraja teaches to dissolve away the diversity of sensory, mental and physical energies into the unity of consciousness is a meditation on the Fire of Consciousness (dahacintd) which the yogi visualises as burning away all division. At the Divine level (sambhavopaya) the yogi witnesses the sudden and violent withdrawal of all objectivity into the pure ego (aharri), like the pouring of fuel into a raging fire. He does not need to visualise this process but merely attend to it with a passive, receptive attitude. At the Individual level the yogi must exert his imagination to induce this process and so rise to the Divine level through the Empowered. The Vijnanabhairava teaches: Visualise the fortress [of your body] burning with the Fire of Time (kalagni) risen from the Abode of Time; then at the end peace manifests. The Fire of Time {kalagni) resides underneath the hell worlds at the bottom of the Cosmic Egg (Brahman^a). It issues from Ananta — a form of Siva who presides over the lower regions. He floats on a boat in the causal waters supporting the Egg, his mind all the while fixed on Bhairava. The flames of the Fire of Time rise up to the hell-worlds heating them intensely and radiate its energy throughout the universe. At the end of each period of creation the flames rise higher and destroy the old cosmic order to make room for a new one. At the microcosmic level the yogi reproduces this process by mentally placing the letters of the alphabet, in the prescribed order, on the limbs of his body starting from the left toe to the top of the head. As his attention progresses upwards, he visualises the Fire of Time moving with it in such a way that his bodily consciousness, together with the universe of differentiated perceptions, is gradually burnt away leaving in its place the white ashes of the undivided light of consciousness. Ksemaraja considers this meditation (dhyana) to be a limb of a programme of yogic practice at the Individual level 291 of which the remaining limbs are as follows: Posture (A sana). The yogi fixes his attention on the centre between the inhaled and exhaled breath, absorbing in this way the flux of his awareness into the unfolding power of knowledge which rises initially as the upward flowing breath (udanaprana) in the Central Channel (su§umna) between the other two breaths. The Prank aspect of this flow disappears as it moves upward and the yogi experiences the spontaneous rise of the omniscience of consciousness within himself. The mind reverts back to its original, pervasive conscious nature and understands the infinite fact of Siva's omnipresence. This is the firm seat (asana) upon which the yogi sits to practice. Regulation of the Breath (Pranayama) .To regulate the movement of the breath, the yogi must first cleanse the right and left channels of the ascending and descending breath by blocking the left nostril while exhaling and the right while inhaling a few times. This ensures that the movement of the breath is firm and evenly distributed. Next, without attempting to control it in any way, he attends to the flow of his breathing. As the mind becomes steadier and in closer harmony with the rhythm of its movement, the duration of each inhalation and exhalation gradually alters until they become equal. At this stage they unite and merge in the upward flowing current of vitality in the Central Channel (Susumna). This is when true Pranayama begins. The yogi's mind pure and tranquil, he returns, as it were, to a prenatal state and the external breathing cycle is internalised, so that it no longer moves through the lungs but

passes directly to the universal source of vitality. The yogi, now at the Empowered level of practice, experiences this movement as travelling from the Heart centre upwards to a point distant twelve fingers above the head where it merges in the void of consciousness. Free of its outer gross form, the breath moves freely through the Central Channel and soon transcends even this subtle movement to become one with the supreme vibration of consciousness. In this way, the yogi's breathing becomes one with the spontaneous rise and fall of energy from the bosom of the absolute. Abhinava quotes the Tantra of the Line of Heroes (Viravalitantra) as saying: When, by constantly merging the mind in Siva, Who is the pure conscious nature, the Sun and Moon [of the two breaths] have dissolved away and the Sun of Life, which is one's own consciousness, has reached the twelve-finger space, this is termed liberation. Breath control [at this stage] serves no useful purpose. Breath control which merely inflicts pain on the body is not to be practised. He who knows this secret is both themselves liberated and liberates others. **Focusing of Attention (Dharana):** Attention is fixed on the psychic centres in the body corresponding to the five gross elements In this way the vital breath is successively directed to these centres from the Heart of consciousness to refresh and stimulate their activity. First it moves to the Earth centre in the throat which regulates the firmness of the bones and flesh of the body; then to the Water centre in the glottis responsible for the balance of the bodily fluids. After this it travels to the navel which is the Fire centre dealing with digestion and anabolesis and catabolesis in general. It then moves to the Wind centre in the toe of the left foot which governs the movement of gases to and from the cells via the circulatory system. When the yogi has thus achieved control over these forces, the breath rises from the Heart to the top of the head and he becomes master of the Ether element and so attains every yogic power. **Meditation (Dhyana)** The highest form of meditation stills the flux of the qualities (guna) and induces the mind into a state of contemplative absorption. The object of this meditation is the supreme and pervasive divinity of the pure subject whose true nature is known to none but himself alone (svasatpvedya). The yogi attains him by merging into the constant flow of awareness that streams into the Light which illumines his own nature. Contemplation (Samadhi) .The yogi rises to the level of contemplation when the awareness he has of himself and the things around him become one and he realises his own identity with Siva, ***(or Rama the omniscient: cosmic general ledger)*** the sole reality. The aim of this Yoga in all its phases is to achieve the Fourth State of consciousness (turiya) beyond the three states of waking, dreaming and deep sleep and to then ultimately reach the liberated state Beyond the Fourth (turiyatita). These five states correspond to: (a) Siva's activity (vyapara), that is, His power of action; (b) Siva's Lordship (adhipatya), which is His power of knowledge; (c) the absence of these two, which corresponds to Siva's power of will; (d) His exertion (prerakatva), which contains all the cycles of creation and destruction and, (e) the rest Siva enjoys in His own nature, which is His power of consciousness. The first three states, when divorced from the last two, belong to the sphere of transmigratory existence. The Fourth and Beyond the Fourth on the other hand are higher, supramundane (alaukika) states of consciousness in which the yogi enjoys bliss and repose (visranti) in his own nature by penetrating (samavesa) into the universal consciousness of the Self, through which he ultimately becomes liberated (jivanmukta). Beyond the Fourth is the state of awareness ParamaSiva Himself enjoys when duality has entirely disappeared and everything is realised to be one with consciousness. The Fourth is the state of awareness of the yogi who, catching hold of the pure subjectivity (upalabdhrta) flowing through the lower three states, is still actively eliminating his sense of duality. While the former is the supreme subject as T consciousness (aham), the latter is the pure awareness (prama) or I-nesses (ahanta) of the subject which encompasses the lower states, giving them life and uniting them together As such, the Fourth State is the reflective awareness of one's own nature shining in all three states at one with them. The fact that we recall that we slept well is proof that this state of consciousness persists even in deep sleep. Indeed, if the flow of Turiya could somehow be brought to a halt, all the other states of consciousness would come to an end in the absence of the pure subjectivity which makes them, and their contents, manifest. The states of waking, dreaming and deep sleep correspond to the form of awareness consciousness assumes when it it predominantly manifest as the object, means of knowledge and individual subject, respectively. Turiya is the pure awareness (prama) that both transcends them and merges them all into itself. 300 As such, it appears as the triad of deed, means and agent in the pure act (vyapara) of consciousness unsullied by any outer reality. Abhinava explains: {Turiya) transcends the three aspects of *form\ 'sight' and T consisting as it does of the pure act of 'seeing'; therefore any means [by which this state could be realised] has merely a [provisional] instrumental value. It is, in other words, pure subjectivity of the nature of absolute freedom, independent of all

external means. This is the state of consciousness called Turiya, luminous with its own light. Turiya is thus not just a psychological state but the supreme creative power (para sakti) of consciousness, the Goddess (samviddevi) who generates and withdraws the entire universe of subject, object and means of knowledge. In the Heart of Recognition Ksemaraja explains: Whenever the extroverted [conscious] nature rests within itself, external objectivity is withdrawn [and consciousness] is established in the inner abode of peace which threads through the flux of awareness in every [externally] emanated [state]. Thus Turiya, the Goddess of Consciousness, is the union of creation, persistence and destruction. ***(Read as cosmic consciousness; Kshemaraja does not distinguish like we have done, individual, collective and cosmic and of three states)*** She emanates every individual [cycle] of creation and withdraws it. Eternally full [of all things] and [yet] void [of diversity] She is both and yet neither, shining radiantly as non-successive [consciousness] alone. The yogi is fully absorbed in this state of consciousness and takes possession of its power when he is able to rise from contemplation (samadhi) carrying with him the abiding awareness of Turiya throughout his waking, dreaming and deep sleep. When he achieves this constantly, he continues to experience these states individually, but they no longer obscure the insight (pratibha) he has acquired because he realises that they are all aspects of the bliss of Turiya. Thus, while the common man calls this state the 'Fourth' (turiya) because he cannot experience it directly and knows only that it is beyond the other three, the yogi calls it 'Beyond Form' (rupatita) because it transcends the detachment of the state of deep sleep which, devoid of objective content, is the naked form' of the individual subject tending towards the fullness of consciousness. Those who are on the path of knowledge (jnaniri) call it the 'Whole* (pracaya) because, in this state, they see the entire universe gathered together in one place. 'Supra-mental Awareness' (manonmana) is the name given to the experience of Turiya in the waking state. The yogi in this state moves and lives in the world of waking experience free of all disturbing thoughts while abiding in the transcendental silence beyond the activities of the mind. 'Infinite' is the name of the experience of Turiya while dreaming because, free of the limitations imposed upon the body by time and space, the yogi enjoys the unlimited expanse of the Self. When Turiya is experienced in deep sleep, the yogi's state is called 'All things' (sarvdrtha) because in it he discovers his freedom from limitations in this, the most contracted state of human consciousness. The yogi who manages to maintain Turiya- consciousness comes to experience the three states of waking, dreaming and deep sleep as the constant flow of the bliss of consciousness in which all traces of the relative distinction between these states and their contents is eradicated. Following the stream of Turiya to its highest level (para katfha), he reaches the state Beyond the Fourth (turiydtita), which is the universal consciousness (caitanya) of the Self. Here the yogi comes to rest within his own nature. Plunged in the vast, waveless ocean of the consciousness and bliss (ciddnanda) of the state Beyond the Fourth, the yogi becomes Siva, the Free One (svacchanda), and thus wanders freely, practising the Yoga of Freedom. K§emaraja equates the Fourth State with the pure (suddha), innate (sahaja) knowledge that one's own conscious nature is all things. It is the Supra-mental State (unmana) in which Siva's pervasive presence is experienced once the Yoga practised at the Individual level attains fruition at the Empowered. What the yogi must do, once consciousness is elevated to grasp the Fourth State, is make it constant. He must forcefully lay hold of it within himself and not release his grip until it becomes permanent. Then he travels 'Beyond the Fourth' to enlighten- ment. Before this ultimate attainment the yogi inevitably falls. The forces operating within consciousness that limit and obscure it throw him down whenever they possibly can. The only way the yogi can defend himself against them is to maintain a constant attentive awareness of the Fourth State. He falls when he is distracted but when he attends carefully to his pure conscious nature, he realises that every aspect of his state of being, including the forces that lead him astray, are one with the pulsing flux of his own consciousness and so cannot affect him. These powers, which are the energies of Matrka we have already discussed, are not the only obstacles the yogi must overcome. He must, for example, also resist the temptation to rest content with the miraculous yogic powers (siddhi) he acquires in the course of his spiritual develop- ment. Again to do this he must practice Yoga. Similarly, in order to pervade the Fourth State gradually through the other states in the manner proper to practice at the Individual level, the method is the same. He must practise the higher yoga of the Tantras which, turning his mind inwards and freeing it from discursive representations, allows him to penetrate into the Supreme Principle. Once the yogi has attained this contemplative state, his main problem is to make it permanent. In the introvered state the gross external movement of the breath is suspended and with it the activity of the intellect, mind, individualised consciousness, powers of the senses and the ego. 313 When the yogi rises out of

this state, he is liable to fall again into the lower order of creation generated by Maya if he does not maintain his awareness of the higher reality he has experienced and allows his awakened, illumined insight to be obscured by the dream-like vision of thought-constructs. 314 Naturally, the yogi must rise out of the introverted condition of suspension. It is inherent in the very nature of reality that it should move out of itself. 315 Pure, universal consciousness initially transforms itself into the vital breath 316 charged with the impression iyasana) of the power of awareness attained through introversion. By attending to the pulse (spanda) of the breath as it moves out of the absolute, the yogi can develop an intuitive sense of the inherent unity of all he will perceive in the mental and physical spheres created by the outpouring of consciousness. In this way he realises that his own nature is everywhere present in all he perceives and that all things thus reside within him. Blessed with this insight his consciousness remains free and unlimited even at the individual level where the breath, mind, senses and body are active. If the yogi fails to do this, he finds himself once again beset by the strictures of his embodied existence and must, as before, try to pervade all his other states of consciousness with the aesthetic delight (rasa) and wonder (camatkara) of the Fourth State he experienced in contemplation. Again this means that he must strengthen his pure, empowered awareness that his universal nature manifests as all things. In this way he discovers Siva's presence in every sphere of individualised consciousness ranging from the breath to outer objectivity. The yogi's mind then becomes tranquil and undistracted because wherever it may wander, the yogi perceives only Siva, his authentic nature. Consciousness is thus freed of all external referents and the yogi's subjectivity is purified of all identification with the body or anything else that belongs to the objective sphere. The yogi then becomes detached from the opposites of pleasure and pain and is transcendentally free (kevalin). The yogi is again, however, liable to fall if he allows himself to get entangled in the play of opposites. This fall is more serious than the others because, although he is caught by the confining restrictions of individual- ised consciousness as before, he is now also affected by karma. Fleeing from pain in the pursuit of pleasure he is bound to act (karma) to minimise one and maximise the (a ***maximaization problem is mentioned elsewhere about the svabhava of human beings to enhance pleasure reduce deprivation)*** other and so is thrown down to the lowest level of embodied subjectivity (sakala). In order to regain his lost state, he must ascend gradually, by Siva's grace, from one order of subjectivity to the next and so free himself progressively of the limitations of the lower levels to gain the greater freedom and expansion of the higher. As he progresses, the objective sphere also evolves from the grossest perceptions of physical objects outside the lowest order to subjectivity, through to the subtler inner, mental perceptions to finally reach the order of subjectivity that contains objectivity within itself and is free to externalise it at will. The degree to which this process develops depends, as before, on the yogi's awareness of the Fourth State. In consonance with the general principle that the remedy should suit the defect, the yogi is instructed to seek this higher state of consciousness in the wonder (camatkara) or delight (ananda) he feels in moments of intense physical pleasure. At first he experiences this subtle consciousness for an instant in the subjective sphere. If he manages to catch hold of it, it becomes more intense as the cognitive and objective spheres are also gradually pervaded and vitalised by it. Occasions for this practice are, for example, the sense of satisfaction one feels after a good meal or the aesthetic delight one experiences when listening to good music or the pleasure of sexual union with the Tantric consort or even solitary sexual excitation. In these moments of delight the yogi can penetrate momentarily into his own authentic Siva-nature (sambhavavesa) through the empowered contact (saktasparsa) m he makes with it in the freedom of the pure subjectivity of the Fourth State. If the yogi develops his awareness of this higher level of consciousness and maintains it, he eventually experiences it constantly. Clearly, what prevents the yogi from attending to his state of consciousness rather than the circumstances which induce it is the craving for pleasure (abhila§a) born of ignorance — the source of every impurity which clouds consciousness. Craving directs the yogi's attention towards outer, worldly things and so he is caught in the **net of thought- constructs**. To free himself of his worldly desires and reverse this binding extroversion, the yogi must eradicate its cause. To be freed of all the ups and downs of the path and no longer be tormented by the possibility of a fall, the yogi must see reality perfectly and completely. This insight is itself liberation and the moment it dawns the yogi is instantly freed. This sudden realisation is the goal of Tantric Yoga. Accordingly the Tantra declares: "He, who perceives reality directly, even for the brief moment it takes to blink, is liberated that very instant and never reborn again." Although the yogi's body and mind continue to function as before, they are like mere outer coverings which contain, but do not obscure, the mighty, universal consciousness

which operates through them. The yogi's body is the universe, the senses the energies that vitalise it, his mind Mantra, the rhythm of his breath the pulse of time and his inner nature pure, dynamic consciousness. Raised above all practice, and hence all possibility of falling to lower levels, the yogi realises that he has always been free and that his journey through the dark land of Maya was nothing but a dream, a construct of his own imagination. **(Models are given in one of the papers of the series due to spatial constraints) Relationship betwixt string theory (note the spade remarks above) and holographic principle has been enucleated and expatiated in literature**. Some exemplar work is sententiously mentioned in the following:The ratio of shear viscosity to volume density of entropy can be used to characterize how close a given fluid is to being perfect. Using string theory methods, we show that this ratio is equal to a universal value of $\hbar/4\pi kB$ for a large class of strongly interacting quantum field theories whose dual description involves black holes in anti–de Sitter space. **P K. Kovtun, D. T. Son, and A. O. Starinets** provide evidence that this value may serve as a lower bound for a wide class of systems, thus suggesting that black hole horizons are dual to the most ideal fluid **Viscosity in Strongly Interacting Quantum Field Theories from Black Hole Physics Phys. Rev. Lett. 94, 111601 – Published 22 March 2005 P K. Kovtun, D. T. Son, and A. O. Starinets** According to't Hooft the combination of quantum mechanics and gravity requires the three-dimensional world to be an image of data that can be stored on a two-dimensional projection much like a holographic image. The two-dimensional description only requires one discrete degree of freedom per Planck area and yet it is rich enough to describe all three-dimensional phenomena. After outlining't Hooft's proposal we give a preliminary informal description of how it may be implemented. One finds a basic requirement that particles must grow in size as their momenta are increased far above the Planck scale. The consequences for high-energy particle collisions are described. The phenomenon of particle growth with momentum was previously discussed in the context of string theory and was related to information spreading near black hole horizons. The considerations of this paper indicate that the effect is much more rapid at all but the earliest times. In fact the rate of spreading is found to saturate the bound from causality. Finally **Leonard Susskind** considers string theory as a possible realization of't Hooft's idea. The light front lattice string model of Klebanov and Susskind is reviewed and its similarities with the holographic theory are demonstrated. The agreement between the two requires unproven but plausible assumptions about the nonperturbative behavior of string theory. Very similar ideas to those in this paper have long been held by Charles Thorn. © 1995 American Institute of Physics **The world as a hologram Leonard Susskind J. Math Phys. 36, 6377 (1995); http://dx.doi.org/10.1063/1.531249 Ofer Aharonya, , Steven S. Gubserb, , Juan Maldacenab, c, , Hirosi Oogurid, e, , Yaron Ozf,** review the holographic correspondence between field theories and string/M theory, focusing on the relation between compactifications of string/M theory on Anti-de Sitter spaces and conformal field theories. They review the background for this correspondence and discuss its motivations and the evidence for its correctness. They describe the main results that have been derived from the correspondence in the regime that the field theory is approximated by classical or semiclassical gravity. We focus on the case of the supersymmetric gauge theory in four dimensions, but discuss also field theories in other dimensions, conformal and non-conformal, with or without supersymmetry, and in particular the relation to QCD. We also discuss some implications for black hole physics. **Physics Reports Volume 323, Issues 3–4, January 2000, Pages 183–386 Large N field theories, string theory and gravity Ofer Aharonya, , Steven S. Gubserb, , Juan Maldacenab, c, , Hirosi Oogurid, e, , Yaron Ozf, , doi:10.1016/S0370-1573(99)00083-6 T. Banks, W. Fischler, S. H. Shenker, and L. Susskind** suggest and motivate a precise equivalence between uncompactified 11-dimensional M theory and the $N=\infty$ limit of the supersymmetric matrix quantum mechanics describing D0 branes. The evidence for the conjecture consists of several correspondences between the two theories. As a consequence of supersymmetry the simple matrix model is rich enough to describe the properties of the entire Fock space of massless well separated particles of the supergravity theory. In one particular kinematic situation the leading large distance interaction of these particles is exactly described by supergravity. The model appears to be a nonperturbative realization of the holographic principle. The membrane states required by M theory are contained as excitations of the matrix model. The membrane world volume is a noncommutative geometry embedded in a noncommutative spacetime. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.55.5112 **M theory as a matrix model: A conjecture Phys. Rev. D 55, 5112 – Published 15 April 1997 T. Banks, W. Fischler, S. H. Shenker, and L. Susskind** These TASI lectures review the Holographic principle. The first lecture describes the puzzle of black hole

information loss that led to the idea of Black Hole Complementarity and subsequently to the Holographic Principle itself. The second lecture discusses the holographic entropy bound in general space-times. The final two lectures are devoted to the ADS/CFT duality as a special case of the principle. The presentation is self contained and emphasizes the physical principles. Very little technical knowledge of string theory or supergravity is assumed. Report number: SU-ITP 99-14, KUL-TF-2000/03 Cite as: arXiv: hep-th/0002044 **TASI lectures on the Holographic Principle Daniela Bigatti, Leonard Susskind** The notion of a space-time uncertainty principle in string theory is clarified and further developed. The motivation and the derivation of the principle are first reviewed in a reasonably self-contained way. It is then shown that the nonperturbative (Borel summed) high-energy and high-momentum transfer behavior of string scattering is consistent with the space-time uncertainty principle. It is also shown that, as a consequence of this principle, string theories in 10 dimensions generically exhibit a characteristic length scale which is equal to the well-known 11 dimensional Planck length gs1/3 ℓs of M-theory as the scale at which stringy effects take over the effects of classical supergravity, even without involving D-branes directly. The implications of the space-time uncertainty relation in connection with D-branes and black holes are discussed and reinterpreted. Finally, we present a novel interpretation of the Schild-gauge action for strings from the viewpoint of noncommutative geometry. This conforms to the space-time uncertainty relation by manifestly exhibiting a noncommutativity of quantized string coordinates between, dominantly, space and time. We also discuss the consistency of the space-time uncertainty relation with S and T dualities. Copyright (c) 2000 Progress of Theoretical Physics **String Theory and the Space-Time Uncertainty Principle Tamiaki Yoneya Oxford Journals Science & Mathematics Progress of Theoretical Physics Volume 103, Issue 6Pp. 1081-112** In this Letter a recently proposed gravity dual of noncommutative Yang-Mills theory is derived from the relations between closed string moduli and open string moduli recently suggested by Seiberg and Witten. The only new input one needs is a simple form of the running string tension as a function of energy. This derivation provides convincing evidence that string theory integrates with the holographical principle and demonstrates a direct link between noncommutative Yang-Mills theory and holography.DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.2084 **Holography and Noncommutative Yang-Mills Theory Phys. Rev. Lett. 84, 2084 – Published 6 March 2000 Miao Li and Yong-Shi Wu Petr Hořava** suggests that M theory could be nonperturbatively equivalent to a local quantum field theory. More precisely, we present a "renormalizable" gauge theory in eleven dimensions, and show that it exhibits various properties expected of quantum M theory, most notably the holographic principle of't Hooft and Susskind. The theory also satisfies Mach's principle: A macroscopically large space-time (and the inertia of low-energy excitations) is generated by a large number of "partons" in the microscopic theory. **Petr Hořava** argues that at low energies in large eleven dimensions, the theory should be effectively described by eleven-dimensional supergravity. This effective description breaks down at much lower energies than naively expected, precisely when the system saturates the Bekenstein bound on energy density. He shows that the number of partons scales like the area of the surface surrounding the system, and discuss how this holographic reduction of degrees of freedom affects the cosmological constant problem. proposal is put forth for the holographic field theory as a candidate for a covariant, nonperturbative formulation of quantum M theory. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.59.046004 **M theory as a holographic field theory Phys. Rev. D 59, 046004 – Published 26 January 1999 Petr Hořava** The recent proposal on the correspondence between the super-Yang–Mills theory and string theory in the Penrose limit of the AdS5×S5 geometry involves a few puzzles from the viewpoint of holographic principle, especially in connection with the interpretation of times. To resolve these puzzles, we propose to interpret the PP-wave strings on the basis of tunneling null geodesics connecting boundaries of the AdS geometry. Our approach predicts a direct and systematic identification of the S-matrix of Euclidean string theory in the bulk with the short-distance structure of correlation functions of super-Yang–Mills theory on the AdS boundary, as an extension of the ordinary relation in supergravity–CFT correspondence. Holography requires an infinite number of contact terms for interaction vertices of string field theory and constrains their forms in a way consistent with supersymmetry. Copyright © 2003 Elsevier B.V. All rights reserved. **Nuclear Physics B Volume 665, 18 August 2003, Pages 94–128 Holographic reformulation of string theory on AdS5×S5 background in the PP-wave limit Suguru Dobashi, Hidehiko Shimada, Tamiaki Yoneya doi:10.1016/S0550-3213(03)00460-7** The strongest adversary in quantum information science is decoherence, which arises owing to the coupling of a system with its environment1. The induced dissipation tends to

destroy and wash out the interesting quantum effects that give rise to the power of quantum computation2, cryptography2 and simulation3. Whereas such a statement is true for many forms of dissipation, **Frank Verstraete1, Michael M. Wolf2 & J. Ignacio Cirac3** show here that dissipation can also have exactly the opposite effect: it can be a fully fledged resource for universal quantum computation without any coherent dynamics needed to complement it. The coupling to the environment drives the system to a steady state where the outcome of the computation is encoded. In a similar vein, they show that dissipation can be used to engineer a large variety of strongly correlated states in steady state, including all stabilizer codes, matrix product states4, and their generalization to higher dimensions5. **Letter abstract Nature Physics 5, 633 - 636 (2009) Published online: 20 July 2009 | doi: 10.1038/nphys1342 Quantum computation and quantum-state engineering driven by dissipation Frank Verstraete1, Michael M. Wolf2 & J. Ignacio Cirac3** Nonlocal twist operators are introduced for the O (n) and Q-state Potts models in two dimensions which count the numbers of self-avoiding loops (respectively, percolation clusters) surrounding a given point. Their scaling dimensions are computed exactly. This yields many results: for example, the number of percolation clusters which must be crossed to connect a given point to an infinitely distant boundary. Its mean behaves as $(1/33\sqrt{\pi})\,|\ln(p_c-p)|$ as $p\to p_c-$. As an application **John Cardy** computes the exact value $3\sqrt{/2}$ for the conductivity at the spin Hall transition, as well as the shape dependence of the mean conductance in an arbitrary simply connected geometry with two extended edge contacts. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.84.3507 **Linking Numbers for Self-Avoiding Loops and Percolation: Application to the Spin Quantum Hall Transition Phys. Rev. Lett 84, 3507 – Published 17 April 2000 John Cardy Ferenc Iglói, Loïc Turban, Dragi Karevski, and Ferenc Szalma** consider the Ising model and the directed walk on two-dimensional layered lattices and show that the two problems are inherently related: zero-field thermodynamical properties of the Ising model are contained in the spectrum of the transfer matrix of the directed walk. The critical properties of the two models are connected to the scaling behavior of the eigenvalue spectrum of the transfer matrix which is studied exactly through renormalization for different self-similar distributions of the couplings. The models show very rich bulk and surface critical behaviors with nonuniversal critical exponents, coupling-dependent anisotropic scaling, first-order surface transition, and stretched exponential critical correlations. It is shown that all the nonuniversal critical exponents obtained for the aperiodic Ising models satisfy scaling relations and can be expressed as functions of varying surface magnetic exponents. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevB.56.11031 **exact renormalization-group study of aperiodic Ising quantum chains and directed walks Phys. Rev. B 56, 11031 – Published 1 November 1997 Ferenc Iglói, Loïc Turban, Dragi Karevski, and Ferenc Szalma** Using exact expressions for the persistence probability and for the leading eigenvalue of the Fokker-Planck operator of a random walk in a random environment, we establish a fundamental relation between the statistical properties of anomalous diffusion and the critical and off-critical behavior of random quantum spin chains. Many exact results are obtained from this correspondence, including the space and time correlations of surviving random walks and the distribution of the gaps of the corresponding Fokker-Planck operator. In turn **Ferenc Iglói and Heiko Rieger** derives analytically the dynamical exponent of the random transverse-field Ising spin chain in the Griffiths-McCoy region. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.58.4238 **Anomalous diffusion in disordered media and random quantum spin chains Phys. Rev. E 58, 4238 – Published 1 October 1998 Ferenc Iglói and Heiko Rieger** To gain deeper insight into the dynamics of complex quantum systems we need a quantum leap in computer simulations. We cannot translate quantum behaviour arising from superposition states or entanglement efficiently into the classical language of conventional computers. The solution to this problem, proposed in 1982 (ref. 1), is simulating the quantum behaviour of interest in a different quantum system where the interactions can be controlled and the outcome detected sufficiently well. **A. Friedenauer1, H. Schmitz1, J. T. Glueckert, D. Porras & T. Schaetz** study the building blocks for simulating quantum spin Hamiltonians with trapped ions2. They experimentally simulate the adiabatic evolution of the smallest non-trivial spin system from paramagnetic into ferromagnetic order with a quantum magnetization for two spins of 98%. We prove that the transition is not driven by thermal fluctuations but is of quantum-mechanical origin (analogous to quantum fluctuations in quantum phase transitions3). We observe a final superposition state of the two degenerate spin configurations for the ferromagnetic order (|↑↑right fence+|↓↓right fence), corresponding to deterministic entanglement achieved with 88% fidelity. This method should allow for scaling to a higher number of

coupled spins2, enabling implementation of simulations that are intractable on conventional computers. **Letter Nature Physics 4, 757 - 761 (2008) Published online: 27 July 2008 | doi:10.1038/nphys1032 Subject Categories: Quantum physics | Atomic and molecular physics Simulating a quantum magnet with trapped ions A. Friedenauer1, H. Schmitz1, J. T. Glueckert, D. Porras & T. Schaetz** This article gives a comprehensive description of the fractal geometry of conformally-invariant (CI) scaling curves, in the plane or half-plane. It focuses on deriving critical exponents associated with interacting random paths, by exploiting an underlying quantum gravity (QG) structure, which uses KPZ maps relating exponents in the plane to those on a random lattice, i.e., in a fluctuating metric. This is applied to critical models, like O(N) and Potts models, and to the Stochastic L\"owner Evolution (SLE). The multifractal (MF) function f(alpha, c) of the harmonic measure near any CI fractal boundary, is given as a function of the central charge c of the associated CFT. The Hausdorff dimensions D_{H} of a non-simple scaling curve or cluster hull, and D_{EP} of its external perimeter or frontier, are shown to obey the duality equation (D_{H}-1)(D_{EP}-1)=1/4, valid for any c. The universal mixed MF spectrum f(alpha,lambda;c) describing the local spiralling rate lambda and singularity exponent alpha of the potential near any CI scaling curve is given. The duality between simple and non-simple random paths is established via symmetry of the KPZ quantum gravity map. An extended dual KPZ relation is introduced for the SLE_{kappa}, which commutes with the kappa to kappa'=16/kappa duality. This gives the SLE exponents from simple QG rules, established from the general structure of correlation functions of arbitrary interacting random sets on a random lattice. Journal reference: {\it Fractal Geometry and Applications: A Jubilee of Beno\^{i}t Mandelbrot} (M. L. Lapidus and M. van Frankenhuysen, eds.), Proc. Symposia Pure Math. vol. 72, Part 2, 365-482 (AMS, Providence, R.I., 2004) arXiv: math-ph/0303034(or arXiv: math-ph/0303034v2 for this version) **Conformal Fractal Geometry and Boundary Quantum Gravity Bertrand Duplantier** Hume, For Deleuze, Considers The Mind To Be A System Of Associations Alone, A Network Of Tendencies (ES 25): “We Are Habits, Nothing But Habits – The Habit Of Saying ‘I’. Perhaps There Is No More Striking Answer To The Problem Of The Self.” (ES X.) The Mind, Affected By The Natural Principle Of Association, Becomes Human Nature, From The Ground Up: Empirical Subjectivity Is Constituted In The Mind Under The Influence Of The Principles Affecting It; The Mind Therefore Does Not Have The Characteristics Of A Preexisting Subject. (ES 29) These Associations Account Not Only for Experience in the Basic Sense, But up To the Highest Level of Social and Cultural Life: This Is The Basis For Hume’s Rejection (E) Of A Social Contract Model Of Society (Such As Hobbes’), In Favour Of Convention Alone. Morals, Feelings, Bodily Comportment, All Of These Elements Of Subjectivity Are Explained, Not By Transcendental Structures, Such As Kant Will Propose, But By The Immanent Activity Of Association. Once This Habitual Structure Of The Self Is In Place, Deleuze Suggests, The Humean Concept Of Belief Comes Into Play , Which Is Resolutely A Central Part Of Human Nature. It Describes The Particularly Human Way Of Going Beyond The Given. When We Expect The Sun To Come Up Tomorrow, We Do Not Do So Because We Know That It Will, But Because Of A Belief Based On A Habit. This In Turn Reverses The Hierarchy Of Knowledge And Belief, And Results, For Deleuze, In A, “Great Conversion Of Theory To Practice.” (PI 36) Every Act Of Belief Is A Practical Application Of Habit, Without Any Reference To An A Priori Ability To Judge. Not Only Is The Human Being Thus Habitual, On Deleuze’s Reading, But Also Creative Even In The Most Mundane Moments Of Life. Finally, Deleuze Insists That One Of Hume’s Greatest Contributions To Modern Philosophy Is His Insistence That All Relations Are External To Their Terms: This Is The Essence Of Hume’s Anti-Transcendental Stance. Human Nature Cannot Unite Itself, There Is No ‘I’ Which Stands Before Experience, But Only Moments Of Experience Themselves, Unattached And Meaningless Without Any Necessary Relation To Each Other. A Flash Of Red, A Movement, A Gust Of Wind, Dashing Of A Woman Against You, Obscene Gestures, Spitting At You, Talking Extempore About You (My Addition) And These Elements Must Be Externally Related To Each Other To Create The Sensation Of A Tree In Autumn. In The Social World, This Externality Attests To The Always-Already Interested Nature Of Life: No Relation Is Necessary, Or Governed By Neutral Laws, So Every Relation Has A Localised And Passional Motive. The Ways In Which Habits Are Formed Attests To The Desires At The Heart Of Our Social Milieu. Subjectivity, As Deleuze Describes It Through His Reading Of Hume, Is A Practical, Passional, And Empiricist Concept, Immediately Located At The Heart Of The Conventional, Which Is To Say The Social. (Stanford Encyclopedia of Philosophy). The Buddha Always Used The Terms Void, No Rising And Falling, Calmness And Extinction To

Explain The Profound Meaning Of Sunyata And Cessation. For Example, Sunyata And The State Of Nirvana Where There Is No Rising Nor Falling, Are Interpreted By Most People As A State Of Non-Existence And Gloom. They Fail To Realise That Quite The Opposite, Sunyata Is Of Substantial And Positive Significance. The Sutras Often Use The Word "Great Void" To Explain The Significance Of Sunyata. In General, We Understand The "Great Void" As Something That Contains Absolutely Nothing. However, From A Buddhist Perspective, The Nature Of The "Great Void" Implies Something Which Does Not Obstruct Other Things, In Which All Matters Perform Their Own Functions. Materials Are Form, Which By Their Nature, Imply Obstruction. The Special Characteristic Of The "Great Void" Is Non-Obstruction. The "Great Void" Therefore, Does Not Serve As An Obstacle To Them. Since The "Great Void" Exhibits No Obstructive Tendencies, It Serves As The Foundation For Matter To Function. In Other Words, If There Was Neither "Great Void" Nor Characteristic Of Non-Obstruction, It Would Be Impossible For The Material World To Exist And Function. The "Great Void" Is Not Separated From The Material World. The Latter Depends On The Former. We Can State That the Profound Significance of Sunyata and the Nature of Sunyata in Buddhism Highlight The "Great Void's" Non-Obstructive Nature. Sunyata Does Not Imply The "Great Void". Instead, It Is The Foundation Of All Phenomena (Form And Mind). It Is The True Nature Of All Phenomena, And It Is The Basic Principle Of All Existence. In Other Words, If The Universe's Existence Was Not Empty Nor Impermanent, Then All Resulting Phenomena Could Not Have Arisen Due To The Co-Existence Of Various Causes And There Would Be No Rising Nor Falling. The Nature Of Sunyata Is Of Positive Significance. Calmness And Extinction Are The Opposite Of Rising And Falling. They Are Another Way To Express That There Is No Rising And Falling. Rising And Falling Are The Common Characteristics Of Worldly Existence. All Phenomena Are Always In The Cycle Of Rising And Falling. However, Most People Concentrate On Living (Rising). They Think That The Universe And Life Are The Reality Of A Continuous Existence. Buddhism On The Other Hand, Promotes The Value Of A Continuous Cessation (Falling). This Cessation Does Not Imply That It Ceases To Exist Altogether. Instead, It Is Just A State In The Continuous Process Of Phenomena. In This Material World, Or What We May Call This "State Of Existence", Everything Eventually Ceases To Exist. Cessation Is Definitely The Home Of All Existences. Since Cessation Is The Calm State Of Existence And The Eventual Refuge Of All Phenomena, It Is Also The Foundation For All Activities And Functions. Teachings In Chinese Buddhism (6) Sunyata (Emptiness) In The Mahayana Context (Wikipedia) Studies by Eric V. Linder about geometric dark energy bring out the quintessential prerequisites about the extreme physics ad dark matter**.** The acceleration of the expansion of the universe arises from unknown physical processes involving either new fields in high energy physics or modifications of gravitation theory. It is crucial for our understanding to characterize the properties of the dark energy or gravity through cosmological observations and compare and distinguish between them. In fact, close consistencies exist between a dark energy equation of state function w(z) and changes to the framework of the Friedmann cosmological equations as well as direct spacetime geometry quantities involving the acceleration, such as "geometric dark energy" from the Ricci scalar. We investigate these interrelationships, including for the case of super acceleration or phantom energy where the fate of the universe may be gentler than the Big Rip. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.023511 **Probing gravitation, dark energy, and acceleration Phys. Rev. D 70, 023511 – Published 28 July 2004 Eric V. Linder. In the same vein he continues to question the number of parameters that exists in dark energy** .How many dark energy parameters? For exploring the physics behind the accelerating Universe a crucial question is how much we can learn about the dynamics through next generation cosmological experiments. For example, in defining the dark energy behavior through an effective equation of state, how many parameters can we realistically expect to tightly constrain? Through both general and specific examples (including new parametrizations and principal component analysis) we argue that the answer is two. Cosmological parameter analyses involving a measure of the equation of state value at some epoch (e.g. w0) and a measure of the change in equation of state (e.g. w′) are therefore realistic in projecting dark energy parameter constraints. More elaborate parametrizations could have some uses (e.g. testing for bias or comparison with model features), but do not lead to accurately measured dark energy parameters. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.72.043509 **Phys. Rev. D 72, 043509 – Published 11 August 2005 Eric V. Linder and Dragan Huterer D.F. Mota, C. van de Bruck Oxford Univ** study the spherical collapse model in dark energy cosmologies, in which dark energy is modelled as a minimally coupled scalar field. We first

follow the standard assumption that dark energy does not cluster on the scales of interest. Investigating four different popular potentials in detail, we show that the predictions of the spherical collapse model depend on the potential used. We also investigate the dependence on the initial conditions. Secondly, they investigate in how far perturbations in the quintessence field affect the predictions of the spherical collapse model. In doing so, we assume that the field collapses along with the dark matter. Although the field is still subdominant at the time of virialisation, the predictions are different from the case of a homogeneous dark energy component. This will in particular be true if the field is non--minimally coupled. **D.F. Mota, C. van de Bruck** conclude that a better understanding of the evolution of dark energy in the highly non--linear regime is needed in order to make predictions using the spherical collapse model in models with dark energy. Astrophys. 421 (2004) 71-81DOI:10.1051/0004-6361:20041090arXiv: astro-ph/0401504 **on the spherical collapse model in dark energy cosmologies D.F. Mota, C. van de Bruck (Oxford Univ)** Studies by Eric V. Linder about geometric dark energy bring out the quintessential prerequisites about the extreme physics ad dark matter**.** The acceleration of the expansion of the universe arises from unknown physical processes involving either new fields in high energy physics or modifications of gravitation theory. It is crucial for our understanding to characterize the properties of the dark energy or gravity through cosmological observations and compare and distinguish between them. In fact, close consistencies exist between a dark energy equation of state function w(z) and changes to the framework of the Friedmann cosmological equations as well as direct spacetime geometry quantities involving the acceleration, such as "geometric dark energy" from the Ricci scalar. We investigate these interrelationships, including for the case of super acceleration or phantom energy where the fate of the universe may be gentler than the Big Rip. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.70.023511 **Probing gravitation, dark energy, and acceleration Phys. Rev. D 70, 023511 – Published 28 July 2004 Eric V. Linder. In the same vein he continues to question the number of parameters that exists in dark energy** .How many dark energy parameters? For exploring the physics behind the accelerating Universe a crucial question is how much we can learn about the dynamics through next generation cosmological experiments. For example, in defining the dark energy behavior through an effective equation of state, how many parameters can we realistically expect to tightly constrain? Through both general and specific examples (including new parametrizations and principal component analysis) we argue that the answer is two. All Existences Exhibit Void-Nature And Nirvana-Nature. These Natures Are The Reality Of All Existence. To Realise The Truth, We Have To Contemplate And Observe Our Worldly Existence. We Cannot Realise The Former Without Observing The Latter. Consider This Heart Sutra Extract, "Only When Avalokiteshvara Bodhisattva Practised The Deep Course Of Wisdom Of Prajna Paramita Did He Come To Realise That The Five Skandhas (Aggregates, And Material And Mental Objects) Were Void." Profound Wisdom Leads Us To The Realisation That All Existences Are Of Void-Nature. The Sutras Demonstrate That The Profound Principle Can Be Understood By Contemplating And Observing The Five Skandhas. We Cannot Realise The Truth By Seeking Something Beyond The Material And Mental World. The Buddha, Using His Perfect Wisdom, Observed Worldly Existence From Various Implications And Aspects, And Came To Understand All Existences. Teachings In Chinese Buddhism (6) Sunyata (Emptiness) In The Mahayana Context (Wikipedia) .But From The Profound Contemplation And Wisdom Of The Buddha And Mahabodhisattvas, We Know There Is No Such Reality. Instead, Egolessness (Non-Self) Is The Only Path To Understand The Reality Of The Deluded Life. All Existences Are Subject To The Law Of Causes And Conditions. These Include The Smallest Particles, The Relationship Between The Particles, The Planets, And The Relationship Between Them, Up To And Including The Whole Universe! From The Smallest Particles To The Biggest Matter, There Exists No Absolute Independent Identity. Egolessness (Non-Self) Implies The Void Characteristics Of All Existence. Egolessness (Non-Self) Signifies The Non-Existence Of Permanent Identity For Self And Existence (Dharma). Sunyata Stresses The Voidness Characteristic Of Self And Existence (Dharma). Sunyata And Egolessness Possess Similar Attributes. As We Have Discussed Before, We Can Observe The Profound Significance Of Sunyata From The Perspective Of Inter-Dependent Relationships. Considering Dharma-Nature And The Condition Of Nirvana, All Existences Are Immaterial And Of A Void-Nature. Then We See Each Existence As Independent Of Each Other. But Then We Cannot Find Any Material That Does Exist Independent Of Everything Else. So Egolessness Also Implies Void-Nature! From The Observation Of All Existences, We Can Infer The Theory Of Nirvana And The Complete

Cessation Of All Phenomena. From The Viewpoint Of Phenomena, All Existences Are So Different From Each Other, That They May Contradict Each Other. They Are So Chaotic. In Reality, Their Existence Is Illusionary And Arises From Conditional Causation. They Seem To Exist On One Hand, And Yet Do Not Exist On The Other. They Seem To Be United, But Yet They Are So Different To One Another. They Seem To Exist And Yet They Do Cease! Ultimately Everything Will Return To Harmony And Complete Calmness. This Is The Nature Of All Existence. It Is The Final Resting Place For All. If We Can Understand This Reality And Remove Our Illusions, We Can Find This State Of Harmony And Complete Calmness. Teachings In Chinese Buddhism (6) Sunyata (Emptiness) In The Mahayana Context (Wikipedia) All Our Contradictions, Impediments And Confusion Will Be Converted To Equanimity. Free From Illusion, Complete Calmness Will Be The Result Of Attaining Nirvana. The Buddha Emphasised The Significance Of This Attainment And Encouraged The Direct And Profound Contemplation On Void-Nature. He Said, "Since There Is No Absolute Self-Nature Thus Every Existence Exhibits Void-Nature. Because It Is Void, There Is No Rising Nor Falling. Since There Is No Rising Nor Falling, Thus Everything Was Originally In Complete Calmness. Its Self-Nature Is Nirvana." Teachings In Chinese Buddhism (6) Sunyata (Emptiness) In The Mahayana Context (Wikipedia).The Scholars Of Tien Tai Called It The "Embodied Nature". (This Is The Buddha-Nature That Includes Both Good And Evil.) The Scholars Of Xian Shou Say, "It Is Arising From Primal Nature", And The Scholars Of Chan (Zen) Say, "It Is Nature That Causes The Rising Of Things". All Dharma Is Dharma-Nature. It Is Not Different From Dharma-Nature. Dharma And Dharma-Nature Are Not Two Separate Identities, "Phenomena" And "Nature" Is Also Not Distinguishable Either. In Other Words, There Is No Difference Between Principle (Absolute) And Practice (Relative). Teachings In Chinese Buddhism (6) Sunyata (Emptiness) In The Mahayana Context (Wikipedia). In Other Words, All Dharma Arises From Causes And Conditions, And All Dharma Is Empty In Nature. The Law of Dependent Origination (Existence) And The Nature Of Emptiness Is Neither The Same Nor Different. They Exist Mutually. The Truth Of "Sunyata" And "Existence", And "Nature" And "Phenomena" Are Not In Conflict With Each Other. Unlike The Scholars Of The Dharmalaksana Sect Who Explain The Dharma Only From The Aspect Of Dependent Origination, Or The Scholars Of Dharma-Nature That Explain The Existence Of Dharma Only From The Aspect Of Dharma-Nature, The Scholars Of Madhyamika Explain The Truth Of The Dharma From Both Aspects. Hence This Is Called The Middle Path Which Does Not Incline To Either Side. Teachings In Chinese Buddhism (6) Sunyata (Emptiness) In The Mahayana Context (Wikipedia) **C S Lent, P D Tougaw, W Porod and G H Bernstein** formulate a new paradigm for computing with cellular automata (CAS) composed of arrays of quantum devices-quantum cellular automata. Computing in such a paradigm is edge driven. Input, output, and power are delivered at the edge of the CA array only; no direct flow of information or energy to internal cells is required. Computing in this paradigm is also computing with the ground state. The architecture is so designed that the ground-state configuration of the array, subject to boundary conditions determined by the input, yields the computational result. proposal is put forth for a specific realization of these ideas using two-electron cells composed of quantum dots. The charge density in the cell is very highly polarized (aligned) along one of the two cell axes, suggestive of a two-state CA. The polarization of one cell induces a polarization in a neighboring cell through the Coulomb interaction in a very non-linear fashion. Quantum cellular automata can perform useful computing. The authors show that AND gates, OR gates and inverters can be constructed and interconnected. **C S Lent et al 1993 Nanotechnology 4 49 doi:10.1088/0957-4484/4/1/004 Quantum cellular automata C S Lent, P D Tougaw, W Porod and G H Bernstein** The basic features of the dynamics of open quantum systems, such as the dissipation of energy, the decay of coherences, the relaxation to equilibrium or non-equilibrium stationary state, and the transport of excitations in complex structures are of central importance in many applications of quantum mechanics. The theoretical description, analysis and control of non-Markovian quantum processes play an important role in this context. While in a Markovian process an open system irretrievably loses information to its surroundings, non-Markovian processes feature a flow of information from the environment back to the open system, which implies the presence of memory effects and represents the key property of non-Markovian quantum behaviour. **Heinz-Peter Breuer** review recent ideas developing a general mathematical definition for non-Markovianity in the quantum regime and a measure for the degree of memory effects in the dynamics of open systems, which are based on the exchange of information between system and environment. **Heinz-Peter Breuer** further study the dynamical effects induced by the presence

of system–environment correlations in the total initial state and design suitable methods to detect such correlations through local measurements on the open system. **Heinz-Peter Breuer 2012 J. Phys. B: At. Mol. Opt. Phys. 45 154001 doi:10.1088/0953-4075/45/15/154001 Foundations and measures of quantum non-Markovianity** A fundamental step towards atomic- or molecular-scale spintronic devices has recently been made by demonstrating that the spin of an individual atom deposited on a surface1, or of a small paramagnetic molecule embedded in a nanojunction2, can be externally controlled. An appealing next step is the extension of such a capability to the field of information storage, by taking advantage of the magnetic bistability and rich quantum behaviour of single-molecule magnets3, 4, 5, 6 (SMMs). Recently, a proof of concept that the magnetic memory effect is retained when SMMs are chemically anchored to a metallic surface7 was provided. However, control of the nanoscale organization of these complex systems is required for SMMs to be integrated into molecular spintronic devices8, 9. Here we show that a preferential orientation of Fe4 complexes on a gold surface can be achieved by chemical tailoring. As a result, the most striking quantum feature of SMMs—their stepped hysteresis loop, which results from resonant quantum tunnelling of the magnetization5, 6—can be clearly detected using synchrotron-based spectroscopic techniques. With the aid of multiple theoretical approaches, **M. Mannini et al** relate the angular dependence of the quantum tunnelling resonances to the adsorption geometry, and demonstrate that molecules predominantly lie with their easy axes close to the surface normal. Findings of **M. Mannini et al prove** that the quantum spin dynamics can be observed in SMMs chemically grafted to surfaces, and offer a tool to reveal the organization of matter at the nanoscale. **Quantum tunnelling of the magnetization in a monolayer of oriented single-molecule magnets M. Mannini et al** Nature 468, 417–421 (18 November 2010) doi: 10.1038/nature09478 Supposing That There Were Indeed An "Energy Of Contraction" Constant In All Centers Of Force Of The Universe, It Remains To Be Explained Where Any Difference Would Ever Originate. It Would Be Necessary For The Whole To Dissolve Into An Infinite Number Of Perfectly Identical Existential Rings And Spheres, And We Would Therefore Behold Innumerable And Perfectly Identical Worlds COEXISTING [Nietzsche Underlines This Word Twice] Alongside Each Other. Is It Necessary For Me To Admit This? Is It Necessary To Posit An Eternal Coexistence On Top Of The Eternal Succession Of Identical Worlds? The Eternal Return: Genesis And Interpretation BY PAUL D'IORIO"The Cyclical Hypothesis, So Heavily Criticized By Nietzsche (VP II 325 And 334), Arises In This Way." In Fact, Nietzsche Was Not Criticizing The Cyclical Hypothesis But Only The Particular Form Of That Hypothesis Presented In Vogt's Work. All Of Nietzsche's Texts Without Exception Speak Of The Eternal Return As The Repetition Of The Same Events Within A Cycle Which Repeats Itself Eternally. If Deleuze's Interpretation Holds That The Eternal Return Is Not A Circle, Then What Is It? A Wheel Moving Centrifugally, Operating A "Creative Selection," "Nietzsche's Secret Is That The Eternal Return Is Selective" Says Deleuze: The Eternal Return Produces Becoming-Active. It Is Sufficient To Relate The Will To Nothingness To The Eternal Return In Order To Realize That Reactive Forces Do Not Return. However Far They Go, However Deep The Becoming-Reactive Of Forces, Reactive Forces Will Not Return. The Small, Petty, Reactive Man Will Not Return. Affirmation Alone Returns, This That Can Be Affirmed Alone Returns, Joy Alone Returns. Everything That Can Be Denied, Everything That Is Negation, Is Expelled Due To The Very Movement Of The Eternal Return. We Were Entitled To Dread That The Combinations Of Nihilism And Reactivity Would Eternally Return Too. The Eternal Return Must Be Compared To A Wheel; Yet, The Movement Of The Wheel Is Endowed With Centrifugal Powers That Drive Away The Entire Negative. Because Being Imposes Itself On Becoming, It Expels From Itself Everything That Contradicts Affirmation, All Forms Of Nihilism And Reactivity: Bad Conscience, Ressentiment..., We Shall Witness Them Only Once. [...] The Eternal Return Is The Repetition, But The Repetition That Selects, The Repetition That Saves. Here Is The Marvelous Secret Of A Selective And Liberating Repetition. There Is No Need To Remind The Reader That Neither The Image Of A Centrifugal Movement Nor The Concept Of A Negativity-Rejecting Repetition Appears Anywhere In Nietzsche's Writings, And Indeed Deleuze Does Not Refer To Any Text In Support Of This Interpretation. Further, One Could Highlight That Nietzsche Never Formulates The Opposition Between Active And Reactive Forces, Which Constitutes The Broader Framework Of Deleuze's Interpretation. For Some Years, Marco Brusotti Has Called Attention To The Fact That Deleuze Introduced A Dualism That Does Not Exist In Nietzsche's Writings. To Be Sure, The German Philosopher Describes A Certain Number Of "Reactive" Phenomena (For Example, In The Second Essay Of The Genealogy Of Morality, § 11, He Talks About "Reactive Affects" [Reaktive

Affekte], "Reactive Feelings" [Reaktive Gefühlen], Reactive Men [Reaktive Menschen]); But These Are Nonetheless The Result Of Complex Ensembles Of Configurations Of Centers Of Forces That Remain In Themselves Active. Neither The Word Nor The Concept Of "Reactive Forces" Ever Appears In Nietzsche's Philosophy. The Eternal Return: Genesis And Interpretation BY PAUL D'IORIO Some Parts Are Deleted Due To Spatial Constraints. Please Pardon Me For The Deletion. Deleuze Opposes The Historical Course Of The Hegelian Notion That Confronts Struggles And Finally Dialectizes The Negative And Results In A Consoling Teleology Leading To The Triumph Of The Idea Or The Liberation Of The Masses With The Centrifugal Movement Of The Wheel, Which Simply Ejects The Negative. It Is Still A Case Of A Consoling And Optimistic Teleology, Which, Instead Of Confronting The Weight Of History, The Grief And The Negative, Makes It Disappear In One Centrifugal Stroke Of A Magic Wand. There Is Reason To Worry That This Be A Case Of Repression, Which, Unable To Dialectize Or Accept The Negative, Simply Seeks To Exorcise It In One Gesture Of "Creative Selection." But Exorcism Is A Feat Of Magic And Not Of Philosophy: It Is Unfortunately Not Enough To Make The Negative Disappear. In All Probability, The Negative Will Come Back With A Vengeance. In Contrast To Deleuze's "Affirmation Of Affirmation", Which Affirms Only Affirmation, Nietzsche Conceives Of The Eternal Return From A Rigorously Non-Teleological Perspective As The Accomplishment Of A Philosophy Strong Enough To Accept Existence In All Its Aspects, Even The Most Negative, Without Any Need To Dialecticize Them, Without Any Need To Exclude Them By Way Of Some Centrifugal Movement Of Repression. It Denies Nothing And Incarnates Itself In A Figure Similar To The One Nietzsche, In Twilight Of The Idols, Draws Of Goethe: Such A Spirit, Who Has Become Free Stands In The Middle Of The World With A Cheerful And Trusting Fatalism In The Belief That Only The Individual Is Reprehensible, That Everything Is Redeemed And Affirmed In The Whole-He Does Not Negate Anymore. Such A Faith However, Is The Highest Of All Possible Faiths: I Have Baptized It With The Name Of Dionysus. The Eternal Return: Genesis and Interpretation BY PAUL D'IORIO The Most Complete Theory Of Mind That Comports With The Holographic Principle Of Mind, As Developed Here, Is The Microgenetic Theory Of Neurologist Jason Brown. Brown (2005) Presents An Evolutionary Theory Of Values, Morals, And Ethics, Building On The Microgenetic Theory And Process Theory Of His Earlier Works (1988, 1991, 1996, 1998, And 2000). Brown Is Work Has Been A Progression That Began With The Study Of Brain Processes In Neurological Subjects With Brain Injuries Or Lesions. In His Earlier Work (Brown, 1988; 1991) He Described The Process Of Microgenesis As A Process Of Elaboration Of Mental Contents In An Evolutionary And Developmental Hierarchy Of Brain Structures Within The Process Of The Genesis Of The Mental State, And Related The Hierarchy Of The Genesis Of The Mental State To Disturbances Of Language Comprehension Or Expression (Aphasia), Of Knowledge (Agnosia), And Or Purposeful Movement (Apraxia). The Fundamental Neurology Of These Disturbances Had Been Described In Brownís Previous Work (1972, 1988), And In The Seminal Work Of The Russian Neurologist And Neuropsychologist, Alexander Luria (1966). Brown (1988, 1991, 1996, 1998) Created A Process Theory Of Mind On The Basis Of His Neurological Observations, And Went On From His Neurological Work To Incorporate Process Theory In Philosophy, As Developed Principally By Henri Bergson (1911/1998). And Alfred North Whitehead (1925/1953, 1929/1978). Bergson Had Pioneered The Concept Of An Irreducible Duration Of Experience. This Concept Was Elaborated By Whitehead, In Keeping With Discontinuities Of Particles, As "Actual Entities" Of A Rudimentary Sort, As They Make "Quantum Leaps" Along Their Trajectories, Halting Or Persisting At A Given Location For A Short Period Of Time Or Duration Before Leaping To The Next. Whitehead Employed These "Quantum Leaps" To The Process By Which Experience Arises In "Actual Entities" At The Most Fundamental Level Of Actuality, Through The Epochal Or Halting Duration Of A Discontinuous Process (Whitehead, 1925/1953, 1929/1978). This Halting Allows Actual Entities To Participate In A Process Of Internal Relations, Relations On The "Inside" Of Things. According The Holographic Principle, This "Inside" Is In The Holographic Surface Of The Relevant Region Of Spacetime Of The Actual Entity, And Within Other Surfaces That Are Non-Locally Connected With That Surface. The Holographic Surface Is Thus The Locus Of Non-Local Information And Experience, In Our Interpretations Of Whitehead Is Metaphysics. Internal Relations Occur Through Feeling, Or "Prehension," Which Is Nothing More Than The Subjective Quality Of Non-Local Experience. The Internal Relations Of The Becoming Entity Occur In A Seriality Of Prior Becomings Of The Actual Entity, With The Entity Inheriting All Of Its Causal Past As Well As Its Relevant Feelings Or Prehensions From Prior

"Occasions" Or Quanta Of Experience. This Process Is, In The Holographic Model, The Process By Which Former Surfaces, Now-Pasts, Are Elaborated On To The Now-Present. Prehensions Also Occur With Other Actual Entities Throughout The Universe, With Which They Are Non-Locally Connected, And With Neo-Platonic "Eternal Objects," Existing In An Eternal Heaven. The "Ingression" Of Eternal Objects Is Fundamental To Each Duration Of Becoming Of The Entity, And, On This Basis, Whitehead Formulated The Notion Of An Eternal Heaven In Constant Intercourse All Of Its Creation. There Are Clear Parallels Between Whitehead's Cosmology And The Cosmology Introduced Here According To The Holographic Principle, In That The "Heaven" May Be Regarded As The Holographic Boundary, In Constant Intercourse With The Relevant Volume Of Spacetime. This Interconnection Of Feelings Or Prehensions, According To Their Relevance, Produces Intensities And Contrasts Which Give Rise To The Creative Advance, Which, For Whitehead (1929/1978) Is The Process Which Impels The Movement From The Physical To The Mental Pole Of Process. With Each Halting, Internally-Timeless Duration There Is A Concrescence Or Integration Of These Feelings, Which, When Complete, Constitutes A New Actual Entity Or Actual Occasion (They Are The Same Thing) At A New Locus In Spacetime. This Movement To A New Locus, Or Transition, Is, Fundamentally, The Quantum Leap. MICROGENESIS AND THE HOLOGRAPHIC PRINCIPLE The Holographic Principle Theory Of Mind MARK GERMINE Institute For Psycho Science If the Holographic Principle is true, than it must be the fundamental principle of mind. The brain has no way around the Holographic Principle. For the reductionist, the Holographic Principle is the ultimate reduction. It applies to the most minuscule level of what we can observe, and beyond. For the Universalist, the Holographic Principle gives us the ultimate universal. It extends to the limit of our Universe, the Universal holographic boundary, and beyond. For the Phenomenalist, the Holographic Principle gives us the ultimate ground of our phenomenal perception, our grounding in the Universal "now," in the now-present of the Universal holographic boundary, moving outward from now-pasts to now-futures. Wheeler (1988) has said that all of reality is information, and that other physical quantities are "mere incidentals." Information monism is gaining popularity in physics. By breaking the dichotomy of between information and experience, we find a deep connection between Wheeler is monism and the experiential monism of Whitehead, sometimes called panexperientialism, which relates to the later theological concept of his student, Charles Hartshorne, panentheism, God inside of everything (Hartshorne, 1964). There thus seems to be a convergence of the concepts of information, experience, and spirituality. There is not one universe, but many parallel universes, or, more accurately, a vast superposition of universes called the multiverse (Penrose, 2004). However, as explained in our treatment of the double-slit experiment, in the observation of events on the quantum level, the individual observer sees only one of these vast superpositions, not a summation of a vast number of potentials. This has been explained in terms of the many worlds or many minds theories, in which there are multiple copies of the same observing individual, but this particular issue remains unresolved, leaving physics ungrounded in reality (Penrose, 2004). The concept of One Mind is not only more parsimonious than that of many minds, but also lets us out of the bizarre and counterintuitive idea that there are multiple copies of our own selves, which exist as mere potential, and are thus not actual. There are many possible universes, but there is only One Mind, which determines events on the quantum level, and thus creates our Universe. As we had discussed previously, the quantum level provides the essential ground of the Holographic Principle, such that quantum-level holographic surfaces are elaborated at higher levels, manifesting higher orders of information from the quantum "world." In this process, there is a reduction of the wave function or potentialities of quantum fields. At the level of consciousness, this entails freedom to choose which observations we make (Stapp, 1997), which gives us the capacity to think and to make decisions. These capacities are the basis of individuality, self-determination, judgment, and values. The progressive evolution of the manifestation of Mind through higher orders of experience, leading to higher orders of consciousness, is entailed by the Holographic Principle. Again, we are dealing here with levels of description, with the multiverse of all potentials fundamentally supporting the single Universe we collectively observe. The multiverse is the wave function of the Universe (Penrose, 2004). The recursive integration of nested hierarchies of holographic surfaces brings out a single actuality in consciousness from a wave function that is unconscious. Consciousness thus gives us information at a level of experience that is causal. In this sense, we partake in the creation of the Universe. We participate in creation, and this participation, when fully realized, leads us to higher levels of consciousness and of realization. As a system, the biosphere that we live in has a holographic surface,

creating a deep sense of ecology as we collectively move toward a planetary consciousness. It is only when the Universal Holographic Boundary reaches the information storage and processing capacity needed for the requisite biological and biochemical complexity that consciousness evolves in living things. This evolution is natural and spontaneous, since consciousness gives rise to what is actual, as opposed to what is merely potential. In this sense, consciousness is still in the process of creating our Universe, and levels of higher consciousness will continue to evolve. We are all in the same "now," and that now is defined by the present Universal Boundary. This assures us that our experience is Universal, and does not pass with time. This is the fundamental basis of memory and of cognition. The identity of mind and brain is a myth. We have a continual, internal or non-local relation with the Universe, as it has with us. Once this mystery is resolved, the myth is no longer needed, and there is a confluence of science and spirituality.Experience is primary, information is secondary. We can only gather information from experience, whether it is in the laboratory or in life. We cannot measure the information on the surfaces of systems. The physicists that have formulated the Holographic Principle for all systems are quite aware of this, or else the principle would have been established or discredited. We cannot measure what we experience. It is intangible, yet it is all we know to be actual. Everything else is inferred. Because it cannot be measured, it has been fundamentally disregarded by mainstream science. Materialism is considered scientific, while idealism is considered unscientific. But arenít ideas, fundamentally, made of information? Brain science has mistaken the representation of information for information itself, and has tied those representations to matter and energy. Consciousness, the highest order of information, has generally been regarded as superfluous, something that needs to explained away, or altogether ignored. Yet it is the only "thing" that reaches our awareness. Consciousness comes at a price. For everything that becomes conscious, there must be something that becomes conscious. Consciousness is certainty, and its complement, unconsciousness uncertainty. Consciousness is the particle nature of experience. It has definiteness about it. The unconscious is the wave nature of experience, it is like the metaphysical cloud of unknowing. If we are the most conscious of animals, then we must also be the most unconscious. Perhaps this is the predicament of humankind. The Holographic Principle of Mind leads us naturally from the most fundamental experiences, existing as quantum potentials from the conformations of proteins down through the fields of electrons, through their manifestation upward through a recursion or successive applications of the same holographic process, through higher levels of experience, to the emergence of consciousness as higher and higher orders of experience. The quantum Holographic Principle Mind does not require anything more quantum than is obviously present at the microscopic and submicroscopic levels, as it represents successive orders of manifestations from these levels. Recursion also applies here in the sense that it is used in computer science, in which the function of the part depends on the function of the whole. A program, as a part, cannot work without a functioning whole, the operating system. Recursive wholes which are, for us, supra-conscious, are on the group, species, planetary, and universal levels. As individuals, our consciousness cannot function without recursion to the Universal Consciousness, even though we may be unaware that such Universal Consciousness exists. Reaching upward to these supra-conscious levels is a spiritual process, making transcendence the ultimate solution to the unconscious human predicament. What was once supra-conscious becomes unconscious through a process of conditioning? We are born as creatures of the Earth and of the Universe, as evidenced by the beliefs and practices of "primitive peoples." There is evidence from cave paintings that our hominid ancestors experienced a kind of holographic perception (Combs, 1996), which could constitute our early connection with the holographic subtext of reality, and which we might then propose existed in our animal ancestors, and in extant animal species. If this is the case, than microgenesis would entail the recapitulation of this holographic experience as it progresses through our ancestral past. The supra-conscious mind seems to envelope perinatal experiences, and Stanislav Grof (1994) has developed techniques to gain access to these experiences, as well as to the earlier experiences of our human and animal ancestral lineage, and of our universal history. Grof (1994) concludes: "Our consciousness seems to have the amazing capacity to directly access the earliest history of the universe ñ witnessing dramatic sequences of the Big Bang, the formation of the galaxies, the birth of the solar system, and the early geophysical processes on this planet billions of years ago." The Holographic Principle Theory Of Mind MARK GERMINE Institute For Psycho Science. There Are Similarities Between The Patterns Of Holography And Of Psychological Transference, Where Holography Is The Process Of Recording And Reconstructing Holograms. Employing A Theoretical Perspective Using A Hermeneutic Method, This Dissertation

Parallels Holography With Transference, Offering Another Way To Encounter Transference By Showing Similarities Between The Processes Of Each And The Results Of Each. Though Complex, Infinitely Varying, And Unique, Their Patterns Are Clearly Identifiable. Thus They Are A Metaphorical Fit To The Concept Of Strange Attractors In Physics And A More Literal Fit To The Concept Of Archetypes In Depth Psychology Or Dynamic Psychology, Psychology Which Attends To The Living, Autonomous Unconscious. This Study Explains How Holography Models Transference, What A Hologram Is And How It Works, And How Depth Psychology Understands Of The Interaction Between Consciousness And The Unconscious Is Related To The Hologram. It Describes Transference And Related Psychological Processes As Understood In Six Different Schools Of Depth Psychological Thought. It Shows That The Underlying Pattern Or Strange Attraction Between Transference And Holography Extends To Other Processes Both Within And Outside The Field Of Psychology, Processes Such As Projection, Projective Identification, Splitting, Memory, Biology, Creative Discovery, Theology, Synchronicity, Chaos, And Nonlocality. By Identifying The Similar Patterns Of These Processes, This Study Demonstrates The Existence Of An Underlying Holographic Archetype In Which Essential Qualities Of The Whole Are Present In Each Of The Parts Of The Whole: The Visual Image Of The Overall Hologram Is Present In Each Component Part Of The Hologram, The Autonomy Of The Overall Human Is Present In Each Conscious And Unconscious Component Part Of The Human Psyche. By Noting Differences As Well As Similarities In These Processes, It Suggests An Inventory Of The Qualities Of The Holographic Archetype. This Study Furthers Understanding Of The Pervasiveness, Force, And Autonomy Of The Unconscious Acting Through Transference And Projection By Identifying A Group Projection Of Domestic Violence Lying At The Core Of The Christian Myth. This Study Also Furthers Understanding Of The Concept Of Transference By Providing A Reflection Hologram Of The Human Psyche As An Artistic Work And As A Visual Metaphor Of Transference. Strange Attractors: Transference, Holography, And An Archetype Burke, J. (2003). Strange Attractors: Transference, Holography, And An Archetype (Doctoral Dissertation, Pacifica Graduate Institute, 2003). Onticology, Like All Variations Of Object-Oriented Ontology, Is Realist In Its Orientation. In Defending A Realist Ontology Onticology Holds That The Vast Majorities Of Objects, Actants, Beings, Or Entities Are Independent Of Humans And Are What They Are Regardless Of Whether Any Humans Regard Them Or Register Them. In Short, Onticology Rejects Any Anthropomorphic, Idealist, Or Anti-Realist Thesis To The Effect That To Be Is To Be The Correlate Of Mind, Spirit, The Body, The Human, And Language Or Otherwise. While It Is Certainly The Case That Knowledge Is Necessarily Dependent On The Object To Which It Relates, The Converse Does Not Hold True. Objects Are Not Dependent On Being Known, Regarded, Perceived, Or Spoken About. As Such, And To Put It In Aristotelean Terms, Knowledge Is An Accident Of Objects, Not Objects An Accident Of Knowledge. As Althusser So Nicely Puts It, "[N]O Doubt There Is A Relation Between Thought-About-The-Real And This Real, But It Is A Relation Of Knowledge, A Relation Of Adequacy Or Inadequacy Of Knowledge, Not A Real Relation, Meaning By This A Relation Inscribed In That Real Of Which The Thought Is The (Adequate Or Inadequate) Knowledge" (Reading Capital, 96). Althusser Goes On To Remark That "[T]He Distinction Between A Relation Of Knowledge And A Relation Of The Real Is A Fundamental One: If We Did Not Respect It We Should Fall Irreversibly Into Either Speculative Or Empiricist Idealism" (Ibid.). Onticology Categorically Endorses Althusser's Verdict It Is A Fundamental Necessity To Distinguish Between Those Relations That Belong To The Object And Those That Belong To Knowledge. Contemporary Philosophy Continuously Confuses These Two Very Different Sorts Of Relations. Naturally The Question Arises Of How It Is Possible To Surmount Our Relation To The Object So As To Determine Whether Objects Themselves Possess The Properties We Encounter In Relating To Objects. In Other Words, Given That We Can Only Ever Relate To The Object In Relating To The Object How Is It Possible To Surmount This Relation To Get At The Being Of The Object Itself? Much More Will Have To Be Said About This Later– And The Answers Will Be Surprising With Respect To Standard Prejudices About Realism –However, For The Moment It Can Be Said That Onticology Takes Its Epistemological Inspiration From The Transcendental Realism Of Roy Bhaskar. Among Other Things, Bhaskar Sought To Provide A Transcendental Grounding For The Sciences. Insofar As Onticology Defends The Thesis That The Field Of Being Is Much Vaster Than The Field Of Objects Investigated By The Natural Sciences, It Parts Way With The Thesis That The Domain Of Being Is Exhausted By The Domain Of Natural Objects. However, The General Form Of Bhaskar's Argument Holds For Our

Realist Purposes. A Transcendental Argument Seeks To Elucidate The Conditions Under Which Certain Acknowledged Practices And Forms Of Cognition Are Possible. Kant, For Example, Asked What Must Be The Case For Mathematical Judgments To Be Possible. How Is It Both That We Are Able To Extend Our Knowledge, As If By Magic, Through Mathematical Judgments And, More Significantly, That These Judgments Are Able To Provide Genuine Knowledge Of The World Despite The Fact That These Forms Of Reasoning Are Not Based On Experience? Part Of Kant's Argument Consisted In Claiming That Mind Imposes The Forms Of Space And Time On The Data Of Experience. In Other Words, Space And Time Are Not Attributes Of Being Itself But Rather Of The Mind That Regards Being. Insofar, Kant Argues, As Mathematics Is Ultimately A Rumination On The Nature Of Space And Time Taken In Their Most Abstract Form And Insofar As The Mind Imposes Space And Time On The Manifold Of Sensation, It Thus Follows That A Priori Judgments About The Nature Of Spatio-Temporal Relations Are Possible That Anticipate The Structure Of Actual-Space Times Without Directly Experiencing These Space-Times. Why? Because Any Manifold Of Sensation Must Necessarily Be Structured By These Forms Imposed By Intuition Onticology– A Manifesto For Object-Oriented Ontology Part I Posted By Larval Subjects Under Object-Oriented Philosophy. ……. it is necessary to distinguish the being of objects from the manifestation of objects. While objects are acts, these acts are not identical with their performance in either nature (events where no humans are about to perceive them) or with their performance for humans. Rather, the proper being of the object is not its performance or manifestation, but the generative mechanism that serves as the condition under which these performances or manifestations are possible. As Graham Harman will argue– though in a very different theoretical constellation –the being of objects is essentially withdrawn or hidden. No one has ever perceived a single object, but we do perceive all sorts of effects of objects. Traditional epistemology has confused these effects with the objects themselves. Fortunately we do occasionally manage to cognize objects through a sort of detective work that infers these generative mechanisms from their effects; without, for all this, ever exhausting the infinity of a single object. At any rate, if objects were not withdrawn in this way, the practice of experiment would be unintelligible. This leads to Bhaskar's answer to the first question: What must the world be like for science to be possible? Note, this is not a question about mind or culture, but about the world itself, regardless of whether or not humans exist. Once again, knowledge is an accident of objects, not objects an accident of consciousness or cognition. If science is to be possible– and I would argue, if any human practice is to be possible –then the world must be structured and differentiated. The world must have joints or, as Harman puts it, the world must be composed of "chunks". Why is this case? Let us return to the question of experimentation and the conditions under which experiment is possible. We will adopt two possible hypotheses pertaining to the ontological nature of the world independent of humans: 1) As certain mystics and contemporary crypto-mystics would have it, the world is an undifferentiated One-All that is only subsequently segmented or partitioned into discrete beings by some form of human agency whether this be through cognition or language (in the case of language we might think of Saussure's and Hjelmslev's undifferentiated "sonorous matter"). 2) Entities are the sum totality of their relations to all other entities in the universe. The first hypothesis is easily dispatched on two grounds: First, this hypothesis fails to register the contradiction in its own utterance. At the level of explicit content, it claims that the world is an undifferentiated One-All that is only subsequently segmented into discrete beings, yet what it misses at the unconscious level of its own utterance is that it registers at least one structured differentiation that is not undifferentiated within this One-All: Namely, the agency through which the One-All subsequently comes to be differentiated. Certain anti-realist, transcendental philosophers will, in a gesture that is all too cute, claim that the agency by which the world is segmented cannot properly be said to exist, thus attempting to resolve this contradiction through a sleight of hand. However, as Meillassoux has shown in After Finitude, all attempts on the part of transcendental anti-realist philosophies to treat the transcendental subject as a non-existing or non-objectile agency that does not itself exist end up, all too clearly, attaching that conception of finitude and the segmentary work with which it is charged to the body (a differentiated being or generative mechanism). Second, suppose the anti-realist transcendental philosophers were to convince us through his appeals to the non-existence of the transcendental, would we still encounter problems? Like Atlas, transcendental subjectivity is charged with the monumental task of segmenting the formless Apeiron of the One-All from out of primal chaos into a supremely segmented world. But this world appears to us to be too slippery for even a titan like Atlas to grasp. Were the world,

prior to and independent of humans genuinely a formless Apeiron it would contain no differences providing hand-holds for Atlas to grasp in his segmenting activity. Consequently, no segments could ever come into being. Yet everywhere we encounter segments, so the world must not be a formless One-All, but must rather be structured and differentiated even if structure and differentiation are transformed in their encounter with the human. Onticology– A Manifesto For Object-Oriented Ontology Part I Posted By Larval Subjects Under Object-Oriented Philosophy

@@
@@
@@

Dairy Notes:

@@
@@
@@

Madhushala (The Tavern) -- Harivansh Rai Bachchan: Wine-house serves as the basic metaphors in the poem and symbolise the fullness and intoxication of life as well as its pain and frustration. Love, Beauty, Pain, Sorrow, Death --- all of these and more are woven into the rich texture of the poem. Madhushala embodies the entire philosophy of Bachchan: the passionate yearning of the soul for beauty ending only in frustration, the pathetic scarcity and transience of beauty in the world, the agony of disillusionment, the inevitability of death and a stoic acceptance of fatalism as the only armour for the soul -- these are the themes of not only Madhushala but of all of Bachchan's poetry. (Guest poem sent in by Sameer Siruguri: Source: Wikipedia)

Seeking wine, the drinker leaves home for the tavern.

Perplexed, he asks, "Which path will take me there?"

People show him different ways, but this is what I have to say,

"Pick a path and keep walking. You will find the tavern."

Hark! The wine gurgles and splashes as it falls from the goblet.

Hark! It sounds like the tinkling of bells on the feet of an intoxicated girl.

We have reached there; a few steps are we from the tavern,

Hark! Hear the laughter of the drinkers, as the fragrance of the tavern wafts through the air.

Call it not lava, though it flows red, like a tongue of flame.

Call it not the blistered heart, for it is only foaming wine.

Lost memories serve the wine, which intoxicates with pain.

If you find happiness in suffering, come to my tavern.

He who has burnt all scriptures with his inner fire,

Has broken temples, mosques and churches with carefree abandon,

And has cut the nooses of pandits, mullahs and priests ---

Only he is welcome in my tavern.

Alas, he that with eager lips, has not kissed this wine,

Alas, he that trembling with joy, has not touched a brimming goblet,

He that has not drawn close the coy wine-maiden by her hand,

Has wasted this honey-filled tavern of Life

My beloved wine-maiden seems a priest; her wine as pure as the Ganga's waters.

With unbroken pace, she rotates the rosary of wine glasses.

"Drink more! Drink more!" she intones in prayer.

I am Shiva incarnate and this tavern is my temple.

Only once every year, the fires of Holi are lit.

Only once is the game played and are garlands of lamps lit.

But, O, those who are lost in the world, come and see the tavern any day,

The tavern celebrates a Holi, every morning and a Diwali every night.

Whatever the taste on my lips, it tastes like wine.

Whatever the vessel in my hands, it feels like a goblet.

Every face dissolves into the features of my wine-maiden,

And whatever be in front of my eyes, they fill only with visions of the tavern.

Ah, Beautiful, your lovely face is like a crystal bowl,

Whose precious gem is your beauty, sparkling like sweet, intoxicating wine

I am the wine-maiden and I am the guest.

Where sit we together, there indeed is the tavern.

A mere two days she served me but the young maiden is sulking now.

She fills my goblet and passes it curtly to me.

Her coquetry and charms are lost arts;

All the tavern wishes now is to fulfil its obligations.

Life is short. How much love can I give and how much can I drink?

They say, "He departs," at the very moment that he is born.

While he is being welcomed, I have seen his farewell being prepared.

They started closing the shutters of the tavern, as soon as they were raised.

O maiden! Which burning heart has been pacified by drinking?

Every drinker repeats only one chant, "More! More!"

Seeking satisfaction, he leaves behind so many desires.

Of how many such hopes is this tavern a tomb?

*Lewis Carroll was the pseudonym of Charles Dodgson, who also published mathematical literature under that name. The multisense model has been to try to simplify this cleaving and reconciling. By identifying private time as the direct form of sense making and public space as the indirect form, the orthogonality between the two is also their union. I appreciate his pointing out of the two sides of Lewis Carroll, and how they speak to direct and indirect sense.

Quoting from Carroll:

He thought he saw an Elephant

That practiced on a fife:

He looked again, and found it was

A letter from his wife.

“At length I realize,” he said,

“The bitterness of Life!”

He thought he saw a Buffalo

Upon the chimney-piece:

He looked again, and found it was

His Sister's Husband's Niece.

"Unless you leave this house," he said,

"I'll send for the Police!"

He thought he saw a Kangaroo

That worked a coffee-mill:

He looked again, and found it was

A Vegetable-Pill.

"Were I to swallow this," he said,

"I should be very ill!"

He thought he saw a Coach-and-Four

That stood beside his bed:

He looked again, and found it was

A Bear without a Head.

"Poor thing," he said, "poor silly thing!

It's waiting to be fed!"

…

He thought he saw a Garden-Door

That opened with a key:

He looked again, and found it was

A Double Rule of Three:

"And all its mystery," he said,

"Is clear as day to me!"

He thought he saw an Argument

That proved he was the Pope:

He looked again, and found it was

A Bar of Mottled Soap

“A fact so dread,” he faintly said,

“Extinguishes all hope!”

- Lewis Carroll, The Mad Gardener’s Song as quoted in Multisense Realism A Cosmology of Sense, Essence & Existence

Bald pate!

Twirling moustache!

Corporation Barber!

You Episcopalian scallion, goombay goombah Rhodesian ridge back, you, do not have space or time consciousness!

I am the one who give you that!

Then why are you disturbing me when I am deeply immersed in “quantum Holography” !

That is also my nature!

Oh! I cannot live with you!

You only die without me!

Much in the window! Nothing in the room!

Do not pass comments!

You are doing yourself1

It is an act of self abnegation!

Why do you remind of what others said?

To generate gratification or deprivation in your GD complex!

You have nothing original to say?

No! I say what others say!

I am conditioned by peer groups, reference groups, reading materials!

But you also generate fundamental doubts!

I told you I am polarized!

So sentient and insentience, light and darkness, sound and silence, Music and cacophony exists!

Perceiver and perceived?

Yes!

You mean one obliterates the other like some matter is to be destroyed to produce energy!

But some theories of knowledge make an assumption that both perceiver and perceived exist simultaneously!

Wrong!

Do you not think there is a sort of complementary surface where all these duals vanish!

Yes!

It very well exists within the boundaries of space and time!

Electrons and photons are going on or off across the screen!

Perceived does not lose anything but the perceiver gets added with information!

Yes!

A Credit-Credit case!

Yes!

Why does it happen!

Because there is nothing!

Ugh! I want a different answer!

I told you it is like a credit account where both transactions are in red!

Aw!

Perception notices “on” and not “off”?

That is what creates the movement of light!

Maya Shakti is necessary to sustain /produce/destroy/create the transaction world in space time continuum!

So Psi in Dirac Bra kets = C (Creation) e (Creation) in Dirac brakets + C (destruction) e (destruction) in Dirac bra kets!

Yes!

What?

Creation and destruction takes place simultaneously!

But past and future remains in the space time continuum!

Yes!

How can both be feasible?

In the current sense, at a given point of time!

When a fiery conflagration is whorled a circle appears!

Held steady it vanishes!

Yes!

Motion in essence produces creation and destruction! It is said that if you stretch out all the constituents and connections in the brain, they would reach 3.2 million km

So?

A model must have 3200 constituents too!

I think so!

You have your own space time!

Yes!

Conscious information stored can be stirred up to produce subs conscious and unconscious and dream less sleeps I feel!

Individual vibrations, frequencies and melodies contribute to the Universal!

Yes!

Grand design!

Expansion of individual consciousness is always for creation?

Contraction?

Destruction!

Equality?

Yee shall be Brahman!

Or Para Brahman?

You might join the brigade and jamboree and bandwagon of celestial Brahman AntiBrahman!

I am not sure!

sentient objects, insentient objects, their perceptions, projections, axes, dimensions, shocks, encounters, concurrences, densities, motions', connections, rotations, foldings too at the neuroquantological level!

Space time, matter energy in frame one is related to various frames by the same models Accentuation- Attrition Models!

Ok!

There was Ere@#$% was all through the night!

I cannot bear with you!

Why are you juxtaposing something inconsistent with NeuroQuantology that being thought about!

That is what I am there for!

OK!

Flag troll and proceed!

What I am trying to say is that very accentuation-attrition models create mental states we exist in and accentuation and Detrition coefficients contribute for that!

Coefficients become zero say?

There is nothing!

Yes!

Then?

Mindlessness!

Ugh!

Miya's wife laughed! Girls joking and choking in chorus!

Oh! Stop it!

That was personalized service rendered and rewards given!

OK!

You are really anagrammatic acting on the information which you randomly and lackadaisically and perfunctorily and non chalant ly filter!

OK!

That means brain's work is unconscious!

Looks so!

What then is reality?

I told you add subtract, multiply and divide hidden variables!

Or Bohm's' variables!

So we are either in accentuated and augmented reality or a dissipated one!

Yes!

No mind condition is not a solution?

I donot think so!

Thoughts must come and go like transactions but not the gratification and deprivation!

That is what AICs do!

I told you earlier! No smiles! Only transactions!

White Tiger ate a man in Delhi!

That has nothing to do with holonomic quantum computation!

All tigers will eat men!

You donot have imagination!

Why?

Tigers have nothing to do with quantum black holes or branes!

Why do you remind and to my detriment., damnation and discomfiture!

That is my business!

What?

Gratification and deprivation!

I do for your detrimental ramification, deleterious implication of GD complex! You answered your own question!

Is there any widest commonalty spread in the imagination created by different yous' ?

Collective consciousness!

No proper filtering!

Like milk creams in coffee!

I donot like it!

Some do!

Go do it to them!

Platonic world is the same!

I am unable to use all the information I have!

You donot know what you have!

What?

You cannot be aware of individual consciousness!

You shall be celestial Brahman Anti Brahman brigade and bandwagon!

Inscrutable, irretrievable despicable arguments!

Personality also is an illusion then!

You are what you think others' think you are!

That dates back to Margaret Mead and Parson's pattern variables!

My own derivation and divination all we do is unconscious!

Freud said that in the last century!

My! My! I did not know!

Ionosphere ipecacuanha!

Evolutionary implies there are different stages of evolution and concomitant stages of consciousness levels at individual, collective and cosmic states!

Yes!

So are corresponding unconscious levels?

Yes!

Then is not personality also an illusion?

Yes!

Is not consciousness also an illusion?

Consciousness, the ultimate cosmic consciousness is nothing!

So that is the unchanging constant?

Yes! Why did you say unchanging constant?

Well! Tamas, Rajas, and Sattva patterns might change but the evolutionary process might be stalled or even slowed or procrastinated, inertialised, but the very substratum remains the same!

Then?

It needs a push towards liberation!

Aw!

Time factor?

Well! I have to think!

Think aloud!

In my earlier Vishnu experience you said t look lot of time when you saw your body, your loosing something and finally riding away Garuda?

When did you leave the room?

Around 12.20 AM!

At what time dis you come back?

12.40 AM

So?

There are people who say entire life has flashed in front of their life within a split second before death!

So?

Instant contains the eternity!

Individual consciousness produces a localised experience in space time atleast in the waking state!

Let us take Self!

Do you think it left you?

I think so, for I saw my own body lying near Ranganatha precincts behind where I pulled myself literally in a state of state of higher consciousness!

What do you mean?

I donot know!

Think over!

Higher frequency and reactionary potential, and vibrational energy!

It did accompany the body?

Yes!

So it was in you?

Yes!

For a moment it had a higher excitation and reactionary potential!

Yes!

That was for 5-9 minutes!

Yes!

It is essentially a dynamic state?

Yes!

Why did you call self witness consciousness then?

At the non evolutionary level!

It becomes evolutionary and dynamic?

Yes!

Shall I say that was a state where higher GD (gratification-deprivation) DNA raged on?

Yes!

You are giving a name! I am not sure what you mean by DNA?

Just as DNA is GDDNA!

OK!

And you say it is self that saw and not you to incorporate photons?

Yes!

Well! Let us face it vacuum has energy!

OK!

Does your nothingness produce one@!

Yes!

Is there method in the madness!

What do you mean?

Is there any energy behavioural pattern or attitudinal orientation?

Yes! GD is not conserved individually nut holistically!

So Energy pattern also is not conservative individually but holistically!

So I can write Psi (EPS) in Dirac Brakets = C (EPS) (creation) in Dirac Brakets + C (EPS) (Destruction) in Dirac Brakets at the holistic level!

I think so!

Because of distorted perception you confuse continuously changing energy behavioural pattern with “Yourself”

“Yourself”?

Yes!

Until it consummates in to globalised energy pattern change which of course gets balanced and is conservative!

But universe is in one of the potential states!

"Yourself" is also in one of the infinite potential states!

By implication you are occupying two states of energy!

Universe is also occupying two different potential states!

Infinities are different!

Well! I think so!

Accentuation Detrition Model holds true for the equations1

Yes!

Self explicit!

So everything tallies holistically! Vibrations, frequencies, melodies, happenings, experiences, perceptions, cognitions!

Yes!

Where does karma come in?

Simulations and dissimulations make you perpetrate karma!

That is unfair proposition!

Ever not! It is again like you are in a spidery web and lot of attractions and allurements are given to you!

OK!

Propensities for the performance by ducks and drakes under such condition raises your levels of karma!

Aw!

Fairly convincing!

So you come here to universe to take an examination?

Looks like that!

Story is never completely told!

We are in path enjoying the path and destination is nothing!

Yes!

Reactionary potential might range from total marginalization to complete belligerence!

Yes!

That is the measure of consciousness (individual)!

Water will change its direction when stopped!

OK!

Mind will not!

Yes!

Light stops and emf might penetrate cells!

OK!

Subjectivity, emotions can dry up until such simulations and dissimulations are nonexistent!

May be that is why AICs are set to rule the universe!

Computation is astronomically different for subjectivity!

Yes!

GTR produces singularities!

QFT produces infinities!

Suggest you take debits and credits' in each theory and make a four column format of Assets and Liabilities Model which is in consonance with the Accentuation and Detrition model!

Human beings have this indomitable desire to extricate themselves from this cauldron cram of brink and brac of relativistic space time and causation!

Yes!

Well! They say that there are 10^500 dimensions in the universe!

Accentuation-Attrition Models can concatenate them?

Yes! For they are in space time ambit, notwithstanding the various physical laws that might exist in the universe!

All the various parameter heads could be incorporated?

Yes! Certainly!

Energy is lost through the emission of gravitational waves!

Centrifugality and Coriolis force violate the conservation of mass?

OK!

Pair of neutron stars orbiting each other!

Does not the duration of time taken shorten due to motion!

It does!

Non absoluteness (relativisticness) of space time of an observer dissipates the probabilisticness of the extant state vector!

Collapse probability in the existential state!

Somebody is coming wearing red sari!

Oh! Stop it!

There must be some problem!

What makes you think so?

Why not?

Scientists look at CMWB and derive solutions based on what they have been tutored and why should I not be doing that!

You are the tutelage of heloderma suspectum Gelia Monster!

They?

Newton! Einstein! Hawking!

Somehow I donot like relativistic separation of good and bad! Learning is learning whatever you are tutored!

Yes!

OK!

Let us come back to brass tacks!

Meow!

Schrödinger's cat or…….

All are Schrödinger's cats!

When put in the experiment!

How did you know?

Schrõdinger has said!

What is that or…you said?

Must be one sent by some whiskers vibrissae!

Who told you?

I had earlier associated cats with whipper snapper whirligigs and volte facial ermines and weasels!

What difference is the reactionary potential in both cases?

Nothing!

You mean there is nothing like you thought!

You mean an in existential world?

Yes!

Psi in Dirac Brakets = -C (ST) E (ST) (1) in Dirac Brakets-……..C(ST)E(ST)(n) in Dirac Brakets!

Yes!

You can apply the model with the same conditionalities!

Yes!

Shall I tell you some thing?

You know it already?

Sordid sorehead!

Say otherwise moon does not exist they say!

Leibniz said equation of conic sections expresses one and the same event and that its ambiguous sign subdivides in to diverse events like circle, ellipse, hyperbola, paraboloid and straight line!

So?

What is emphasised is that these diverse events form so many instances corresponding to the problem and determining the genesis of the solution!

Shall I say there is neither space not time not causality?

Yes!

Psi in Dirac Brakets -C(S) E(S) in Dirac Brakets – C(T) E(T) in Dirac brakets-……-C(©) E(©) in Dirac Brakets!

OK!

We must therefore understand that incompossible worlds despite their incompossibility have something objectively in common which represents the ambiguous sign of the genetic element in relation to which several worlds appear as instances of solution for one and the same problem (every throw of dice the results of the a single cast!(LOS: Deleuze)

OK!

What is the net meaning?

Understand that incompossible worlds despite their incompossibility have something in common! (LOS)

That means multiverses also have widest commonalty!

Yes!

It is objective commonness!

Not subjective commonness!

Ugh!

All have gratification-deprivation complexes!

This is the ambiguous sign of the genetic element!

What is the genesis of multiverses?

I donot know!

OK!

Genetic elements are related to several worlds that appear as instances of solution for one and the same problem! (LOS)

That is what was said earlier!

All solutions exist!

They occur; each one being the part of departure for other bifurcations! (LOS)

Multiverses are of course bifurcated!

They have no connections?

No attachment?

No patch?

They seem to be some interaction!

We seem to live in one!

We donot have any interactions with other multiverses?

Runanubandha does not exist! That's what scriptures say!

So you come to earth to down load your gratifications-deprivations?

Yes!

Some reports are there of remembrances of past incarnations!

Ignore them!

This is what I donot like!

Make it simple!

See how simple is conservation laws are!

Utch! Ugh!

Some piece in the puzzle is missing!

………………………………………………………………..

…………………………………………………….

@@

Introduction:

Note: For models, please see next paper. Models are to be procrastinated for incorporation attributable to restrictions on space.

Tom Banks 2009 J. Phys A: Math. Theor 42 304002 doi:10.1088/1751-8113/42/30/304002 Holographic space-time from the Big Bang to the de Sitter era

(1) I review the holographic theory of space-time and its applications to cosmology.
(2) Much of this has appeared before, but this discussion is more unified and concise.
(3) I also include some material on work in progress, whose aim is to understand compactification in terms of finite-dimensional super-algebras.
(4) This is an expanded version of a lecture I gave at the conference on Liouville Quantum Gravity and Statistical Systems, in memory of Alexei Zamolodchikov, at the Poncelet Institute in Moscow, 21–24 June 2008

Dariusz Chruściński and Gniewomir Sarbicki 2014 J. Phys A: Math. Theor 47 483001 doi:10.1088/1751-8113/47/48/483001 Entanglement witnesses: construction, analysis and classification REVIEW ARTICLE

(5) From the physical point of view entanglement witnesses (EWs) define a universal tool for analysis and classification of quantum entangled states
(6) . From the mathematical perspective bipartite EWs provide highly non-trivial generalization of positive operators and establish elegant correspondence with the theory of positive maps in matrix algebras.
(7) They concentrate on theoretical analysis of various important notions like decomposability, atomicity, optimality, extremality and exposedness.
(8) Several methods of construction are provided as well.
(9) Discussion is illustrated by many examples enabling the reader to see the intricate structure of these objects.
(10) It is shown that the theory of EWs finds elegant geometric formulation in terms of convex cones and related geometric structures.

SHOU CHENG ZHANG, Int. J. Mod. Phys. B, 06, 25 (1992) DOI: 10.1142/S0217979292000037 THE CHERN–SIMONS–LANDAU–GINZBURG THEORY OF THE FRACTIONAL QUANTUM HALL EFFECT International Journal of Modern Physics B Condensed Matter Physics; Statistical Physics; Atomic, Molecular and Optical Physics

(11) This paper gives a systematic review of a field theoretical approach to the fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) that has been developed in the past few years.

(12) Authors first illustrate some simple physical ideas to motivate such an approach and then present a systematic derivation of the Chern–Simons–Landau–Ginzburg (CSLG) action for the FQHE, starting from the microscopic Hamiltonian.
(13) It is demonstrated that all the phenomenological aspects of the FQHE can be derived from the mean field solution and the small fluctuations of the CSLG action.
(14) Although this formalism is logically independent of Laughlin's wave function approach, their physical consequences are equivalent.
(15) The CSLG theory demonstrates a deep connection between the phenomena of superfluidity and the FQHE, and can provide a simple and direct formalism to address many new macroscopic phenomena of the FQHE.

Liang Sun and Shaolong Wan 2014 EPL 108 37007 doi:10.1209/0295-5075/108/37007 Chiral viscoelastic response in Weyl semimetals

(16) Authors investigate the viscoelastic response of the electronic degrees of freedom in three-dimensional Weyl semimetals and obtain the effective action for this response.
(17) This action is derived by adapting Fujikawa's method on chiral anomaly in curved space for the most general Hamiltonian of Weyl semimetals.
(18) Authors clarify that the Weyl semimetal phase will give rise to the nontrivial chiral viscoelastic effect due to the perturbations of the tetrad field in the elastic media, which indicates that the crystal dislocations in Weyl semimetals will generate the energy-momentum current along the direction of dislocations which is analogous to the chiral magnetic effect predicted in electromagnetic response.
(19) And this nontrivial viscoelastic response can also be used to detect the properties of Weyl semimetals in the future.

Ling Wang 2014 Surf. Topogr Metrol. Prop. 2 043001 doi:10.1088/2051-672X/2/4/043001 Use of structured surfaces for friction and wear control on bearing surfaces

(20) Surface texturing with purposely made regular micropatterns on flat or curved surfaces, as opposed to random roughness inherited from machining processes, has attracted significant attention in recent years.
(21) At the 2013 World Tribology Congress in Turin alone there were over 40 presentations related to surface texturing for tribological applications, from magnetic hard discs and hydrodynamic bearings to artificial joints.
(22) Although surface texturing has been reported being successfully applied in industrial applications such as seals, pistons, and thrust pad bearings, the demand for robust design is still high. Etsion has recently reviewed the modeling research mainly conducted by his group Etsion I (2013 Friction 1 195–209). This paper aims to review the state-of-the-art development of surface texturing made by a wider range of researchers.

T Saha-Dasgupta 2014 J. Phys.: Condens. Matter 26 193201 doi:10.1088/0953-8984/26/19/193201 Ferroic properties in bi-component perovskites: artificial superlattices and naturally forming compounds

(23) The use of four different metal cations in a bi-component perovskite ABO3 structure with 50: 50 substitutions at A sublattice as well as B sublattice, opens up the door for materials designing, with the aim to improve ferroic properties.
(24) This can be achieved following two different routes; one using the concept of artificially grown superlattices with alternating layers of ABO3 and A'B'O3 perovskites in a periodic set-up and another, through synthesis of naturally grown bulk double perovskites with ordered arrangement of A and A' cations, simultaneously with that of B and B' cations
(25) The tremendous progress in layered deposition techniques as well as advances in solid state chemistry methods has made both routes equally plausible and an area of much activity.

(26) This review summarizes some of the recent progress in this field, with a special emphasis on two computational studies, (i) one on ultra-thin 1–1 superlattices built out of paraelectric and ferroelectric components, showing tunable piezoelectric properties, and (ii) another on CrOs-based double perovskites which show multiferroic behavior, achieved through layered ordering of A and A' cations.

S Barbarino et al 2014 Smart Mater Struct 23 063001 doi:10.1088/0964-1726/23/6/063001 A review on shape memory alloys with applications to morphing aircraft FEATURED ARTICLE REVIEW ARTICLE

(27) Shape memory alloys (SMAs) are a unique class of metallic materials with the ability to recover their original shape at certain characteristic temperatures (shape memory effect), even under high applied loads and large inelastic deformations, or to undergo large strains without plastic deformation or failure (super-elasticity).
(28) In this review, we describe the main features of SMAs, their constitutive models and their properties.
(29) Authors also review the fatigue behavior of SMAs and some methods adopted to remove or reduce its undesirable effects.
(30) SMAs have been used in a wide variety of applications in different fields. In this review, we focus on the use of shape memory alloys in the context of morphing aircraft, with particular emphasis on variable twist and camber, and also on actuation bandwidth and reduction of power consumption.
(31) These applications prove particularly challenging because novel configurations are adopted to maximize integration and effectiveness of SMAs, which play the role of an actuator (using the shape memory effect), often combined with structural, load-carrying capabilities. Iterative and multi-disciplinary modeling is therefore necessary due to the fluid–structure interaction combined with the nonlinear behavior of SMAs.

Jong-Ha Chung et al 2007 Smart Mater Struct 16 N1 doi:10.1088/0964-1726/16/1/N01 Implementation strategy for the dual transformation region in the Brinson SMA constitutive model

(32) The transformation kinetics formulation is the principal factor underlying the constitutive model of shape memory alloys (SMAs).
(33) Therefore, the transformation kinetics formulation, which is applicable to any status of stress and temperature, is essential for predicting the material behavior of SMAs.
(34) In this work we show that the transformation kinetics of the original Brinson model, which is the most widely used one-dimensional model, has shortcomings in the case where temperature decreases at low temperature (T<Ms).
(35) In addition, we propose a modified transformation kinetics formula that can be used for dual transformation conditions.
(36) The martensite transformation kinetics is modified so that the transformation from austenite into temperature-induced martensite, due to the decrease in temperature, is coupled with a transformation from austenite or temperature-induced martensite into stress-induced martensite, due to the increase in stress.
(37) Through this modification, the suggested formulation can properly describe the behavior of martensite fractions in the dual transformation region.

Lisa Dyson et al JHEP08 (2002)045 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2002/08/045 Is There Really a de Sitter/CFT Duality Lisa Dyson1, James Lindesay1 and Leonard Susskind1

(38) In this paper a de Sitter Space version of Black Hole Complementarity is formulated which states that an observer in de Sitter Space describes the surrounding space as a sealed finite temperature cavity bounded by a horizon which allows no loss of information.
(39) Authors then discuss the implications of this for the existence of boundary correlators in the hypothesized dS/Cft correspondence.
(40) They find that dS complementarity precludes the existence of the appropriate limits.

(41) Authors find that the limits exist only in approximations in which the entropy of the de Sitter Space is infinite.
(42) The reason that the correlators exist in quantum field theory in the de Sitter Space background is traced to the fact that horizon entropy is infinite in QFT.

Toda Theories, Matrix Models, Topological Strings, and N=2 Gauge Systems Robbert Dijkgraaf, Cumrun Vafa

(43) Authors consider the topological string partition function, including the Nekrasov deformation, for type IIB geometries with an A_ {n-1} singularity over a Riemann surface.
(44) These models realize the N=2 SU (n) superconformal gauge systems recently studied by Gaiotto and collaborators.
(45) Employing large N dualities we show why the partition function of topological strings in these backgrounds is captured by the chiral blocks of A_{n-1}
(46) Toda systems and derive the dictionary recently proposed by Alday, Gaiotto and Tachikawa. For the case of genus zero Riemann surfaces, show how these systems can also be realized by Penner-like matrix models with logarithmic potentials.
(47) The Seiberg-Witten curve can be understood as the spectral curve of these matrix models which arises holographically at large N. In this context the Nekrasov deformation maps to the beta-ensemble of generalized matrix models, that in turn maps to the Toda system with general background charge.
(48) They also point out the notion of a double holography for this system, when both n and N are large.

Physics Reports Volume 94, Issue 6, March 1983, Pages 313–404 Quantum integrable systems related to lie algebras M.A. Olshanetsky, A.M. Perelomov doi:10.1016/0370-1573(83)90018-2

(49) Some quantum integrable finite-dimensional systems related to Lie algebras are considered.
(50) This review continues the previous review of the same authors [83] devoted to the classical aspects of these systems.
(51) The dynamics of some of these systems is closely related to free motion in symmetric spaces
(52) Using this connection with the theory of symmetric spaces some results such as the forms of spectra, wave functions-matrices, quantum integrals of motion are derived.
(53) In specific cases the considered systems describe the one-dimensional n-body systems interacting pairwise via potentials g2v(q) of the following 5 types: vI(q) = q−2,vII(q) = sinh−2q, vIII(q) = sin−2q, $v_{IV}(q) = \mathcal{P}(q)$, vV(q) = q−2 + ω2q2. Here $\mathcal{P}(q)$ is the Weierstrass function, so that the first three cases are merely subcases of the fourth.
(54) System characterized by the Toda nearest-neighbour potential exp(qjqj+ 1) is moreover considered. This review presents from a general and universal point of view results obtained mainly over the past fifteen years. Besides, it contains some new results both of physical and mathematical interest. Copyright © 1983 Published by Elsevier B.V.

Martin Ammon et al 2013 J. Phys A: Math. Theor 46 214001 doi:10.1088/1751-8113/46/21/214001 Black holes in three dimensional higher spin gravity a review Martin Ammon, Michael Gutperle, Per Kraus and Eric Perlmutter

(55) Authors review recent progress in the construction of black holes in three dimensional higher spin gravity theories.
(56) Starting from spin-3 gravity and working our way toward the theory of an infinite tower of higher spins coupled to matter, show how to harness higher spin gauge invariance to consistently generalize familiar notions of black holes.

(57) They review the construction of black holes with conserved higher spin charges and the computation of their partition functions to leading asymptotic order.
(58) In view of the anti-de Sitter/conformal field theory (CFT) correspondence as applied to certain vector-like conformal field theories with extended conformal symmetry, they successfully compare to CFT calculations in a generalized Cardy regime.
(59) A brief recollection of pertinent aspects of ordinary gravity is also given. This article is part of a special issue of Journal of Physics A: Mathematical and Theoretical devoted to 'Higher spin theories and holography'.

Shamik Banerjee et al 2013 Class. Quantum Grav 30 104001 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/30/10/104001 Smoothed transitions in higher spin AdS gravity

(60) Authors consider CFTs conjectured to be dual to higher spin theories of gravity in AdS3 and AdS4. Two-dimensional CFTs with $\mathcal {W}_N$ symmetry are considered in the $\lambda = 0$ ($k \rightarrow \infty$) limit where they are conjectured to be described by continuous orbifolds.
(61) The torus partition function is computed, using reasonable assumptions, and equals that of a free-field theory.
(62) They find no phase transition at temperatures of order 1; the usual Hawking–Page phase transition is removed by the highly degenerate light states associated with conical defect states in the bulk.
(63) Three-dimensional Chern–Simons matter CFTs with vector-like matter are considered on T3, where the dynamics is described by an effective theory for the eigenvalues of the holonomies. Likewise, we find no evidence for a Hawking–Page phase transition at a large level k.

M Akyol and G Papadopoulos 2014 Class. Quantum Grav 31 123001 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/31/12/123001 Spinorial geometry, horizons and superconformal symmetry in six dimensions

(64) The spinorial geometry method of solving Killing spinor equations is reviewed as it applies to six-dimensional (1, 0) supergravity.
(65) In particular, it is explained how the method is used to identify both the fractions of supersymmetry preserved by and the geometry of all supersymmetric backgrounds.
(66) Then two applications are described to systems that exhibit superconformal symmetry.
(67) The first is the proof that some six-dimensional black hole horizons are locally isometric to AdS3 × Σ3, where Σ3 is diffeomeorphic to S3.
(68) The second one is a description of all supersymmetric solutions of six-dimensional (1,0) superconformal theories and in particular of their brane solitons.

Naoyuki Kawahara et al JHEP10 (2007)097 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2007/10/097 Phase structure of matrix quantum mechanics at finite temperature

(69) Authors study matrix quantum mechanics at finite temperature by Monte Carlo simulation.
(70) The model is obtained by dimensionally reducing 10d U (N) pure Yang-Mills theory to 1d. Following Aharony et al., one can view the same model as describing the high temperature regime of (1+1) d U (N) super Yang-Mills theory on a circle.
(71) In this interpretation an analog of the deconfinement transition was conjectured to be a continuation of the black-hole/black-string transition in the dual gravity theory.
(72) Detailed analysis in the critical regime up to N = 32 suggests the existence of the non-uniform phase, in which the eigenvalue distribution of the holonomy matrix is non-uniform but gapless.
(73) The transition to the gapped phase is of second order.

(74) The internal energy is constant (giving the ground state energy) in the uniform phase, and rises quadratically in the non-uniform phase, which implies that the transition between these two phases is of third order.

Advances in Space Research Available online 28 November 2014 Internal wave coupling processes in Earth's atmosphere Erdal Yiğita, Alexander S. Medvedevb, c, doi:10.1016/j.asr.2014.11.020

(75) This paper presents a contemporary review of vertical coupling in the atmosphere and ionosphere system induced by internal waves of lower atmospheric origin.
(76) Atmospheric waves are primarily generated by meteorological processes, possess a broad range of spatial and temporal scales, and can propagate to the upper atmosphere.
(77) A brief summary of internal wave theory is given, focusing on gravity waves, solar tides, and planetary Rossby and Kelvin waves.
(78) Observations of wave signatures in the upper atmosphere, their relationship with the direct propagation of waves into the upper atmosphere, dynamical and thermal impacts as well as concepts, approaches, and numerical modeling techniques are outlined.
(79) Recent progress in studies of sudden stratospheric warming and upper atmospheric variability are discussed in the context of wave-induced vertical coupling between the lower and upper atmosphere.

Journal of High Energy Physics January 2010, 2010:114 Date: 27 Jan 2010 Holographic quantum liquids in 1+1 dimensions Ling-Yan Hung, Aninda Sinha

(80) In this paper we initiate the study of holographic quantum liquids in 1+1 dimensions. Since the Landau Fermi liquid theory breaks down in 1+1 dimensions, it is of interest to see what holographic methods have to say about similar models.
(81) For theories with a gapless branch, the Luttinger conjecture states that there is an effective description of the physics in terms of a Luttinger liquid which is specified by two parameters.
(82) The theory we consider is the defect CFT arising due to a probe D3 brane in the AdS Schwarzschild planar black hole background.
(83) They turn on a fundamental string density on the world volume.
(84) Unlike higher dimensional defects, a persistent dissipationless zero sound mode is found.
(85) The thermodynamic aspects of these models are considered carefully and certain subtleties with boundary terms are explained which are unique to 1+1 dimensions
(86). Spectral functions of bosonic and fermionic fluctuations are also considered and quasinormal modes are analysed. A prescription is given to compute spectral functions when there are mixing due to the worldvolume gauge field.
(87) They comment on the Luttinger conjecture in the light of our findings. ArXiv ePrint: 0909.3526

Mitsutoshi Fujita et al JHEP06 (2009)066 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2009/06/066 Fractional quantum Hall effect via holography: Chern-Simons, edge states and hierarchy

(88) Authors present three holographic constructions of fractional quantum Hall effect (FQHE) via string theory.

(89) The first model studies edge states in FQHE using supersymmetric domain walls in = 6 Chern-Simons theory.
(90) They show that D4-branes wrapped on C$\mathbb{P}$1 or D8-branes wrapped on $\mathbb{C}$ $\mathbb{P}$3 create edge states that shift the rank or the level of the gauge group, respectively.
(91) These holographic edge states correctly reproduce the Hall conductivity.

(92) The second model presents a holographic dual to the pure U(N)k (Yang-Mills-)Chern-Simons theory based on a D3-D7 system.
(93) Its holography is equivalent to the level-rank duality, which enables us to compute the Hall conductivity and the topological entanglement entropy.
(94) The third model introduces the first string theory embedding of hierarchical FQHEs, using IIA string on ℂ 2/Zn.

Joshua L. Davis et al JHEP11 (2008)020 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/11/020 Gravity dual of a quantum Hall plateau transition

(95) Authors show how to model the transition between distinct quantum Hall plateaus in terms of D-branes in string theory.
(96) A low energy theory of 2+1 dimensional fermions is obtained by considering the D3-D7 system, and the plateau transition corresponds to moving the branes through one another.
(97) They study the transition at strong coupling using gauge/gravity duality and the probe approximation.
(98) Strong coupling leads to a novel kind of plateau transition: at low temperatures the transition remains discontinuous due to the effects of dynamical symmetry breaking and mass generation, and at high temperatures is only partially smoothed out.

Clifford V. Johnson and Arnab Kundu JHEP12 (2008)053 doi:10.1088/1126-6708/2008/12/053 External fields and chiral symmetry breaking in the Sakai-Sugimoto model

(99) Using the Sakai–Sugimoto model authors study the effect of an external magnetic field on the dynamics of fundamental flavours in both the confined and deconfined phases of a large Nc gauge theory.
(100) They find that an external magnetic field promotes chiral symmetry breaking, consistent with the ``magnetic catalysis'' observed in the field theory literature, and seen in other studies using holographic duals. The external field increases the separation between the deconfinement temperature and the chiral symmetry restoring temperature. In the deconfined phase we investigate the temperature-magnetic field phase diagram and observe, for example, there exists a maximum critical temperature (at which symmetry is restored) for very large magnetic field. We find that this and certain other phenomena persist for the Sakai–Sugimoto type models with probe branes of diverse dimensions. We comment briefly on the dynamics in the presence of an external electric field.

@@

SECTION ONE

Nonadiabatic Holonomic Quantum Computation

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Experimental Realization of Nonadiabatic Holonomic Quantum Computation Phys. Rev. Lett 110, 190501 – Published 6 May 2013 Guanru Feng, Guofu Xu, and Guilu Long

(1) Because of its geometric nature, holonomic quantum computation is (=) fault tolerant against certain types of control errors.
(2) Although proposed more than a decade ago, the experimental realization of holonomic quantum computation is still an open challenge. In this Letter, **Guanru Feng, Guofu Xu, and Guilu Long** report the first experimental demonstration of nonadiabatic holonomic quantum computation in (eb)

a liquid NMR quantum information processor.

(3) Two noncommuting one-qubit holonomic gates, rotations about x and z axes, and the two-qubit holonomic CNOT gate are realized by (e) evolving the work qubits and an ancillary qubit nonadiabatically.

(4) The successful realizations of these universal elementary gates in nonadiabatic holonomic quantum computation demonstrate (eb) the experimental feasibility of this quantum computing paradigm. **DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.190501 Experimental Realization of Nonadiabatic Holonomic Quantum Computation Phys. Rev. Lett 110, 190501 – Published 6 May 2013 Guanru Feng, Guofu Xu, and Guilu Long**

(5) Erik Sjöqvist et al develop a non-adiabatic generalization of holonomic quantum computation in (eb) which high-speed universal quantum gates can be realized using (e) non-Abelian geometric phases.

(6) In amplification, they demonstrate how a set of non-adiabatic holonomic one- and two-qubit gates can be implemented by utilizing (e) optical transitions in a generic three-level Λ configuration.

(7) Scheme opens up wide range of amplitudinal ramifications of the possibility of realizing universal holonomic quantum computation on (e&eb) qubits characterized by short coherence time. **Erik Sjöqvist et al 2012 New J. Phys. 14 103035 doi:10.1088/1367-2630/14/10/103035 Non-adiabatic holonomic quantum computation**

(8) **J. Dukelsky, S. Pittel, and G. Sierra Nicolas Curien** presents a way to study the conformal structure of (e) random planar maps.

(9) The main idea is to explore (e) the map along an SLE (Schramm–Loewner evolution) process of parameter κ=6 and to combine the locality property of the SLE6 together with the (e&eb) spatial Markov property of the underlying lattice in order to get (eb) a non-trivial geometric information.

NOTATION

Module One

Because of its geometric nature, holonomic quantum computation is (=) fault tolerant against certain types of control errors

G_{13} : Category one of fault tolerant against certain types of control errors

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of holonomic quantum computation because of its geometric nature

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

Although proposed more than a decade ago, the experimental realization of holonomic quantum computation is still an open challenge. In this Letter, **Guanru Feng, Guofu Xu, and Guilu Long** report the first experimental demonstration of

nonadiabatic holonomic quantum computation in (eb) a liquid NMR quantum information processor

G_{16} : Category one of nonadiabatic holonomic quantum computation

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of liquid NMR quantum information processor

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

Two noncommuting one-qubit holonomic gates, rotations about x and z axes, and the two-qubit holonomic CNOT gate are realized by (e) evolving the work qubits and an ancillary qubit nonadiabatically

G_{20} : Category one of evolving the work qubits and an ancillary qubit nonadiabatically

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of two noncommuting one-qubit holonomic gates, rotations about x and z axes, and the two-qubit holonomic CNOT gate are realized

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

The

successful realizations of these universal elementary gates in nonadiabatic holonomic quantum computation demonstrate (eb) the experimental feasibility of this quantum computing paradigm.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.110.190501 Experimental Realization of Nonadiabatic Holonomic Quantum Computation Phys. Rev. Lett 110, 190501 – Published 6 May 2013 Guanru Feng, Guofu Xu, and Guilu Long

G_{24} : Category one of successful realizations of these universal elementary gates in nonadiabatic holonomic quantum computation

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of experimental feasibility of this quantum computing paradigm

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

Erik Sjöqvist et al develop a

non-adiabatic generalization of holonomic quantum computation in (eb) which high-speed universal quantum gates can be realized using (e) non-Abelian geometric phases

G_{28} : Category one of non-adiabatic generalization of holonomic quantum computation

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of high-speed universal quantum gates can be realized using (e) non-Abelian geometric phases

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

non-adiabatic generalization of holonomic quantum computation in which high-speed universal quantum gates can be realized using (e) non-Abelian geometric phases

G_{32} : Category one of non-Abelian geometric phases

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of non-adiabatic generalization of holonomic quantum computation in which high-speed universal quantum gates can be realized

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

In amplification, they demonstrate how a

Set of non-adiabatic holonomic one- and two-qubit gates can be implemented by utilizing (e) optical transitions in a generic three-level Λ configuration.

G_{36} : Category one of optical transitions in a generic three-level Λ configuration.

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of set of non-adiabatic holonomic one- and two-qubit gates can be implemented

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

Scheme opens up wide range of amplitudinal ramifications of the possibility of realizing universal holonomic quantum computation on (e&eb) qubits characterized by short coherence time

. **Erik Sjöqvist et al 2012 New J. Phys. 14 103035 doi:10.1088/1367-2630/14/10/103035 Non-adiabatic holonomic quantum computation**

G_{40} : Category one of Scheme opens up wide range of amplitudinal ramifications of the possibility of realizing universal holonomic quantum computation; qubits characterized by short coherence time

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of qubits characterized by short coherence time; Scheme opens up wide range of amplitudinal ramifications of the possibility of realizing universal holonomic quantum computation

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

J. Dukelsky, S. Pittel, and G. Sierra Nicolas Curien presents a way to study the

conformal structure of (e) random planar maps

G_{44} : Category one of random planar maps

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of conformal structure

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}, \ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$

$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$
$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$
$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$
$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$
$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$
$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$
$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$
$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$
$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$
$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$
$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$
$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$
$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$
$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$
$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$
$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$
$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,

2 and 3

$[-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)]$, $[-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)]$, $[-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)]$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)}[+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)] & [+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)] & [+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)] \\ [+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)] & [+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)] & [+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)] \\ [+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)] & [+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)] & [+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)] \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}[+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)] & [+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)] & [+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)] \\ [+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)] & [+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)] & [+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)] \\ [+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)] & [+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)] & [+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)] \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}[+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)] & [+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)] & [+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)] \\ [+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)] & [+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)] & [+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)] \\ [+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)] & [+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)] & [+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)] \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $[+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)]$, $[+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)]$, $[+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)]$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$[+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)]$, $[+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)]$, $[+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)]$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$[+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)]$, $[+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)]$, $[+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)]$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$[+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)]$, $[+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)]$, $[+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)]$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$[+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)]$, $[+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)]$, $[+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)]$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$[+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)]$, $[+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)]$, $[+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)]$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$[+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)]$, $[+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)]$, $[+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)]$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$[+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)]$, $[+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)]$, $[+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)]$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$[+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)]$, $[+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)]$, $[+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)]$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}[-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)] & [-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)] & [-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)] \\ [-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)] & [-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)] & [-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)] \\ [-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)] & [-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)] & [-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)] \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}[-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)] & [-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)] & [-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)] \\ [-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)] & [-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)] & [-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)] \\ [-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)] & [-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)] & [-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)] \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad 67$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} & -(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} & -(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt}=(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{24})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}=(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{25})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt}=(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{26})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt}=(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{24})^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt}=(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{25})^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation

coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3
$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad (84)$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} \quad 85$$

$$- \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad 86$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} & \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} & \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{36}')^{(7)}\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{37}')^{(7)}\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{38}')^{(7)}\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{40}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{41}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{42}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\,-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\,-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\,-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = \ (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\,(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\,(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \qquad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - \ T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\,(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\,(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) \le (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) = (p_i)^{(3)}$ 113

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$ satisfy the inequalities 116

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24{,}25{,}26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})',t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28{,}29{,}30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(A) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

(B) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \le (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(C) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(D)

$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}),t\big) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(E) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(F) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ 138

$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ 139

$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$,
Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad (146A)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + \ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 \ , \ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(a) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)} =$$
$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$
$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$

$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$

$G_{13}^{(2)}|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq$ 186

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+\ (a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\ (t)=0\ and\ T_i\ (t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$G_i\ (t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(1)}-(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}),s_{(13)})\}ds_{(13)}\right]}\geq 0$

$T_i\ (t)\geq T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)}>0\ \ \text{for t}>0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13}<(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1-(a'_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}$ and by integrating

$G_{14}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2=G_{14}^0+2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a'_{14})^{(1)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{15}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3=G_{15}^0+2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a'_{15})^{(1)}$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If $G_{13}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}ds_{(16)} +$

$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|\mathrm{e}^{-(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathrm{d}\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ and $(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $\mathrm{G}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{T}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) = 0$ 200

it results

$\mathrm{G}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{G}_i^0\mathrm{e}^{\left[-\int_0^{\mathrm{t}}\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}\mathrm{d}s_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$\mathrm{T}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{T}_i^0\mathrm{e}^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}\mathrm{t})} > 0$ for $\mathrm{t} > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$\mathrm{G}_{16} < (\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{\mathrm{dG}_{17}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{17}$ and by integrating

$\mathrm{G}_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = \mathrm{G}_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$\mathrm{G}_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = \mathrm{G}_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{\mathrm{t}\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}\,((G_{19})(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{t})) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $\mathrm{T}_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(3)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$

$sup_i\{max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{35})}, \widetilde{(T_{35})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{35})}, \widetilde{(T_{35})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(7)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44{,}45{,}46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(1)}, (v_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(v_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad (333)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad (334)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad (335)$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad (336)$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G^0_{30} e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad (378)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad (379)$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad (380)$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- (381)

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 (382)

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{36}'')^{(7)} = (a_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{36}'')^{(7)} = (b_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a_{40}')^{(8)} - (a_{41}')^{(8)} + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right) - (a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\ ]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\ ]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\ ]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

(586 A)

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\ B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION TWO

Adiabatic Loops In The Control Induce Non Trivial Unitary Transformations On The Computational Space

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Holonomic Quantum Computation Paolo Zanardi 1,2 and Mario Rasetti 1,3

(1) **Paolo Zanardi 1, 2 and Mario Rasetti 1** show that the notion of generalized Berry phase i.e., non-abelian holonomy, can be used for enabling (eb) quantum computation.

(2) The computational space is realized by (e) an n-fold degenerate eigenspace of a family of Hamiltonians parametrized by (e) a manifold M.

(3) The point of M represents (eb) classical configuration of (e) control fields and, for multi-partite systems, couplings between (e&eb) subsystems

(4) Adiabatic loops in the control M induce (eb) non trivial unitary transformations on (eb) the computational space.

(5) For a generic system it is shown that this mechanism allows (eb) for universal quantum computation by (e) composing a generic pair of loops in M. **Holonomic Quantum Computation Paolo Zanardi 1,2 and Mario Rasetti 1,3**

(6) **A Wu, P. Zanardi, and D. A. Lidar** show how to realize, by means of (e) non-Abelian quantum holonomies, (eb) a set of universal quantum gates acting on (e&eb) decoherence-free subspaces and subsystems.

(7) In this manner there are possibilities of bringing together the quantum coherence stabilization virtues of decoherence-free subspaces and (e&eb) the fault tolerance of all-geometric holonomic control.

NOTATION

Module One

The main idea is to explore the map along an SLE (Schramm–Loewner evolution) process of parameter κ=6 and to combine the

Locality property of the SLE6 together with the (e&eb) spatial Markov property of the underlying lattice in order to get (eb) non-trivial geometric information.

G_{13} : Category one of locality property of the SLE6; spatial Markov property of the underlying lattice in order to get (eb) a non-trivial geometric information

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of spatial Markov property of the underlying lattice in order to get (eb) a non-trivial geometric information ;locality property of the SLE6

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

Locality property of the SLE6 together with the spatial Markov property of the underlying lattice in order to get (eb) non-trivial geometric information.

G_{16} : Category one of Locality property of the SLE6 together with the spatial Markov property of the underlying lattice

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of non-trivial geometric information

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

Paolo Zanardi 1, 2 and Mario Rasetti 1 show that the

notion of generalized Berry phase i.e., non-abelian holonomy, can be used for enabling quantum computation

G_{20} : Category one of notion of generalized Berry phase i.e., non-abelian holonomy

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of enabling quantum computation

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

The

computational space is realized by (e) an n-fold degenerate eigenspace of a family of Hamiltonians parametrized by (e) a manifold M

G_{24} : Category one of n-fold degenerate eigenspace of a family of Hamiltonians parametrized by (e) a manifold M

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of computational space is realized

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

computational space is realized by an n-fold degenerate eigenspace of a family of Hamiltonians parametrized by (e) a manifold M

G_{28} : Category one of manifold M

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of computational space is realized by an n-fold degenerate eigenspace of a family of Hamiltonians parametrized

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

The

point of M represents (eb) classical configuration of (e) control fields and, for multi-partite systems, couplings between (e&eb) subsystems

G_{32} : Category one of point of M

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of classical configuration of (e) control fields and, for multi-partite systems, couplings between (e&eb) subsystems

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

point of M represents classical configuration of (e) control fields and, for multi-partite systems, couplings between (e&eb) subsystems

G_{36} : Category one of control fields and, for multi-partite systems, couplings between (e&eb) subsystems

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of point of M represents classical configuration

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

point of M represents classical configuration of control fields and, for multi-partite systems, couplings between (e&eb) subsystems

G_{40} : Category one of point of M represents classical configuration of control fields and, for multi-partite systems, couplings; subsystems

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of subsystems ;point of M represents classical configuration of control fields and, for multi-partite systems, couplings

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

In this manner there are possibilities of bringing together the

Quantum coherence stabilization virtues of decoherence-free subspaces and (e&eb) the fault tolerances of all-geometric holonomic control.

G_{44} : Category one of quantum coherence stabilization virtues of decoherence-free subspaces; fault tolerances of all-geometric holonomic control.

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of fault tolerances of all-geometric holonomic control.; quantum coherence stabilization virtues of decoherence-free subspaces

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \qquad 1$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**
$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**
Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$
$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$
$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$
$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$
$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$
$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**
$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**
Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$
$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$
$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$
$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$
$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$
$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**
Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$
$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$
$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$
$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$
$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$
$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**
Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$
$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$
$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$
$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$
$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$
$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$
$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$
$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)} & +(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)} & +(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition

coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)} & +(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)} & +(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)} & +(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for

category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} & -(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} & -(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} & +(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} & +(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$

are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{28}')^{(5)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{29}')^{(5)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{30}')^{(5)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{30} \quad (81)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
And $\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3
$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$\boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8\,,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation

coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} \quad 85$$

$$- \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & +(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)} & +(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad 86$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{36}')^{(7)}+(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{37}')^{(7)}+(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{38}')^{(7)}+(a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{45})^{(9)} \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{46})^{(9)} \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{44})^{(9)} \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b'_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G', t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$. (T_{14}', t) and (T_{14}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(\mathrm{p_i})^{(2)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}, \ (\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\,(G_{23},t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\,(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\,(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$

$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \le \ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}\,(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)}$ 118

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\,\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}\,(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}\,(G_{31}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34$$ 127

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}\,(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$$ 128

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\,\big((G_{35}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(G) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38$ 131

(H) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(I) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(J)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(K) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(L) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\,(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\,(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) \le (p_i)^{(8)} \le (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) \le (r_i)^{(8)} \le (b_i')^{(8)} \le (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \quad 140$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \quad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}|T_{41} - T_{41}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})',t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$. (T_{41}',t) and (T_{41},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \quad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \quad 146A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) \le (p_i)^{(9)} \le (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) \le (r_i)^{(9)} \le (b_i')^{(9)} \le (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45} - T_{45}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \;,\quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \;,\quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \;,\quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \;,\quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}$, $T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}$, 155

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 156

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 157

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''^{(2)}_{16}\right)\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)}\right)\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''^{(3)}_{20}\right)\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad (169)$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (170)

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that (171)

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (172)

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that (173)

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (174)

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(b) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)}=$$
$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)}= \quad 180$$
$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \qquad 186$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+\ (a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\ (t)=0\ and\ T_i\ (t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\ (t)\geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(1)}-(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}),s_{(13)})\}ds_{(13)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$$T_i\ (t)\geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13}<(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1-(a'_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2=G_{14}^0+2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3=G_{15}^0+2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|\mathrm{e}^{-(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathrm{d}\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ and $(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $\mathrm{G}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{T}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) = 0$ 200

it results

$\mathrm{G}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{G}_i^0\mathrm{e}^{\left[-\int_0^{\mathrm{t}}\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}\mathrm{d}s_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$\mathrm{T}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{T}_i^0\mathrm{e}^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}\mathrm{t})} > 0$ for $\mathrm{t} > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$\mathrm{G}_{16} < (\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{\mathrm{dG}_{17}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{17}$ and by integrating

$\mathrm{G}_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = \mathrm{G}_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$\mathrm{G}_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = \mathrm{G}_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{\mathrm{t}\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}\,((G_{19})(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{t})) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $\mathrm{T}_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(3)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)}; (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37} \; and \; G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44{,}45{,}46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G^0_{30} e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)},(\nu_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},\nu^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \le u^{(2)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(b) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \quad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \quad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\ B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION THREE

Holonomic Quantum Computation In Decoherence-Free Subspaces

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Holonomic Quantum Computation in Decoherence-Free Subspaces Phys. Rev. Let 95, 130501 – Published 22 September 2005 L.-A Wu, P. Zanardi, and D. A. Lidar

(1) They deliberate and discuss the implementation of this scheme in the context of (e) quantum information processing using (e) trapped ions and quantum dots. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.130501 **Holonomic Quantum Computation in Decoherence-Free Subspaces Phys. Rev. Let 95, 130501 – Published 22 September 2005 L.-A**

Wu, P. Zanardi, and D. A. Lidar

(2) Among the many proposals for the realization of a quantum computer, holonomic quantum computation is distinguished from (e&eb) the rest as it is geometrical in nature and thus expected to be robust against decoherence.

(3) Here **Antti O. Niskanen, Mikio Nakahara, and Martti M. Salomaa** analyze the realization of various quantum gates by (e) solving the inverse problem:

(4) Given a unitary matrix, they develop (eb) formalism by (e) which concomitant loops in the parameter space generating this matrix as a holonomy could be found.

(5) They demonstrate that such a one-qubit gate as (=) the Hadamard gate and such two-qubit gates as (=) the controlled-NOT gate and the SWAP gate, and the discrete Fourier transformation can be obtained with (e&eb) a single loop. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.67.012319 **Realization of arbitrary gates in holonomic quantum computation Phys. Rev. A 67, 012319 – Published 29 January 2003 Antti O. Niskanen, Mikio Nakahara, and Martti M. Salomaa**

NOTATION

Module One

They deliberate and discuss the

Implementation of this scheme in the context of (e) quantum information processing using (e) trapped ions and quantum dots.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.95.130501 **Holonomic Quantum Computation in Decoherence-Free Subspaces Phys. Rev. Let 95, 130501 – Published 22 September 2005 L.-A Wu, P. Zanardi, and D. A. Lidar**

G_{13} : Category one of trapped ions and quantum dots

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of Implementation of this scheme in the context quantum information processing

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

Among the many proposals for the realization of a quantum computer,

holonomic quantum computation is distinguished from (e&eb) the rest as it is geometrical in nature and thus expected to be robust against decoherence

G_{16} : Category one of holonomic quantum computation; rest as it is geometrical in nature and thus expected to be robust against decoherence

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of rest as it is geometrical in nature and thus expected to be robust against decoherence;

holonomic quantum computation

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

holonomic quantum computation is distinguished from the rest as it is geometrical in nature and thus expected to be robust against decoherence

G_{20} : Category one of holonomic quantum computation is distinguished from the rest as it is geometrical in nature

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of robust against decoherence

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

Here **Antti O. Niskanen, Mikio Nakahara, and Martti M. Salomaa** analyze the

realization of various quantum gates by (e) solving the inverse problem

G_{24} : Category one of solving the inverse problem

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of realization of various quantum gates

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

Given a unitary matrix, they develop (eb) formalism by (e) which concomitant loops in the parameter space generating this matrix as a holonomy could be found

G_{28} : Category one of Given a unitary matrix

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of formalism by (e) which concomitant loops in the parameter space generating this matrix as a holonomy could be found

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

formalism given the unitary matrix by (e) which concomitant loops in the parameter space generating this matrix as a holonomy could be found

G_{32} : Category one of formalism given the unitary matrix

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of concomitant loops in the parameter space generating this matrix as a holonomy could be found

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

They demonstrate that such a

One-qubit gate as (=) the Hadamard gate and such two-qubit gates as (=) the controlled-NOT gate and the SWAP gate, and the discrete Fourier transformation can be obtained with (e&eb) a single loop.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.67.012319 **Realization of arbitrary gates in holonomic quantum computation Phys. Rev. A 67, 012319 – Published 29 January 2003 Antti O. Niskanen, Mikio Nakahara, and Martti M. Salomaa**

G_{36} : Category one of one-qubit gate

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of Hadamard gate and such two-qubit gates as (=) the controlled-NOT gate and the SWAP gate, and the discrete Fourier transformation can be obtained with (e&eb) a single loop

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

One-qubit gate as the Hadamard gate and such two-qubit gates as (=) the controlled-NOT gate and the SWAP gate, and the discrete Fourier transformation can be obtained with (e&eb) a single loop

G_{40} : Category one of One-qubit gate as the Hadamard gate and such two-qubit gates

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of controlled-NOT gate and the SWAP gate, and the discrete Fourier transformation can be obtained with (e&eb) a single loop

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

One-qubit gate as the Hadamard gate and such two-qubit gates as the controlled-NOT gate and the SWAP gate, and the discrete Fourier transformation can be obtained with (e&eb) a single loop

G_{44} : Category one of One-qubit gate as the Hadamard gate and such two-qubit gates as the controlled-NOT gate and the SWAP gate, and the discrete Fourier transformation; single loop (Note for more that one qubit gate more than one loop could have to be used)

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of single loop ;One-qubit gate as the Hadamard gate and such two-qubit gates as the controlled-NOT gate and the SWAP gate, and the discrete Fourier transformation

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}, \ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$

are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation

coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\left[-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\left[-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\left[-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} & -(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)} & +(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad 67$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)} & +(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)} & +(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} & -(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad 70$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} & -(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad 71$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad 72$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt}=(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{24})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}=(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{25})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt}=(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{26})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt}=(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{24})^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt}=(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{25})^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation

coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3
$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} \quad 85$$

$$- \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad 86$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a_{40}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a_{41}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a_{42}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = \ (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\,(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\,(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \qquad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - \ T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\,(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\,(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) \le (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad (113)$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (114)

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: (115)

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with (116)
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \quad (117)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})',t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - \ T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(M) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

(N) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$

$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \leq (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ 132

(O) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(P)

$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}),t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(Q) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(R) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ 138

$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ 139

$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}$ are positive constants and $i = 40,41,42$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$,
Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} [(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} [(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad 146\ A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + \ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 \ , \ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad (169)$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (170)

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that (171)

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (172)

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that (173)

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (174)

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(c) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$

$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T} : (\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on G(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. (188)

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on $(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right) \quad (248)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also G_{33} *and* G_{34} . indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\,((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$$ 267

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$$ 268

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44{,}45{,}46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G^0_{30} e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \qquad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \qquad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \qquad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{36}'')^{(7)} = (a_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{36}'')^{(7)} = (b_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a_{40}')^{(8)} - (a_{41}')^{(8)} + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(c) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^{*} given by $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$, T_{14}^{*} given by $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \qquad 513$$

$$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \qquad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \qquad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^{*}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^{*}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\ B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION FOUR

Non-Abelian Holonomic Quantum Gates

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Robustness of non-Abelian holonomic quantum gates against parametric noise Phys. Rev. A 70, 042316 – Published 29 October 2004 Paolo Solinas, Paolo Zanardi, and Nino Zanghì

(1) This result can be interpreted as a consequence of (e) the geometric nature of the holonomic operator.

(2) Simulations have been performed by using (e) parameters relevant to the excitonic proposal for the implementation of (e) holonomic quantum computation [P. Solinas et al., Phys. Rev. B 67, 121307

(2003)]. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.042316 **Robustness of non-Abelian holonomic quantum gates against parametric noise Phys. Rev. A 70, 042316 – Published 29 October 2004 Paolo Solinas, Paolo Zanardi, and Nino Zanghì**

(3) Holonomic Quantum Computation (HQC) is (=) an all-geometrical approach to quantum information processing.
(4) In the HQC strategy information is encoded in (eb) degenerate eigen-spaces of (e) a parametric family of Hamiltonians.
(5) The computational network of unitary quantum gates is realized by (e) driving adiabatically the Hamiltonian parameters along loops in a control manifold.
(6) By properly designing such loops the nontrivial curvature of the underlying bundle geometry gives rise to (eb) unitary transformations i.e., holonomies that implement (eb) the desired unitary transformations.
(7) Conditions necessary for universal QC are stated in terms of (e&eb) the curvature associated to the (e&eb) non-abelian gauge potential (connection) over the control manifold.
(8) In view of their geometrical nature the holonomic gates are (=) robust against several kinds of perturbations and imperfections.
(9) This fact along with the adiabatic fashion in which gates are performed makes in principle HQC an (=) appealing way towards universal fault-tolerant QC. **JIANNIS PACHOS and PAOLO ZANARDI, Int. J. Mod Phys. B 15, 1257 (2001) DOI: 10.1142/S0217979201004836 QUANTUM HOLONOMIES FOR QUANTUM COMPUTING**

NOTATION

Module One.

(1) **Paolo Solinas, Paolo Zanardi, and Nino Zanghì** presents a numerical study of (e) the robustness of a specific class of (e) non-Abelian holonomic quantum gates.
(2) They take into account the parametric noise due to (e) stochastic fluctuations of (e) the control fields which drive (eb) the time-dependent Hamiltonian along an adiabatic loop.
(3) The performance estimator used is (=) the state fidelity between noiseless and (e&eb) noisy holonomic gates.
(4) They carry over analysis with different correlation times and find out that noisy holonomic gates seem to be (=) close to the noiseless ones for "short" and "long" noise correlation times.

Paolo Solinas, Paolo Zanardi, and Nino Zanghì presents a

numerical study of (e) the robustness of a specific class of (e) non-Abelian holonomic quantum gates

G_{13} : Category one of robustness of a specific class of (e) non-Abelian holonomic quantum gates

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of numerical study

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

numerical study of the robustness of a specific class of (e) non-Abelian holonomic quantum gates

G_{16} : Category one of non-Abelian holonomic quantum gates

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of numerical study of the robustness of a specific class

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

They take into account the

parametric noise due to (e) stochastic fluctuations of (e) the control fields which drive (eb) the time-dependent Hamiltonian along an adiabatic loop

G_{20} : Category one of stochastic fluctuations of (e) the control fields which drive (eb) the time-dependent Hamiltonian along an adiabatic loop

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of parametric noise

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

parametric noise due to stochastic fluctuations of (e) the control fields which drive (eb) the time-dependent Hamiltonian along an adiabatic loop

G_{24} : Category one of control fields which drive (eb) the time-dependent Hamiltonian along an adiabatic loop

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of parametric noise due to stochastic fluctuations

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

parametric noise due to stochastic fluctuations of the control fields which drive (eb) the time-dependent Hamiltonian along an adiabatic loop

G_{28} : Category one of parametric noise due to stochastic fluctuations of the control fields

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of time-dependent Hamiltonian along an adiabatic loop

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

The

performance estimator used is (=) the state fidelity between noiseless and (e&eb) noisy holonomic gates

G_{32} : Category one of performance estimator used

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of state fidelity between noiseless and (e&eb) noisy holonomic gates

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

performance estimator used is the state fidelity between noiseless and (e&eb) noisy holonomic gates

G_{36} : Category one of performance estimator used is the state fidelity between noiseless; noisy holonomic gates

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of noisy holonomic gates ;performance estimator used is the state fidelity between noiseless

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

They carry over analysis with different correlation times and find out that

Noisy holonomic gates seem to be (=) close to the noiseless ones for “short” and “long” noise correlation

times.

G_{40} : Category one of Noisy holonomic gates

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of noiseless ones for "short" and "long" noise correlation times.

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

"Short" and "long" noise correlation times.

G_{44} : Category one of "short" noise correlation time ; "long" noise correlation time

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of "long" noise correlation time; "short" noise correlation time;

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) \quad +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right]G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) \quad +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right]G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) \quad +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right]G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;\; +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;\; +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;\; +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;\; +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;\; +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;\; +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;\; +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;\; +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;\; +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;\; +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;\; +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;\; +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;\; +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;\; +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;\; +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;\; +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;\; +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;\; +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\left[-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\left[-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\left[-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} & +(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} & +(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)} & +(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ *are fourth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ *are fifth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ *are sixth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ *are seventh augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ *are eighth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

$Where\ \boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}\ are\ first\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\ are\ second\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\ are\ third\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$

$are\ fourth\ \ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$

$are\ fifth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$

$are\ sixth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$

$are\ seventh\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$

$are\ eighth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{28}')^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{29}')^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{30}')^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{30} \quad (81)$$

$Where\ \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ are\ first\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$And\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ are\ second\ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\ are\ third\ \ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} \quad 85$$

$$- \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad 86$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{40}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{41}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{42}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\,-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\,-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\,-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)}$ 98

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = \ (r_i)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + \ (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)}$ 102

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - \ T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\,(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\,(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) \le (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) = (p_i)^{(3)}$ 113

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24{,}25{,}26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})',t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28{,}29{,}30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - \ T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(S) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

(T) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \le (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(U) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(V)

$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}),t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(W) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(X) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ 138

$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ 139

$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$,
Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} [(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} [(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad 146\ A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + \ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$, $G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$, $G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 \ , \ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad (169)$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (170)

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that (171)

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (172)

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that (173)

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (174)

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(d) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$

$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} \} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on G(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. (188)

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on (G_{39})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\,((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)})|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}|(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)})-(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)})|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)})d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\,(G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)}t)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)})_1,((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)})_2$ *and* $((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)})_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (v_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (v_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (v_0)^{(1)} < (v_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (v_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (v_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (v_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G^0_{30} e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

406

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

407

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\ ]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\ ]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\ ]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(d) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \quad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \quad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^{*}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^{*}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\ B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION FIVE

Quantum Holonomies And Quantum Computing

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Robustness of non-Abelian holonomic quantum gates against parametric noise Phys. Rev. A 70, 042316 – Published 29 October 2004 Paolo Solinas, Paolo Zanardi, and Nino Zanghì

(1) Noisy holonomic gates seem to be close to the noiseless ones for “short” and “long” noise correlation times This result can be interpreted as a consequence of (e) the geometric nature of the holonomic operator.

(2) Simulations have been performed by using (e) parameters relevant to the excitonic proposal for the

implementation of (e) holonomic quantum computation [P. Solinas et al., Phys. Rev. B 67, 121307 (2003)]. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.042316 **Robustness of non-Abelian holonomic quantum gates against parametric noise Phys. Rev. A 70, 042316 – Published 29 October 2004 Paolo Solinas, Paolo Zanardi, and Nino Zanghì**

(3) Holonomic Quantum Computation (HQC) is (=) an all-geometrical approach to quantum information processing.

(4) In the HQC strategy information is encoded in (eb) degenerate eigen-spaces of (e) a parametric family of Hamiltonians.

(5) The computational network of unitary quantum gates is realized by (e) driving adiabatically the Hamiltonian parameters along loops in a control manifold.

(6) By properly designing such loops the nontrivial curvature of the underlying bundle geometry gives rise to (eb) unitary transformations i.e., holonomies that implement (eb) the desired unitary transformations.

(7) Conditions necessary for universal QC are stated in terms of (e&eb) the curvature associated to the (e&eb) non-abelian gauge potential (connection) over the control manifold.

(8) In view of their geometrical nature the holonomic gates are (=) robust against several kinds of perturbations and imperfections.

(9) This fact along with the adiabatic fashion in which gates are performed makes in principle HQC an (=) appealing way towards universal fault-tolerant QC. **JIANNIS PACHOS and PAOLO ZANARDI, Int. J. Mod Phys. B 15, 1257 (2001) DOI: 10.1142/S0217979201004836 QUANTUM HOLONOMIES FOR QUANTUM COMPUTING**

NOTATION

Module One

Noisy holonomic gates seem to be close to the noiseless ones for "short" and "long" noise correlation times This result can be interpreted as a consequence of (e) the geometric nature of the holonomic operator.

G_{13} : Category one of geometric nature of the holonomic operator.

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of Noisy holonomic gates seem to be close to the noiseless ones for "short" and "long" noise correlation times

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

Simulations have been performed by using (e) parameters relevant to the excitonic proposal for the implementation of (e) holonomic quantum computation

[P. Solinas et al., Phys. Rev. B 67, 121307 (2003)]. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.70.042316 **Robustness of non-Abelian holonomic quantum gates against parametric noise Phys. Rev. A 70, 042316 – Published 29 October 2004 Paolo Solinas, Paolo Zanardi, and Nino Zanghì**

G_{16} : Category one of parameters relevant to the excitonic proposal for the implementation of (e) holonomic quantum computation

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of Simulations have been performed

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

Simulations have been performed by using parameters relevant to the excitonic proposal for the implementation of (e) holonomic quantum computation

G_{20} : Category one of holonomic quantum computation

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of Simulations have been performed by using parameters relevant to the excitonic proposal for the implementation

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

Holonomic Quantum Computation (HQC) is (=) an all-geometrical approach to quantum information processing

G_{24} : Category one of Holonomic Quantum Computation (HQC)

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of all-geometrical approach to quantum information processing

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

In the

HQC strategy information is encoded in (eb) degenerate eigen-spaces of (e) a parametric family of Hamiltonians

G_{28} : Category one of HQC strategy information is encoded

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of degenerate eigen-spaces of (e) a parametric family of Hamiltonians

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

HQC strategy information is encoded in degenerate eigen-spaces of (e) a parametric family of Hamiltonians

G_{32} : Category one of parametric family of Hamiltonians

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of HQC strategy information is encoded in degenerate eigen-spaces

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

The

computational network of unitary quantum gates is realized by (e) driving adiabatically the Hamiltonian parameters along loops in a control manifold

G_{36} : Category one of driving adiabatically the Hamiltonian parameters along loops in a control manifold

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of computational network of unitary quantum gates

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

By properly designing such loops the nontrivial curvature of the underlying bundle geometry gives rise to (eb) unitary transformations i.e., holonomies that implement (eb) the desired unitary transformations

G_{40} : Category one of proper design of such loops the nontrivial curvature of the underlying bundle geometry

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of unitary transformations i.e., holonomies that implement (eb) the desired unitary transformations

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

proper design of such loops the nontrivial curvature of the underlying bundle geometry gives rise to unitary transformations i.e., holonomies that implement (eb) the desired unitary transformations

G_{44} : Category one of proper design of such loops the nontrivial curvature of the underlying bundle geometry gives rise to unitary transformations i.e., holonomies

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of desired unitary transformations

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},\ \ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}\ ,$
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{20})^{(3)}\,+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{21})^{(3)}\,+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{22})^{(3)}\,+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\left[-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\left[-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\left[-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} & +(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} & +(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)} & +(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ *are fourth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ *are fifth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ *are sixth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ *are seventh augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ *are eighth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

$Where\ \boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}\ are\ first\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\ are\ second\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\ are\ third\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$

$are\ fourth\ \ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$

$are\ fifth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$

$are\ sixth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$

$are\ seventh\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$

$are\ eighth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{28}')^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{29}')^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{30}')^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{30} \quad (81)$$

$Where\ \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ are\ first\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$And\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ are\ second\ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\ are\ third\ \ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{28}')^{(5)} & -(b_{28}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{29}')^{(5)} & -(b_{29}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{30}')^{(5)} & -(b_{30}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b_{28}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} \quad 85$$

$$-\left[\begin{matrix}(a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{33} \quad 86$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[\begin{matrix}(b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[\begin{matrix}(b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

94

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{36}')^{(7)}\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{37}')^{(7)}\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{38}')^{(7)}\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a_{40}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a_{41}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a_{42}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = \ (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\,(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\,(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \qquad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - \ T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\,(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\,(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) \le (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) = (p_i)^{(3)}$ 113

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})',t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(Y) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

(Z) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \le (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(AA) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(BB)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}),t\big) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(CC) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(DD) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ 138

$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ 139

$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$,
Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad (146A)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + \ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 \ , \ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(e) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$

$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$

$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$

$G_{13}^{(2)}|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+\ (a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13,14,15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\ (t)=0\ and\ T_i\ (t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$G_i\ (t)\geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(1)}-(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}),s_{(13)})\}ds_{(13)}\right]}\geq 0$

$T_i\ (t)\geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13}<(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1-(a'_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}$ and by integrating

$G_{14}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2=G_{14}^0+2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a'_{14})^{(1)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{15}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3=G_{15}^0+2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a'_{15})^{(1)}$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If $G_{13}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. (188)

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}ds_{(16)} +$

$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|\mathrm{e}^{-(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathrm{d}\left(((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)};\ (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ and $(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $\mathrm{G}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{T}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) = 0$ 200

it results

$\mathrm{G}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{G}_i^0\mathrm{e}^{\left[-\int_0^{\mathrm{t}}\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}\mathrm{d}s_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$\mathrm{T}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{T}_i^0\mathrm{e}^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}\mathrm{t})} > 0$ for $\mathrm{t} > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$\mathrm{G}_{16} < (\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{\mathrm{dG}_{17}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{17}$ and by integrating

$\mathrm{G}_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = \mathrm{G}_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$\mathrm{G}_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = \mathrm{G}_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{\mathrm{t}\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}\,((G_{19})(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{t})) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $\mathrm{T}_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(3)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+\ (a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(1)}, (v_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(v_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G^0_{30} e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(e) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^{*} given by $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$, T_{14}^{*} given by $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \qquad 513$$

$$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \qquad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \qquad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION SIX

Schmidt Eigenvalues For Dynamical Formation Of Entanglement

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Exact Formula of the Distribution of Schmidt Eigenvalues for Dynamical Formation of Entanglement in Quantum Chaos Phys. Rev. Lett 100, 240501 – Published 17 June 2008

(1) The exact formula of the one-level distribution of (e) the Schmidt eigenvalues is obtained for (e) dynamical formation of entanglement in (eb) quantum chaos.
(2) The formula is based on (e) the random matrix theory of (e) the fixed-trace ensemble, and is derived using (e) the theory of the holonomic system of differential equations.

(3) **Hiroto Kubotani, Satoshi Adachi, and Mikito Toda** confirms that the formula describes (eb) the universality of the distribution of the Schmidt eigenvalues in quantum chaos. **Exact Formula of the Distribution of Schmidt Eigenvalues for Dynamical Formation of Entanglement in Quantum Chaos Phys. Rev. Lett 100, 240501 – Published 17 June 2008**

(4) **Hiroto Kubotani, Satoshi Adachi, and Mikito Toda Robbert Dijkgraaf Cumrun Vafa** point out two extensions of (e) the relation between matrix models (e&eb) topological strings and $\mathcal{N} = 1$supersymmetric gauge theories

(5) First, they note that by considering double scaling limits of (e) unitary matrix models one can obtain (eb) large-N duals of the local Calabi–Yau geometries that engineer (e&eb) $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories.

(6) In particular, a double scaling limit of the Gross–Witten one-plaquette lattice model gives (eb) the SU (2) Seiberg–Witten solution, including (e) its induced gravitational corrections.

(7) Secondly, they point out that the effective superpotential terms for (e) $\mathcal{N} = 1$ADE quiver gauge theories is similarly computed by (e) large-N multi-matrix models, that have been considered in (eb) the context of ADE minimal models on (eb) random surfaces.

(8) The associated spectral curves are (=) multiple branched covers obtained as (=) Virasoro and W-constraints of the partition function. Copyright © 2002 Published by Elsevier B.V. **Nuclear Physics B Volume 644, Issues 1–2, 11 November 2002, Pages 21–39 On geometry and matrix models Robbert Dijkgraaf Cumrun Vafa Doi: 10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00764-2**

NOTATION

Module One

(1) Conditions necessary for universal QC are stated in terms of (e&eb) the curvature associated to the (e&eb) non-abelian gauge potential (connection) over the control manifold.

(2) In view of their geometrical nature the holonomic gates are (=) robust against several kinds of perturbations and imperfections.

(3) This fact along with the adiabatic fashion in which gates are performed makes in principle HQC an (=) appealing way towards universal fault-tolerant QC.

JIANNIS PACHOS and PAOLO ZANARDI, Int. J. Mod Phys. B 15, 1257 (2001) DOI: 10.1142/S0217979201004836 QUANTUM HOLONOMIES FOR QUANTUM COMPUTING

Conditions necessary for universal QC are stated in terms of the curvature associated to the (e&eb) non-abelian gauge potential (connection) over the control manifold

G_{13} : Category one of Conditions necessary for universal QC; curvature associated to the (e&eb) non-abelian gauge potential (connection) over the control manifold

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of curvature associated to the (e&eb) non-abelian gauge potential (connection) over the control manifold ;Conditions necessary for universal QC

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

Conditions necessary for universal QC are stated in terms of the curvature associated to the (e&eb) non-abelian gauge potential (connection) over the control manifold

G_{16} : Category one of Conditions necessary for universal QC are stated in terms of the curvature; non-abelian gauge potential (connection) over the control manifold

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of non-abelian gauge potential (connection) over the control manifold ;Conditions necessary for universal QC are stated in terms of the curvature

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

In view of their

geometrical nature the holonomic gates are (=) robust against several kinds of perturbations and imperfections

G_{20} : Category one of geometrical nature the holonomic gates

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of robust against several kinds of perturbations and imperfections

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

This fact along with the adiabatic fashion in which gates are performed makes in principle HQC an appealing way towards universal fault-tolerant QC.

geometrical nature the holonomic gates are robust against several kinds of perturbations and imperfections

G_{24} : Category one of adiabatic fashion in which gates are performed; geometrical nature the holonomic gates are robust against several kinds of perturbations and imperfections

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of geometrical nature the holonomic gates are robust against several kinds of perturbations and imperfections ;adiabatic fashion in which gates are performed

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

The

exact formula of the one-level distribution of (e) the Schmidt eigenvalues is obtained for (e) dynamical formation of entanglement in (eb) quantum chaos

G_{28} : Category one of Schmidt eigenvalues is obtained for (e) dynamical formation of entanglement in (eb) quantum chaos

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of exact formula of the one-level distribution

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

exact formula of the one-level distribution of the Schmidt eigenvalues is obtained for (e) dynamical formation of entanglement in (eb) quantum chaos

G_{32} : Category one of dynamical formation of entanglement in (eb) quantum chaos

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of exact formula of the one-level distribution of the Schmidt eigenvalues

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

exact formula of the one-level distribution of the Schmidt eigenvalues is obtained for dynamical formation of entanglement in (eb) quantum chaos

G_{36} : Category one of exact formula of the one-level distribution of the Schmidt eigenvalues is obtained for dynamical formation of entanglement

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of quantum chaos

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

The

Formula is based on (e) the random matrix theory of (e) the fixed-trace ensemble, and is derived using (e) the theory of the holonomic system of differential equations

G_{40} : Category one of random matrix theory of (e) the fixed-trace ensemble, and is derived using (e) the theory of the holonomic system of differential equations

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of Formula

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

Formula is based on the random matrix theory of the fixed-trace ensemble, and is derived using (e) the theory of the holonomic system of differential equations

G_{44} : Category one of theory of the holonomic system of differential equations

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of Formula is based on the random matrix theory of the fixed-trace ensemble

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}, \ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)} \ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$
$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$
$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$
$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{22}$ 18

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24}$ 19

$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25}$ 20

$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26}$ 21

$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{24}$ 22

$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{25}$ 23

$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{26}$ 24

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28}$ 25

$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29}$ 26

$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30}$ 27

$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28}$ 28

$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29}$ 29

$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30}$ 30

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32}$ 31

$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33}$ 32

$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34}$ 33

$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{32}$ 34

$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{33}$ 35

$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{34}$ 36

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36}$ 37

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$
$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$
$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$
$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$
$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$
$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$
$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$
$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$
$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$
$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$
$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$
$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$
$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for

category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt}=(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{16})^{(2)} & +(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt}=(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{17})^{(2)} & +(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt}=(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{18})^{(2)} & +(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt}=(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients

for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} & -(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} & -(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt}=(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{24} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}=(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{25} \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt}=(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{26} \quad (75)$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt}=(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt}=(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)} & +(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)} & +(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)} & +(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

And $+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are fourth augmentation

coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3
$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{28}')^{(5)} & -(b_{28}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{29}')^{(5)} & -(b_{29}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{30}')^{(5)} & -(b_{30}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b_{28}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad 85$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad 86$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} & \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} & \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{36}')^{(7)}\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{37}')^{(7)}\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{38}')^{(7)}\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = \ (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \qquad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - \ T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\,(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\,(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) \le (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) = (p_i)^{(3)}$ 113

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24{,}25{,}26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})',t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28{,}29{,}30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - \ T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32{,}33{,}34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32{,}33{,}34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(EE) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36{,}37{,}38$ 131

(FF) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$

$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \leq (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ 132

(GG) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(HH)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}),t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(II) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(JJ) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ 138

$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ 139

$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$,
Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad (146A)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + \ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 \ , \ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad (169)$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (170)

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that (171)

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (172)

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that (173)

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (174)

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(f) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$

$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} \} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. (188)

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0$ for $t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(6)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(6)} - (a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b'_i)^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$sup_i\{max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(7)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(7)} - (a''_i)^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b'_i)^{(7)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44{,}45{,}46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28}e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}}G^0_{28}e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}}G^0_{28}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G^0_{30}e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \qquad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \qquad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \qquad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \qquad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \qquad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \qquad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

406

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

407

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(f) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^{*}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^{*}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\ B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION SEVEN

Distribution Of Schmidt Eigenvalues

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Exact Formula of the Distribution of Schmidt Eigenvalues for Dynamical Formation of Entanglement in Quantum Chaos Phys. Rev. Lett 100, 240501 – Published 17 June 2008

(1) **Hiroto Kubotani, Satoshi Adachi, and Mikito Toda** confirms that the formula describes (eb) the universality of the distribution of the Schmidt eigenvalues in quantum chaos. **Exact Formula of the Distribution of Schmidt Eigenvalues for Dynamical Formation of Entanglement in Quantum Chaos Phys. Rev. Lett 100, 240501 – Published 17 June 2008**

(2) **Hiroto Kubotani, Satoshi Adachi, and Mikito Toda Robbert Dijkgraaf Cumrun Vafa** point out two extensions of (e) the relation between matrix models (e&eb) topological strings and $\mathcal{N} = 1$supersymmetric gauge theories

(3) First, they note that by considering double scaling limits of (e) unitary matrix models one can obtain (eb) large-N duals of the local Calabi–Yau geometries that engineer (e&eb) $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories.

(4) In particular, a double scaling limit of the Gross–Witten one-plaquette lattice model gives (eb) the SU (2) Seiberg–Witten solution, including (e) its induced gravitational corrections.

(5) Secondly, they point out that the effective superpotential terms for (e) $\mathcal{N} = 1$ADE quiver gauge theories is similarly computed by (e) large-N multi-matrix models, that have been considered in (eb) the context of ADE minimal models on (eb) random surfaces.

(6) The associated spectral curves are (=) multiple branched covers obtained as (=) Virasoro and W-constraints of the partition function. Copyright © 2002 Published by Elsevier B.V. **Nuclear Physics B Volume 644, Issues 1–2, 11 November 2002, Pages 21–39 On geometry and matrix models Robbert Dijkgraaf Cumrun Vafa Doi: 10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00764-2**

NOTATION

Module One

Hiroto Kubotani, Satoshi Adachi, and Mikito Toda confirms that the

Formula describes (eb) the universality of the distribution of the Schmidt eigenvalues in quantum chaos.

Exact Formula of the Distribution of Schmidt Eigenvalues for Dynamical Formation of Entanglement in Quantum Chaos Phys. Rev. Lett 100, 240501 – Published 17 June 2008

G_{13} : Category one of Formula

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of universality of the distribution of the Schmidt eigenvalues in quantum chaos

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

Hiroto Kubotani, Satoshi Adachi, and Mikito Toda Robbert Dijkgraaf Cumrun Vafa point out

two extensions of (e) the relation between matrix models topological strings and $\mathcal{N} = 1$supersymmetric gauge theories

G_{16} : Category one of relation between matrix models topological strings and $\mathcal{N} = 1$supersymmetric gauge theories

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of two extensions

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

relation between

matrix models topological strings and $\mathcal{N} = 1$supersymmetric gauge theories

G_{20} : Category one of matrix models topological strings; $\mathcal{N} = 1$supersymmetric gauge theories

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of $\mathcal{N} = 1$supersymmetric gauge theories ;matrix models topological strings

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

co-NP From Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia (Redirected from NP = co-NP problem) List of unsolved problems in computer science

Is **NP = co-NP ?**

In computational complexity theory, co-NP is a complexity class. A decision problem {\mathcal{X}} is a member of co-NP if and only if its complement \overline{\mathcal{X}} is in the complexity class NP. In simple terms, co-NP is the class of problems for which efficiently verifiable proofs of no instances, sometimes called counterexamples, exist. Equivalently, co-NP is the set of decision problems where the "no" instances can be accepted in polynomial time by a non-deterministic Turing machine.

An example of an NP-complete problem is the subset sum problem: given a finite set of integers, is there a non-empty subset that sums to zero? To give a proof of a "yes" instance, one must specify a non-empty subset that does sum to zero. The complementary problem is in co-NP and asks: "given a finite set of integers, does every non-empty subset have a non-zero sum?" This problem is not obviously seen to be in NP.

Relationship to other classes

P, the class of polynomial time solvable problems, is a subset of both NP and co-NP. P is thought to be a strict subset in both cases (and demonstrably cannot be strict in one case and not strict in the other). NP and co-NP are also thought to be unequal.[1] If so, then no NP-complete problem can be in co-NP and no co-NP-complete problem can be in NP.

This can be shown as follows. Suppose there exists an NP-complete problem {\mathcal{X}} that is in co-NP. Since all problems in NP can be reduced to {\mathcal{X}}, it follows that for every problem in NP we can construct a non-deterministic Turing machine that decides its complement in polynomial time, i.e., NP ⊆ co-NP. From this it follows that the set of complements of the problems in NP is a subset of the set of

complements of the problems in co-NP, i.e., co-NP ⊆ NP. Thus co-NP = NP. The proof that no co-NP-complete problem can be in NP, if NP ≠ co-NP is symmetrical.

If a problem can be shown to be in both NP and co-NP, that is generally accepted as strong evidence that the problem is probably not NP-complete (since otherwise NP = co-NP).

An example of a problem that is known to belong to both NP and in co-NP is integer factorization: given positive integers m and n determine if m has a factor less than n and greater than one. Membership in NP is clear; if m does have such a factor then the factor itself is a certificate. Membership in co-NP is also straightforward: one can just list the prime factors of m, which the verifier can confirm to be valid by multiplication and the AKS primality test.

Integer factorization is closely related to the primality problem. Both primality testing and factorization have long been known to be NP and co-NP problems. The AKS primality test, published in 2002, proves that primality testing also lies in P, while factorization may or may not have a polynomial-time algorithm.[2]

There are only two possibilities: equality or inequality. We discuss both the cases. In the eventuality of contradiction appearing in the dovetailing explanation, the proposition is infeasible

NP = co-NP

G_{24} : Category one of NP

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of co-NP

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

NP ≠ co-NP

G_{28} : Category one of NP; **co-NP**

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of **co-NP ;NP**

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

First, they note that by considering

double scaling limits of (e) unitary matrix models one can obtain (eb) large-N duals of the local Calabi–Yau geometries that engineer (e&eb) $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories

G_{32} : Category one of unitary matrix models

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of double scaling limits

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

double scaling limits of unitary matrix models one can obtain (eb) large-N duals of the local Calabi–Yau geometries that engineer (e&eb) $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories

G_{36} : Category one of double scaling limits of unitary matrix models

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of large-N duals of the local Calabi–Yau geometries that engineer (e&eb) $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

double scaling limits of unitary matrix models one can obtain large-N duals of the local Calabi–Yau geometries that engineer (e&eb) $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories

G_{40} : Category one of double scaling limits of unitary matrix models one can obtain large-N duals of the local Calabi–Yau geometries;) $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of) $\mathcal{N} = 2$ gauge theories; double scaling limits of unitary matrix models one can obtain large-N duals of the local Calabi–Yau geometries

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

In particular, a

double scaling limit of the Gross–Witten one-plaquette lattice model gives (eb) the SU (2) Seiberg–Witten solution, including (e) its induced gravitational corrections

G_{44} : Category one of double scaling limit of the Gross–Witten one-plaquette lattice model

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of SU (2) Seiberg–Witten solution, including (e) its induced gravitational corrections

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients
Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

59

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

60

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

61

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)} & +(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{16}$$

62

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)} & +(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{17}$$

63

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)} & +(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{18}$$

Where $+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} & -(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} & -(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad (75)$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1,2\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad (78)$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$

are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$

are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$

are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt}=(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt}=(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt}=(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{30} \quad (81)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt}=(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(b'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{array}\right]T_{28} \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad (84)$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & +(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)} & +(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)} & +(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad (87)$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)} & -(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)} & -(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)} & -(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition

coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition
coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition
coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for
category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second
augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third
augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth
augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation
coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation
coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh
augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation

coefficient for 1,2,3

$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)} \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)} \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)} \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b'_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G', t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$. (T_{14}', t) and (T_{14}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}, \ (\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\,(G_{23},t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\,(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\,(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$

$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \le \ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}\,(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)}$ 118

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\,\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}\,(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}\,(G_{31}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34$$ 127

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}\,(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$$ 128

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\,\big((G_{35}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(KK) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38$ 131

(LL) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(MM) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(NN)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(OO) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(PP) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\,(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\,(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) \le (p_i)^{(8)} \le (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) \le (r_i)^{(8)} \le (b_i')^{(8)} \le (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \quad 140$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \quad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}|T_{41} - T_{41}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})',t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$. (T_{41}',t) and (T_{41},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \quad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \quad 146A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) \le (p_i)^{(9)} \le (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) \le (r_i)^{(9)} \le (b_i')^{(9)} \le (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45} - T_{45}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \;,\quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \;,\quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \;,\quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \;,\quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$, 155

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 156

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 157

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''^{(2)}_{16}\right)\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)}\right)\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''^{(3)}_{20}\right)\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(g) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)} =$$
$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$
$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \qquad 186$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+\ (a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\ (t)=0\ and\ T_i\ (t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\ (t)\geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(1)}-(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}),s_{(13)})\}ds_{(13)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$$T_i\ (t)\geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13}<(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1-(a'_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2=G_{14}^0+2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3=G_{15}^0+2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0$ for $t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(7)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$$ 267

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$$ 268

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)})|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}|(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)})-(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)})|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)})d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)}t)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)})_1,((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)})_2$ *and* $((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)})_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}}):\left((\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G^0_{30} e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq-(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq-(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq-(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq-(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \le u^{(2)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(g) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^{*}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^{*}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\ B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION EIGHT

Matrix Models And Gravitational Corrections

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Adv. Theor. Math Phys.Volume 7, Number 6 (2003), 1155-1174.Matrix Models and Gravitational Corrections Robbert Dijkgraaf, Annamaria Sinkovics, and Mine Tem

(1) They compute gravitational R2 couplings in (eb) gauge theories perturbatively, by (e) summing genus one matrix model diagrams.
(2) These diagrams give the (eb) leading 1/N2 corrections in the large N limit of the matrix model and can be related to (e&eb) twist field correlators in (eb) a collective conformal field theory.

(3) In the case of softly broken SU (N) \cN=2 super Yang-Mills theories, they find that these exact solutions of (e) the matrix models agree with (=) results obtained by topological field theory methods. **Adv. Theor. Math Phys.Volume 7, Number 6 (2003), 1155-1174.Matrix Models and Gravitational Corrections Robbert Dijkgraaf, Annamaria Sinkovics, and Mine Tem**

(4) Observatory and apodictic evidence of the quantum many body systems and (e&eb) the concomitant sine qua non relation thereof with (e&eb) the random matrix theory has several research reviews, one of which is by **T. A. Brody, J. Flores, J. B. French, P. A. Mello, A. Pandey, and S. S. M. Wong**

(5) It now appears that the general nature of the deviations from (e) uniformity in the spectrum of (e) a complicated nucleus is (=) essentially the same in all regions of (e) the spectrum and over the entire Periodic Table.

(6) This behavior, moreover, is describable in terms of (e&eb) standard Hamiltonian ensembles which could be generated on the basis of (e) simple information-theory concepts, and which give (eb) also a good account of fluctuation phenomena of other kinds and, apparently, in (eb) other many-body systems besides nuclei.

(7) The main departures from simple behavior are ascribable to the moderation of the level repulsion by effects due to (e) symmetries and collectivities, for (e) the description of which more complicated ensembles are called for.

(8) One purpose of this review is to give a self-contained account of the theory, using methods—sometimes approximate—which are consonant with (=) the usual theory of stochastic processes.

NOTATION

Module One

(1) Secondly, they point out that the effective superpotential terms for (e) $\mathcal{N} = 1$ADE quiver gauge theories is similarly computed by (e) large-N multi-matrix models, that have been considered in (eb) the context of ADE minimal models on (eb) random surfaces.

(2) The associated spectral curves are (=) multiple branched covers obtained as (=) Virasoro and W-constraints of the partition function.

Copyright © 2002 Published by Elsevier B.V. **Nuclear Physics B Volume 644, Issues 1–2, 11 November 2002, Pages 21–39 On geometry and matrix models Robbert Dijkgraaf Cumrun Vafa Doi: 10.1016/S0550-3213(02)00764-2**

Secondly, they point out that the

effective superpotential terms for (e) $\mathcal{N} = 1$ADE quiver gauge theories is similarly computed by (e) large-N multi-matrix models, that have been considered in (eb) the context of ADE minimal models on (eb) random surfaces

G_{13} : Category one of $\mathcal{N} = 1$ ADE quiver gauge theories is similarly computed by (e) large-N multi-matrix models, that have been considered in (eb) the context of ADE minimal models on (eb) random surfaces

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of effective superpotential terms

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

effective superpotential terms for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ADE quiver gauge theories is similarly computed by (e) large-N multi-matrix models, that have been considered in (eb) the context of ADE minimal models on (eb) random surfaces

G_{16} : Category one of large-N multi-matrix models, that have been considered in (eb) the context of ADE minimal models on (eb) random surfaces

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of effective superpotential terms for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ADE quiver gauge theories is similarly computed

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

effective superpotential terms for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ADE quiver gauge theories is similarly computed by large-N multi-matrix models, that have been considered in the context of ADE minimal models on (eb) random surfaces

G_{20} : Category one of effective superpotential terms for $\mathcal{N} = 1$ADE quiver gauge theories is similarly computed by large-N multi-matrix models, that have been considered in the context of ADE minimal models

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of random surfaces

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

The

associated spectral curves are (=) multiple branched covers obtained as (=) Virasoro and W-constraints of the partition function

G_{24} : Category one of associated spectral curves

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of multiple branched covers obtained as (=) Virasoro and W-constraints of the partition

function

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

associated spectral curves are multiple branched covers obtained as (=) Virasoro and W-constraints of the partition function

G_{28} : Category one of associated spectral curves are multiple branched covers

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of Virasoro and W-constraints of the partition function

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

Virasoro and W-constraints of the partition function

G_{32} : Category one of partition function

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of Virasoro and W-constraints

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

They

compute gravitational R2 couplings in (eb) gauge theories perturbatively, by (e) summing genus one matrix model diagrams

G_{36} : Category one of computation of gravitational R2 couplings

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of gauge theories perturbatively, by (e) summing genus one matrix model diagrams

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

compute gravitational R2 couplings in gauge theories perturbatively by (e) summing genus one matrix model diagrams

G_{40} : Category one of summing genus one matrix model diagrams

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of compute gravitational R2 couplings in gauge theories perturbatively

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

These

diagrams give the leading 1/N2 corrections in the large N limit of the matrix model and can be related to (e&eb) twist field correlators in (eb) a collective conformal field theory

G_{44} : Category one of diagrams give the leading 1/N2 corrections in the large N limit of the matrix model ; twist field correlators in (eb) a collective conformal field theory

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of twist field correlators in (eb) a collective conformal field theory; diagrams give the leading 1/N2 corrections in the large N limit of the matrix model and can be related

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}, \ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)} \ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,

are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$
$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$
$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$
$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$
$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$
$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$
$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$
$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$
$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$
$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$
$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$
$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$
$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$
$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$
$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$
$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for

category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt}=(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{16})^{(2)} & +(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt}=(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{17})^{(2)} & +(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt}=(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{18})^{(2)} & +(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt}=(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad 67$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients

for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} & -(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} & -(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt}=(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{24} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}=(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{25} \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt}=(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{26} \quad (75)$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt}=(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt}=(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)} & +(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)} & +(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)} & +(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

And $+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are fourth augmentation

coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3
$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8\ ,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad (84)$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} \quad 85$$

$$- \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad 86$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{36}')^{(7)}\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{37}')^{(7)}\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{38}')^{(7)}\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a_{40}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a_{41}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a_{42}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\,-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\,-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\,-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = \ (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\,(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\,(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \qquad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - \ T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\,(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\,(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) \le (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) = (p_i)^{(3)}$ 113

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24{,}25{,}26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})',t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28{,}29{,}30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(QQ) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

(RR) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$

$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \le (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ 132

(SS) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(TT)

$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}),t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}$ are positive constants and $i = 36,37,38$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(UU) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(VV) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ 138

$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ 139

$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$,
Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad (146A)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + \ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 \ , \ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(h) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$

$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \qquad 186$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+\ (a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\ (t)=0\ and\ T_i\ (t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\ (t)\geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(1)}-(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}),s_{(13)})\}ds_{(13)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$$T_i\ (t)\geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13}<(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1-(a'_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2=G_{14}^0+2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3=G_{15}^0+2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0$ for $t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+\ (a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$

$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$

$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$

$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq$ 248

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 249

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 250

it results

$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$$ 256

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$$ 257

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(7)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44{,}45{,}46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (v_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (v_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (v_0)^{(1)} < (v_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (v_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (v_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (v_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G^0_{30} e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (v_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (v_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(9)} < (v_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (v_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (v_1)^{(9)} < (v_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (v_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (v_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(9)} < (v_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\ ]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\ ]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\ ]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(h) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^{*}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^{*}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION NINE

Quantum Many Body Systems And Random Matrix Theory

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Adv. Theor. Math Phys.Volume 7, Number 6 (2003), 1155-1174.Matrix Models and Gravitational Corrections Robbert Dijkgraaf, Annamaria Sinkovics, and Mine Tem

(1) In the case of softly broken SU (N) \cN=2 super Yang-Mills theories, they find that these exact solutions of (e) the matrix models agree with (=) results obtained by topological field theory methods. **Adv. Theor. Math Phys.Volume 7, Number 6 (2003), 1155-1174.Matrix Models and Gravitational Corrections Robbert Dijkgraaf, Annamaria Sinkovics, and Mine Tem**

(2) Observatory and apodictic evidence of the quantum many body systems and (e&eb) the concomitant sine qua non relation thereof with (e&eb) the random matrix theory has several research reviews, one of which is by **T. A. Brody, J. Flores, J. B. French, P. A. Mello, A. Pandey, and S. S. M. Wong**

(3) It now appears that the general nature of the deviations from (e) uniformity in the spectrum of (e) a complicated nucleus is (=) essentially the same in all regions of (e) the spectrum and over the entire Periodic Table.

(4) This behavior, moreover, is describable in terms of (e&eb) standard Hamiltonian ensembles which could be generated on the basis of (e) simple information-theory concepts, and which give (eb) also a good account of fluctuation phenomena of other kinds and, apparently, in (eb) other many-body systems besides nuclei.

(5) The main departures from simple behavior are ascribable to the moderation of the level repulsion by effects due to (e) symmetries and collectivities, for (e) the description of which more complicated ensembles are called for.

(6) One purpose of this review is to give a self-contained account of the theory, using methods—sometimes approximate—which are consonant with (=) the usual theory of stochastic processes

NOTATION

Module One

In the case of

Exact solutions of softly broken SU (N) \cN=2 super Yang-Mills theories of (e) the matrix models agree with results obtained by topological field theory methods.

Adv. Theor. Math Phys.Volume 7, Number 6 (2003), 1155-1174.Matrix Models and Gravitational Corrections Robbert Dijkgraaf, Annamaria Sinkovics, and Mine Tem

G_{13} : Category one of matrix models agree with results obtained by topological field theory methods

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of exact solutions of softly broken SU (N) \cN=2 super Yang-Mills theories

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

Observatory and apodictic evidence of the

quantum many body systems and (e&eb) the concomitant sine qua non relation thereof with (e&eb) the random matrix theory has several research reviews, one of which is by **T. A. Brody, J. Flores, J. B. French, P. A. Mello, A. Pandey, and S. S. M. Wong**

G_{16} : Category one of quantum many body systems; random matrix theory

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of random matrix theory; quantum many body systems

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

It now appears that the

general nature of the deviations from (e) uniformity in the spectrum of (e) a complicated nucleus is (=) essentially the same in all regions of (e) the spectrum and over the entire Periodic Table

G_{20} : Category one of uniformity in the spectrum of (e) a complicated nucleus is (=) essentially the same in all regions of (e) the spectrum and over the entire Periodic Table

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of general nature of the deviations

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

general nature of the deviations from uniformity in the spectrum of (e) a complicated nucleus is (=) essentially the same in all regions of (e) the spectrum and over the entire Periodic Table

G_{24} : Category one of complicated nucleus is (=) essentially the same in all regions of (e) the spectrum and over the entire Periodic Table

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of general nature of the deviations from uniformity in the spectrum

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

general nature of the deviations from uniformity in the spectrum of a complicated nucleus is essentially the same in all regions of the spectrum and over the entire Periodic Table

G_{28} : Category one of general nature of the deviations from uniformity in the spectrum of a complicated nucleus

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of essentially the same in all regions of the spectrum and over the entire Periodic Table

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

This

behavior, moreover, is describable in terms of (e&eb) standard Hamiltonian ensembles which could be generated on the basis of (e) simple information-theory concepts, and which give (eb) also a good account of fluctuation phenomena of other kinds and, apparently, in (eb) other many-body systems besides nuclei

G_{32} : Category one of general nature of the deviations from uniformity in the spectrum of a complicated nucleus is essentially the same in all regions of the spectrum and over the entire Periodic Table; standard Hamiltonian ensembles which could be generated on the basis of (e) simple information-theory concepts, and which give (eb) also a good account of fluctuation phenomena of other kinds and, apparently, in (eb) other many-body systems besides nuclei

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of standard Hamiltonian ensembles which could be generated on the basis of (e) simple information-theory concepts, and which give (eb) also a good account of fluctuation phenomena of other kinds and, apparently, in (eb) other many-body systems besides nuclei; general nature of the deviations from uniformity in the spectrum of a complicated nucleus is essentially the same in all regions of the spectrum and over the entire Periodic Table

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

behavior, moreover, is describable in terms of standard Hamiltonian ensembles which could be generated on the basis of (e) simple information-theory concepts, and which give (eb) also a good account of fluctuation phenomena of other kinds and, apparently, in (eb) other many-body systems besides nuclei

G_{36} : Category one of simple information-theory concepts, and which give also a good account of fluctuation phenomena of other kinds and, apparently, in other many-body systems besides nuclei

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of behavior, moreover, is describable in terms of standard Hamiltonian ensembles which could be generated

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

The

main departures from simple behavior are ascribable to the moderation of the level repulsion by effects due to symmetries and collectivities, for (e) the description of which more complicated ensembles are called for

G_{40} : Category one of symmetries and collectivities, for (e) the description of which more complicated ensembles are called for.

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of main departures from simple behavior are ascribable to the moderation of the level repulsion by effects

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

main departures from simple behavior are ascribable to the moderation of the level repulsion by effects due to symmetries and collectivities, for (e) the description of which more complicated ensembles are called for

G_{44} : Category one of description of which more complicated ensembles are called for

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of main departures from simple behavior are ascribable to the moderation of the level repulsion by effects due to symmetries and collectivities

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}, \ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)} \ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$

are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$
$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$
$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$
$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$
$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$
$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$
$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$
$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$
$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$
$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$
$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$
$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$
$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$
$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$
$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$
$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for

category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{13}')^{(1)} & -(b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{40}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{14}')^{(1)} & -(b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{41}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{15}')^{(1)} & -(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{42}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt}=(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{16})^{(2)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt}=(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{17})^{(2)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt}=(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{18})^{(2)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt}=(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(b'_{16})^{(2)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} \quad \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{array}\right]T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients

for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} & -(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} & -(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt}=(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{24} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}=(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{25} \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt}=(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}&\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{26} \quad (75)$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt}=(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt}=(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}&\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)} & +(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)} & +(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)} & +(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

And $+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are fourth augmentation

coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3
$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{28}')^{(5)} & -(b_{28}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{29}')^{(5)} & -(b_{29}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{30}')^{(5)} & -(b_{30}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b_{28}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad 85$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad 86$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a_{40}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a_{41}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a_{42}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)}$ 98

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = \ (r_i)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)}$ 102

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - \ T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\,(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\,(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) \le (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) = (p_i)^{(3)}$ 113

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})',t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(WW) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

(XX) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$

$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \le (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ 132

(YY) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(ZZ)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}),t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(AAA) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(BBB) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38,$ satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \tag{136}$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: (137)

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \tag{138}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \tag{139}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \tag{140}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) = (r_i)^{(8)} \tag{141}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \tag{142}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \tag{143}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \tag{144}$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$,
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \tag{145}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \tag{146}$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad (146A)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$ *and* $(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + \ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 \ , \ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{42}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(i) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)} =$$
$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$
$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{45}, G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $\left(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. (188)

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0$ for $t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}), (T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+\ (a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{35})}, \widetilde{(T_{35})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{35})}, \widetilde{(T_{35})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37} \; and \; G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$
Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad (333)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad (334)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad (335)$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad (336)$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G^0_{30} e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \qquad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \qquad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \qquad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad (378)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad (379)$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad (380)$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- (381)

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 (382)

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(i) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^{*} given by $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$, T_{14}^{*} given by $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \qquad 513$$

$$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \qquad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \qquad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION TEN

Exactly Solvable Richardson-Gaudin Models

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Random-matrix physics: spectrum and strength fluctuations Rev. Mod. Phys. 53, 385 – Published 1 July 1981 T. A. Brody, J. Flores, J. B. French, P. A. Mello, A. Pandey, and S. S. M. Wong

(1) Another purpose is to give a proper foundation for the use of (e) ensemble theory, to make clear the origin of the simplicities in the observable fluctuations, and to derive (eb) other general fluctuation results.
(2) In comparing theory and experiment, the authors give an analysis of much of the nuclear-energy-

level data, as well as an extended discussion of observable effects in (eb) nuclear transitions and reactions and in the low-temperature thermodynamics of aggregates of small metallic particles. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.53.385 © 1981 American Physical Society **Random-matrix physics: spectrum and strength fluctuations Rev. Mod. Phys. 53, 385 – Published 1 July 1981 T. A. Brody, J. Flores, J. B. French, P. A. Mello, A. Pandey, and S. S. M. Wong**

(3) The use of exactly solvable Richardson-Gaudin models to describe (eb) the physics of systems with strong pair correlations is reviewed. The article begins with a brief discussion of Richardson's early work, which demonstrated the exact solvability of the pure pairing model, and then shows how that work has evolved recently into (eb) a much richer class of exactly solvable models.

(4) The Richardson solution leads (eb) naturally to an exact analogy between these quantum models and (e&eb, =) classical electrostatic problems in two dimensions.

(5) This analogy is then used to demonstrate formally (eb) how BCS theory emerges as (=) the large-N limit of (e) the pure pairing Hamiltonian.

(6) Several applications to problems of relevance to condensed-matter physics, nuclear physics, and the physics of confined systems are considered. Some of the interesting effects that are discussed in the context of these exactly solvable models include (i) the crossover from superconductivity to (eb) fluctuation-dominated regime in small metallic grains; (ii) the role of the nucleon Pauli principle in suppressing (e) the effects of high-spin bosons in interacting boson models of nuclei, and (iii) the possibility of **fragmentation in (eb) confined boson systems.**

(7) Interesting insight is also provided into (eb) the origin of the superconducting phase transition both in (eb) two-dimensional electronic systems and in atomic nuclei, based on (e) the electrostatic image of (e) the corresponding exactly solvable quantum pairing models. DOI: **http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.76.643 Colloquium: Exactly solvable Richardson-Gaudin models for many-body quantum systems Rev. Mod. Phys. 76, 643 – Published 6 August 2004**

NOTATION

Module One

Another purpose is to give a proper foundation for the use of ensemble theory, to make clear the origin of the simplicities in the observable fluctuations, and to derive (eb) other general fluctuation results

G_{13} : Category one of simplicities in the observable fluctuations; general fluctuation results

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of general fluctuation results ;simplicities in the observable fluctuations

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

In comparing theory and experiment, the authors give an analysis of much of the nuclear-energy-level data, as well as an extended discussion of observable effects in nuclear transitions and reactions and in the low-temperature thermodynamics of aggregates of small metallic particles

. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.53.385 © 1981 American Physical Society **Random-matrix physics: spectrum and strength fluctuations Rev. Mod. Phys. 53, 385 – Published 1 July 1981 T. A.**

G_{16} : Category one of observable effects in nuclear transitions and reactions; low-temperature thermodynamics of aggregates of small metallic particles

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of low-temperature thermodynamics of aggregates of small metallic particles; observable effects in nuclear transitions and reactions

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

The

Richardson solution leads (eb) naturally to an exact analogy between these quantum models and (e&eb, =) classical electrostatic problems in two dimensions

G_{20} : Category one of Richardson solution

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of exact analogy between these quantum models and (e&eb, =) classical electrostatic problems in two dimensions

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

This

analogy is then used to demonstrate formally (eb) how BCS theory emerges as (=) the large-N limit of (e) the pure pairing Hamiltonian

G_{24} : Category one of analogy is then used to demonstrate

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of BCS theory emerges as (=) the large-N limit of (e) the pure pairing Hamiltonian

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

BCS theory emerges as (=) the large-N limit of the pure pairing Hamiltonian

G_{28} : Category one of BCS theory

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of large-N limit of the pure pairing Hamiltonian

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

Several applications to problems of relevance to condensed-matter physics, nuclear physics, and the physics of confined systems are considered. Some of the interesting effects that are discussed in the context of these exactly solvable models include

(i) the crossover from superconductivity to (eb) fluctuation-dominated regime in small metallic grains;

(ii) the role of the nucleon Pauli principle in suppressing (e) the effects of high-spin bosons in interacting boson models of nuclei, and

(iii) the possibility of **fragmentation in (eb) confined boson systems**

G_{32} : Category one of superconductivity

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of fluctuation-dominated regime in small metallic grains

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

role of the nucleon Pauli principle in suppressing (e) the effects of high-spin bosons in interacting boson models of nuclei

G_{36} : Category one of effects of high-spin bosons in interacting boson models of nuclei

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of role of the nucleon Pauli principle

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

role of the nucleon Pauli principle in suppressing (e) the effects of high-spin bosons in interacting boson models of nuclei

G_{40} : Category one of effects of high-spin bosons in interacting boson models of nuclei

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of role of the nucleon Pauli principle

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

fragmentation in (eb) confined boson systems

G_{44} : Category one of **fragmentation**

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of **confined boson systems**

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$
$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$
$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$
$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$
$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$
$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$
$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$
$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$
$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$
$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$
$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$
$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$
$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$
$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$
$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$
$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$
$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,

2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)} & +(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)} & +(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)} & +(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} & -(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad 67$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{20}')^{(3)} & -(b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{21}')^{(3)} & -(b_{21}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{22}')^{(3)} & -(b_{22}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt}=(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{24})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}=(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{25})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt}=(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{26})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt}=(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{24})^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt}=(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{25})^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)} & +(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)} & +(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)} & +(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

And $+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are fourth augmentation

coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3
$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad 85$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad 86$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} & \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} & \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{40}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{41}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{42}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = \ (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \qquad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - \ T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\,(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\,(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) \le (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) = (p_i)^{(3)}$ 113

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})',t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(CCC) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

(DDD) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$

$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \leq (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$

132

(EEE) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(FFF)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}),t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(GGG) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(HHH) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ 138

$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ 139

$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$,
Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad (146A)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + \ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$, $G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$, $G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 \ , \ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(j) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$

$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. (188)

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$sup_i\{max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}ds_{(16)} +$

$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|\mathrm{e}^{-(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathrm{d}\left(((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ and $(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $\mathrm{G}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{T}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) = 0$ 200

it results

$$\mathrm{G}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{G}_i^0\mathrm{e}^{\left[-\int_0^{\mathrm{t}}\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}\mathrm{d}s_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$\mathrm{T}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{T}_i^0\mathrm{e}^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}\mathrm{t})} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$\mathrm{G}_{16} < (\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{\mathrm{dG}_{17}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{17}$ and by integrating

$$\mathrm{G}_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = \mathrm{G}_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$\mathrm{G}_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = \mathrm{G}_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{\mathrm{t}\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}\,((G_{19})(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{t})) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $\mathrm{T}_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$:$\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}), (T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)}; (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37} \; and \; G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(1)}, (v_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(v_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (v_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (v_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (v_0)^{(1)} < (v_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (v_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (v_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (v_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad (333)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad (334)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad (335)$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad (336)$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G^0_{30} e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(j) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^{*}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^{*}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

(586 A)

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\ B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION ELEVEN

Conformal Structure Of Random Planar Maps And Multifractality

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Communications in Mathematical Physics A Glimpse of the Conformal Structure of Random Planar Maps Nicolas Curien

(1) They follow this path in the case of the conformal structure of (e) random triangulations with a boundary.
(2) Under a reasonable assumption called (*) that have unfortunately not been able to be verified, authors prove that the limit of uniformized random planar triangulations has (e) a fractal boundary

measure of Hausdorff dimension 13 almost surely.

(3) This agrees with the physics KPZ predictions and represents (eb) a first step towards a rigorous understanding of the links between random planar maps and (e&eb) the Gaussian free field (GFF). Communicated by F. Toninelli **Communications in Mathematical Physics A Glimpse of the Conformal Structure of Random Planar Maps Nicolas Curien**

Annales Henri Poincaré August 2014 Date: 28 Aug 2014 The Coefficient Problem and Multifractality of Whole-Plane SLE & LLE Bertrand Duplantier, Chi Nguyen, Nga Nguyen, Michel Zinsmeister

(4) Karl Löwner (later known as Charles Loewner) introduced his famous differential equation in 1923 to solve the Bieberbach conjecture for (e) series expansion coefficients of(e) univalent analytic functions at level n = 3.

(5) His method was revived in 1999 by Oded Schramm when he introduced the Stochastic Loewner Evolution (SLE), a conformally invariant process which made it possible to prove (eb) many predictions from conformal field theory for (e) critical planar models in statistical mechanics.

(6) The aim of this paper is to revisit the Bieberbach conjecture in (eb) the framework of SLE processes and, more generally, Lévy processes.

(7) The study of their unbounded whole-plane versions leads to(eb) a discrete series of exact results for (e) the expectations of coefficients and their variances, and, more generally, for (e) the derivative moments of some prescribed order p.

(8) These results are generalized to (e) the "oddified" or m-fold conformal maps of (e) whole-plane SLEs or Lévy–Loewner Evolutions.

(9) They also study the (average) integral means multifractal spectra of (e) these unbounded whole-plane SLE curves.

NOTATION

Module One

Interesting insight is also provided into the origin of the superconducting phase transition both in (eb) two-dimensional electronic systems and in atomic nuclei, based on (e) the electrostatic image of (e) the corresponding exactly solvable quantum pairing models.

DOI: **http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.76.643 Colloquium: Exactly solvable Richardson-Gaudin models for many-body quantum systems Rev. Mod. Phys. 76, 643 – Published 6 August 2004**

G_{13} : Category one of origin of the superconducting phase transition

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of two-dimensional electronic systems and in atomic nuclei, based on (e) the electrostatic image of (e) the corresponding exactly solvable quantum pairing models.

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

Origin of the superconducting phase transition both in two-dimensional electronic systems and in atomic nuclei based on (e) the electrostatic image of (e) the corresponding exactly solvable quantum pairing models

G_{16} : Category one of electrostatic image of (e) the corresponding exactly solvable quantum pairing models

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of Origin of the superconducting phase transition both in two-dimensional electronic systems and in atomic nuclei

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

Origin of the superconducting phase transition both in two-dimensional electronic systems and in atomic nuclei based on the electrostatic image of (e) the corresponding exactly solvable quantum pairing models

G_{20} : Category one of corresponding exactly solvable quantum pairing models

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of Origin of the superconducting phase transition both in two-dimensional electronic systems and in atomic nuclei based on the electrostatic image

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

Under a reasonable assumption called (*) that have unfortunately not been able to be verified, authors prove that the

limit of uniformized random planar triangulations has (e) a fractal boundary measure of Hausdorff dimension 13 almost surely

G_{24} : Category one of fractal boundary measure of Hausdorff dimension 13 almost surely

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of limit of uniformized random planar triangulations

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

This agrees with the physics

KPZ predictions and represents (eb) a first step towards a rigorous understanding of the links between

random planar maps and (e&eb) the Gaussian free field (GFF).

Communicated by F. Toninelli **Communications in Mathematical Physics A Glimpse of the Conformal Structure of Random Planar Maps Nicolas Curien**

G_{28} : Category one of fractal boundary measure of Hausdorff dimension 13 almost surely

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of first step towards a rigorous understanding of the links between random planar maps and (e&eb) the Gaussian free field (GFF)

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

Random planar maps and (e&eb) the Gaussian free field (GFF).

G_{32} : Category one of Random planar maps; Gaussian free field (GFF).

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of Gaussian free field (GFF).; Random planar maps

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

Karl Löwner (later known as Charles Loewner) introduced his famous differential equation in 1923 to solve the

Bieberbach conjecture for (e) series expansion coefficients of(e) univalent analytic functions at level n = 3

G_{36} : Category one of series expansion coefficients of(e) univalent analytic functions at level n = 3

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of Bieberbach conjecture

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

Bieberbach conjecture for series expansion coefficients of (e) univalent analytic functions at level n = 3

G_{40} : Category one of univalent analytic functions at level n = 3

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of Bieberbach conjecture for series expansion coefficients

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

His method was revived in 1999 by Oded Schramm when he introduced the

Stochastic Loewner Evolution (SLE), a conformally invariant process which made it possible to prove (eb) many predictions from conformal field theory for (e) critical planar models in statistical mechanics

G_{44} : Category one of Stochastic Loewner Evolution (SLE), a conformally invariant process

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of many predictions from conformal field theory for (e) critical planar models in statistical mechanics

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$
$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$
$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$
$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$
$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$
$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$
$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$
$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation

coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)} & +(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)} & +(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)} & +(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} & -(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad 67$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} & -(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad 70$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} & -(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad 71$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad 72$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt}=(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{24})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}=(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{25})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt}=(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{26})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt}=(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{24})^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt}=(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{25})^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation

coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3
$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8\ ,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad (84)$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} \quad 85$$

$$- \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad 86$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{40}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{41}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{42}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = \ (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\,(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\,(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \qquad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\,(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\,(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) \le (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) = (p_i)^{(3)}$ 113

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24{,}25{,}26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})',t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28{,}29{,}30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(III) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

(JJJ) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$

$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \leq (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$

132

(KKK) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(LLL)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}),t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(MMM) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(NNN) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38,$ satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ 138

$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ 139

$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$,
Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad 146\ A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + \ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$, $G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$, $G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 \ , \ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(k) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$

$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T} : (\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \qquad 186$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+\ (a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\ (t)=0\ and\ T_i\ (t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\ (t)\geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(1)}-(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}),s_{(13)})\}ds_{(13)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$$T_i\ (t)\geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13}<(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1-(a'_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2=G_{14}^0+2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3=G_{15}^0+2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|\mathrm{e}^{-(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathrm{d}\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ and $(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $\mathrm{G}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{T}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) = 0$ 200

it results

$$\mathrm{G}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{G}_i^0\mathrm{e}^{\left[-\int_0^{\mathrm{t}}\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}\mathrm{d}s_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$\mathrm{T}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{T}_i^0\mathrm{e}^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}\mathrm{t})} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$\mathrm{G}_{16} < (\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{\mathrm{dG}_{17}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{17}$ and by integrating

$$\mathrm{G}_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = \mathrm{G}_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$\mathrm{G}_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = \mathrm{G}_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{\mathrm{t}\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}\,((G_{19})(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{t})) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $\mathrm{T}_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$

$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$

$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$

$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq$ 248

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 249

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ 250

it results

$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)}; (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37} \; and \; G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$$ 267

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$$ 268

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad (333)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad (334)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad (335)$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad (336)$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G^0_{30} e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

406

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

407

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{36}'')^{(7)} = (a_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{36}'')^{(7)} = (b_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a_{40}')^{(8)} - (a_{41}')^{(8)} + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\ ]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\ ]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\ ]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(k) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^{*} given by $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$, T_{14}^{*} given by $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \qquad 513$$

$$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \qquad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \qquad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\ B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION TWELVE

Liouville Quantum Gravity

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Annales Henri Poincaré August 2014 Date: 28 Aug 2014 The Coefficient Problem and Multifractality of Whole-Plane SLE & LLE Bertrand Duplantier, Chi Nguyen, Nga Nguyen, Michel Zinsmeister

(1) Authors prove the existence of a phase transition at (eb) a moment order p = p *(κ) > 0, at which one goes from the bulk SLE κ average integral means spectrum, as predicted by the first author (Duplantier Phys. Rev. Lett. 84:1363–1367, 2000) and established by Beliaev and Smirnov (Commun Math Phys 290:577–595, 2009) and valid (eb) for p ≤ p *(κ), to a new integral means

spectrum for p ≥ p *(κ), as conjectured in part by Loutsenko (J Phys A Math Gen 45(26):265001, 2012).

(2) The latter spectrum is, furthermore, shown to be (=) intimately related, via (e&eb) the associated packing spectrum, to the (e&eb) radial SLE derivative exponents obtained by Lawler, Schramm and Werner (Acta Math 187(2):237–273, 2001), and to the (e) local SLE tip multifractal exponents obtained from (e) quantum gravity by the first author (Duplantier Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 72(2):365–482, 2004).

(3) This is generalized to (eb) the integral means spectrum of (e) the m-fold transform of the unbounded whole-plane SLE map.

(4) A succinct, preliminary, version of this study first appeared in Duplantier et al. (Coefficient estimates for whole-plane SLE processes, Hal-00609774, 2011). Communicated by Krzysztof Gawedzki **Annales Henri Poincaré August 2014 Date: 28 Aug 2014 The Coefficient Problem and Multifractality of Whole-Plane SLE & LLE Bertrand Duplantier, Chi Nguyen, Nga Nguyen, Michel Zinsmeister** This paper is concerned with computing the spectral dimension of (e) (critical) 2d-Liouville quantum gravity.

(5) As a warm-up, authors first treat the simple case of boundary Liouville quantum gravity. **Rémi Rhodes and Vincent Vargas** proves that the spectral dimension is 1 via an exact expression for (e) the boundary Liouville Brownian motion and (e&eb) heat kernel.

(6) Then authors treat the 2d-case via (e&eb) a decomposition of time integral transforms of (e) the Liouville heat kernel into (eb) Gaussian multiplicative chaos of (e) Brownian bridges.

(7) They show that the spectral dimension is (=) 2 in this case, as derived by physicists (see Ambjørn et al. in JHEP 9802:010, 1998) 15 years ago. Communicated by Anton Bovier **Annales Henri Poincaré December 2014, Volume 15, Issue 12, pp 2281-2298 Date: 17 Dec 2013 Spectral Dimension of Liouville Quantum Gravity Rémi Rhodes, Vincent Vargas**

NOTATION

Module One

Stochastic Loewner Evolution (SLE), a conformally invariant process which made it possible to prove many predictions from conformal field theory for (e) critical planar models in statistical mechanics

G_{13} : Category one of critical planar models in statistical mechanics

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of Stochastic Loewner Evolution (SLE), a conformally invariant process which made it possible to prove many predictions from conformal field theory

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

Annales Henri Poincaré August 2014 Date: 28 Aug 2014 The Coefficient Problem and Multifractality of Whole-Plane SLE & LLE Bertrand Duplantier, Chi Nguyen, Nga Nguyen, Michel Zinsmeister

(1) The aim of this paper is to revisit the Bieberbach conjecture in (eb) the framework of SLE processes and, more generally, Lévy processes.

(2) The study of their unbounded whole-plane versions leads to(eb) a discrete series of exact results for (e) the expectations of coefficients and their variances, and, more generally, for (e) the derivative

moments of some prescribed order p.

(3) These results are generalized to (e) the "oddified" or m-fold conformal maps of (e) whole-plane SLEs or Lévy–Loewner Evolutions.

(4) They also study the (average) integral means multifractal spectra of (e) these unbounded whole-plane SLE curves.

The aim of this paper is to revisit the

Bieberbach conjecture in (eb) the framework of SLE processes and, more generally, Lévy processes

G_{16} : Category one of Bieberbach conjecture

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of framework of SLE processes and, more generally, Lévy processes

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

The study of

their unbounded whole-plane versions leads to (eb) a discrete series of exact results for (e) the expectations of coefficients and their variances, and, more generally, for (e) the derivative moments of some prescribed order p.

G_{20} : Category one of their unbounded whole-plane versions

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of discrete series of exact results for (e) the expectations of coefficients and their variances, and, more generally, for (e) the derivative moments of some prescribed order p.

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

their unbounded whole-plane versions leads to a discrete series of exact results for (e) the expectations of coefficients and their variances, and, more generally, for (e) the derivative moments of some prescribed order p.

G_{24} : Category one of expectations of coefficients and their variances, and, more generally, for (e) the derivative moments of some prescribed order p.

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of their unbounded whole-plane versions leads to a discrete series of exact results

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

their unbounded whole-plane versions leads to a discrete series of exact results for the expectations of coefficients and their variances, and, more generally, for (e) the derivative moments of some prescribed order p.

G_{28} : Category one of derivative moments of some prescribed order p.

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of their unbounded whole-plane versions leads to a discrete series of exact results for the expectations of coefficients and their variances

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

These

results are generalized to (e) the "oddified" or m-fold conformal maps of (e) whole-plane SLEs or Lévy–Loewner Evolutions

G_{32} : Category one of "oddified" or m-fold conformal maps of (e) whole-plane SLEs or Lévy–Loewner Evolutions

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of results are generalized

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

results are generalized to the "oddified" or m-fold conformal maps of (e) whole-plane SLEs or Lévy–Loewner Evolutions

G_{36} : Category one of whole-plane SLEs or Lévy–Loewner Evolutions

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of results are generalized to the "oddified" or m-fold conformal maps

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

They also study the

(average) integral means multifractal spectra of (e) these unbounded whole-plane SLE curves

G_{40} : Category one of these unbounded whole-plane SLE curves

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of (average) integral means multifractal spectra

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

Authors prove the

existence of a phase transition at (eb) a moment order $p = p^*(\kappa) > 0$, at which one goes from the bulk SLE κ average integral means spectrum, as predicted by the first author (Duplantier Phys. Rev. Lett. 84:1363–1367, 2000) and established by Beliaev and Smirnov (Commun Math Phys 290:577–595, 2009) and valid (eb) for $p \le p^*(\kappa)$, to a new integral means spectrum for $p \ge p^*(\kappa)$, as conjectured in part by Loutsenko (J Phys A Math Gen 45(26):265001, 2012)

G_{44} : Category one of existence of a phase transition

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of moment order $p = p^*(\kappa) > 0$, at which one goes from the bulk SLE κ average integral means spectrum, as predicted by the first author (Duplantier Phys. Rev. Lett. 84:1363–1367, 2000) and established by Beliaev and Smirnov (Commun Math Phys 290:577–595, 2009) and valid (eb) for $p \le p^*(\kappa)$, to a new integral means spectrum for $p \ge p^*(\kappa)$, as conjectured in part by Loutsenko (J Phys A Math Gen 45(26):265001, 2012)

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}$ $(a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}$ $(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}$ $(a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right]G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) + (a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ + (a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) + (a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) + (a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ + (a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) + (a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) + (a_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{17}')^{(2)} + (a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) + (a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ + (a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) + (a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) + (a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ + (a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) + (a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) + (a_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{18}')^{(2)} + (a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) + (a_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) + (a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ + (a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) + (a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) + (a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ + (a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) + (a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) + (a_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $+(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} & -(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} & -(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)} & +(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad 70$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad 71$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad 72$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for*

category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1, 2\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$

are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad (78)$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$

are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$

are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$

are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$

are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$

are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)} & +(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)} & +(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)} & +(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for

category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & +(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)} & +(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)} & +(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad (87)$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \qquad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)} \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{42})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

96

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{44}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{45}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{46}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second

augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third
augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth
augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth
augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth
augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh
augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth
augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth
augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b_{44}')^{(9)}\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{45}')^{(9)}\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{46}')^{(9)}\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$
Where $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq (p_i)^{(1)} \leq (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} |T_{17} - T_{17}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} ||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad (110)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad (111)$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad (112)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(r_i)^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad (113)$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (114)

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)} |T_{21} - T_{21}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)} ||G_{23} - G_{23}'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: (115)

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)},\ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$ satisfy the inequalities 116

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\,(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le \ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}\,(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\,\big((G_{27}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - \ T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30$ 122

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le (p_i)^{(5)} \le (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) \le (r_i)^{(5)} \le (b_i')^{(5)} \le (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)}$$ 123

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31}, t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34$$ 127

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$$ 128

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(OOO) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38$ 131

(PPP) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \le (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(QQQ) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(RRR)

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(SSS) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(TTT) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \qquad 140$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \qquad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be

noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}<1 \qquad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)},(a_i')^{(8)},(b_i)^{(8)},(b_i')^{(8)},(p_i)^{(8)},\ (r_i)^{(8)},i=40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)}+(a_i')^{(8)}+\ (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}]<1 \qquad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[\ (b_i)^{(8)}+(b_i')^{(8)}+\ (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}+(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\ (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}]<1 \qquad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)},(a_i')^{(9)},(a_i'')^{(9)},(b_i)^{(9)},(b_i')^{(9)},(b_i'')^{(9)}>0,\quad i,j=44,45,46 \qquad 146A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)},(b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\le(p_i)^{(9)}\le(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\le\ (r_i)^{(9)}\le(b_i')^{(9)}\le(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)=(p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)=\ (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)},(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45}-\ T_{45}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t)-(b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)|<(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47})-(G_{47})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$

and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}<1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)},(a_i')^{(9)},(b_i)^{(9)},(b_i')^{(9)},(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)},i=44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)}+(a_i')^{(9)}+\ (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+\ (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}]<1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)}+(b_i')^{(9)}+\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}+\ (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}]<1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t)\leq\ \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ ,\ \boxed{G_i(0)=G_i^0>0}$$

$$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\quad ,\quad \boxed{T_i(0)=T_i^0>0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\quad ,\quad G_i(0)=G_i^0>0$$

$$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\quad ,\quad T_i(0)=T_i^0>0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\quad ,\quad G_i(0)=G_i^0>0$$

$$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\quad ,\quad T_i(0)=T_i^0>0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{24}\right)^{(4)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{24}\right)^{(4)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{28}\right)^{(5)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{28}\right)^{(5)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{32}\right)^{(6)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{32}\right)^{(6)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{36}\right)^{(7)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{36}\right)^{(7)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{40}\right)^{(8)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{40}\right)^{(8)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{44}\right)^{(9)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{44}\right)^{(9)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)} (G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{22}(t) = T_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof: 166
Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t)-G_{20}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t)\leq G_{24}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\right)\right]ds_{(24)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{24})^{(4)}t\right)G_{25}^{0}+\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(l) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} \left(G_{37}^0 + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\right)\right] ds_{(36)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{36})^{(7)} t\right) G_{37}^0 + \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t) - G_{36}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \le \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0}{G_{37}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} \left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{45}, G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}:\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \quad 186$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13,14,15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on

$G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a_{14}')^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a_{14}')^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a_{15}')^{(1)}$$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If $G_{13}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t), t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}\,(t) > (m)^{(1)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\ and\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\ (G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i=16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on

(G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\} ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)} G_{17}$ and by integrating

$$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 / (a_{17}')^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 / (a_{18}')^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right)$, $t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 210

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}},\widetilde{T_{23}}:(\widetilde{(G_{23})},\widetilde{(T_{23})})=\mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}),(T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\,ds_{(20)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)},s_{(20)}\right)\right|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq \quad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right)d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\,(G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i=20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on

$(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a_{21}')^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a_{21}')^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a_{22}')^{(3)}$$

If $G_{21}\ or\ G_{22}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}\,(t) > (m)^{(3)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq \quad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on

$(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 228

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(4)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a_{25}')^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a_{25}')^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a_{26}')^{(4)}$$

If $G_{25}\ or\ G_{26}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}\ ((G_{27})(t), t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25}\ \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t\ > t_4$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}\,(t) > (m)^{(4)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+\left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \tag{234}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \tag{235}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (236)

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),(T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)}-G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq \tag{237}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 239

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(5)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a_{29}')^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a_{29}')^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 / (a_{30}')^{(5)}$$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}\,((G_{31})(t), t)) = (b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}\,(t) > (m)^{(5)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b_{30}')^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{245}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{246}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (247)

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq \tag{248}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 250

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(6)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a_{33}')^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a_{33}')^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a_{34}')^{(6)}$$

If $G_{33}\ or\ G_{34}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}\ ((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b_{33}')^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}\,(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{37} , $G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})},\widetilde{(T_{39})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{39})},\widetilde{(T_{39})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}),(T_{39}))$

It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\leq\int_0^t(a_{36})^{(7)}|G_{37}^{(1)}-G_{37}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\,ds_{(36)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}|(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})-(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(2)},s_{(36)})|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$|(G_{39})^{(1)}-(G_{39})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq \quad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right)d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)};\;(G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a_{37}')^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 / (a_{37}')^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 / (a_{38}')^{(7)}$$

If $G_{37}\ or\ G_{38}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\ ((G_{39})(t), t)) = (b_{37}')^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t), t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}\,(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t), t\right) = (b_{38}')^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have 266

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)}, (T_{43})^{(1)}), ((G_{43})^{(2)}, (T_{43})^{(2)})\right) = \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}), (T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)} - G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)} - G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}} +$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)} - G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}} +$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right) - (a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)}, s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)} - (G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)}, (T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)}, (T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by 274

$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(8)} - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\} ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a_{41}')^{(8)}G_{41}$ and by integrating

$$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a_{41}')^{(8)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a_{42}')^{(8)}$$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t), t)) = (b_{41}')^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)}), ((G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}), (\widetilde{T_{47}}) : \left((\widetilde{G_{47}}), (\widetilde{T_{47}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), (T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)} - G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right) - (a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)}, s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(9)} - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\} ds_{(44)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a_{45}')^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 / (a_{45}')^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 / (a_{46}')^{(9)}$$

If $G_{45}\ or\ G_{46}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right)) = (b_{45}')^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}\,(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) = (b_{46}')^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \le -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \le -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T^0_{13}}{T^0_{14}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(p_{13})^{(1)}-(S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)}-(p_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+G_{15}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\le G_{15}(t)\le\right. \quad (286)$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+G_{15}^{0}e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\le T_{13}(t)\le T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad (287)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\le T_{13}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad (288)$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}-(b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\le T_{15}(t)\le \quad (289)$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)}+(R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)},(S_2)^{(1)},(R_1)^{(1)},(R_2)^{(1)}$:- (290)

Where $(S_1)^{(1)}=(a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)}-(a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)}=(a_{15})^{(1)}-(p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)}=(b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)}-(b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)}=(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation (291)

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$: (292)

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\le-(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}-(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(2)} \quad (293)$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(2)}\le-(b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)-(b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(2)} \quad (294)$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)},(\nu_2)^{(2)},(u_1)^{(2)},(u_2)^{(2)}$: (295)

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(2)}<0$ the roots (296)

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ (297)

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ and (298)

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: (299)

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}<0$ the (300)

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ (301)

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\left(u^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$ 311

$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t} \le G_{18}(t) \le\right.$ 312

$\left.\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right)$

$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$ 313

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}$ 314

$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t} \le T_{18}(t) \le$ 315

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$

$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}$ 318

$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(3)}, (\nu_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(\nu_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(\nu^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(\nu^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T^0_{20}}{T^0_{21}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} \quad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad 324$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(4)}, (v_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, v^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(v_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(v^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)} v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(4)}$, $(v_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(4)} < (v_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(v_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)}+(R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}\right]+T_{26}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)},(S_2)^{(4)},(R_1)^{(4)},(R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)}=(a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)}-(a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)}=(a_{26})^{(4)}-(p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)}=(b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)}-(b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)}=(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)},(\sigma_2)^{(5)},(\tau_1)^{(5)},(\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)},(\sigma_2)^{(5)},(\tau_1)^{(5)},(\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)}\le-(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}-(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)}\le-(b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)-(b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)},(\nu_2)^{(5)},(u_1)^{(5)},(u_2)^{(5)},\nu^{(5)},u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(5)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}-(a_{28})^{(5)}=0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)}-(b_{28})^{(5)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}-(a_{28})^{(5)}=0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)}-(b_{28})^{(5)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)},(m_2)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)},(\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)},(m_2)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_0)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(5)}<(\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(5)}<(\nu_0)^{(5)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)}=\frac{G_{28}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\nu_0)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}<(\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)}=(u_0)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)}=(u_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(5)}<(u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{28}(t) \leq G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{29}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \leq G_{30}(t) \leq \right. \quad 344$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} - (b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t} \leq T_{30}(t) \leq \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)} + (R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \leq -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \leq -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, \nu^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(\nu_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}}T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}}T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}-(b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(7)}, (v_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, v^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(v_1)^{(7)} > 0, (v_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (v_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(7)} < (v_1)^{(7)}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)}$

and analogously 363

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)} + (R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0, (u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\nu_1)^{(8)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

and analogously 374

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} - (b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)} + (R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, \nu^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T^0_{44}}{T^0_{45}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

(

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G^0_{44}}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})}\Big[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\Big] + G^0_{46}e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t} \le G_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G^0_{44}}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}] + G^0_{46}e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t})$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}-(b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)}+(R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 386

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{13}'')^{(1)} = (a_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b_{13}'')^{(1)} = (b_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)}T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{dv^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)v^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}v^{(2)}$$

Definition of $v^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}, (v_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (v_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$

$$v^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(v_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}}{(v_0)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq (v_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$v^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}}{(v_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (v_1)^{(2)} < (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(v_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(v_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} \leq (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(v_1)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a''_{16})^{(2)} = (a''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)} G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)} T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{20}'')^{(3)} = (a_{21}'')^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{20}'')^{(3)} = (b_{21}'')^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a_{24}')^{(4)} - (a_{25}')^{(4)} + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right) - (a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)} G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)} T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

411

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{28}'')^{(5)} = (b_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a_{32}')^{(6)} - (a_{33}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \le u^{(6)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}\right)\leq\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}<(\nu_1)^{(7)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t)\geq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(7)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(7)}<(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}\leq\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(m_1)^{(7)},\quad\boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t)=\frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)} G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)} T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{40}'')^{(8)} = (a_{41}'')^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{40}'')^{(8)} = (b_{41}'')^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)}T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424 A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a_{44}')^{(9)} - (a_{45}')^{(9)} + (a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \leq u^{(9)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)} G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for**

the special case .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(1)} and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(2)} and\ (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0$ 439

$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0$ 440

$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0$ 441

$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0$ 442

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0$ 443

$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0$ 444

$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0$ 445

$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0$ 446

$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0$ 447

$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0$ 448

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0$ 449

$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0$ 450

$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0$ 451

$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0$ 452

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0 \quad 483$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0 \quad 484$$

$$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0 \quad 484\text{A}$$

$$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$$

$$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(l) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$F(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$$ 495

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{33}^* :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{33}^* for which $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{37}^* :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{37}^* for which $f(T_{37}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{41}^* :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{25}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507

$$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{29}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508

$$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{33}^{*} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509

$$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that

there exists a unique G_{37}^{*} such that $\varphi(G_{39}{}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510

$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right] + (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{41}^{*} such that $\varphi(G_{43}{}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right] + (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0\,, \varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{45}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^{*} given by $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0\,, T_{14}^{*}$ given by $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0\,, T_{17}^{*}$ given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \qquad 513$$

$$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \qquad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0\,, T_{21}^{*}$ given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \qquad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]} \qquad 519$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]} \qquad 521$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{40}^{*}=\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{42}^{*}=\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{40}^{*}=\frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{42}^{*}=\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523
A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*})=0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{44}^{*}=\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{46}^{*}=\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i=G_i^{*}+\mathbb{G}_i\qquad,T_i=T_i^{*}+\mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{14})^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*})=(q_{14})^{(1)}\quad,\ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(\,G^{*}\,)=s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{13}+(a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14}-(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13}-(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{15})^{(1)}+(p_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{15}+(a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14}-(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{13}+(b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{14})^{(1)}-(r_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{15}+(b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions

$(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \tag{532}$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \tag{533}$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{534}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{535}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{536}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{537}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T_{17}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{538}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T_{18}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{539}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{541}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{542}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{543}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{544}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^* \,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{31})^* \,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{33})^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T^*_{33}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{35})^*\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G^*_{34}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T^*_{32}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T^*_{33}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T^*_{34}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^{*}) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{43})^{*} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586 A

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{45})^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T^*_{45}) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b''_i)^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}(\ (G_{47})^*\ ) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ B$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ C$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ D$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ E$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ F$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ G$$

The characteristic equation of this system is 587

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(r_{18})^{(2)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}+(a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION THIRTEEN

Multifractality Of Whole-Plane SLE & LLE

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Annales Henri Poincaré August 2014 Date: 28 Aug 2014 The Coefficient Problem and Multifractality of Whole-Plane SLE & LLE Bertrand Duplantier, Chi Nguyen, Nga Nguyen, Michel Zinsmeister

(1) The latter spectrum is, furthermore, shown to be (=) intimately related, via (e&eb) the associated packing spectrum, to the (e&eb) radial SLE derivative exponents obtained by Lawler, Schramm and Werner (Acta Math 187(2):237–273, 2001), and to the (e) local SLE tip multifractal exponents obtained from (e) quantum gravity by the first author (Duplantier Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 72(2):365–482, 2004).

(2) This is generalized to (eb) the integral means spectrum of (e) the m-fold transform of the unbounded whole-plane SLE map.

(3) A succinct, preliminary, version of this study first appeared in Duplantier et al. (Coefficient estimates for whole-plane SLE processes, Hal-00609774, 2011). Communicated by Krzysztof Gawedzki **Annales Henri Poincaré August 2014 Date: 28 Aug 2014 The Coefficient Problem and Multifractality of Whole-Plane SLE & LLE Bertrand Duplantier, Chi Nguyen, Nga Nguyen, Michel Zinsmeister** This paper is concerned with computing the spectral dimension of (e) (critical) 2d-Liouville quantum gravity.

(4) As a warm-up, authors first treat the simple case of boundary Liouville quantum gravity. **Rémi Rhodes and Vincent Vargas** proves that the spectral dimension is 1 via an exact expression for (e) the boundary Liouville Brownian motion and (e&eb) heat kernel.

(5) Then authors treat the 2d-case via (e&eb) a decomposition of time integral transforms of (e) the Liouville heat kernel into (eb) Gaussian multiplicative chaos of (e) Brownian bridges.

(6) They show that the spectral dimension is (=) 2 in this case, as derived by physicists (see Ambjørn et al. in JHEP 9802:010, 1998) 15 years ago. Communicated by Anton Bovier **Annales Henri Poincaré December 2014, Volume 15, Issue 12, pp 2281-2298 Date: 17 Dec 2013 Spectral Dimension of Liouville Quantum Gravity Rémi Rhodes, Vincent Vargas**

NOTATION

Module One

The

latter spectrum is, furthermore, shown to be (=) intimately related, via (e&eb) the associated packing spectrum, to the (e&eb) radial SLE derivative exponents obtained by Lawler, Schramm and Werner (Acta

Math 187(2):237–273, 2001), and to the (e) local SLE tip multifractal exponents obtained from (e) quantum gravity by the first author (Duplantier Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 72(2):365–482, 2004).

G_{13} : Category one of latter spectrum

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of intimately related, via (e&eb) the associated packing spectrum, to the (e&eb) radial SLE derivative exponents obtained by Lawler, Schramm and Werner (Acta Math 187(2):237–273, 2001), and to the (e) local SLE tip multifractal exponents obtained from (e) quantum gravity by the first author (Duplantier Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 72(2):365–482, 2004)

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

latter spectrum is, furthermore, shown to be intimately related, via (e&eb) the associated packing spectrum, to the (e&eb) radial SLE derivative exponents obtained by Lawler, Schramm and Werner (Acta Math 187(2):237–273, 2001), and to the (e) local SLE tip multifractal exponents obtained from (e) quantum gravity by the first author (Duplantier Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 72(2):365–482, 2004).

G_{16} : Category one of latter spectrum; associated packing spectrum, to the (e&eb) radial SLE derivative exponents obtained by Lawler, Schramm and Werner (Acta Math 187(2):237–273, 2001), and to the (e) local SLE tip multifractal exponents obtained from (e) quantum gravity by the first author (Duplantier Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 72(2):365–482, 2004).

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of associated packing spectrum, to the (e&eb) radial SLE derivative exponents obtained by Lawler, Schramm and Werner (Acta Math 187(2):237–273, 2001), and to the (e) local SLE tip multifractal exponents obtained from (e) quantum gravity by the first author (Duplantier Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 72(2):365–482, 2004).; latter spectrum

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

latter spectrum is, furthermore, shown to be intimately related, via the associated packing spectrum, to the (e&eb) radial SLE derivative exponents obtained by Lawler, Schramm and Werner (Acta Math 187(2):237–273, 2001), and to the (e) local SLE tip multifractal exponents obtained from (e) quantum gravity by the first author (Duplantier Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 72(2):365–482, 2004).

G_{20} : Category one of latter spectrum is, furthermore, shown to be intimately related, via the associated packing spectrum; radial SLE derivative exponents obtained by Lawler, Schramm and Werner (Acta Math 187(2):237–273, 2001), and to the (e) local SLE tip multifractal exponents obtained from (e) quantum gravity by the first author (Duplantier Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 72(2):365–482, 2004).

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of radial SLE derivative exponents obtained by Lawler, Schramm and Werner (Acta Math 187(2):237–273, 2001), and to the (e) local SLE tip multifractal exponents obtained from (e) quantum gravity by the first author (Duplantier Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 72(2):365–482, 2004).; latter spectrum is, furthermore, shown to be intimately related, via the associated packing spectrum

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

latter spectrum is, furthermore, shown to be intimately related, via the associated packing spectrum, to the radial SLE derivative exponents obtained by Lawler, Schramm and Werner (Acta Math 187(2):237–273, 2001), and to the (e) local SLE tip multifractal exponents obtained from (e) quantum gravity by the first author (Duplantier Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 72(2):365–482, 2004).

G_{24} : Category one of latter spectrum is, furthermore, shown to be intimately related, via the associated packing spectrum, to the radial SLE derivative exponents obtained by Lawler, Schramm and Werner (Acta Math 187(2):237–273, 2001); local SLE tip multifractal exponents obtained from (e) quantum gravity by the first author (Duplantier Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 72(2):365–482, 2004).

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of local SLE tip multifractal exponents obtained from (e) quantum gravity by the first author (Duplantier Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 72(2):365–482, 2004).; latter spectrum is, furthermore, shown to be intimately related, via the associated packing spectrum, to the radial SLE derivative exponents obtained by Lawler, Schramm and Werner (Acta Math 187(2):237–273, 2001);

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

latter spectrum is, furthermore, shown to be intimately related, via the associated packing spectrum, to the radial SLE derivative exponents obtained by Lawler, Schramm and Werner (Acta Math 187(2):237–273, 2001), and to the local SLE tip multifractal exponents obtained from (e) quantum gravity by the first author (Duplantier Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 72(2):365–482, 2004).

G_{28} : Category one of quantum gravity by the first author (Duplantier Proc. Sympos. Pure Math. 72(2):365–482, 2004).

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of latter spectrum is, furthermore, shown to be intimately related, via the associated packing spectrum, to the radial SLE derivative exponents obtained by Lawler, Schramm and Werner (Acta Math 187(2):237–273, 2001), and to the local SLE tip multifractal exponents

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

This is generalized to the integral means spectrum of (e) the m-fold transform of the unbounded whole-plane SLE map

G_{32} : Category one of m-fold transform of the unbounded whole-plane SLE map

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of generalized to the integral means spectrum

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

As a warm-up, authors first treat the simple case of boundary Liouville quantum gravity. **Rémi Rhodes and Vincent Vargas** proves that the

spectral dimension is 1 via an exact expression for (e) the boundary Liouville Brownian motion and (e&eb) heat kernel

G_{36} : Category one of boundary Liouville Brownian motion and (e&eb) heat kernel

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of spectral dimension is 1 via an exact expression

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

spectral dimension is 1 via an exact expression for the boundary Liouville Brownian motion and (e&eb) heat kernel

G_{40} : Category one of spectral dimension is 1 via an exact expression for the boundary Liouville Brownian motion; heat kernel

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of heat kernel ;spectral dimension is 1 via an exact expression for the boundary Liouville Brownian motion

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

Then authors treat the

2d-case via (e&eb) a decomposition of time integral transforms of (e) the Liouville heat kernel into (eb) Gaussian multiplicative chaos of (e) Brownian bridges

G_{44} : Category one of 2d-case; decomposition of time integral transforms of (e) the Liouville heat kernel into (eb) Gaussian multiplicative chaos of (e) Brownian bridges

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of decomposition of time integral transforms of (e) the Liouville heat kernel into (eb) Gaussian multiplicative chaos of (e) Brownian bridges ;2d-case

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\,-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\,-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\,-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} & +(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} & +(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)} & +(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

$Where\ \boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}\ are\ first\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\ are\ second\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\ are\ third\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$

$are\ fourth\ \ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$

$are\ fifth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$

$are\ sixth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$

$are\ seventh\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$

$are\ eighth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{28}')^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{29}')^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{30}')^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{30} \quad (81)$$

$Where\ \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ are\ first\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$And\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ are\ second\ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\ are\ third\ \ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} \quad 85$$

$$- \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)} \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad 86$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)} \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)} \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)} \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} & \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} & \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{40}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{41}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{42}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = \ (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \qquad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - \ T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\,(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\,(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) \le (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad (113)$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (114)

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: (115)

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with (116)
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \quad (117)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24{,}25{,}26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})',t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28{,}29{,}30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - \ T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(UUU) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

(VVV) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \le (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(WWW) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(XXX)

$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}),t\big) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(YYY) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(ZZZ) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ 138

$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ 139

$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$,
Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad (146A)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + \ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 \ , \ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{42}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(m) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)}=$$
$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)}= \quad 180$$
$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} \} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on G(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. (188)

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(6)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(6)} - (a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b'_i)^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{37} , $G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)}; (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37} \; and \; G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\,((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \ge (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44{,}45{,}46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G^0_{30} e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \qquad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \qquad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \qquad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq-(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq-(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq-(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq-(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{36}'')^{(7)} = (a_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{36}'')^{(7)} = (b_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a_{40}')^{(8)} - (a_{41}')^{(8)} + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(m) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad (525)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad (526)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad (527)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (528)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (529)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (530)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (531)

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \quad (532)$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \quad (533)$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad (534)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad (535)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad (536)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (537)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

(586 A)

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\ B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION FOURTEEN

P = PSPACE Problem

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

NOTATION

Module One

(1) Then authors treat the 2d-case via (e&eb) a decomposition of time integral transforms of (e) the Liouville heat kernel into (eb) Gaussian multiplicative chaos of (e) Brownian bridges.

(2) They show that the spectral dimension is (=) 2 in this case, as derived by physicists (see Ambjørn et al. in JHEP 9802:010, 1998) 15 years ago. Communicated by Anton Bovier **Annales Henri**

Poincaré December 2014, Volume 15, Issue 12, pp 2281-2298 Date: 17 Dec 2013 Spectral Dimension of Liouville Quantum Gravity Rémi Rhodes, Vincent Vargas

Then authors treat the

2d-case via a decomposition of time integral transforms of the Liouville heat kernel into (eb) Gaussian multiplicative chaos of (e) Brownian bridges

G_{13} : Category one of Liouville heat kernel into (eb) Gaussian multiplicative chaos of (e) Brownian bridges

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of2d-case via a decomposition of time integral transforms

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

2d-case via a decomposition of time integral transforms of the Liouville heat kernel into (eb) Gaussian multiplicative chaos of (e) Brownian bridges

G_{16} : Category one of2d-case via a decomposition of time integral transforms of the Liouville heat kernel

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of Gaussian multiplicative chaos of (e) Brownian bridges

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

2d-case via a decomposition of time integral transforms of the Liouville heat kernel into Gaussian multiplicative chaos of (e) Brownian bridges

G_{20} : Category one of Brownian bridges

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of2d-case via a decomposition of time integral transforms of the Liouville heat kernel into Gaussian multiplicative chaos

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

They show that the

spectral dimension is (=) 2 in this case, as derived by physicists (see Ambjørn et al. in JHEP 9802:010, 1998) 15 years ago

Communicated by Anton Bovier **Annales Henri Poincaré December 2014, Volume 15, Issue 12, pp 2281-2298 Date: 17 Dec 2013 Spectral Dimension of Liouville Quantum Gravity Rémi Rhodes, Vincent Vargas**

G_{24} : Category one of spectral dimension

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of2 in this case, as derived by physicists (see Ambjørn et al. in JHEP 9802:010, 1998) 15 years ago (for various other systems te spectral dimensions change; alternatively we can take all the three categories to be zero)

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

P = PSPACE problem case

G_{28} : Category one of **P**

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of **PSPACE**

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

P ≠ PSPACE problem case

Should any case is unviable, then there should be contradiction in the dove tailing mathematical explanation

G_{32} : Category one of P; **PSPACE**

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of **PSPACE;P**

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

PSPACE: from Wikipedia, the free encyclopedia (Redirected from P = PSPACE problem)

List of unsolved problems in computer science
Is P = PSPACE?

In computational complexity theory, PSPACE is the set of all decision problems that can be solved by a Turing machine using a polynomial amount of space.

Formal definition


(1) If we denote by SPACE(t(n)), the set of all problems that can be solved by Turing machines using O(t(n)) space for some function t of the input size n, then we can define PSPACE formally as

$$\mathbf{PSPACE} = \bigcup_{k \in \mathbb{N}} \mathbf{SPACE}(n^k).$$

PSPACE is a strict superset of the set of context-sensitive languages.

(2) It turns out that allowing the Turing machine to be nondeterministic does not add any extra power. Because of Savitch's theorem, NPSPACE is equivalent toPSPACE, essentially because a deterministic Turing machine can simulate(e&eb) a nondeterministic Turing machine without needing much more space (even though it may use much more time). Also, the complements of all problems in PSPACE are also in PSPACE, meaning that co-PSPACE = PSPACE.

Relation among other classes



A representation of the relation among complexity classes

The following relations are known between PSPACE and the complexity classes NL, P, NP, PH, EXPTIME andEXPSPACE (note that $\subsetneq$ is not the same as $\nsubseteq$):

$$\mathbf{NL} \subsetneq \mathbf{PSPACE} \subsetneq \mathbf{EXPSPACE}$$

$$\mathbf{P} \subsetneq \mathbf{EXPTIME}$$

It is known that in the first and second line, at least one of the set containments must be strict, but it is not known which. It is widely suspected that all are strict.

The containments in the third line are both known to be strict. The first follows from direct diagonalization (thespace hierarchy theorem, NL $\subsetneq$ NPSPACE) and the fact that PSPACE = NPSPACE via Savitch's theorem. The second follows simply from the space hierarchy theorem.

The hardest problems in PSPACE are the PSPACE-Complete problems. See PSPACE-Complete for examples of problems that are suspected to be in PSPACE but not in NP.

Other characterizations

An alternative characterization of PSPACE is the set of problems decidable by an alternating Turing machine in polynomial time, sometimes called APTIME or justAP.

A logical characterization of PSPACE from descriptive complexity theory is that it is the set of problems expressible in second-order logic with the addition of atransitive closure operator. A full transitive closure is not needed; a commutative transitive closure and even weaker forms suffice. It is the addition of this operator that (possibly) distinguishes PSPACE from PH.

A major result of complexity theory is that PSPACE can be characterized as all the languages recognizable by a particular interactive proof system, the one defining the class IP. In this system, there is an all-powerful prover trying to convince a randomized polynomial-time verifier that a string is in the language. It should be able to convince the verifier with high probability if the string is in the language, but should not be able to convince it except with low probability if the string is not in the language.

PSPACE can be characterized as the quantum complexity class QIP.

PSPACE is also equal to PCTC, problems solvable by classical computers using closed timelike curves, as well as to BQPCTC, problems solvable by quantum computers using closed timelike curves.

PSPACE-completeness

A language B is PSPACE-complete if it is in PSPACE and it is PSPACE-hard, which means for all A ∈ PSPACE, A $\leq_p$ B, where A $\leq_p$ B means that there is apolynomial-time many-one reduction from A to B. PSPACE-complete problems are of great importance to studying PSPACE problems because they represent the most difficult problems in PSPACE. Finding a simple solution to a PSPACE-complete problem would mean we have a simple solution to all other problems in PSPAC E because all PSPACE problems could be reduced to a PSPACE-complete problem.

An example of a PSPACE-complete problem is the quantified Boolean formula problem (usually abbreviated to QBF or TQBF; the T stands for "true").

$$\mathbf{PSPACE} = \bigcup_{k\in\mathbb{N}} \mathbf{SPACE}(n^k).$$

G_{36} : Category one of PSPACE

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of RHS of the above equation (Model gives prediction for various time states)

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

It turns out that allowing the Turing machine to be nondeterministic does not add any extra power.

Because of Savitch's theorem, NPSPACE is equivalent toPSPACE, essentially because a deterministic Turing machine can simulate(e&eb) a nondeterministic Turing machine without needing much more space (even though it may use much more time).

Also, the complements of all problems in PSPACE are also in PSPACE, meaning that co-PSPACE = PSPACE

G_{40} : Category one of Because of Savitch's theorem, NPSPACE

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of toPSPACE, essentially because a deterministic Turing machine can simulate (e&eb) a nondeterministic Turing machine without needing much more space (even though it may use much more time).

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

Because of Savitch's theorem, NPSPACE is equivalent toPSPACE, essentially because a deterministic Turing machine can simulate(e&eb) a nondeterministic Turing machine without needing much more space (even though it may use much more time).

G_{44} : Category one of Because of Savitch's theorem, NPSPACE is equivalent toPSPACE, essentially because a deterministic Turing machine; nondeterministic Turing machine without needing much more space (even though it may use much more time).

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of nondeterministic Turing machine without needing much more space (even though it may use much more time).;Because of Savitch's theorem, NPSPACE is equivalent toPSPACE, essentially because a deterministic Turing machine

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

SECTION FIFTEEN

$NL \subsetneq PSPACE \subsetneq EXPSPACE$

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

The following relations are known between PSPACE and the complexity classes NL, P, NP, PH, EXPTIME andEXPSPACE (note that $\subsetneq$ is not the same as $\nsubseteq$):

$$NL \subsetneq PSPACE \subsetneq EXPSPACE$$

$$P \subsetneq EXPTIME$$

It is known that in the first and second line, at least one of the set containments must be strict, but it is not known which. It is widely suspected that all are strict.

The containments in the third line are both known to be strict. The first follows from direct diagonalization (thespace hierarchy theorem, NL $\subsetneq$ NPSPACE) and the fact that PSPACE = NPSPACE via Savitch's

theorem. The second follows simply from the space hierarchy theorem.

The hardest problems in PSPACE are the PSPACE-Complete problems. See PSPACE-Complete for examples of problems that are suspected to be in PSPACE but not in NP.

Other characterizations

An alternative characterization of PSPACE is the set of problems decidable by an alternating Turing machine in polynomial time, sometimes called APTIME or justAP.

A logical characterization of PSPACE from descriptive complexity theory is that it is the set of problems expressible in second-order logic with the addition of atransitive closure operator. A full transitive closure is not needed; a commutative transitive closure and even weaker forms suffice. It is the addition of this operator that (possibly) distinguishes PSPACE from PH.

A major result of complexity theory is that PSPACE can be characterized as all the languages recognizable by a particular interactive proof system, the one defining the class IP. In this system, there is an all-powerful prover trying to convince a randomized polynomial-time verifier that a string is in the language. It should be able to convince the verifier with high probability if the string is in the language, but should not be able to convince it except with low probability if the string is not in the language.

PSPACE can be characterized as the quantum complexity class QIP.

PSPACE is also equal to PCTC, problems solvable by classical computers using closed timelike curves, as well as to BQPCTC, problems solvable by quantum computers using closed timelike curves.

PSPACE-completeness

A language B is PSPACE-complete if it is in PSPACE and it is PSPACE-hard, which means for all A ∈ PSPACE, A $\leq_P$ B, where A $\leq_P$ B means that there is apolynomial-time many-one reduction from A to B. PSPACE-complete problems are of great importance to studying PSPACE problems because they represent the most difficult problems in PSPACE. Finding a simple solution to a PSPACE-complete problem would mean we have a simple solution to all other problems in PSPAC E because all PSPACE problems could be reduced to a PSPACE-complete problem.

An example of a PSPACE-complete problem is the quantified Boolean formula problem (usually abbreviated to QBF or TQBF; the T stands for "true")

NOTATION

Module One

G_{13} : Category one of **NL**

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of P

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

G_{16} : Category one of P

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of NP

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

G_{20} : Category one of

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

G_{24} : Category one of NP

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of PH

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

G_{28} : Category one of PH

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of PSPACE

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

G_{32} : Category one of PSPACE

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of EXPTIME

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

G_{36} : Category one of EXP TIME

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of EXP SPACE

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

G_{40} : Category one of EXP SPACE

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of NL

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

G_{44} : Category one of NL

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of PSPACE

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}((G_{19}),t)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19}),t)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19}),t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad (55)$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad (56)$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad (57)$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} & -(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} & -(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{24}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{25}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{26}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1, 2\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$

are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt}=(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt}=(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt}=(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{26} \quad (78)$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt}=(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{28})^{(5)} & +(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8\ ,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients*

$for\ category$ 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ $are\ \ third\ \ detrition\ coefficients$ $for\ category$ 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{32} \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{33} \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{34} \quad (87)$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ $are\ \ first\ \ augmentation\ coefficients$ $for\ category$ 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ $are\ second\ augmentation$ $coefficients\ for\ category$ 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ $are\ third\ augmentation$ $coefficients\ for\ category$ 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$
seventh augmentation coefficients
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$
Eighth augmentation coefficients
$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt}=(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{32} \quad (88)$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt}=(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{33} \quad (89)$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt}=(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{34} \quad (90)$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \qquad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt}$$ *95*

$$= (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)} \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{42})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

96

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{44}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{45}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{46}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second

augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b_{44}')^{(9)}\left[-(b_{44}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{45}')^{(9)}\left[-(b_{45}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{46}')^{(9)}\left[-(b_{46}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b_{44}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{46}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq (p_i)^{(1)} \leq (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} |T_{17} - T_{17}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} ||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad (110)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad (111)$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad (112)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(r_i)^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad (113)$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (114)

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: (115)

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)},\ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\,(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} +\ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} +\ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\,(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} +\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} +\ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le\ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}\,(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\,\big((G_{27}), t\big) =\ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30$ 122

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le (p_i)^{(5)} \le (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le (r_i)^{(5)} \le (b_i')^{(5)} \le (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)}$$ 123

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31}, t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34$$ 127

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$$ 128

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(AAAA) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38$ 131

(BBBB) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \le (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(CCCC) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(DDDD)

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(EEEE) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(FFFF) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$$ 138

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$$ 139

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$$ 140

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)}$$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$ 142

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be

noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}<1 \qquad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)},(a_i')^{(8)},(b_i)^{(8)},(b_i')^{(8)},(p_i)^{(8)},\ (r_i)^{(8)},i=40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)}+(a_i')^{(8)}+\ (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}]<1 \qquad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[\ (b_i)^{(8)}+(b_i')^{(8)}+\ (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}+(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\ (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}]<1 \qquad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)},(a_i')^{(9)},(a_i'')^{(9)},(b_i)^{(9)},(b_i')^{(9)},(b_i'')^{(9)}>0,\quad i,j=44,45,46 \qquad 146\text{A}$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)},(b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\le(p_i)^{(9)}\le(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\le\ (r_i)^{(9)}\le(b_i')^{(9)}\le(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)=(p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)=\ (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)},(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45}-\ T_{45}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t)-(b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)|<(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47})-(G_{47})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$

and $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}<1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)},(a_i')^{(9)},(b_i)^{(9)},(b_i')^{(9)},(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)},i=44,45,46,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)}+(a_i')^{(9)}+\ (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+\ (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}]<1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)}+(b_i')^{(9)}+\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}+\ (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}]<1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t)\leq\ \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ ,\ \boxed{G_i(0)=G_i^0>0}$$

$$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\quad ,\quad \boxed{T_i(0)=T_i^0>0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\quad ,\quad G_i(0)=G_i^0>0$$

$$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\quad ,\quad T_i(0)=T_i^0>0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\quad ,\quad G_i(0)=G_i^0>0$$

$$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\quad ,\quad T_i(0)=T_i^0>0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)} (G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{22}(t) = T_{22}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof: 166
Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t)-G_{20}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t)\leq G_{24}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\right)\right]ds_{(24)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{24})^{(4)}t\right)G_{25}^{0}+\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(n) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} \left(G_{37}^0 + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\right)\right] ds_{(36)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{36})^{(7)} t\right) G_{37}^0 + \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t) - G_{36}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \le \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0}{G_{37}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} \left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{45}, G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}:\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \quad 186$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on

$G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a_{14}')^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a_{14}')^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a_{15}')^{(1)}$$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If $G_{13}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t), t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}\,(t) > (m)^{(1)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\ and\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\ (G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i=16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on

(G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\} ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18}. indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)} G_{17}$ and by integrating

$$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 / (a_{17}')^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 / (a_{18}')^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16}, G_{18} and G_{16}, G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18}. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right)$, $t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 210

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}},\widetilde{T_{23}}:(\widetilde{(G_{23})},\widetilde{(T_{23})})=\mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}),(T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\,ds_{(20)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)},s_{(20)}\right)\right|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq \quad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right)d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\,(G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i=20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on

$(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a_{21}')^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a_{21}')^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a_{22}')^{(3)}$$

If $G_{21}\ or\ G_{22}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right)) = (b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}\,(t) > (m)^{(3)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq \quad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on

$(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 228

it results

$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(4)} t\right)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a_{25}')^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a_{25}')^{(4)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a_{26}')^{(4)}$

If $G_{25}\ or\ G_{26}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}\ ((G_{27})(t), t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}\,(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+\left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \tag{234}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \tag{235}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (236)

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{31}}),(\widetilde{T_{31}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{31}}),(\widetilde{T_{31}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),(T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)}-G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq \tag{237}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 239

it results

$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(5)} t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a_{29}')^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a_{29}')^{(5)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 / (a_{30}')^{(5)}$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}\,((G_{31})(t), t)) = (b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}\,(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b_{30}')^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{245}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{246}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (247)

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq \tag{248}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 250

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(6)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a_{33}')^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a_{33}')^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a_{34}')^{(6)}$$

If $G_{33}\ or\ G_{34}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}\ ((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b_{33}')^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}\,(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{37} , $G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{39}}),(\widetilde{T_{39}}):\left((\widetilde{G_{39}}),(\widetilde{T_{39}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}),(T_{39}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{37}^{(1)}-G_{37}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\,ds_{(36)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)}\right)\left|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)}\right)-(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(2)},s_{(36)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)}-(G_{39})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq \quad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right)d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a_{37}')^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 / (a_{37}')^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 / (a_{38}')^{(7)}$$

If $G_{37}\ or\ G_{38}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\ ((G_{39})(t), t)) = (b_{37}')^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t), t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}\,(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t), t\right) = (b_{38}')^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have 266

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)}, (T_{43})^{(1)}), ((G_{43})^{(2)}, (T_{43})^{(2)})\right) = \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}), (T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)} - G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)} - G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}} +$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)} - G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}} +$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right) - (a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)}, s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)} - (G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)}, (T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)}, (T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by 274

$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(8)} - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\} ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a_{41}')^{(8)}G_{41}$ and by integrating

$$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a_{41}')^{(8)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a_{42}')^{(8)}$$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t), t)) = (b_{41}')^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)}), ((G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}), (\widetilde{T_{47}}) : \left((\widetilde{G_{47}}), (\widetilde{T_{47}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), (T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)} - G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right) - (a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)}, s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(9)} - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\} ds_{(44)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a_{45}')^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 / (a_{45}')^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 / (a_{46}')^{(9)}$$

If $G_{45}\ or\ G_{46}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right)) = (b_{45}')^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}\,(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) = (b_{46}')^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \le -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \le -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$, $if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$, $if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$, $if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T^0_{13}}{T^0_{14}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(p_{13})^{(1)}-(S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)}-(p_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+G_{15}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\le G_{15}(t)\le\right. \quad (286)$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+G_{15}^{0}e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\le T_{13}(t)\le T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad (287)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\le T_{13}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad (288)$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}-(b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\le T_{15}(t)\le \quad (289)$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)}+(R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)},(S_2)^{(1)},(R_1)^{(1)},(R_2)^{(1)}$:- (290)

Where $(S_1)^{(1)}=(a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)}-(a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)}=(a_{15})^{(1)}-(p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)}=(b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)}-(b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)}=(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation (291)

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$: (292)

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\le-(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}-(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(2)} \quad (293)$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(2)}\le-(b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)-(b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(2)} \quad (294)$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)},(\nu_2)^{(2)},(u_1)^{(2)},(u_2)^{(2)}$: (295)

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(2)}<0$ the roots (296)

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ (297)

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ and (298)

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: (299)

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}<0$ the (300)

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ (301)

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\left(u^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$ 311

$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t} \le G_{18}(t) \le\right.$ 312

$\left.\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right)$

$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$ 313

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}$ 314

$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t} \le T_{18}(t) \le$ 315

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}$$ 318

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(v^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$
and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(v^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T^0_{20}}{T^0_{21}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} \quad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad 324$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(4)}, (v_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, v^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(v_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(v^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)} v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(4)}$, $(v_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(4)} < (v_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(v_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)}+(R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}\right]+T_{26}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)},(S_2)^{(4)},(R_1)^{(4)},(R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)}=(a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)}-(a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)}=(a_{26})^{(4)}-(p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)}=(b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)}-(b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)}=(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)},(\sigma_2)^{(5)},(\tau_1)^{(5)},(\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)},(\sigma_2)^{(5)},(\tau_1)^{(5)},(\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)}\le-(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}-(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)}\le-(b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)-(b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)},(\nu_2)^{(5)},(u_1)^{(5)},(u_2)^{(5)},\nu^{(5)},u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(5)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}-(a_{28})^{(5)}=0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)}-(b_{28})^{(5)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}-(a_{28})^{(5)}=0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)}-(b_{28})^{(5)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)},(m_2)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)},(\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)},(m_2)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_0)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(5)}<(\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(5)}<(\nu_0)^{(5)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)}=\frac{G_{28}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\nu_0)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}<(\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)}=(u_0)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)}=(u_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(5)}<(u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{28}(t) \leq G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{29}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \leq G_{30}(t) \leq \right. \quad 344$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} - (b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t} \leq T_{30}(t) \leq \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)} + (R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \leq -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \leq -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)}, (v_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, v^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},, (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}}T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}}T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}-(b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(7)}, (v_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, v^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(v_1)^{(7)} > 0, (v_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (v_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(7)} < (v_1)^{(7)}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)}$

and analogously 363

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)} + (R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0, (u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\nu_1)^{(8)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

and analogously 374

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} - (b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)} + (R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, \nu^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T^0_{44}}{T^0_{45}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

$$\Big(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G^0_{44}}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})}\Big[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\Big] + G^0_{46}e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t} \le G_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G^0_{44}}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}] + G^0_{46}e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}-(b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)}+(R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 386

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \le u^{(1)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)}T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{dv^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)v^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}v^{(2)}$$

Definition of $v^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}, (v_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (v_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$

$$v^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(v_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}}{(v_0)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq (v_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$v^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}}{(v_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (v_1)^{(2)} < (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(v_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(v_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} \leq (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(v_1)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a''_{16})^{(2)} = (a''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)} G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)} T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{20}'')^{(3)} = (a_{21}'')^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{20}'')^{(3)} = (b_{21}'')^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a_{24}')^{(4)} - (a_{25}')^{(4)} + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right) - (a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)} G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)} T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

411

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{28}'')^{(5)} = (b_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a_{32}')^{(6)} - (a_{33}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)}=\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(v_0)^{(6)}}{(v_0)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(v_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)}-(v_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)}-(v_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(6)}=\frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 415

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(6)}(t)=\frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \le u^{(6)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t)=\frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(v_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(v_0)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}$ then $v^{(6)}(t) = (v_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (v_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(v_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)v^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}v^{(7)}$$

Definition of $v^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}\right)\leq\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}<(\nu_1)^{(7)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t)\geq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(7)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(7)}<(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}\leq\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(m_1)^{(7)},\quad\boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t)=\frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)} G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)} T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)}T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424 A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \leq u^{(9)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)} G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for**

the special case .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(1)} and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(2)} and\ (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0$ 439

$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0$ 440

$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0$ 441

$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0$ 442

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0$ 443

$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0$ 444

$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0$ 445

$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0$ 446

$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0$ 447

$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0$ 448

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0$ 449

$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0$ 450

$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0$ 451

$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0$ 452

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0 \quad 483$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0 \quad 484$$

$$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0 \quad 484\text{A}$$

$$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$$

$$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(n) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$F(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$$ 495

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{33}^* :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{33}^* for which $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{37}^* :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{37}^* for which $f(T_{37}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{41}^* :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{25}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507

$$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{29}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508

$$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{33}^{*} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509

$$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that

there exists a unique G_{37}^{*} such that $\varphi(G_{39}{}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510

$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right] + (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{41}^{*} such that $\varphi(G_{43}{}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right] + (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{45}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^{*} given by $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$, T_{14}^{*} given by $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \qquad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$$T_{28}^* = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}^*}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{30}^* = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}^*}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]} \qquad 519$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^* given by $\varphi((G_{35})^*) = 0$, T_{33}^* given by $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{32}^* = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}^*}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{34}^* = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}^*}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$$

$$T_{32}^* = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}^*}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{34}^* = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}^*}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]} \qquad 521$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^* given by $\varphi((G_{39})^*) = 0$, T_{37}^* given by $f(T_{37}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{36}^* = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}^*}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{38}^* = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}^*}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]}$$

$$T_{36}^* = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}^*}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{38}^* = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}^*}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^*)]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^* given by $\varphi((G_{43})^*) = 0$, T_{41}^* given by $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{40}^{*}=\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{42}^{*}=\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{40}^{*}=\frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{42}^{*}=\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523
A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*})=0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{44}^{*}=\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{46}^{*}=\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i=G_i^{*}+\mathbb{G}_i\qquad,T_i=T_i^{*}+\mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*})=(q_{14})^{(1)}\quad,\ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(\,G^{*}\,)=s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{13}+(a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14}-(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13}-(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{15})^{(1)}+(p_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{15}+(a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14}-(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{13}+(b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{14})^{(1)}-(r_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{15}+(b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions

$(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \tag{532}$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \tag{533}$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{534}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{535}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{536}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{537}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T_{17}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{538}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T_{18}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{539}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{541}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{542}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{543}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{544}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^* \,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{31})^*\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{33})^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T^*_{33}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{35})^*\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G^*_{34}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T^*_{32}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T^*_{33}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T^*_{34}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^{*}) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{43})^{*} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586 A

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{45})^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T^*_{45}) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}(\ (G_{47})^*\ ) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ B$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ C$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ D$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ E$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ F$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ G$$

The characteristic equation of this system is 587

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(r_{18})^{(2)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}+(a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION SIXTEEN

Douglas String Equation And Liouville Gravity

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Journal of High Energy Physics January 2014, 2014:156, Open Access Date: 28 Jan 2014 Minimal Liouville gravity correlation numbers from Douglas string equation Alexander Belavin, Boris Dubrovin, and Baur Mukhametzhanov

(1) **Alexander Belavin, Boris Dubrovin, and Baur Mukhametzhanov make an extended analysis of (e)** the study of (q, p) Minimal Liouville Gravity with the help of (e) Douglas string equation.

(2) **Authors** generalize the results of [1, 2], where Lee-Yang series (2, 2s + 1) was studied, to (eb) (3, 3s + p 0) Minimal Liouville Gravity, where p 0 = 1, 2

(3) **They** demonstrate that there exist such coordinates τ m,n on (eb) the space of the perturbed Minimal Liouville Gravity theories, in which the partition function of the theory is determined by (e) the Douglas string equation.

(4) The coordinates τ m,n are related in a non-linear fashion to (e&eb) the natural coupling constants λ m,n of the perturbations of Minimal Lioville Gravity by (e) the physical operators O m,n .

(5) They find this relation from the requirement that the correlation numbers in Minimal Liouville Gravity must satisfy (eb) the conformal and fusion selection rules.

(6) After fixing this relation they compute (eb) three- and four-point correlation numbers when they are not zero.

(7) The results are in agreement with the direct calculations in (eb) Minimal Liouville Gravity available in the literature [3–5]. ArXiv ePrint: 1310.5659 **Journal of High Energy Physics January 2014, 2014:156, Open Access Date: 28 Jan 2014 Minimal Liouville gravity correlation numbers from Douglas string equation Alexander Belavin, Boris Dubrovin, and Baur Mukhametzhanov**

NOTATION

Module One

Alexander Belavin, Boris Dubrovin, and Baur Mukhametzhanov make an extended analysis of (e) the study of (q, p) Minimal Liouville Gravity with the help of (e) Douglas string equation

G_{13} : Category one of Douglas string equation

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of study of (q, p) Minimal Liouville Gravity

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

Authors generalize the

results of [1, 2], where Lee-Yang series (2, 2s + 1) was studied, to (eb) (3, 3s + p 0) Minimal Liouville Gravity, where p 0 = 1, 2

G_{16} : Category one of results of [1, 2], where Lee-Yang series (2, 2s + 1)

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of(3, 3s + p 0) Minimal Liouville Gravity, where p 0 = 1, 2

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

They demonstrate that there

existence such coordinates τ m,n on the space of the perturbed Minimal Liouville Gravity theories, in which the partition function of the theory is determined by (e) the Douglas string equation

G_{20} : Category one of Douglas string equation

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of existence such coordinates τ m,n on the space of the perturbed Minimal Liouville Gravity theories, in which the partition function of the theory

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

The

coordinates τ m,n are related in a non-linear fashion to (e&eb) the natural coupling constants λ m,n of the perturbations of Minimal Lioville Gravity by (e) the physical operators O m,n

G_{24} : Category one of coordinates τ m,n are related in a non-linear fashion; natural coupling constants λ m,n of the perturbations of Minimal Lioville Gravity by (e) the physical operators O m,n

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of natural coupling constants λ m,n of the perturbations of Minimal Lioville Gravity by (e) the physical operators O m,n ;coordinates τ m,n are related in a non-linear fashion

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

They find this

relation from the requirement that the correlation numbers in Minimal Liouville Gravity must satisfy (eb) the conformal and fusion selection rules

G_{28} : Category one of relation from the requirement that the correlation numbers in Minimal Liouville Gravity

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of conformal and fusion selection rules

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

After fixing this relation they compute (eb)

three- and four-point correlation numbers when (e) they are not zero

G_{32} : Category one of they are not zero

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of three- and four-point correlation numbers

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

(1) An alternative characterization of PSPACE is the set of problems decidable by an alternating Turing machine in polynomial time, sometimes called APTIME or justAP.
(2) A logical characterization of PSPACE from descriptive complexity theory is that it is (=) the set of problems expressible in second-order logic with the addition of atransitive closure operator.
(3) A full transitive closure is not needed; a commutative transitive closure and even weaker forms

suffice. It is the addition of this operator that (possibly) distinguishes PSPACE from PH.

(4) A major result of complexity theory is that PSPACE can be characterized as all the languages recognizable by a particular interactive proof system, the one defining the class IP. In this system, there is an all-powerful prover trying to convince a randomized polynomial-time verifier that a string is in the language. It should be able to convince the verifier with high probability if the string is in the language, but should not be able to convince it except with low probability if the string is not in the language.

PSPACE can be characterized as the quantum complexity class QIP.

PSPACE is also equal to PCTC, problems solvable by classical computers using closed timelike curves, as well as to BQPCTC, problems solvable by quantum computers using closed timelike curves.

PSPACE-completeness

A language B is PSPACE-complete if it is in PSPACE and it is PSPACE-hard, which means for all A ∈ PSPACE, A $\leq_p$ B, where A $\leq_p$ B means that there is apolynomial-time many-one reduction from A to B. PSPACE-complete problems are of great importance to studying PSPACE problems because they represent the most difficult problems in PSPACE. Finding a simple solution to a PSPACE-complete problem would mean we have a simple solution to all other problems in PSPAC E because all PSPACE problems could be reduced to a PSPACE-complete problem.

An example of a PSPACE-complete problem is the quantified Boolean formula problem (usually abbreviated to QBF or TQBF; the T stands for "true")

G_{36} : Category one of alternative characterization of PSPACE

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of set of problems decidable by an alternating Turing machine in polynomial time, sometimes called APTIME or justAP.

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

A

Logical characterization of PSPACE from descriptive complexity theory is that it is (=) the set of problems expressible in second-order logic with the addition of atransitive closure operator.

G_{40} : Category one of logical characterization of PSPACE from descriptive complexity theory, P SPACE

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of expressible in second-order logic with the addition of atransitive closure operator.

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

A full transitive closure is not needed; a commutative transitive closure and even weaker forms suffice.

It is the

addition of this operator that (possibly) distinguishes PSPACE from PH

G_{44} : Category one of addition of this operator; PSPACE from PH

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of PSPACE from PH ;addition of this operator

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}, \ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \qquad 1$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**
$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**
Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$
$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$
$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$
$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$
$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$
$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**
$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**
Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$
$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$
$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$
$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$
$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$
$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**
Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$
$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$
$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$
$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$
$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$
$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**
Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$
$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$
$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)} & +(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)} & +(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition

coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\,+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;\; +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;\; +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;\; +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;\; +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;\; +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;\; +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{20} \qquad 67$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\,+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;\; +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;\; +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;\; +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;\; +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;\; +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;\; +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{21} \qquad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\,+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;\; +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;\; +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;\; +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;\; +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;\; +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;\; +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{22} \qquad 69$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for

category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} & -(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad 70$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} & -(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad 71$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad 72$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} & +(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} & +(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$

are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{28}')^{(5)} & +(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \quad +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{28} \quad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{29}')^{(5)} & +(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \quad +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{30}')^{(5)} & +(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \quad +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
And $+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3
$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation

coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad (84)$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & +(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)} & +(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{36}')^{(7)} & +(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{37}')^{(7)} & +(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{38}')^{(7)} & +(a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{45})^{(9)} \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{46})^{(9)} \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{44})^{(9)} \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b'_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G', t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$. (T_{14}', t) and (T_{14}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(\mathrm{p_i})^{(2)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}, \ (\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\,(G_{23},t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\,(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\,(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$

$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \le \ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}\,(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)}$ 118

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\,\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}\,(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}\,(G_{31}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34$$ 127

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}\,(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$$ 128

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\,\big((G_{35}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(GGGG) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38$ 131

(HHHH)The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(IIII) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(JJJJ)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(KKKK) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(LLLL) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\,(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\,(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) \le (p_i)^{(8)} \le (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) \le (r_i)^{(8)} \le (b_i')^{(8)} \le (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \quad 140$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \quad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}|T_{41} - T_{41}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})',t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$. (T_{41}',t) and (T_{41},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \quad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \quad 146A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) \le (p_i)^{(9)} \le (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) \le (r_i)^{(9)} \le (b_i')^{(9)} \le (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45} - T_{45}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \;,\quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \;,\quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \;,\quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \;,\quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}$, $T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}$, 155

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 156

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 157

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''^{(2)}_{16}\right)\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)}\right)\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''^{(3)}_{20}\right)\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(o) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$

$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $\left(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \qquad 186$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+\ (a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\ (t)=0\ and\ T_i\ (t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\ (t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(1)}-(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}),s_{(13)})\}ds_{(13)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$$T_i\ (t)\geq T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13}<(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1-(a'_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2=G_{14}^0+2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3=G_{15}^0+2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 193
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|\mathrm{e}^{-(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathrm{d}\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ and $(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $\mathrm{G}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{T}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) = 0$ 200

it results

$\mathrm{G}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{G}_i^0\mathrm{e}^{\left[-\int_0^{\mathrm{t}}\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}\mathrm{d}s_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$\mathrm{T}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{T}_i^0\mathrm{e}^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}\mathrm{t})} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$\mathrm{G}_{16} < (\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{\mathrm{dG}_{17}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{17}$ and by integrating

$\mathrm{G}_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = \mathrm{G}_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$\mathrm{G}_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = \mathrm{G}_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{\mathrm{t}\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}\,((G_{19})(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{t})) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $\mathrm{T}_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on $(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(7)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(7)} - (a''_i)^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b'_i)^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$$ 267

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$$ 268

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(1)}, (v_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(v_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28}e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}}G^0_{28}e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}}G^0_{28}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G^0_{30}e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

406

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

407

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{36}'')^{(7)} = (a_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{36}'')^{(7)} = (b_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a_{40}')^{(8)} - (a_{41}')^{(8)} + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(o) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^{*} given by $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$, T_{14}^{*} given by $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \qquad 513$$

$$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \qquad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \qquad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)}$, $\frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{35})^*) = s_{ij}$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION SEVENTEEN

Mandelbrot Cascades

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Communications in Mathematical Physics January 2014, Volume 325, Issue 2, pp 685-711 Date: 01 Nov 2013 Critical Mandelbrot Cascades Julien Barral, Antti Kupiainen, Miika Nikula, Eero Saksman, Christian Webb

(1) Julien Barral, Antti Kupiainen, Miika Nikula, Eero Saksman, Christian Webb study Mandelbrot's multiplicative cascade measures at (eb) the critical temperature.

(2) As has been recently shown by Barral et al. (C R Acad Sci Paris Ser I 350:535–538, 2012), an

appropriately normalized sequence of cascade measures converges (e) weakly in probability to a nontrivial limit measure.

(3) They prove that these limit measures have (e) no atoms and give (eb) bounds for the modulus of continuity of (e) the cumulative distribution function of the measure.

(4) Using the earlier work of Barral and Seuret (Adv Math 214:437–468, 2007), compute the multifractal spectrum of (e) the measures.

(5) They also extend the result of Benjamini and Schramm (Commun Math Phys 289:653–662, 2009), in which the KPZ formula from quantum gravity is validated for (e) the high temperature cascade measures, to the critical and low temperature cases. Communicated by F. Toninelli **Communications in Mathematical Physics January 2014, Volume 325, Issue 2, pp 685-711 Date: 01 Nov 2013 Critical Mandelbrot Cascades Julien Barral, Antti Kupiainen, Miika Nikula, Eero Saksman, Christian Webb**

OTATION

Module One

Julien Barral, Antti Kupiainen, Miika Nikula, Eero Saksman, Christian Webb study

Mandelbrot's multiplicative cascade measures at (eb) the critical temperature

G_{13} : Category one of Mandelbrot's multiplicative cascade measures

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of critical temperature

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

As has been recently shown by Barral et al. (C R Acad Sci Paris Ser I 350:535–538, 2012), an appropriately

Normalized sequence of cascade measures converges (e) weakly in probability to a nontrivial limit measure.

G_{16} : Category one of weakly in probability to a nontrivial limit measure

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of normalized sequence of cascade measures

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

Normalized sequence of cascade measures converges weakly in probability to a nontrivial limit measure

G_{20} : Category one of Normalized sequence of cascade measures converges weakly

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of probability to a nontrivial limit measure

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

They prove that these

limit measures have (e) no atoms and give (eb) bounds for the modulus of continuity of (e) the cumulative distribution function of the measure

G_{24} : Category one of atoms and give (eb) bounds for the modulus of continuity of (e) the cumulative distribution function of the measure

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of limit measures

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

limit measures have no atoms and give (eb) bounds for the modulus of continuity of (e) the cumulative distribution function of the measure

G_{28} : Category one of limit measures have no atoms

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of bounds for the modulus of continuity of (e) the cumulative distribution function of the measure

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

limit measures have no atoms and give bounds for the modulus of continuity of (e) the cumulative distribution function of the measure

G_{32} : Category one of cumulative distribution function of the measure

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of limit measures have no atoms and give bounds for the modulus of continuity

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

Using the earlier work of Barral and Seuret (Adv Math 214:437–468, 2007), compute the

multifractal spectrum of (e) the measures

G_{36} : Category one of measures

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of multifractal spectrum

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

They also extend the result of Benjamini and Schramm (Commun Math Phys 289:653–662, 2009), in which the

KPZ formula from quantum gravity is validated for (e) the high temperature cascade measures, to the critical and low temperature cases.

Communicated by F. Toninelli **Communications in Mathematical Physics January 2014, Volume 325, Issue 2, pp 685-711 Date: 01 Nov 2013 Critical Mandelbrot Cascades Julien Barral, Antti Kupiainen, Miika Nikula, Eero Saksman, Christian Webb**

G_{40} : Category one of high temperature cascade measures, to the critical and low temperature cases

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of KPZ formula from quantum gravity is validated

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

T Banks and W Fischler elucidate a new version of holographic cosmology, which is compatible with present observations.

A primordial p = ρ phase of the universe is followed by (e) a brief matter dominated era and a brief period of inflation, whose termination (e) heats the universe

G_{44} : Category one of brief matter dominated era and a brief period of inflation, whose termination (e) heats the universe

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of primordial p = ρ phase of the universe

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},\ \ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}\ ,$
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$

$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{14}$ 5

$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{15}$ 6

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{16}$ 7

$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{17}$ 8

$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{18}$ 9

$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{16}$ 10

$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{17}$ 11

$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{18}$ 12

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{20}$ 13

$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{21}$ 14

$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{22}$ 15

$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{20}$ 16

$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{21}$ 17

$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{22}$ 18

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24}$ 19

$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25}$ 20

$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26}$ 21

$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{24}$ 22

$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{25}$ 23

$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{26}$ 24

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition

coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\,+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;\; +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;\; +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;\; +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;\; +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;\; +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;\; +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{20} \quad 67$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\,+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;\; +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;\; +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;\; +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;\; +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;\; +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;\; +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\,+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;\; +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;\; +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;\; +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;\; +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;\; +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;\; +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{22} \quad 69$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for

category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} & -(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad 70$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} & -(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad 71$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad 72$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} & +(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} & +(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$

are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{28}')^{(5)} & +(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \quad +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{29}')^{(5)} & +(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \quad +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{30}')^{(5)} & +(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \quad +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{30} \quad (81)$$

Where $+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
And $+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3
$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation

coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad (84)$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & +(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)} & +(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{36}')^{(7)}+(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{37}')^{(7)}+(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{38}')^{(7)}+(a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}$$
$$- \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)} \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}$$
$$- \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)} \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)} \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b'_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G', t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$. (T_{14}', t) and (T_{14}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}, \ (\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\,(G_{23},t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\,(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\,(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$

$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \le \ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}\,(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)}$ 118

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\,\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}\,(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}\,(G_{31}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}\,(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\,\big((G_{35}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(MMMM) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38$ 131

(NNNN)The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(OOOO) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} \ (a_i'')^{(7)}\,(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$
(PPPP)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(QQQQ) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(RRRR) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\,(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\,(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) \le (p_i)^{(8)} \le (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) \le (r_i)^{(8)} \le (b_i')^{(8)} \le (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \quad 140$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \quad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}|T_{41} - T_{41}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})',t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$. (T_{41}',t) and (T_{41},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \quad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \quad 146A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) \le (p_i)^{(9)} \le (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) \le (r_i)^{(9)} \le (b_i')^{(9)} \le (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45} - T_{45}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \;,\quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \;,\quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \;,\quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \;,\quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}$, $T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}$, 155

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 156

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 157

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\bar{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\bar{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''^{(2)}_{16}\right)\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\Big] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)}\right)\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\Big] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\Big] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\Big] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\Big] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\Big] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\bar{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\bar{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''^{(3)}_{20}\right)\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\Big] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\Big] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\Big] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) G_{28}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)},$

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad (169)$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (170)

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that (171)

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (172)

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that (173)

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (174)

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(p) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$

$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$

$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$

$G_{13}^{(2)}|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq$ 186

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+\ (a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\ (t)=0\ and\ T_i\ (t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$G_i\ (t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(1)}-(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}),s_{(13)})\}ds_{(13)}\right]}\geq 0$

$T_i\ (t)\geq T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)}>0\ \ \text{for t}>0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13}<(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1-(a'_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}$ and by integrating

$G_{14}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2=G_{14}^0+2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a'_{14})^{(1)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{15}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3=G_{15}^0+2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a'_{15})^{(1)}$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If $G_{13}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37} \; and \; G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\,((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44{,}45{,}46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G^0_{30} e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(7)}, (v_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, v^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(v_1)^{(7)} > 0, (v_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (v_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(7)} < (v_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (v_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad (378)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad (379)$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad (380)$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- (381)

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 (382)

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \le u^{(2)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

406

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

407

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(p) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^{*} given by $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$, T_{14}^{*} given by $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \qquad 513$$

$$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \qquad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \qquad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^{*}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^{*}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\ B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION EIGHTEEN

Primordial p = ρ Phase Of The Universe Is Followed By A Brief Matter Dominated Era And A Brief Period Of Inflation, Whose Termination Heats The Universe

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

(1) T Banks and W Fischler elucidate a new version of holographic cosmology, which is compatible with present observations. A primordial p = ρ phase of the universe is followed by (e) a brief matter dominated era and a brief period of inflation, whose termination (e) heats the universe.

(2) The flatness and horizon problems are solved by (e) the p = ρ dynamics.

(3) The model is characterized by two parameters, which should be calculated in a more fundamental

approach to the theory. For a large range in the phenomenologically allowed parameter space, the observed fluctuations in the cosmic microwave background were generated during (e&eb) the p = ρ era, and are exactly scale invariant.

(4) The scale invariant spectrum cuts off (e) sharply at both upper and lower ends and this may have (e) observational consequences.

(5) They argue that the amplitude of fluctuations is small but cannot yet calculate it precisely. **T Banks and W Fischler 2005 Phys. Scr. 2005 56 doi:10.1238/Physica.Topical.117a00056 Holographic Cosmology**

NOTATION

Module One

T Banks and W Fischler elucidate a new version of holographic cosmology, which is compatible with present observations.

A primordial p = ρ phase of the universe is followed by (e) a brief matter dominated era and a brief period of inflation, whose termination (e) heats the universe

G_{13} : Category one of brief matter dominated era and a brief period of inflation, whose termination (e) heats the universe

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of primordial p = ρ phase of the universe

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

A

primordial p = ρ phase of the universe is followed by a brief matter dominated era and a brief period of inflation, whose termination (e) heats the universe

G_{16} : Category one of primordial p = ρ phase of the universe is followed by a brief matter dominated era and a brief period of inflation, whose termination

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of heats the universe (temperature increase of the universe)

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

The

flatness and horizon problems are solved by (e) the p = ρ dynamics

G_{20} : Category one of p = ρ dynamics

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of flatness and horizon problems

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

The model is characterized by two parameters, which should be calculated in a more fundamental approach to the theory.

observed fluctuations in the cosmic microwave background For a large range in the phenomenologically allowed parameter space were generated during (e&eb) the p = ρ era, and are exactly scale invariant

G_{24} : Category one of observed fluctuations in the cosmic microwave background For a large range in the phenomenologically allowed parameter space were generated; p = ρ era, and are exactly scale invariant

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of p = ρ era, and are exactly scale invariant ;observed fluctuations in the cosmic microwave background For a large range in the phenomenologically allowed parameter space were generated

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

The

scale invariant spectrum cuts off (e) sharply at both upper and lower ends and this may have (e) observational consequences

G_{28} : Category one of sharply at both upper and lower ends and this may have (e) observational consequences

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of scale invariant spectrum

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

scale invariant spectrum cuts off sharply at both upper and lower ends and this may have (e) observational consequences

G_{32} : Category one of scale invariant spectrum cuts off sharply at both upper and lower ends

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of observational consequences

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

They argue that the

amplitude of fluctuations is small

but cannot yet calculate it precisely. **T Banks and W Fischler 2005 Phys. Scr. 2005 56 doi:10.1238/Physica.Topical.117a00056 Holographic Cosmology**

G_{36} : Category one of amplitude of fluctuations

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of smallness of amplitude of fluctuations

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

PSPACE-completeness (Wikipedia)

(1) A language B is PSPACE-complete if (e) it is in PSPACE and it is PSPACE-hard,
(2) This means for all A ∈ PSPACE, A $\leq_p$ B, where A $\leq_p$ B means that (eb) there is apolynomial-time many-one reduction from A to B
(3) PSPACE-complete problems are of great importance to (e) studying PSPACE problems because (e) they represent the most difficult problems in PSPACE.
(4) Finding a simple solution to a PSPACE-complete problem would mean we have a simple solution to all other problems in PSPAC E because all PSPACE problems could be reduced to a PSPACE-complete problem.

An example of a PSPACE-complete problem is the quantified Boolean formula problem (usually

abbreviated to QBF or TQBF; the T stands for "true")

language B is PSPACE-complete if (e) it is in PSPACE and it is PSPACE-hard

G_{40} : Category one of it is in PSPACE and it is PSPACE-hard

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of language B is PSPACE-complete

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

This means for all

A ∈ PSPACE, A $\leq_P$ B, where A $\leq_P$ B means that (eb) there is apolynomial-time many-one reduction from A to B

G_{44} : Category one of A ∈ PSPACE, A $\leq_P$ B, where A $\leq_P$ B

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of there is apolynomial-time many-one reduction from A to B

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}$ $(a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}$ $(b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}$ $(a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$
$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$
$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$
$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$
$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}), t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}), t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation

coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\left[-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\left[-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\left[-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} & \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} & \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad 67$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} & -(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad 70$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} & -(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad 71$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad 72$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt}=(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{24})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}=(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{25})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt}=(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{26})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt}=(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{24})^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt}=(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{25})^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)} & +(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)} & +(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)} & +(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

And $+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are fourth augmentation

coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3
$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} \quad 85$$

$$- \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad 86$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{40}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{41}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{42}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = \ (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \qquad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - \ T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\,(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\,(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) \le (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) = (p_i)^{(3)}$ 113

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24{,}25{,}26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})',t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28{,}29{,}30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(SSSS) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

(TTTT) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$

$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \leq (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ 132

(UUUU) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(VVVV)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}),t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(WWWW) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(XXXX) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38,$ satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ 138

$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ 139

$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$,
Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad (146A)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + \ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 \ , \ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \qquad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \qquad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \qquad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(q) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$

$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. (188)

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}ds_{(16)} +$

$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|\mathrm{e}^{-(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathrm{d}\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ and $(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $\mathrm{G}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{T}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) = 0$ 200

it results

$\mathrm{G}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{G}_i^0\mathrm{e}^{\left[-\int_0^{\mathrm{t}}\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}\mathrm{d}s_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$\mathrm{T}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{T}_i^0\mathrm{e}^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}\mathrm{t})} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$\mathrm{G}_{16} < (\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{\mathrm{dG}_{17}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{17}$ and by integrating

$\mathrm{G}_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = \mathrm{G}_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$\mathrm{G}_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = \mathrm{G}_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{\mathrm{t}\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}\,((G_{19})(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{t})) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $\mathrm{T}_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+\ (a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{35})}, \widetilde{(T_{35})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{35})}, \widetilde{(T_{35})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$sup_i\{max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44{,}45{,}46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28}e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}}G^0_{28}e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}}G^0_{28}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G^0_{30}e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \le u^{(2)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

406

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

407

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\ ]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\ ]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\ ]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(q) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\ B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION NINETEEN

Holonomic Approach To Quantum Computation

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Non-Abelian Berry connections for quantum computation Phys. Rev. A 61, 010305(R) – Published 13 December 1999 Jiannis Pachos, Paolo Zanardi, and Mario Rasetti

(1) In the holonomic approach to quantum computation, information is encoded in (eb) a degenerate eigenspace of a parametric family of Hamiltonians and manipulated by (e) the associated holonomic gates.

(2) These are realized in terms of the non-Abelian Berry connection and are obtained by (e) driving the

control parameters along adiabatic loops.

(3) Authors show how it is possible for a specific model to explicitly determine the loops generating any desired logical gate, thus producing (eb) a universal set of unitary transformations.

(4) In a multipartite system unitary transformations can be implemented efficiently by (e) sequences of local holonomic gates.

(5) Moreover, a conceptual scheme for obtaining the required Hamiltonian family, based on (e) frequently repeated pulses, is discussed, together with a possible process whereby (eb) the initial state can be prepared and the final one can be measured. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.61.010305

NOTATION

Module One

In the holonomic approach to quantum computation,

Information is encoded in (eb) a degenerate eigenspace of a parametric family of Hamiltonians and manipulated by (e) the associated holonomic gates.

G_{13} : Category one of information is encoded

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of degenerate eigenspace of a parametric family of Hamiltonians and manipulated by (e) the associated holonomic gates

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

Information is encoded in a degenerate eigenspace of a parametric family of Hamiltonians and manipulated by (e) the associated holonomic gates.

G_{16} : Category one of Information is encoded in a degenerate eigenspace of a parametric family of Hamiltonians; associated holonomic gates

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of associated holonomic gates; Information is encoded in a degenerate eigenspace of a parametric family of Hamiltonians

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

These are realized in terms of the non-Abelian Berry connection and are obtained by (e) driving the control parameters along adiabatic loops

G_{20} : Category one of driving the control parameters along adiabatic loops

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of these are realized in terms of the non-Abelian Berry connection and are obtained

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

Authors show how it is possible for a

Specific model to explicitly determine (eb) the loops generating any desired logical gate, thus producing (eb) a universal set of unitary transformations.

G_{24} : Category one of specific model

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of loops generating any desired logical gate, thus producing (eb) a universal set of unitary transformations

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

Specific model to explicitly determine the loops generating any desired logical gate, thus producing (eb) a universal set of unitary transformations.

G_{28} : Category one of Specific model to explicitly determine the loops generating any desired logical gate

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of universal set of unitary transformations

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

unitary transformations can be implemented in a multipartite system efficiently by (e) sequences of local holonomic gates

G_{32} : Category one of sequences of local holonomic gates

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of unitary transformations can be implemented in a multipartite system

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

Moreover, a

conceptual scheme for obtaining the required Hamiltonian family, based on (e) frequently repeated pulses, is discussed, together with a possible process whereby (eb) the initial state can be prepared and the final one can be measured. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevA.61.010305

G_{36} : Category one of frequently repeated pulses

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of Hamiltonian family

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

Process whereby (eb) the initial state can be prepared and the final one can be measured.

G_{40} : Category one of Process

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of initial state can be prepared and the final one can be measured

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

initial state can be prepared and the final one can be measured

G_{44} : Category one of initial state can be prepared; final one can be measured

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of final one can be measured; initial state can be prepared

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**
$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**
Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$
$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$
$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$
$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$
$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$
$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**
Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$
$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$
$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$
$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$
$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$
$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**
Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$
$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$
$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$
$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$
$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$
$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$
$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$
$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$
$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)} & +(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)} & +(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)} & +(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} & -(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} & -(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad 72$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1, 2\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$

$are\ fourth\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$
$are\ fifth\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$
$are\ sixth\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$
$are\ seventh\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$
$are\ eighth\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

$Where\ -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ $are\ first\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ $are\ second\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ $are\ third\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$
$are\ fourth\ \ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
$are\ fifth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
$are\ sixth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$
$are\ seventh\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
$are\ eighth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad (81)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad (84)$$

$where\ \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \ are\ first\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\ are\ second\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}\ are\ third\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\ ,\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad (87)$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\ ,\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\ ,\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\ are\ first\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ ,\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ ,\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ are\ second\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ ,\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ ,\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \ are\ third\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ ,\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ ,\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ ,\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ ,\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation

coefficients

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)} & -(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad (88)$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)} & -(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad (89)$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)} & -(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad (90)$$

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \qquad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt}$$ *95*

$$= (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{42})^{(8)} \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (b'_{40})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (b'_{41})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (b'_{42})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

96

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{44}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{45}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{46}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second

augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b_{44}')^{(9)}\left[-(b_{44}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{45}')^{(9)}\left[-(b_{45}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{46}')^{(9)}\left[-(b_{46}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b_{44}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{46}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq (p_i)^{(1)} \leq (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} |T_{17} - T_{17}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} ||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad (110)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad (111)$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad (112)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(r_i)^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad (113)$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (114)

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: (115)

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)},\ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\,(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} +\ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} +\ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\,(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} +\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} +\ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le\ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}\,(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\,\big((G_{27}), t\big) =\ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31}, t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34$$ 127

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$$ 128

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(YYYY) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38$ 131

(ZZZZ) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \le (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(AAAAA) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(BBBBB)

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(CCCCC) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(DDDDD) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le (p_i)^{(8)} \le (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \le (r_i)^{(8)} \le (b_i')^{(8)} \le (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \qquad 140$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \qquad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be

noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}<1 \qquad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)},(a_i')^{(8)},(b_i)^{(8)},(b_i')^{(8)},(p_i)^{(8)},\ (r_i)^{(8)},i=40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)}+(a_i')^{(8)}+\ (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}]<1 \qquad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[\ (b_i)^{(8)}+(b_i')^{(8)}+\ (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}+(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\ (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}]<1 \qquad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)},(a_i')^{(9)},(a_i'')^{(9)},(b_i)^{(9)},(b_i')^{(9)},(b_i'')^{(9)}>0,\quad i,j=44,45,46 \qquad 146A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)},(b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\le(p_i)^{(9)}\le(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\le\ (r_i)^{(9)}\le(b_i')^{(9)}\le(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)=(p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)=\ (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)},(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45}-\ T_{45}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t)-(b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)|<(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47})-(G_{47})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$

and $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}<1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)},(a_i')^{(9)},(b_i)^{(9)},(b_i')^{(9)},(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)},i=44,45,46,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)}+(a_i')^{(9)}+\ (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+\ (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}]<1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)}+(b_i')^{(9)}+\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}+\ (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}]<1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t)\leq\ \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ ,\ \boxed{G_i(0)=G_i^0>0}$$

$$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\quad ,\quad \boxed{T_i(0)=T_i^0>0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\quad ,\quad G_i(0)=G_i^0>0$$

$$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\quad ,\quad T_i(0)=T_i^0>0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\quad ,\quad G_i(0)=G_i^0>0$$

$$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\quad ,\quad T_i(0)=T_i^0>0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$, 155

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ 156

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ 157

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) G_{13}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) G_{14}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) G_{15}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{13}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{14}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{15}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) G_{16}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)}) \right) G_{17}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) G_{18}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)} (G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) T_{16}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{22}(t) = T_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof: 166
Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t)-G_{20}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t)\leq G_{24}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\right)\right]ds_{(24)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{24})^{(4)}t\right)G_{25}^{0}+\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(r) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} \left(G_{37}^0 + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\right)\right] ds_{(36)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{36})^{(7)} t\right) G_{37}^0 + \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t) - G_{36}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \le \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0}{G_{37}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} \left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{45}, G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}:\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \quad 186$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on

$G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a_{14}')^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a_{14}')^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a_{15}')^{(1)}$$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If $G_{13}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t), t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}\,(t) > (m)^{(1)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\ and\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\ (G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i=16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on

(G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\} ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)} G_{17}$ and by integrating

$$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 / (a_{17}')^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 / (a_{18}')^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right)$, $t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 210

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}},\widetilde{T_{23}}:(\widetilde{(G_{23})},\widetilde{(T_{23})})=\mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}),(T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\,ds_{(20)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)},s_{(20)}\right)\right|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq \quad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right)d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\,(G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i=20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on

$(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a_{21}')^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a_{21}')^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a_{22}')^{(3)}$$

If $G_{21}\ or\ G_{22}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}\,(t) > (m)^{(3)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq \quad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on

$(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 228

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(4)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a_{25}')^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a_{25}')^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a_{26}')^{(4)}$$

If $G_{25}\ or\ G_{26}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}\ ((G_{27})(t), t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25}\ \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t\ > t_4$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}\,(t) > (m)^{(4)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+\left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{31}}),(\widetilde{T_{31}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{31}}),(\widetilde{T_{31}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),(T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)}-G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq \quad 237$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 238

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 239

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(5)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a_{29}')^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a_{29}')^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 / (a_{30}')^{(5)}$$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}\,((G_{31})(t), t)) = (b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}\,(t) > (m)^{(5)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b_{30}')^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{245}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{246}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (247)

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq \tag{248}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 250

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(6)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a_{33}')^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a_{33}')^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a_{34}')^{(6)}$$

If $G_{33}\ or\ G_{34}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}\ ((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b_{33}')^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}\,(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{37} , $G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \tag{256}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \tag{257}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (258)

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})},\widetilde{(T_{39})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{39})},\widetilde{(T_{39})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}),(T_{39}))$

It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\leq\int_0^t(a_{36})^{(7)}|G_{37}^{(1)}-G_{37}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\,ds_{(36)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}|(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})-(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(2)},s_{(36)})|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$|(G_{39})^{(1)}-(G_{39})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq \tag{259}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right)d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)};\;(G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (260)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a_{37}')^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 / (a_{37}')^{(7)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 / (a_{38}')^{(7)}$

If $G_{37}\ or\ G_{38}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\ ((G_{39})(t), t)) = (b_{37}')^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t), t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}\,(t) > (m)^{(7)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t), t\right) = (b_{38}')^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have 266

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)}, (T_{43})^{(1)}), ((G_{43})^{(2)}, (T_{43})^{(2)})\right) = \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}), (T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)} - G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)} - G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}} +$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)} - G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}} +$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right) - (a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)}, s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)} - (G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)}, (T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)}, (T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by 274

$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(8)} - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\} ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a_{41}')^{(8)}G_{41}$ and by integrating

$$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a_{41}')^{(8)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a_{42}')^{(8)}$$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t), t)) = (b_{41}')^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)}), ((G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}), (\widetilde{T_{47}}) : \left((\widetilde{G_{47}}), (\widetilde{T_{47}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), (T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)} - G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right) - (a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)}, s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(9)} - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\} ds_{(44)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a_{45}')^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 / (a_{45}')^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 / (a_{46}')^{(9)}$$

If $G_{45}\ or\ G_{46}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right)) = (b_{45}')^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}\,(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) = (b_{46}')^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \le -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \le -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$, $if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$, $if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$, $if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T^0_{13}}{T^0_{14}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(p_{13})^{(1)}-(S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)}-(p_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+G_{15}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\leq G_{15}(t)\leq\right. \quad (286)$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+G_{15}^{0}e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\leq T_{13}(t)\leq T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad (287)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\leq T_{13}(t)\leq\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad (288)$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}-(b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\leq T_{15}(t)\leq \quad (289)$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)}+(R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)},(S_2)^{(1)},(R_1)^{(1)},(R_2)^{(1)}$:- (290)

Where $(S_1)^{(1)}=(a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)}-(a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)}=(a_{15})^{(1)}-(p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)}=(b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)}-(b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)}=(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation (291)

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$: (292)

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\leq-(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}-(a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17},t)+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17},t)\leq-(\sigma_1)^{(2)} \quad (293)$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(2)}\leq-(b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)-(b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\leq-(\tau_1)^{(2)} \quad (294)$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)},(\nu_2)^{(2)},(u_1)^{(2)},(u_2)^{(2)}$: (295)

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(2)}<0$ the roots (296)

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ (297)

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ and (298)

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: (299)

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}<0$ the (300)

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ (301)

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\left(u^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$ 311

$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t} \le G_{18}(t) \le\right.$ 312

$\left.\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right)$

$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$ 313

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}$ 314

$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t} \le T_{18}(t) \le$ 315

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$

$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}$ 318

$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(3)}, (\nu_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(\nu_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(\nu^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$
and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(\nu^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T^0_{20}}{T^0_{21}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} \quad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad 324$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(4)}, (v_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, v^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(v_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)} v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(4)}$, $(v_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(4)} < (v_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(v_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)}+(R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}\right]+T_{26}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)},(S_2)^{(4)},(R_1)^{(4)},(R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)}=(a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)}-(a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)}=(a_{26})^{(4)}-(p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)}=(b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)}-(b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)}=(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)},(\sigma_2)^{(5)},(\tau_1)^{(5)},(\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)},(\sigma_2)^{(5)},(\tau_1)^{(5)},(\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)}\le-(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}-(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)}\le-(b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)-(b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)},(\nu_2)^{(5)},(u_1)^{(5)},(u_2)^{(5)},\nu^{(5)},u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(5)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}-(a_{28})^{(5)}=0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)}-(b_{28})^{(5)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}-(a_{28})^{(5)}=0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)}-(b_{28})^{(5)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)},(m_2)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)},(\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)},(m_2)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_0)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(5)}<(\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(5)}<(\nu_0)^{(5)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)}=\frac{G_{28}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\nu_0)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}<(\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)}=(u_0)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)}=(u_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(5)}<(u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{28}(t) \leq G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{29}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \leq G_{30}(t) \leq \right. \quad 344$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} - (b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t} \leq T_{30}(t) \leq \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)} + (R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \leq -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \leq -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, \nu^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(\nu_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}}T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}}T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}-(b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(7)}, (v_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, v^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(v_1)^{(7)} > 0, (v_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (v_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(7)} < (v_1)^{(7)}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)}$

and analogously 363

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)} + (R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0, (u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\nu_1)^{(8)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

and analogously 374

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} - (b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)} + (R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, \nu^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T^0_{44}}{T^0_{45}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

(

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G^0_{44}}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})}\Big[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\Big] + G^0_{46}e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t} \le G_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G^0_{44}}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}] + G^0_{46}e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t})$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}}T_{44}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}}T_{44}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}-(b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t}-e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right]+T_{46}^{0}e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)}+(R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}\right]+T_{46}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^{0}}{G_{14}^{0}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 386

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)}T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{dv^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)v^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}v^{(2)}$$

Definition of $v^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}, (v_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (v_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$

$$v^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(v_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}}{(v_0)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq (v_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$v^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}}{(v_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (v_1)^{(2)} < (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(v_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(v_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} \leq (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(v_1)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)} G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)} T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a_{20}')^{(3)} - (a_{21}')^{(3)} + (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{20}'')^{(3)} = (a_{21}'')^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{20}'')^{(3)} = (b_{21}'')^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a_{24}')^{(4)} - (a_{25}')^{(4)} + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right) - (a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)} G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)} T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

411

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{28}'')^{(5)} = (b_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a_{32}')^{(6)} - (a_{33}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(v_0)^{(6)}}{(v_0)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(v_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)}-(v_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)}-(v_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 415

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \le u^{(6)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(v_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(v_0)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}$ then $v^{(6)}(t) = (v_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (v_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(v_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)v^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}v^{(7)}$$

Definition of $v^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}\right)\leq\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},(\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0<\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}<(\nu_1)^{(7)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

$$v^{(7)}(t)\geq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(7)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)}\leq v^{(7)}(t)\leq(\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$v^{(7)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)}\leq v^{(7)}(t)\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(7)}<(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq v^{(7)}(t)\leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}\leq\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq v^{(7)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)}\leq v^{(7)}(t)\leq(m_1)^{(7)},\quad\boxed{v^{(7)}(t)=\frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)} G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)} T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{40}'')^{(8)} = (a_{41}'')^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{40}'')^{(8)} = (b_{41}'')^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)}T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424 A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a_{44}')^{(9)} - (a_{45}')^{(9)} + (a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \leq u^{(9)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)} G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for**

the special case .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(1)} and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(2)} and\ (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0$ 439

$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0$ 440

$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0$ 441

$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0$ 442

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0$ 443

$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0$ 444

$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0$ 445

$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0$ 446

$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0$ 447

$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0$ 448

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0$ 449

$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0$ 450

$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0$ 451

$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0$ 452

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0 \quad 483$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0 \quad 484$$

$$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0 \quad 484\text{A}$$

$$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$$

$$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(r) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$F(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$$ 495

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{33}^* :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{33}^* for which $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{37}^* :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{37}^* for which $f(T_{37}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{41}^* :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{25}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507

$$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{29}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508

$$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{33}^{*} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509

$$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that

there exists a unique G_{37}^{*} such that $\varphi(G_{39}{}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510

$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right] + (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{41}^{*} such that $\varphi(G_{43}{}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right] + (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{45}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^{*} given by $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$, T_{14}^{*} given by $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \qquad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$$T_{28}^* = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}^*}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{30}^* = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}^*}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]} \qquad 519$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^* given by $\varphi((G_{35})^*) = 0$, T_{33}^* given by $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{32}^* = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}^*}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{34}^* = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}^*}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$$

$$T_{32}^* = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}^*}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{34}^* = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}^*}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]} \qquad 521$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^* given by $\varphi((G_{39})^*) = 0$, T_{37}^* given by $f(T_{37}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{36}^* = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}^*}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{38}^* = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}^*}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]}$$

$$T_{36}^* = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}^*}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{38}^* = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}^*}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^*)]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^* given by $\varphi((G_{43})^*) = 0$, T_{41}^* given by $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{40}^{*}=\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{42}^{*}=\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{40}^{*}=\frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{42}^{*}=\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523

A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*})=0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{44}^{*}=\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{46}^{*}=\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i=G_i^{*}+\mathbb{G}_i\qquad,T_i=T_i^{*}+\mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*})=(q_{14})^{(1)}\quad,\ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(\,G^{*}\,)=s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{13}+(a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14}-(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13}-(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{15})^{(1)}+(p_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{15}+(a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14}-(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{13}+(b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{14})^{(1)}-(r_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{15}+(b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions

$(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \tag{532}$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \tag{533}$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{534}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{535}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{536}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{537}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T_{17}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{538}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T_{18}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{539}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{541}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{542}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{543}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{544}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^* \,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{31})^*\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{33})^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T^*_{33}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G^*_{34}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T^*_{32}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T^*_{33}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T^*_{34}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^{*}) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{43})^{*} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS A

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{45})^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T^*_{45}) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b''_i)^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}(\ (G_{47})^*\ ) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ B$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ C$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ D$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ E$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ F$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ G$$

The characteristic equation of this system is 587

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left(\ (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\ (\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left(\ (b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\ (\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(r_{18})^{(2)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}+(a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION TWENTY

Quantum Dynamical Manifestation Of Chaotic Behavior

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Decoherence, einselection, and the quantum origins of the classical Rev. Mod. Phys. 75, 715 – Published 22 May 2003 Wojciech Hubert Zurek

(1) Effectively classical pointer states can be "found out" without (e) being re-prepared, e.g, by intercepting (e&eb) the information already present in (eb) the environment.
(2) The redundancy of the records of (e) pointer states in the environment (which can be thought of as their "fitness" in the Darwinian sense) is (=) a measure of their classicality.
(3) A new symmetry appears in this setting. Environment-assisted invariance or envariance sheds new light on (eb) the nature of ignorance of the state of the system due to (e) quantum correlations with the environment and leads to (eb) Born's rules and to reduced density matrices, ultimately justifying (eb) basic principles of the program of decoherence and einselection. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.75.715

Quantum Dynamical Manifestation of Chaotic Behavior in the Process of Entanglement Phys. Rev. Lett 80, 5524 – Published 22 June 1998K. Furuya, M. C. Nemes, and G. Q. Pellegrino

(4) Manifestation of chaotic behavior is (=) found in an intrinsically quantum property.
(5) The entanglement process, quantitatively expressed in terms of (e) the reduced density linear entropy, is studied for (e) the N-atom Jaynes-Cummings model.
(6) For a given energy, initial conditions are (=) prepared as minimum uncertainty wave packets centered at (eb) regular and chaotic regions of the classical phase space.
(7) Furuya, M. C. Nemes, and G. Q. Pellegrino find for short times a faster increase in decoherence for (e) the chaotic initial conditions as compared to (e&eb)regular ones, which have (e)oscillatory increase. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.80.5524

NOTATION

Module One

Effectively classical pointer states can be "found out" without (e) being re-prepared, e.g, by intercepting (e&eb) the information already present in (eb) the environment

G_{13} : Category one of re-prepared, e.g, by intercepting (e&eb) the information already present in (eb) the environment

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of Effectively classical pointer states can be "found out"

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

Effectively classical pointer states can be "found out" without being re-prepared, e.g, by intercepting (e&eb) the information already present in (eb) the environment

G_{16} : Category one of Effectively classical pointer states can be "found out" without being re-prepared, e.g, by intercepting; information already present in (eb) the environment

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of information already present in (eb) the environment; Effectively classical pointer states can be "found out" without being re-prepared, e.g, by intercepting

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

The redundancy of the records of (e) pointer states in the environment (which can be thought of as their "fitness" in the Darwinian sense) is (=) a measure of their classicality

G_{20} : Category one of pointer states in the environment (which can be thought of as their "fitness" in the Darwinian sense) is (=) a measure of their classicality

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of redundancy of the records

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

redundancy of the records of pointer states in the environment (which can be thought of as their "fitness" in the Darwinian sense) is (=) a measure of their classicality

G_{24} : Category one of redundancy of the records of pointer states in the environment (which can be thought of as their "fitness" in the Darwinian sense)

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of measure of their classicality

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

A new symmetry appears in this setting.
Environment-assisted invariance or envariance sheds new light on (eb) the nature of ignorance of the state of the system due to (e) quantum correlations with the environment and leads to (eb) Born's rules and to reduced density matrices, ultimately justifying (eb) basic principles of the program of decoherence and einselection.
DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.75.715

G_{28} : Category one of Environment-assisted invariance or envariance

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of nature of ignorance of the state of the system due to (e) quantum correlations with the environment and leads to (eb) Born's rules and to reduced density matrices, ultimately justifying (eb) basic principles of the program of decoherence and einselection.

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

Environment-assisted invariance or envariance sheds new light on the nature of ignorance of the state of the system due to (e) quantum correlations with the environment and leads to (eb) Born's rules and to reduced density matrices, ultimately justifying (eb) basic principles of the program of decoherence and einselection.
G_{32} : Category one of quantum correlations with the environment and leads to (eb) Born's rules and to reduced density matrices, ultimately justifying (eb) basic principles of the program of decoherence and einselection

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of Environment-assisted invariance or envariance sheds new light on the nature of ignorance of the state of the system

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

Environment-assisted invariance or envariance sheds new light on the nature of ignorance of the state of the

system due to quantum correlations with the environment and leads to Born's rules and to reduced density matrices, ultimately justifying (eb) basic principles of the program of decoherence and einselection

G_{36} : Category one of Environment-assisted invariance or envariance sheds new light on the nature of ignorance of the state of the system due to quantum correlations with the environment

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of Born's rules and to reduced density matrices, ultimately justifying (eb) basic principles of the program of decoherence and einselection

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

Environment-assisted invariance or envariance sheds new light on the nature of ignorance of the state of the system due to quantum correlations with the environment and leads to Born's rules and to reduced density matrices, ultimately justifying (eb) basic principles of the program of decoherence and einselection

G_{40} : Category one of Environment-assisted invariance or envariance sheds new light on the nature of ignorance of the state of the system due to quantum correlations with the environment and leads to Born's rules and to reduced density matrices

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of basic principles of the program of decoherence and einselection

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

initial conditions for a given energy are (=) prepared as minimum uncertainty wave packets centered at (eb) regular and chaotic regions of the classical phase space

G_{44} : Category one of initial conditions for a given energy

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of prepared as minimum uncertainty wave packets centered at (eb) regular and chaotic regions of the classical phase space

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)\right]T_{18}$ 12

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20}$ 13

$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21}$ 14

$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22}$ 15

$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20}$ 16

$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21}$ 17

$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22}$ 18

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24}$ 19

$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25}$ 20

$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26}$ 21

$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t)\right]T_{24}$ 22

$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t)\right]T_{25}$ 23

$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t)\right]T_{26}$ 24

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28}$ 25

$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29}$ 26

$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30}$ 27

$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t)\right]T_{28}$ 28

$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t)\right]T_{29}$ 29

$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t)\right]T_{30}$ 30

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$
$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$
$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$
$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$
$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$
$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$
$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$
$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$
$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$
$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$
$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$
$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$
$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$
$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$
$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$
$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$
$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$
$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$
$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{16}')^{(2)} & +(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \quad +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{17}')^{(2)} & +(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \quad +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{18}')^{(2)} & +(a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \quad +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $+(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} & -(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} & -(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{24}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{24} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{25}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{25} \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{26}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{26} \quad (75)$$

$\boxed{(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1, 2\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ *are fourth augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ *are fifth augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$

are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$

are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$

are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt}=(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt}=(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt}=(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{26} \quad (78)$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$

are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$

are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$

are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$

are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$

are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt}=(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8\ ,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients*

$for\ category$ 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ $are\ \ third\ \ detrition\ coefficients$ $for\ category$ 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{32} \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{33} \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{34} \quad (87)$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ $are\ \ first\ \ augmentation\ coefficients$ $for\ category$ 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ $are\ second\ augmentation$ $coefficients\ for\ category$ 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ $are\ third\ augmentation$ $coefficients\ for\ category$ 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$
seventh augmentation coefficients
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$
Eighth augmentation coefficients
$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt}=(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{32} \quad (88)$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt}=(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{33} \quad (89)$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt}=(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{34} \quad (90)$$

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \qquad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt}$$ *95*

$$= (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)} \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{42})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

96

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{44}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{45}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{46}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second

augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b_{44}')^{(9)}\left[-(b_{44}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{45}')^{(9)}\left[-(b_{45}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{46}')^{(9)}\left[-(b_{46}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b_{44}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{46}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b_i')^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} |T_{17} - T_{17}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} ||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad (110)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad (111)$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad (112)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(r_i)^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad (113)$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (114)

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)} |T_{21} - T_{21}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)} ||G_{23} - G_{23}'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: (115)

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)},\ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\,(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} +\ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} +\ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\,(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} +\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} +\ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le\ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}\,(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\,\big((G_{27}), t\big) =\ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30$ 122

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)}$$ 123

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31}, t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34$$ 127

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$$ 128

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(EEEEE) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38$ 131

(FFFFF) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(GGGGG) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(HHHHH)

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(IIIII) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(JJJJJ) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \qquad 140$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \qquad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be

noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}<1 \qquad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)},(a_i')^{(8)},(b_i)^{(8)},(b_i')^{(8)},(p_i)^{(8)},\ (r_i)^{(8)},i=40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)}+(a_i')^{(8)}+\ (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}]<1 \qquad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[\ (b_i)^{(8)}+(b_i')^{(8)}+\ (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}+(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\ (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}]<1 \qquad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)},(a_i')^{(9)},(a_i'')^{(9)},(b_i)^{(9)},(b_i')^{(9)},(b_i'')^{(9)}>0,\quad i,j=44,45,46 \qquad 146\text{A}$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)},(b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\le(p_i)^{(9)}\le(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\le\ (r_i)^{(9)}\le(b_i')^{(9)}\le(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)=(p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)=\ (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)},(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45}-\ T_{45}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t)-(b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)|<(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47})-(G_{47})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$

and $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}<1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)},(a_i')^{(9)},(b_i)^{(9)},(b_i')^{(9)},(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)},i=44,45,46,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)}+(a_i')^{(9)}+\ (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+\ (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}]<1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)}+(b_i')^{(9)}+\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}+\ (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}]<1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t)\le\ (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ ,\ \boxed{G_i(0)=G_i^0>0}$$

$$T_i(t)\le\ (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ ,\ \boxed{T_i(0)=T_i^0>0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t)\le\ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\ ,\quad G_i(0)=G_i^0>0$$

$$T_i(t)\le\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\ ,\quad T_i(0)=T_i^0>0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t)\le\ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\ ,\quad G_i(0)=G_i^0>0$$

$$T_i(t)\le\ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\ ,\quad T_i(0)=T_i^0>0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{24}\right)^{(4)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{24}\right)^{(4)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{28}\right)^{(5)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{28}\right)^{(5)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{32}\right)^{(6)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{32}\right)^{(6)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{36}\right)^{(7)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{36}\right)^{(7)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{40}\right)^{(8)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{40}\right)^{(8)} t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{44}\right)^{(9)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{44}\right)^{(9)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$, 155

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ 156

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ 157

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) G_{13}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) G_{14}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) G_{15}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{13}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{14}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{15}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) G_{16}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)}) \right) G_{17}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) G_{18}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)} (G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) T_{16}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{22}(t) = T_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof: 166
Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t)-G_{20}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t)\leq G_{24}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\right)\right]ds_{(24)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{24})^{(4)}t\right)G_{25}^{0}+\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(s) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} \left(G_{37}^0 + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\right)\right] ds_{(36)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{36})^{(7)} t\right) G_{37}^0 + \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t) - G_{36}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \le \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0}{G_{37}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} \left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{45}, G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}:\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \quad 186$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on

$G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)} t\right)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a_{14}')^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a_{14}')^{(1)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a_{15}')^{(1)}$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If $G_{13}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t), t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}\,(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\ and\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\ (G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i=16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on

(G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\} ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18}. indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)} G_{17}$ and by integrating

$$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 / (a_{17}')^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 / (a_{18}')^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16}, G_{18} and G_{16}, G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18}. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2:

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right)$, $t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

208

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$$

209

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 210

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}},\widetilde{T_{23}}:(\widetilde{(G_{23})},\widetilde{(T_{23})})=\mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}),(T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\,ds_{(20)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)},s_{(20)}\right)\right|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

214

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right)d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\,(G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i=20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on

$(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a_{21}')^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a_{21}')^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a_{22}')^{(3)}$$

If $G_{21}\ or\ G_{22}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If $G_{20}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}\,(t) > (m)^{(3)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq \quad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24,25,26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on

$(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 228

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(4)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a_{25}')^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a_{25}')^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a_{26}')^{(4)}$$

If $G_{25}\ or\ G_{26}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}\ ((G_{27})(t), t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}\,(t) > (m)^{(4)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+\left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \tag{234}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \tag{235}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (236)

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{31}}),(\widetilde{T_{31}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{31}}),(\widetilde{T_{31}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),(T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)}-G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq \tag{237}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 239

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(5)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a_{29}')^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a_{29}')^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 / (a_{30}')^{(5)}$$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}\,((G_{31})(t), t)) = (b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}\,(t) > (m)^{(5)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b_{30}')^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{245}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{246}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (247)

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq \tag{248}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 250

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(6)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a_{33}')^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a_{33}')^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a_{34}')^{(6)}$$

If $G_{33}\ or\ G_{34}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}\ ((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b_{33}')^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}\,(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{37} , $G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{39}}),(\widetilde{T_{39}}):\left((\widetilde{G_{39}}),(\widetilde{T_{39}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}),(T_{39}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{37}^{(1)}-G_{37}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\,ds_{(36)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)}\right)\left|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)}\right)-(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(2)},s_{(36)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)}-(G_{39})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq \quad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right)d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a_{37}')^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 / (a_{37}')^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 / (a_{38}')^{(7)}$$

If $G_{37}\ or\ G_{38}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\ ((G_{39})(t), t)) = (b_{37}')^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t), t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}\,(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t), t\right) = (b_{38}')^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have 266

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)}, (T_{43})^{(1)}), ((G_{43})^{(2)}, (T_{43})^{(2)})\right) = \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}), (T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)} - G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)} - G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}} +$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)} - G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}} +$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right) - (a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)}, s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)} - (G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)}, (T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)}, (T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by 274

$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(8)} - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\} ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a_{41}')^{(8)}G_{41}$ and by integrating

$$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a_{41}')^{(8)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a_{42}')^{(8)}$$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t), t)) = (b_{41}')^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)}), ((G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}), (\widetilde{T_{47}}) : \left((\widetilde{G_{47}}), (\widetilde{T_{47}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), (T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)} - G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right) - (a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)}, s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(9)} - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\} ds_{(44)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a_{45}')^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 / (a_{45}')^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 / (a_{46}')^{(9)}$$

If $G_{45}\ or\ G_{46}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right)) = (b_{45}')^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}\,(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) = (b_{46}')^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \le -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \le -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0, (u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T^0_{13}}{T^0_{14}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(p_{13})^{(1)}-(S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)}-(p_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+G_{15}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\le G_{15}(t)\le\right. \quad (286)$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+G_{15}^{0}e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\le T_{13}(t)\le T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad (287)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\le T_{13}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad (288)$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}-(b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\le T_{15}(t)\le \quad (289)$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)}+(R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)},(S_2)^{(1)},(R_1)^{(1)},(R_2)^{(1)}$:- (290)

Where $(S_1)^{(1)}=(a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)}-(a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)}=(a_{15})^{(1)}-(p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)}=(b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)}-(b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)}=(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation (291)

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$: (292)

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\le-(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}-(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(2)} \quad (293)$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(2)}\le-(b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)-(b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(2)} \quad (294)$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)},(\nu_2)^{(2)},(u_1)^{(2)},(u_2)^{(2)}$: (295)

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(2)}<0$ the roots (296)

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ (297)

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ and (298)

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: (299)

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}<0$ the (300)

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ (301)

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\left(u^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$ 311

$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t} \le G_{18}(t) \le\right.$ 312

$\left.\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right)$

$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$ 313

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}$ 314

$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t} \le T_{18}(t) \le$ 315

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$

$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}$ 318

$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(v^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$
and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(v^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T^0_{20}}{T^0_{21}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} \quad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad 324$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(4)}, (v_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, v^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(v_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(v^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)} v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)},(\mu_2)^{(4)},(v_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)},(\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(4)} < (v_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(v_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)}+(R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}\right]+T_{26}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)},(S_2)^{(4)},(R_1)^{(4)},(R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)}=(a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)}-(a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)}=(a_{26})^{(4)}-(p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)}=(b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)}-(b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)}=(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)},(\sigma_2)^{(5)},(\tau_1)^{(5)},(\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)},(\sigma_2)^{(5)},(\tau_1)^{(5)},(\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)}\le-(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}-(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)}\le-(b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)-(b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)},(\nu_2)^{(5)},(u_1)^{(5)},(u_2)^{(5)},\nu^{(5)},u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(5)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}-(a_{28})^{(5)}=0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)}-(b_{28})^{(5)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}-(a_{28})^{(5)}=0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)}-(b_{28})^{(5)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)},(m_2)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)},(\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)},(m_2)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_0)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(5)}<(\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(5)}<(\nu_0)^{(5)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)}=\frac{G_{28}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\nu_0)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}<(\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)}=(u_0)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)}=(u_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(5)}<(u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{28}(t) \leq G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{29}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \leq G_{30}(t) \leq \right. \quad 344$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} - (b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t} \leq T_{30}(t) \leq \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)} + (R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \leq -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \leq -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)}, (v_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, v^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},, (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}}T_{32}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}}T_{32}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}-(b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t}-e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right]+T_{34}^{0}e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right]+T_{34}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)},(S_2)^{(6)},(R_1)^{(6)},(R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)}=(a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)}-(a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)}=(a_{34})^{(6)}-(p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)}=(b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)}-(b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)}=(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)},(\sigma_2)^{(7)},(\tau_1)^{(7)},(\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)},(\sigma_2)^{(7)},(\tau_1)^{(7)},(\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}-(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}),t\big)-(b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}),t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(7)},(v_2)^{(7)},(u_1)^{(7)},(u_2)^{(7)},v^{(7)},u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(v_1)^{(7)}>0,(v_2)^{(7)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)}>0,(u_2)^{(7)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}=0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)}-(b_{36})^{(7)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}>0,(\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}>0,(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}=0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)}-(b_{36})^{(7)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)},(m_2)^{(7)},(\mu_1)^{(7)},(\mu_2)^{(7)},(v_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)},(m_2)^{(7)},(\mu_1)^{(7)},(\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(7)}=(v_0)^{(7)},(m_1)^{(7)}=(v_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(7)}<(v_1)^{(7)}$

$(m_2)^{(7)}=(v_1)^{(7)},(m_1)^{(7)}=(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(7)}<(v_0)^{(7)}<(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)}$

and analogously 363

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)} + (R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0, (u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\nu_1)^{(8)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

and analogously 374

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} - (b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)} + (R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, \nu^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T^0_{44}}{T^0_{45}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

(

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G^0_{44}}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})}\Big[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\Big] + G^0_{46}e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t} \le G_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G^0_{44}}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}] + G^0_{46}e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t})$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}}T_{44}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}}T_{44}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}-(b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t}-e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right]+T_{46}^{0}e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)}+(R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}\right]+T_{46}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^{0}}{G_{14}^{0}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 386

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{13}'')^{(1)} = (a_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b_{13}'')^{(1)} = (b_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)}T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{dv^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)v^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}v^{(2)}$$

Definition of $v^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}, (v_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (v_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$

$$v^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(v_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}}{(v_0)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq (v_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$v^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}}{(v_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (v_1)^{(2)} < (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(v_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(v_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} \leq (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(v_1)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a''_{16})^{(2)} = (a''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)} G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)} T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{20}'')^{(3)} = (a_{21}'')^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{20}'')^{(3)} = (b_{21}'')^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a_{24}')^{(4)} - (a_{25}')^{(4)} + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right) - (a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)} G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)} T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

411

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{28}'')^{(5)} = (b_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a_{32}')^{(6)} - (a_{33}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)}=\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(v_0)^{(6)}}{(v_0)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(v_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)}-(v_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)}-(v_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(6)}=\frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 415

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(6)}(t)=\frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \le u^{(6)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t)=\frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(v_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(v_0)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}$ then $v^{(6)}(t) = (v_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (v_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(v_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)v^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}v^{(7)}$$

Definition of $v^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}\right)\leq\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}<(\nu_1)^{(7)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t)\geq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(7)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(7)}<(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}\leq\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(m_1)^{(7)},\quad\boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t)=\frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)} G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)} T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(v_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(v_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(v_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((v_1)^{(8)}-(v_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((v_1)^{(8)}-(v_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(v_1)^{(8)} \leq v^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq v^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(v_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(v_0)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$ then $v^{(8)}(t) = (v_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (v_0)^{(8)}G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(v_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)}T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424 A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)v^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}v^{(9)}$$

Definition of $v^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \leq u^{(9)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for**

the special case .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(1)} and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(2)} and\ (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0$ 439

$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0$ 440

$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0$ 441

$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0$ 442

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0$ 443

$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0$ 444

$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0$ 445

$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0$ 446

$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0$ 447

$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0$ 448

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0$ 449

$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0$ 450

$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0$ 451

$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0$ 452

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0 \quad 483$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0 \quad 484$$

$$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0 \quad 484\text{A}$$

$$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$$

$$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(s) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$F(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$$ 495

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{33}^{*} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{33}^{*} for which $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{37}^{*} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{37}^{*} for which $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{41}^{*} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^{*} for which $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^{*} :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^{*} for which $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{25}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507

$$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{29}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508

$$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{33}^{*} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509

$$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that

there exists a unique G_{37}^{*} such that $\varphi(G_{39}{}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510

$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right] + (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{41}^{*} such that $\varphi(G_{43}{}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right] + (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{45}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^{*} given by $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$, T_{14}^{*} given by $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \qquad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]} \qquad 519$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]} \qquad 521$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{40}^{*}=\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{42}^{*}=\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{40}^{*}=\frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{42}^{*}=\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523
A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*})=0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{44}^{*}=\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{46}^{*}=\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i=G_i^{*}+\mathbb{G}_i\qquad,T_i=T_i^{*}+\mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*})=(q_{14})^{(1)}\quad,\ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(\,G^{*}\,)=s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{13}+(a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14}-(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13}-(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{15})^{(1)}+(p_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{15}+(a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14}-(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{13}+(b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{14})^{(1)}-(r_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{15}+(b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions

$(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \tag{532}$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \tag{533}$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{534}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{535}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{536}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{537}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T_{17}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{538}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T_{18}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{539}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{541}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{542}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{543}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{544}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^{*}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^{*}) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^{*}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{31})^{*}) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{33})^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T^*_{33}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{35})^*\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G^*_{34}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T^*_{32}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T^*_{33}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T^*_{34}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^{*}) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{43})^{*} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586 A

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{45})^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T^*_{45}) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b''_i)^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}(\ (G_{47})^*\ ) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ B$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ C$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ D$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ E$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ F$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ G$$

The characteristic equation of this system is 587

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left(\ (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\ (\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left(\ (b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\ (\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(r_{18})^{(2)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}+(a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION TWENTY ONE

Isolated Quantum Many-Body Systems

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

M A Cazalilla and M Rigol 2010 New J. Phys. 12 055006 doi:10.1088/1367-2630/12/5/055006 Focus on Dynamics and Thermalization in Isolated Quantum Many-Body Systems M A Cazalilla and M Rigol

(1) Could the approach to equilibrium be hampered if (e) the system is trapped in (eb) long-lived metastable states characterized, for example, by (e) a certain distribution of topological defects?
(2) How do the dynamics depend on ∝ the way the system is perturbed, such as changing, as (=) a function of time and at a given rate, a parameter across (e&eb) a quantum critical point?
(3) What if, conversely, after relaxing to a steady state, the observables cannot (e) be described by the standard equilibrium ensembles of (e) statistical mechanics?
(4) How would observables depend on∝ the initial conditions in addition to the other properties of the system, such as the existence of conserved quantities?
(5) The search for answers to questions like these is fundamental to a new research field that is only beginning to be explored, and to which researchers with different backgrounds, such as nuclear, atomic, and condensed-matter physics, as well as quantum optics, can make, and are making, important contributions. This body of knowledge has an immediate application to (e&eb) experiments in the field of ultracold atomic gases, but can also fundamentally change the way we approach and understand many-body quantum systems. This focus issue of New Journal Physics brings together both experimentalists and theoreticians working on these problems to provide a comprehensive picture of the state of the field.

NOTATION

Module One

Could the

approach to equilibrium is hampered if (e) the system is trapped in (eb) long-lived metastable states characterized, for example, by (e) a certain distribution of topological defects

We assume the proposition and give a model of prediction, projection and prognostication

G_{13} : Category one of approach to equilibrium

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of hampered if (e) the system is trapped in (eb) long-lived metastable states characterized, for example, by (e) a certain distribution of topological defects

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

approach to equilibrium is hampered if the system is trapped in (eb) long-lived metastable states characterized, for example, by (e) a certain distribution of topological defects

G_{16} : Category one of approach to equilibrium is hampered if the system is trapped

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of long-lived metastable states characterized, for example, by (e) a certain distribution of topological defects

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

approach to equilibrium is hampered if the system is trapped in long-lived metastable states characterized, for example, by (e) a certain distribution of topological defects

G_{20} : Category one of certain distribution of topological defects

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of approach to equilibrium is hampered if the system is trapped in long-lived metastable states characterized

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

How do the
Dynamics depend on the way the system is perturbed, such as changing, as (=) a function of time and at a given rate, a parameter across (e&eb) a quantum critical point

We assume the veracity of the proposition and give a model

G_{24} : Category one of dynamics depend on the way the system is perturbed, such as changing

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of function of time and at a given rate, a parameter across (e&eb) a quantum critical point

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

Dynamics depend on the way the system is perturbed, such as changing, as a function of time and at a given rate, a parameter across (e&eb) a quantum critical point

G_{28} : Category one of Dynamics depend on the way the system is perturbed, such as changing, as a function of time and at a given rate, a parameter; quantum critical point

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of quantum critical point; Dynamics depend on the way the system is perturbed, such as changing, as a function of time and at a given rate, a parameter

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

What if, conversely,
Observables after relaxing to a steady state cannot be (e) described by the standard equilibrium ensembles of (e) statistical mechanics?
We assume the referential integrity of the statement and give a model

G_{32} : Category one of description by the standard equilibrium ensembles of (e) statistical mechanic

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of Observables after relaxing to a steady state

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

Observables after relaxing to a steady state cannot be described by the standard equilibrium ensembles of (e) statistical mechanics
Equations will explain what happens when the proposition is true

G_{36} : Category one of statistical mechanics

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of Observables after relaxing to a steady state cannot be described by the standard equilibrium ensembles

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

How would

observables depend on the initial conditions in addition to the other properties of the system, such as the existence of conserved quantities

G_{40} : Category one of observables depend on the initial conditions in addition to the other properties of the system

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of existence of conserved quantities

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

observables depend on the initial conditions in addition to the other properties of the system, such as the existence of conserved quantities

G_{44} : Category one of observables

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of initial conditions in addition to the other properties of the system, such as the existence of conserved quantities X (variable)

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},\ \ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}\ ,$
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$
$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$
$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right]G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} & -(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} & -(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)} & +(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad 70$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad 71$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad 72$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for*

category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1, 2\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$

are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad (78)$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$

are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$

are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$

are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$

are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$

are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)} & +(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)} & +(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)} & +(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) & \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for

category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & +(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)} & +(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)} & +(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad (87)$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \qquad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)} \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{42})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

96

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{44}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{45}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{46}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second

augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b_{44}')^{(9)}\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{45}')^{(9)}\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{46}')^{(9)}\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b_{44}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{46}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq (p_i)^{(1)} \leq (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} |T_{17} - T_{17}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} ||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad (110)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad (111)$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad (112)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(r_i)^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad (113)$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (114)

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)} |T_{21} - T_{21}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)} ||G_{23} - G_{23}'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: (115)

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)},\ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\,(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} +\ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} +\ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\,(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} +\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} +\ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le\ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}\,(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\,\big((G_{27}), t\big) =\ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30$ 122

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le (p_i)^{(5)} \le (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) \le (r_i)^{(5)} \le (b_i')^{(5)} \le (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)}$$ 123

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31}, t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)},\ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34$$ 127

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)},\ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le (p_i)^{(6)} \le (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \le\ (r_i)^{(6)} \le (b_i')^{(6)} \le (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}\,(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$$ 128

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)},\ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(KKKKK) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38$ 131

(LLLLL) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(MMMMM) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(NNNNN)

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(OOOOO) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(PPPPP) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \qquad 140$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \qquad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be

noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}<1 \qquad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)},(a_i')^{(8)},(b_i)^{(8)},(b_i')^{(8)},(p_i)^{(8)},\ (r_i)^{(8)},i=40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)}+(a_i')^{(8)}+\ (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}]<1 \qquad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[\ (b_i)^{(8)}+(b_i')^{(8)}+\ (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}+(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\ (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}]<1 \qquad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)},(a_i')^{(9)},(a_i'')^{(9)},(b_i)^{(9)},(b_i')^{(9)},(b_i'')^{(9)}>0,\quad i,j=44,45,46 \qquad 146A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)},(b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\le(p_i)^{(9)}\le(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\le\ (r_i)^{(9)}\le(b_i')^{(9)}\le(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)=(p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)=\ (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)},(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45}-\ T_{45}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t)-(b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)|<(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47})-(G_{47})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$

and $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}<1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)},(a_i')^{(9)},(b_i)^{(9)},(b_i')^{(9)},(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)},i=44,45,46,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)}+(a_i')^{(9)}+\ (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+\ (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}]<1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)}+(b_i')^{(9)}+\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}+\ (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}]<1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t)\leq\ \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ ,\ \boxed{G_i(0)=G_i^0>0}$$

$$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\quad ,\quad \boxed{T_i(0)=T_i^0>0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\quad ,\quad G_i(0)=G_i^0>0$$

$$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\quad ,\quad T_i(0)=T_i^0>0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\quad ,\quad G_i(0)=G_i^0>0$$

$$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\quad ,\quad T_i(0)=T_i^0>0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$, 155

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ 156

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ 157

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) G_{13}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) G_{14}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) G_{15}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{13}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{14}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{15}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) G_{16}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)}) \right) G_{17}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) G_{18}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)} (G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) T_{16}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{22}(t) = T_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof: 166
Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t)-G_{20}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t)\leq G_{24}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\right)\right]ds_{(24)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{24})^{(4)}t\right)G_{25}^{0}+\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(t) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} \left(G_{37}^0 + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\right)\right] ds_{(36)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{36})^{(7)} t\right) G_{37}^0 + \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t) - G_{36}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \le \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0}{G_{37}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} \left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{45}, G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \tag{183}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \tag{184}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (185)

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}:\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \tag{186}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on

$G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a_{14}')^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a_{14}')^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a_{15}')^{(1)}$$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If $G_{13}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t), t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}\,(t) > (m)^{(1)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\ and\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\,(G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i=16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on

(G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\} ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18}. indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)} G_{17}$ and by integrating

$$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 / (a_{17}')^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 / (a_{18}')^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16}, G_{18} and G_{16}, G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18}. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2:

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right)$, $t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad (208)$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad (209)$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself (210)

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (211)

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote (212)

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}},\widetilde{T_{23}}:(\widetilde{(G_{23})},\widetilde{(T_{23})})=\mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}),(T_{23}))$

It results (213)

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\,ds_{(20)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)},s_{(20)}\right)\right|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq \quad (214)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right)d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\,(G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (215)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i=20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on

$(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a_{21}')^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a_{21}')^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a_{22}')^{(3)}$$

If $G_{21}\ or\ G_{22}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}\,(t) > (m)^{(3)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq \quad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24,25,26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on

$(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 228

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(4)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a_{25}')^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a_{25}')^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a_{26}')^{(4)}$$

If $G_{25}\ or\ G_{26}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}\,((G_{27})(t), t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}\,(t) > (m)^{(4)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+\left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{31}}),(\widetilde{T_{31}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{31}}),(\widetilde{T_{31}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),(T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)}-G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq \quad 237$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 238

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 239

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(5)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a_{29}')^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a_{29}')^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 / (a_{30}')^{(5)}$$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}\,((G_{31})(t), t)) = (b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}\,(t) > (m)^{(5)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b_{30}')^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{245}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{246}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (247)

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq \tag{248}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 250

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(6)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a_{33}')^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a_{33}')^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a_{34}')^{(6)}$$

If $G_{33}\ or\ G_{34}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}\ ((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b_{33}')^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}\,(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{37} , $G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \tag{256}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \tag{257}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (258)

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})},\widetilde{(T_{39})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{39})},\widetilde{(T_{39})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}),(T_{39}))$

It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\leq\int_0^t(a_{36})^{(7)}|G_{37}^{(1)}-G_{37}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\,ds_{(36)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}|(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})-(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(2)},s_{(36)})|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$|(G_{39})^{(1)}-(G_{39})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq \tag{259}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right)d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)};\;(G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (260)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a_{37}')^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 / (a_{37}')^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 / (a_{38}')^{(7)}$$

If $G_{37}\ or\ G_{38}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\ ((G_{39})(t), t)) = (b_{37}')^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t), t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}\,(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t), t\right) = (b_{38}')^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have 266

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)}, (T_{43})^{(1)}), ((G_{43})^{(2)}, (T_{43})^{(2)})\right) = \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}), (T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)} - G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)} - G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}} +$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)} - G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}} +$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right) - (a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)}, s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)} - (G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)}, (T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)}, (T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by 274

$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(8)} - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\} ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a_{41}')^{(8)}G_{41}$ and by integrating

$$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a_{41}')^{(8)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a_{42}')^{(8)}$$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t), t)) = (b_{41}')^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)}), ((G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}), (\widetilde{T_{47}}) : \left((\widetilde{G_{47}}), (\widetilde{T_{47}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), (T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)} - G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right) - (a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)}, s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(9)} - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\} ds_{(44)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a_{45}')^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 / (a_{45}')^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 / (a_{46}')^{(9)}$$

If $G_{45}\ or\ G_{46}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right)) = (b_{45}')^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}\,(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) = (b_{46}')^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \le -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \le -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T^0_{13}}{T^0_{14}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(p_{13})^{(1)}-(S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)}-(p_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+G_{15}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\leq G_{15}(t)\leq\right. \quad (286)$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+G_{15}^{0}e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\leq T_{13}(t)\leq T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad (287)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\leq T_{13}(t)\leq\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad (288)$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}-(b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\leq T_{15}(t)\leq \quad (289)$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)}+(R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)},(S_2)^{(1)},(R_1)^{(1)},(R_2)^{(1)}$:- (290)

Where $(S_1)^{(1)}=(a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)}-(a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)}=(a_{15})^{(1)}-(p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)}=(b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)}-(b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)}=(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation (291)

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$: (292)

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\leq-(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}-(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\leq-(\sigma_1)^{(2)} \quad (293)$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(2)}\leq-(b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)-(b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\leq-(\tau_1)^{(2)} \quad (294)$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)},(\nu_2)^{(2)},(u_1)^{(2)},(u_2)^{(2)}$: (295)

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(2)}<0$ the roots (296)

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ (297)

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ and (298)

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: (299)

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}<0$ the (300)

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ (301)

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\left(u^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$ 311

$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t} \le G_{18}(t) \le\right.$ 312

$\left.\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right)$

$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$ 313

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}$ 314

$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t} \le T_{18}(t) \le$ 315

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$

$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}$ 318

$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(3)}, (\nu_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(\nu_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(\nu^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(\nu^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T^0_{20}}{T^0_{21}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} \quad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad 324$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(4)}, (v_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, v^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(v_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(v^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)} v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)},(\mu_2)^{(4)},(v_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)},(\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(4)} < (v_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(v_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)}+(R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}\right]+T_{26}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)},(S_2)^{(4)},(R_1)^{(4)},(R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)}=(a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)}-(a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)}=(a_{26})^{(4)}-(p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)}=(b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)}-(b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)}=(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)},(\sigma_2)^{(5)},(\tau_1)^{(5)},(\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)},(\sigma_2)^{(5)},(\tau_1)^{(5)},(\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)}\le-(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}-(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)}\le-(b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)-(b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)},(\nu_2)^{(5)},(u_1)^{(5)},(u_2)^{(5)},\nu^{(5)},u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(5)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}-(a_{28})^{(5)}=0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)}-(b_{28})^{(5)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}-(a_{28})^{(5)}=0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)}-(b_{28})^{(5)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)},(m_2)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)},(\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)},(m_2)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_0)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(5)}<(\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(5)}<(\nu_0)^{(5)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)}=\frac{G_{28}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\nu_0)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}<(\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)}=(u_0)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)}=(u_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(5)}<(u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{28}(t) \leq G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{29}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \leq G_{30}(t) \leq \right. \quad 344$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} - (b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t} \leq T_{30}(t) \leq \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)} + (R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \leq -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \leq -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)}, (v_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, v^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},, (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}}T_{32}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}}T_{32}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}-(b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t}-e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right]+T_{34}^{0}e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right]+T_{34}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)},(S_2)^{(6)},(R_1)^{(6)},(R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)}=(a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)}-(a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)}=(a_{34})^{(6)}-(p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)}=(b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)}-(b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)}=(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)},(\sigma_2)^{(7)},(\tau_1)^{(7)},(\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)},(\sigma_2)^{(7)},(\tau_1)^{(7)},(\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}-(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}),t\big)-(b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}),t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)},(\nu_2)^{(7)},(u_1)^{(7)},(u_2)^{(7)},\nu^{(7)},u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)}>0,(\nu_2)^{(7)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)}>0,(u_2)^{(7)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}=0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)}-(b_{36})^{(7)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}>0,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}>0,(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}=0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)}-(b_{36})^{(7)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)},(m_2)^{(7)},(\mu_1)^{(7)},(\mu_2)^{(7)},(\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)},(m_2)^{(7)},(\mu_1)^{(7)},(\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(7)}=(\nu_0)^{(7)},(m_1)^{(7)}=(\nu_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}<(\nu_1)^{(7)}$

$(m_2)^{(7)}=(\nu_1)^{(7)},(m_1)^{(7)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(7)}<(\nu_0)^{(7)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)}$

and analogously 363

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)} + (R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0, (u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\nu_1)^{(8)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

and analogously 374

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} - (b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)} + (R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, \nu^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T^0_{44}}{T^0_{45}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

(

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G^0_{44}}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})}\Big[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\Big] + G^0_{46}e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t} \le G_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G^0_{44}}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}] + G^0_{46}e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t})$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^{0}e^{\left((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}}T_{44}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}}T_{44}^{0}e^{\left((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}\left((R_1)^{(9)}-(b'_{46})^{(9)}\right)}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t}-e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right]+T_{46}^{0}e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}\left((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)}+(R_2)^{(9)}\right)}\left[e^{\left((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}\right]+T_{46}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^{0}}{G_{14}^{0}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 386

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)}T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{dv^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)v^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}v^{(2)}$$

Definition of $v^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}, (v_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (v_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$

$$v^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(v_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}}{(v_0)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq (v_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$v^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}}{(v_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (v_1)^{(2)} < (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(v_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(v_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} \leq (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(v_1)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)} G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)} T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a_{20}')^{(3)} - (a_{21}')^{(3)} + (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)} G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)} T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

411

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{28}'')^{(5)} = (b_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a_{32}')^{(6)} - (a_{33}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(v_0)^{(6)}}{(v_0)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(v_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)}-(v_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)}-(v_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 415

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \le u^{(6)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(v_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(v_0)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}$ then $v^{(6)}(t) = (v_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (v_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(v_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)v^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}v^{(7)}$$

Definition of $v^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}\right)\leq\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},(\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}<(\nu_1)^{(7)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t)\geq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(7)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(7)}<(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}\leq\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(m_1)^{(7)},\quad\boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t)=\frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)} G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)} T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{40}'')^{(8)} = (a_{41}'')^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{40}'')^{(8)} = (b_{41}'')^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)}T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424 A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a_{44}')^{(9)} - (a_{45}')^{(9)} + (a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \leq u^{(9)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for**

the special case .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(1)} and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(2)} and\ (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0$ 439

$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0$ 440

$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0$ 441

$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0$ 442

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0$ 443

$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0$ 444

$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0$ 445

$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0$ 446

$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0$ 447

$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0$ 448

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0$ 449

$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0$ 450

$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0$ 451

$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0$ 452

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0 \quad 483$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0 \quad 484$$

$$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0 \quad 484\text{A}$$

$$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$$

$$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(t) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$F(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$$ 495

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{33}^* :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{33}^* for which $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{37}^* :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{37}^* for which $f(T_{37}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{41}^* :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{25}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507

$$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{29}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508

$$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{33}^{*} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509

$$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that

there exists a unique G_{37}^{*} such that $\varphi(G_{39}{}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510

$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right] + (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{41}^{*} such that $\varphi(G_{43}{}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right] + (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{45}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^{*} given by $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$, T_{14}^{*} given by $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \qquad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$$T_{28}^* = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}^*}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{30}^* = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}^*}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]} \qquad 519$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^* given by $\varphi((G_{35})^*) = 0$, T_{33}^* given by $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{32}^* = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}^*}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{34}^* = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}^*}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$$

$$T_{32}^* = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}^*}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{34}^* = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}^*}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]} \qquad 521$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^* given by $\varphi((G_{39})^*) = 0$, T_{37}^* given by $f(T_{37}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{36}^* = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}^*}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{38}^* = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}^*}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]}$$

$$T_{36}^* = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}^*}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{38}^* = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}^*}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^*)]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^* given by $\varphi((G_{43})^*) = 0$, T_{41}^* given by $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{40}^{*}=\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{42}^{*}=\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{40}^{*}=\frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{42}^{*}=\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523
A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*})=0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{44}^{*}=\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{46}^{*}=\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i=G_i^{*}+\mathbb{G}_i\qquad,T_i=T_i^{*}+\mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*})=(q_{14})^{(1)}\quad,\ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(\,G^{*}\,)=s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{13}+(a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14}-(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13}-(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{15})^{(1)}+(p_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{15}+(a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14}-(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{13}+(b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{14})^{(1)}-(r_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{15}+(b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions

$(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \tag{532}$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \tag{533}$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{534}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{535}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{536}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{537}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T_{17}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{538}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T_{18}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{539}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (540)

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{541}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{542}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{543}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{544}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^* \,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{31})^* \,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{33})^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T^*_{33}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{35})^*\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G^*_{34}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T^*_{32}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T^*_{33}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T^*_{34}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^{*}) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{43})^{*} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586 A

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{45})^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T^*_{45}) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b''_i)^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}(\ (G_{47})^*\ ) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ B$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ C$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ D$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ E$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ F$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ G$$

The characteristic equation of this system is 587

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(r_{18})^{(2)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}+(a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION TWENTY TWO

Angular Focusing, Squeezing, And Rainbow Formation

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Angular Focusing, Squeezing, and Rainbow Formation in a Strongly Driven Quantum Rotor Phys. Rev. Lett 87, 163601 – Published 28 September 2001 I. Sh. Averbukh and R. Arvieu

(1) Semiclassical catastrophes in the dynamics of a quantum rotor (molecule) driven by (e) a strong time-varying field are considered.
(2) **I. Sh. Averbukh and R. Arvieu** show that for strong enough fields, a sharp peak in the rotor angular distribution can be achieved via (e&eb) a time-domain focusing phenomenon, followed by (e) the formation of rainbow like angular structures.
(3) A strategy leading to the enhanced angular squeezing is proposed that uses (e) a specially designed sequence of pulses.
(4) The predicted effects can be observed in many processes, ranging from molecular alignment (orientation) by laser fields to (e&eb) heavy-ion collisions, and the trapping of cold atoms by a standing light wave. **DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.163601**

The Emerging Physics of Consciousness: The Frontiers Collection 2006, pp 407-456 Quantum Cosmology and the Hard Problem of the Conscious Brain Chris King

(5) The conscious brain poses the most serious unsolved problem for science at the beginning of the third millennium. Not only is the whole basis of subjective conscious experience lacking adequate physical explanation, but the relationship between causality and (e&eb) intentionally willed action remains equally obscure.
(6) Authors explore a model resolving major features of the so-called "hard problem in consciousness research" through (e&eb) cosmic subject-object complementarity.
(7) Model combines transactional quantum theory with (e&eb) chaotic and fractal dynamics as a basis for (e) a direct relationship between phase coherence in global brain states and (e&eb) anticipatory boundary conditions in quantum systems, complementing these with key features of conscious perception, and intentional will.
(8) The aim is to discover unusual physical properties of excitable cells that may form a basis for (e) the evolutionary selection of subjective consciousness, because the physics involved in its emergence permits (eb) anticipatory choices that strongly favor survival.

NOTATION

Module One

Semiclassical catastrophes in the dynamics of a quantum rotor (molecule) driven by (e) a strong time-varying field
are considered

G_{13} : Category one of strong time-varying field

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of Semiclassical catastrophes in the dynamics of a quantum rotor (molecule)

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

Sh. Averbukh and R. Arvieu show that

sharp peak in the rotor angular distribution for strong enough fields can be achieved via (e&eb) a time-domain focusing phenomenon, followed by (e) the formation of rainbow like angular structures

G_{16} : Category one of sharp peak in the rotor angular distribution for strong enough fields; time-domain focusing phenomenon, followed by (e) the formation of rainbow like angular structures

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of time-domain focusing phenomenon, followed by (e) the formation of rainbow like angular structures ;sharp peak in the rotor angular distribution for strong enough fields

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

sharp peak in the rotor angular distribution for strong enough fields can be achieved via a time-domain focusing phenomenon, followed by (e) the formation of rainbow like angular structures

G_{20} : Category one of sharp peak in the rotor angular distribution for strong enough fields can be achieved via a time-domain focusing phenomenon

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of formation of rainbow like angular structures

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

A

Strategy leading to the enhanced angular squeezing is proposed that uses (e) a specially designed sequence of pulses.

G_{24} : Category one of specially designed sequence of pulses.

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of strategy leading to the enhanced angular squeezing

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

Predicted effects can be observed in many processes, ranging from molecular alignment (orientation) by laser fields to (e&eb) heavy-ion collisions, and the trapping of cold atoms by a standing light wave.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.87.163601

G_{28} : Category one of Predicted effects can be observed in many processes, ranging from molecular alignment (orientation) by laser fields; heavy-ion collisions, and the trapping of cold atoms by a standing light wave

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of heavy-ion collisions, and the trapping of cold atoms by a standing light wave; Predicted effects can be observed in many processes, ranging from molecular alignment (orientation) by laser fields

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

The conscious brain poses the most serious unsolved problem for science at the beginning of the third millennium.

Not only is the whole basis of subjective conscious experience lacking adequate physical explanation, but the relationship between

causality and (e&eb) intentionally willed action

remains equally obscure.

Model gives the relation between causality and intentionally willed action

G_{32} : Category one of causality; intentionally willed action

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of intentionally willed action ;causality

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

. explore a

model resolving major features of the so-called "hard problem in consciousness research" through (e&eb) cosmic subject-object complementarity

G_{36} : Category one of model resolving major features of the so-called "hard problem in consciousness research" ; cosmic subject-object complementarity

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of cosmic subject-object complementarity; model resolving major features of the so-called "hard problem in consciousness research"

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

Model combines

transactional quantum theory with (e&eb) chaotic and fractal dynamics as a basis for (e) a direct relationship between phase coherence in global brain states and (e&eb) anticipatory boundary conditions in quantum systems, complementing these with key features of conscious perception, and intentional will.

G_{40} : Category one of transactional quantum theory; chaotic and fractal dynamics as a basis for (e) a direct relationship between phase coherence in global brain states and (e&eb) anticipatory boundary conditions in quantum systems, complementing these with key features of conscious perception, and intentional will

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one ofchaotic and fractal dynamics as a basis for (e) a direct relationship between phase coherence in global brain states and (e&eb) anticipatory boundary conditions in quantum systems, complementing these with key features of conscious perception, and intentional will; transactional quantum theory

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

transactional quantum theory with chaotic and fractal dynamics as a basis for (e) a direct relationship between phase coherence in global brain states and (e&eb) anticipatory boundary conditions in quantum systems, complementing these with key features of conscious perception, and intentional will.

G_{44} : Category one of phase coherence in global brain states; anticipatory boundary conditions in quantum systems, complementing these with key features of conscious perception, and intentional will.

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of anticipatory boundary conditions in quantum systems, complementing these with key features of conscious perception, and intentional will.; phase coherence in global brain states

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)} & +(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)} & +(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition

coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\left[+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\right] \\ \left[+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\right] \\ \left[+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\right] \end{array}\right] G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\left[+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\right] \\ \left[+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\right] \\ \left[+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\right] \end{array}\right] G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\left[+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\right] \\ \left[+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\right] \\ \left[+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\right] \end{array}\right] G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for

category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$

are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{30} \quad (81)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8\,,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation

coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad (84)$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & +(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)} & +(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{36}')^{(7)} & +(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{37}')^{(7)} & +(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{38}')^{(7)} & +(a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{45})^{(9)} & +(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) \\ +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & & \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \end{array} \right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{46})^{(9)} & +(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) \\ +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) & & \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) \end{array} \right] G_{15}$$

Where $+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{44})^{(9)} & -(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) \\ -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) & & \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \end{array} \right] T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b'_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G', t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$. (T_{14}', t) and (T_{14}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}, \ (\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\,(G_{23},t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\,(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\,(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$

$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \le \ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}\,(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)}$ 118

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\,\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}\,(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}\,(G_{31}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}\,(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\,\big((G_{35}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(QQQQQ) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38$ 131

(RRRRR) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(SSSSS) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} \ (a_i'')^{(7)}\,(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$
(TTTTT)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(UUUUU) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(VVVVV) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\,(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\,(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) \le (p_i)^{(8)} \le (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) \le (r_i)^{(8)} \le (b_i')^{(8)} \le (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \quad 140$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \quad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}|T_{41} - T_{41}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})',t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$. (T_{41}',t) and (T_{41},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \quad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \quad 146A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) \le (p_i)^{(9)} \le (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) \le (r_i)^{(9)} \le (b_i')^{(9)} \le (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45} - T_{45}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \quad , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \quad , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}$, $T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}$, 155

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 156

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 157

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''^{(2)}_{16}\right)\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)}\right)\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''^{(3)}_{20}\right)\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(u) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$

$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on G(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. (188)

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0$ for $t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28}, G_{30} and G_{28}, G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30}. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{33}, G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44{,}45{,}46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(1)}, (v_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(v_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G^0_{30} e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(u) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)}$, $\frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{35})^*) = s_{ij}$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\ B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION TWENTY THREE

Random-Matrix Theory Of Quantum Transport

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Random-matrix theory of quantum transport Rev. Mod Phys. 69, 731 – Published 1 July 1997 C. W. J. Beenakker

(1) This is a review of the statistical properties of the scattering matrix of a mesoscopic system. Two geometries are contrasted: A quantum dot and (e&eb) a disordered wire.
(2) The quantum dot is a confined region with (e&eb) a chaotic classical dynamics, which is coupled to (e&eb) two electron reservoirs via (e&eb) point contacts.

(3) The disordered wire also connects (e&eb) two reservoirs, either directly or via (e&eb) a point contact or tunnel barrier.
(4) One of the two reservoirs may be in (eb) the superconducting state, in which case (eb) conduction involves (e&eb) Andreev reflection at the interface with (e&eb) the superconductor.
(5) In the case of the quantum dot, the distribution of the scattering matrix is (=) given by either Dyson's circular ensemble for ballistic point contacts or the Poisson kernel for point contacts containing (e) a tunnel barrier.
(6) In the case of the disordered wire, the distribution of the scattering matrix is obtained from (e) the Dorokhov-Mello-Pereyra-Kumar equation, which is (=) a one-dimensional scaling equation.
(7) The equivalence is discussed with the nonlinear σ model, which is (=) a supersymmetric field theory of localization.
(8) The distribution of scattering matrices is applied to (e&eb) a variety of physical phenomena, including (e) universal conductance fluctuations, weak localization, Coulomb blockade, sub-Poissonian shot noise, reflectionless tunneling into a superconductor, and giant conductance oscillations in a Josephson junction.DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.69.731

NOTATION

Module One

The Emerging Physics of Consciousness: The Frontiers Collection 2006, pp 407-456 Quantum Cosmology and the Hard Problem of the Conscious Brain Chris King

transactional quantum theory with chaotic and fractal dynamics as a basis for (e) a direct relationship between phase coherence in global brain states and anticipatory boundary conditions in quantum systems, complementing these with key features of conscious perception, and intentional will

G_{13} : Category one of direct relationship between phase coherence in global brain states and anticipatory boundary conditions in quantum systems, complementing these with key features of conscious perception, and intentional will

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of transactional quantum theory with chaotic and fractal dynamics

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

This is a review of the statistical properties of the scattering matrix of a mesoscopic system. Two geometries are contrasted:

Quantum dots and (e&eb) a disordered wires.

G_{16} : Category one of quantum dots; disordered wires

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of disordered wires; quantum dots

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

quantum dot is a confined region with a chaotic classical dynamics, which is coupled to (e&eb) two electron reservoirs via (e&eb) point contacts

G_{20} : Category one of quantum dot is a confined region with a chaotic classical dynamics; two electron reservoirs via (e&eb) point contacts

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of two electron reservoirs via (e&eb) point contacts; quantum dot is a confined region with a chaotic classical dynamics

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

disordered wire also connects (e&eb) two reservoirs, either directly or via (e&eb) a point contact or tunnel barrier

G_{24} : Category one of disordered wire; two reservoirs, either directly or via (e&eb) a point contact or tunnel barrier

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of two reservoirs, either directly or via (e&eb) a point contact or tunnel barrier ;disordered wire

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

disordered wire also connects two reservoirs, either directly or via (e&eb) a point contact or tunnel barrier

G_{28} : Category one of disordered wire also connects two reservoirs, either directly or via; point contact or tunnel barrier

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of point contact or tunnel barrier ;disordered wire also connects two reservoirs, either directly or via

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

One of the two reservoirs may be in (eb) the superconducting state, in which case (eb) conduction involves (e&eb) Andreev reflection at the interface with (e&eb) the superconductor

G_{32} : Category one of One of the two reservoirs (or 200 out of 2000)

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of superconducting state, in which case (eb) conduction involves (e&eb) Andreev reflection at the interface with (e&eb) the superconductor

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

One of the two reservoirs may be in the superconducting state, in which case conduction involves (e&eb) Andreev reflection at the interface with (e&eb) the superconductor

G_{36} : Category one of One of the two reservoirs may be in the superconducting state, in which case conduction; Andreev reflection at the interface with (e&eb) the superconductor

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of Andreev reflection at the interface with (e&eb) the superconductor ;One of the two reservoirs may be in the superconducting state, in which case conduction

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

One of the two reservoirs may be in the superconducting state, in which case conduction involves Andreev reflection at the interface with (e&eb) the superconductor

G_{40} : Category one of One of the two reservoirs may be in the superconducting state, in which case conduction involves Andreev reflection at the interface; superconductor

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of superconductor ;One of the two reservoirs may be in the superconducting state, in which case conduction involves Andreev reflection at the interface

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

distribution of the scattering matrix in the case of the quantum dot, is (=) given by either Dyson's circular ensemble for ballistic point contacts or the Poisson kernel for point contacts containing (e) a tunnel barrier

G_{44} : Category one of distribution of the scattering matrix in the case of the quantum dot

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of Dyson's circular ensemble for ballistic point contacts or the Poisson kernel for point contacts containing (e) a tunnel barrier

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)} & +(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)} & +(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition

coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\left[+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\right] \\ \left[+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\right] \\ \left[+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\right] \end{array}\right] G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\left[+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\right] \\ \left[+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\right] \\ \left[+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\right] \end{array}\right] G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\left[+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\right] \\ \left[+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\right] \\ \left[+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\right] \end{array}\right] G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for

category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} & -(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad 70$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} & -(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad 71$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad 72$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} & +(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} & +(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$

are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$

are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$

are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$

are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$

are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{28} \quad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation

coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad (84)$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & +(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)} & +(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)} & +(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)} & +(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)} & +(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\,-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\,-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\,-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{40})^{(8)} \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{41})^{(8)} \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)} \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{45})^{(9)} \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{46})^{(9)} \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{44})^{(9)} \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b'_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G', t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$. (T_{14}', t) and (T_{14}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}, \ (\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\,(G_{23},t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$ satisfy the inequalities 116

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\,(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\,(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$

$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \le \ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}\,(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)}$ 118

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\,\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}\,(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}\,(G_{31}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34$$ 127

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}\,(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$$ 128

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\,\big((G_{35}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(WWWWW) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38$ 131

(XXXXX) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(YYYYY) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(ZZZZZ)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(AAAAAA) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(BBBBBB) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\,(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\,(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) \le (p_i)^{(8)} \le (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) \le (r_i)^{(8)} \le (b_i')^{(8)} \le (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \quad 140$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \quad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}|T_{41} - T_{41}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})',t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$. (T_{41}',t) and (T_{41},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \quad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \quad 146A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) \le (p_i)^{(9)} \le (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) \le (r_i)^{(9)} \le (b_i')^{(9)} \le (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45} - T_{45}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \;,\quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \;,\quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \;,\quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \;,\quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, T_i^0 \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}$, 155

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 156

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 157

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''^{(2)}_{16}\right)\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)}\right)\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''^{(3)}_{20}\right)\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(v) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)}=$$
$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)}= \quad 180$$
$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \qquad 186$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+\ (a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\ (t)=0\ and\ T_i\ (t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\ (t)\geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(1)}-(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}),s_{(13)})\}ds_{(13)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$$T_i\ (t)\geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13}<(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1-(a'_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2=G_{14}^0+2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3=G_{15}^0+2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0$ for $t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on $(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28}, G_{30} and G_{28}, G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30}. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{33}, G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$sup_i\{max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)}; (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37} \; and \; G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)})|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}|(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)})-(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)})|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)})d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\,(G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)}t)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)})_1,((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)})_2$ *and* $((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)})_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}}):\left((\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44{,}45{,}46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(1)}, (v_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(v_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad (333)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad (334)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad (335)$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad (336)$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G^0_{30} e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad (378)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad (379)$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad (380)$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- (381)

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 (382)

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(v) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^{*} given by $\varphi(G^{*})=0$, T_{14}^{*} given by $f(T_{14}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{13}^{*}=\frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^{*}=\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{13}^{*}=\frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^{*}=\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*})=0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*})=0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^{*}=\frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^{*}=\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \qquad 513$$

$$T_{16}^{*}=\frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^{*}=\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \qquad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*})=0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{20}^{*}=\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^{*}=\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{20}^{*}=\frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^{*}=\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27})=0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{24}^{*}=\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^{*}=\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{24}^{*}=\frac{(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^{*}=\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \qquad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*})=0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{28}^{*}=\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^{*}=\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^{*}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^{*}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION TWENTY FOUR

Distribution Of The Scattering Matrix

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Random-matrix theory of quantum transport Rev. Mod Phys. 69, 731 – Published 1 July 1997 C. W. J. Beenakker

(1) In the case of the quantum dot, the distribution of the scattering matrix is (=) given by either Dyson's circular ensemble for ballistic point contacts or the Poisson kernel for point contacts containing (e) a tunnel barrier.

(2) In the case of the disordered wire, the distribution of the scattering matrix is obtained from (e) the

Dorokhov-Mello-Pereyra-Kumar equation, which is (=) a one-dimensional scaling equation.

(3) The equivalence is discussed with the nonlinear σ model, which is (=) a supersymmetric field theory of localization.

(4) The distribution of scattering matrices is applied to (e&eb) a variety of physical phenomena, including (e) universal conductance fluctuations, weak localization, Coulomb blockade, sub-Poissonian shot noise, reflectionless tunneling into a superconductor, and giant conductance oscillations in a Josephson junction.DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.69.731

NOTATION

Module One

distribution of the scattering matrix in the case of the quantum dot is (=) given by either Dyson's circular ensemble for ballistic point contacts or the Poisson kernel for point contacts containing (e) a tunnel barrier

G_{13} : Category one of distribution of the scattering matrix in the case of the quantum dot

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of Dyson's circular ensemble for ballistic point contacts or the Poisson kernel for point contacts containing (e) a tunnel barrier

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

distribution of the scattering matrix in the case of the quantum dot is given by either Dyson's circular ensemble for ballistic point contacts or the Poisson kernel for point contacts containing (e) a tunnel barrier

G_{16} : Category one of tunnel barrier

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of distribution of the scattering matrix in the case of the quantum dot is given by either Dyson's circular ensemble for ballistic point contacts or the Poisson kernel for point contacts

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

distribution of the scattering matrix in the case of the disordered wire is obtained from (e) the Dorokhov-Mello-Pereyra-Kumar equation, which is (=) a one-dimensional scaling equation

G_{20} : Category one of Dorokhov-Mello-Pereyra-Kumar equation, which is (=) a one-dimensional scaling equation

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of distribution of the scattering matrix in the case of the disordered wire

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

distribution of the scattering matrix in the case of the disordered wire is obtained from the Dorokhov-Mello-Pereyra-Kumar equation, which is (=) a one-dimensional scaling equation

G_{24} : Category one of distribution of the scattering matrix in the case of the disordered wire is obtained from the Dorokhov-Mello-Pereyra-Kumar equation

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of one-dimensional scaling equation

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

The

equivalence is discussed with the nonlinear σ model, which is (=) a supersymmetric field theory of localization

G_{28} : Category one of equivalence is discussed with the nonlinear σ model

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of supersymmetric field theory of localization

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

The

distribution of scattering matrices is applied to (e&eb) a variety of physical phenomena, including (e) universal conductance fluctuations, weak localization, Coulomb blockade, sub-Poissonian shot noise, reflectionless tunneling into a superconductor, and giant conductance oscillations in a Josephson junction

.DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/RevModPhys.69.731

G_{32} : Category one of distribution of scattering matrices; variety of physical phenomena, including (e) universal conductance fluctuations, weak localization, Coulomb blockade, sub-Poissonian shot noise,

reflectionless tunneling into a superconductor, and giant conductance oscillations in a Josephson junction

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of variety of physical phenomena, including (e) universal conductance fluctuations, weak localization, Coulomb blockade, sub-Poissonian shot noise, reflectionless tunneling into a superconductor, and giant conductance oscillations in a Josephson junction; distribution of scattering matrices

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

universal conductance fluctuations, weak localization, Coulomb blockade, sub-Poissonian shot noise, reflectionless tunneling into a superconductor, and giant conductance oscillations in a Josephson junction

G_{36} : Category one of universal conductance fluctuations; weak localization

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of weak localization ;universal conductance fluctuations

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

universal conductance fluctuations, weak localization,

Coulomb blockade, sub-Poissonian shot noise, reflectionless tunneling into a superconductor, and giant conductance oscillations in a Josephson junction

G_{40} : Category one of sub-Poissonian shot noise; reflectionless tunneling into a superconductor

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of reflectionless tunneling into a superconductor; sub-Poissonian shot noise

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

reflectionless tunneling into a superconductor, and giant conductance oscillations in a Josephson junction

G_{44} : Category one of reflectionless tunneling into a superconductor; giant conductance oscillations in a Josephson junction

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of giant conductance oscillations in a Josephson junction; reflectionless tunneling into a superconductor

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients
Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)} & +(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)} & +(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)} & +(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{16}')^{(2)} & -(b_{16}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{17}')^{(2)} & -(b_{17}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{18}')^{(2)} & -(b_{18}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $-(b_{16}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{20}')^{(3)}\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{21}')^{(3)}\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{22}')^{(3)}\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b_{20}')^{(3)}\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad (75)$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1,2\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad (78)$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$

are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$

are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$

are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt}=(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt}=(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt}=(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{30} \quad (81)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt}=(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(b'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{array}\right]T_{28} \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad (84)$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & +(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)} & +(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)} & +(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad (87)$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)} & -(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)} & -(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)} & -(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition

coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)} & +(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)} & +(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)} & +(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation

coefficient for 1,2,3

$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$ 94

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{40})^{(8)} \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{41})^{(8)} \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)} \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{45})^{(9)} \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{46})^{(9)} \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{c} (b'_{44})^{(9)} \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b'_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G', t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$. (T_{14}', t) and (T_{14}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}, \ (\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\,(G_{23},t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\,(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\,(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$

$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \le \ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}\,(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)}$ 118

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\,\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}\,(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}\,(G_{31}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34$$ 127

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}\,(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$$ 128

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\,\big((G_{35}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(CCCCCC) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38$ 131

(DDDDDD) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(EEEEEE) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(FFFFFF)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(GGGGGG) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(HHHHHH) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\,(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\,(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) \le (p_i)^{(8)} \le (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) \le (r_i)^{(8)} \le (b_i')^{(8)} \le (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \quad 140$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \quad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}|T_{41} - T_{41}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})',t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$. (T_{41}',t) and (T_{41},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \quad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \quad 146A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) \le (p_i)^{(9)} \le (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) \le (r_i)^{(9)} \le (b_i')^{(9)} \le (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45} - T_{45}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \;,\quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \;,\quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \;,\quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \;,\quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$, 155

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 156

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 157

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''^{(2)}_{16}\right)\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)}\right)\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''^{(3)}_{20}\right)\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(w) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)}=$$
$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)}= \quad 180$$
$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \qquad 186$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+\ (a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13,14,15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\ (t)=0\ and\ T_i\ (t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\ (t)\geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(1)}-(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}),s_{(13)})\}ds_{(13)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$$T_i\ (t)\geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13}<(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1-(a'_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2=G_{14}^0+2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3=G_{15}^0+2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\} ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\} ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)} G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 / (a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 / (a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on $(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(7)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\,((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44{,}45{,}46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G^0_{30} e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \le u^{(2)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(w) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)}$, $\frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{35})^*) = s_{ij}$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION TWENTY FIVE

Matrix Models And One-Dimensional Fermions

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Matrix models, one-dimensional fermions, and quantum chaos Phys. Rev. Lett. 72, 64 – Published 3 January 1994 B. D. Simons, P. A. Lee, and B. L. Altshuler

(1) Recent studies of universal parametric correlations in quantum chaotic spectra have (e) revealed an astonishing connection to (e&eb) an integrable one-dimensional quantum system.
(2) **B. D. Simons, P. A. Lee, and B. L. Altshuler** introduce a continuous matrix model which establishes a (eb) direct connection between the quantum Hamiltonian and (e&eb) the exact field

theoretic description of spectral correlations.

(3) This reveals a common mathematical structure which underlies (e&eb) quantum chaos, matrix models, and a quantum Hamiltonian. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.64

M A Cazalilla and M Rigol 2010 New J. Phys. 12 055006 doi:10.1088/1367-2630/12/5/055006 Focus on Dynamics and Thermalization in Isolated Quantum Many-Body Systems Focus On Dynamics And Thermalization In Isolated Quantum Many-Body Systems M A Cazalilla and M Rigol

(4) The dynamics and thermalization of classical systems have been extensively studied in the past. However, the corresponding quantum phenomena remain, to a large extent, uncharted territory. Recent experiments with ultracold quantum gases have at last allowed (eb) exploration of (e) the coherent dynamics of isolated quantum systems, as well as (=) observation of non-equilibrium phenomena that challenge our current understanding of the dynamics of quantum many-body systems.

(5) These experiments have also posed many new questions. How can we control (e&eb) the dynamics to engineer new states of matter?

(6) Given that quantum dynamics is unitary, under which conditions can we expect observables of (e) the system to reach equilibrium values that can be predicted by (e) conventional statistical mechanics?

(7) And, how do the observables dynamically approach (eb) their statistical equilibrium values?

NOTATION

Module One

Recent studies of universal parametric correlations in quantum chaotic spectra have revealed an astonishing connection to (e&eb) an integrable one-dimensional quantum system

G_{13} : Category one of universal parametric correlations in quantum chaotic spectra

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of astonishing connection to (e&eb) an integrable one-dimensional quantum system

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

Recent studies of universal parametric correlations in quantum chaotic spectra have revealed an astonishing connection to (e&eb) an integrable one-dimensional quantum system

G_{16} : Category one of universal parametric correlations in quantum chaotic spectra; integrable one-dimensional quantum system

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of integrable one-dimensional quantum system; universal parametric correlations in quantum chaotic spectra

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

B. D. Simons, P. A. Lee, and B. L. Altshuler introduce a

continuous matrix model which establishes a (eb) direct connection between the quantum Hamiltonian and (e&eb) the exact field theoretic description of spectral correlations

G_{20} : Category one of continuous matrix model

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of direct connection between the quantum Hamiltonian and (e&eb) the exact field theoretic description of spectral correlations

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

direct connection between the

quantum Hamiltonian and (e&eb) the exact field theoretic description of spectral correlations

G_{24} : Category one of quantum Hamiltonian; exact field theoretic description of spectral correlations

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of exact field theoretic description of spectral correlations ;quantum Hamiltonian

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

This reveals a common mathematical structure which underlies (e&eb) quantum chaos, matrix models, and a quantum Hamiltonian.

DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.72.64

G_{28} : Category one of direct connection between the quantum Hamiltonian and the exact field theoretic description of spectral correlations

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of common mathematical structure which underlies (e&eb) quantum chaos, matrix models, and a quantum Hamiltonian

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

The dynamics and thermalization of classical systems have been extensively studied in the past.

However, the corresponding quantum phenomena remain, to a large extent, uncharted territory

. Recent experiments with ultracold quantum gases have at last allowed (eb) exploration of (e) the coherent dynamics of isolated quantum systems, as well as (=) observation of non-equilibrium phenomena that challenge our current understanding of the dynamics of quantum many-body systems

G_{32} : Category one of experiments with ultracold quantum gases

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of exploration of (e) the coherent dynamics of isolated quantum systems, as well as (=) observation of non-equilibrium phenomena that challenge our current understanding of the dynamics of quantum many-body systems

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

Recent experiments with ultracold quantum gases have at last allowed exploration of the coherent dynamics of isolated quantum systems, as well as (=) observation of non-equilibrium phenomena that challenge our current understanding of the dynamics of quantum many-body systems

G_{36} : Category one of understanding of the dynamics of quantum many-body systems

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of observation of non-equilibrium phenomena

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

Given that quantum dynamics is unitary, under which conditions can we expect observables of (e) the system to reach equilibrium values that can be predicted by (e) conventional statistical mechanics?

Model gives predictions

G_{40} : Category one of conventional statistical mechanics

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of observables of the system to reach equilibrium values that can be predicted

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

And, how do the

observables dynamically approach (eb) their statistical equilibrium values

G_{44} : Category one of observables dynamically approach

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of their statistical equilibrium values

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}, \ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{20})^{(3)}\,+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{21})^{(3)}\,+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{22})^{(3)}\,+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\,-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\,-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\,-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} & +(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} & +(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)} & +(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ *are fourth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ *are fifth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ *are sixth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ *are seventh augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ *are eighth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

$Where\ \boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}\ are\ first\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\ are\ second\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\ are\ third\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$

$are\ fourth\ \ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$

$are\ fifth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$

$are\ sixth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$

$are\ seventh\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$

$are\ eighth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{28}')^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{29}')^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{30}')^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{30} \quad (81)$$

$Where\ \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ are\ first\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$And\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ are\ second\ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\ are\ third\ \ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} \quad 85$$

$$- \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad 86$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{40}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{41}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{42}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = \ (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\,(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\,(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \qquad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - \ T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\,(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\,(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) \le (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad (113)$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (114)

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: (115)

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with (116)
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \quad (117)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24{,}25{,}26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})',t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28{,}29{,}30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - \ T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(IIIIII) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

(JJJJJJ) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$

$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \leq (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ 132

(KKKKKK) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(LLLLLL)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(MMMMMM) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(NNNNNN) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38,$ satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ 138

$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ 139

$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$,
Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad 146\ A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + \ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 \ , \ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

159

160

161

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(x) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)}=$$
$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)}= \quad 180$$
$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T} : \left(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. (188)

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0$ for $t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_{0}^{t}(a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_{0}^{t}\{(a_{24}')^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a_{24}'')^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq \qquad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+\;(a_{24}')^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\;(G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\;and\;T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a_{24}'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_{24}'')^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\;and\;(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_{+}$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_{+}$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)},i=24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27})(and\;not\;on\;\;t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\;and\;T_i\,(t)=0$ 228

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\geq T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(4)}t\right)}>0\quad\text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2\;and\;\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\;and\;G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24}<(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1-(a_{25}')^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2=G_{25}^0+2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a_{25}')^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3=G_{26}^0+2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a_{26}')^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$sup_i\{max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)}; (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(7)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}}):\left((\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G^0_{30} e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \le u^{(2)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(x) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \quad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \quad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^{*}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^{*}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\ B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION TWENTY SIX

Entanglement Entropy

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Journal of High Energy Physics January 2012, 2012:125 Date: 24 Jan 2012 Holographic Fermi surfaces and entanglement entropy Noriaki Ogawa, Tadashi Takayanagi, Tomonori Ugajin

(1) Noriaki Ogawa, Tadashi Takayanagi, Tomonori Ugajin argue that Landau-Fermi liquids do not have any gravity duals in (eb) the purely classical limit.

(2) They employ the logarithmic behavior of entanglement entropy to (eb) characterize the existence of Fermi surfaces.

(3) By imposing (e&eb) the null energy condition, (eb) authors show that the specific heat always behaves anomalously.

(4) They also present a classical gravity dual which has (e) the expected behavior of the entanglement entropy and specific heat for (e) non-Fermi liquids. ArXiv eprint: 1111.1023

NOTATION

Module One

Noriaki Ogawa, Tadashi Takayanagi, Tomonori Ugajin argue that

Landau-Fermi liquids do not have any gravity duals in (eb) the purely classical limit

G_{13} : Category one of Landau-Fermi liquids do not have any gravity duals

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of purely classical limit

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

They employ the
Logarithmic behaviors of entanglement entropy to (eb) characterize the existence of Fermi surfaces.

G_{16} : Category one of logarithmic behaviors of entanglement entropy

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of characterize the existence of Fermi surfaces

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

By imposing (e&eb) the null energy condition, (eb) authors show that the
Specific heat always behaves anomalously.

G_{20} : Category one of specific heat; anomalous behaviour.

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of anomalous behaviour; specific heat

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

They also present a

Classical gravity dual which has (e) the expected behavior of the entanglement entropy and specific heat for (e) non-Fermi liquids.

ArXiv eprint: 1111.1023

G_{24} : Category one of expected behavior of the entanglement entropy and specific heat for (e) non-Fermi liquids.

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of classical gravity dual

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

Classical gravity dual which has the expected behavior of the entanglement entropy and specific heat for (e) non-Fermi liquids

G_{28} : Category one of non-Fermi liquids

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of Classical gravity dual which has the expected behavior of the entanglement entropy and specific heat

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

Dynamical Properties of Quantum Many-Body Systems at Zero Temperature Phys. Rev. Lett 59, 2999 – Published 28 December 1987 E. R. Gagliano and C. A. Balseiro

(1) Authors present a method to compute dynamical correlation functions in (eb) quantum many-body systems at zero temperature.
(2) The ground state of a finite system is evaluated exactly by (e) a modified Lanczos method and from it the real-frequency correlation functions are obtained by (e) a projective technique for the memory-function formalism.
(3) They apply the method to the (e&eb) density-density correlation function of a one-dimensional spinless fermion system.
(4) Exact results are recovered in (eb) the noninteracting limit; in the strong-coupling limit results are compared with (e&eb) Monte Carlo simulation and analytical approximations. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevLett.59.2999 Received 16 June 1987Published in the issue dated

28 December 1987: The American Physical Society

Authors present a method to compute

dynamical correlation functions in (eb) quantum many-body systems at zero temperature

G_{32} : Category one of dynamical correlation functions

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of quantum many-body systems at zero temperature

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

The

ground state of a finite system is evaluated exactly by a modified Lanczos method and from it the real-frequency correlation functions are obtained by (e) a projective technique for the memory-function formalism

G_{36} : Category one of modified Lanczos method and from it the real-frequency correlation functions are obtained by (e) a projective technique for the memory-function formalism

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of ground state of a finite system is evaluated

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

ground state of a finite system is evaluated exactly by a modified Lanczos method and from it the real-frequency correlation functions are obtained by (e) a projective technique for the memory-function formalism

G_{40} : Category one of projective technique for the memory-function formalism

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of ground state of a finite system is evaluated exactly by a modified Lanczos method and from it the real-frequency correlation functions

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

projective technique for the memory-function formalism

G_{44} : Category one of memory-function formalism

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of projective technique

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition

coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\,+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;\; +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;\; +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;\; +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;\; +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;\; +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;\; +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{20} \quad 67$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\,+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;\; +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;\; +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;\; +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;\; +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;\; +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;\; +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\,+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;\; +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;\; +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;\; +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;\; +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;\; +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;\; +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{22} \quad 69$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for

category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$

are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{30} \quad (81)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation

coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad (84)$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & +(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)} & +(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{36}')^{(7)} & +(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{37}')^{(7)} & +(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{38}')^{(7)} & +(a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\,-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\,-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\,-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{45})^{(9)} \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{46})^{(9)} \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{44})^{(9)} \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b'_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G', t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$. (T_{14}', t) and (T_{14}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(\mathrm{p_i})^{(2)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}, \ (\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\,(G_{23},t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\,(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\,(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$

$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \le \ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}\,(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)}$ 118

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\,\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}\,(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}\,(G_{31}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34$$ 127

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}\,(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$$ 128

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\,\big((G_{35}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(OOOOOO) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38$ 131

(PPPPPP) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(QQQQQQ) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(RRRRRR)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(SSSSSS) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(TTTTTT) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\,(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\,(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) \le (p_i)^{(8)} \le (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) \le (r_i)^{(8)} \le (b_i')^{(8)} \le (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \quad 140$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \quad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}|T_{41} - T_{41}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})',t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$. (T_{41}',t) and (T_{41},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \quad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \quad 146A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) \le (p_i)^{(9)} \le (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) \le (r_i)^{(9)} \le (b_i')^{(9)} \le (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45} - T_{45}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \;,\quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \;,\quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \;,\quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \;,\quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}$, $T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}$, 155

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 156

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 157

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''^{(2)}_{16}\right)\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)}\right)\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''^{(3)}_{20}\right)\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)},$$

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(y) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$

$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} \} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on G(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. (188)

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+\ (a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(4)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$sup_i\{max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(7)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on (G_{39})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(7)} - (a''_i)^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b'_i)^{(7)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\,((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(1)}, (v_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(v_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G^0_{30} e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \qquad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \qquad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \qquad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \le \nu^{(2)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \le u^{(2)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have
Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\ ]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\ ]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\ ]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(y) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

(586 A)

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\ B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION TWENTY SEVEN

Holographic Realization Of Fermi Points And Surfaces

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Oxford Journals Science & Mathematics Progress of Theoretical Physics Supp Volume 177Pp. 128-142.String Theory on Thin Semiconductors) Holographic Realization of Fermi Points and Surfaces Soo-Jong Rey

(1) In this talk, **Soo-Jong Rey** makes a novel contact between string theory and (e&eb) degenerate fermion dynamics in thin semiconductors.
(2) Utilizing (e) AdS/CFT correspondence in string theory and tunability of coupling parameters in

condensed matter systems, Soo-**Jong Rey** focusses on the possibilities testing string theory from (e) tabletop experiments

(3) He first discusses the observation that stability of Fermi surface is (=) classifiable according to K-theory.

(4) **Soo-Jong Rey** elaborates two concrete realizations of (e) Fermi surfaces of zero and two dimensions. Both are realized by (e) complex of D3-branes and D7-branes of (e) relative codimension 6 and 4, respectively.

(5) The setup with Fermi point models gauge dynamics of (e) multiply stacked graphenes at half-filling. He shows that string theory predicts (eb) dynamical generation of mass gap and metal-insulator quantum phase transition at zero temperature

(6) He emphasizes that conformally invariant gauge theory dynamics of (e) the setup plays a crucial role, leading to novel conformal phase transition.

(7) The setup with Fermi surface is based on (e) charged black hole and (e&eb) models relativistic Fermi liquid.

NOTATION

Module One

Soo-Jong Rey makes a novel contact between
string theory and (e&eb) degenerate fermion dynamics in thin semiconductors
Explanation to be read separately for each constituent of the multiple module

G_{13} : Category one of string theory; degenerate fermion dynamics in thin semiconductors

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of degenerate fermion dynamics in thin semiconductors tring theory

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

Utilizing (e) AdS/CFT correspondence in string theory and tunability of coupling parameters in condensed matter systems, Soo-**Jong Rey** focusses on the possibilities testing string theory from (e) tabletop experiments

G_{16} : Category one of AdS/CFT correspondence in string theory and tunability of coupling parameters in condensed matter systems

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of possibilities testing string theory from (e) tabletop experiments

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

AdS/CFT correspondence in string theory and tunability of coupling parameters in condensed matter

systems

G_{20} : Category one of AdS/CFT correspondence in string theory; tunability of coupling parameters in condensed matter systems

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of tunability of coupling parameters in condensed matter systems ;AdS/CFT correspondence in string theory

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

He first discusses the observation that
stability of Fermi surface is (=) classifiable according to K-theory

G_{24} : Category one of stability of Fermi surface

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of classifiable according to K-theory

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

Soo-Jong Rey elaborates
two concrete realizations of Fermi surfaces of zero and two dimensions. Both are realized by (e) complex of D3-branes and D7-branes of (e) relative codimension 6 and 4, respectively.

G_{28} : Category one of complex of D3-branes and D7-branes of relative codimension 6 and 4, respectively.

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of two concrete realizations of Fermi surfaces of zero and two dimensions

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

The
Setup with Fermi point models gauge dynamics of (e) multiply stacked graphenes at half-filling. He shows that

string theory predicts (eb) dynamical generation of mass gap and metal-insulator quantum phase transition at zero temperature

G_{32} : Category one of multiply stacked graphenes at half-filling

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of setup with Fermi point models gauge dynamics

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

string theory predicts (eb) dynamical generation of mass gap and metal-insulator quantum phase transition at zero temperature

G_{36} : Category one of string theory

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of dynamical generation of mass gap and metal-insulator quantum phase transition at zero temperature

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

The

setup with Fermi surface is based on (e) charged black hole and (e&eb) models relativistic Fermi liquid

G_{40} : Category one of charged black hole and (e&eb) models relativistic Fermi liquid

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of setup with Fermi surface

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

setup with Fermi surface is based on charged black hole and (e&eb) models relativistic Fermi liquid

G_{44} : Category one of setup with Fermi surface is based on charged black hole; relativistic Fermi liquid

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of relativistic Fermi liquid ;setup with Fermi surface is based on charged black hole

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},\ \ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}\ ,$
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)} & +(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)} & +(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)} & +(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{16}')^{(2)} & -(b_{16}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{17}')^{(2)} & -(b_{17}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{18}')^{(2)} & -(b_{18}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $-(b_{16}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right]G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{21})^{(3)}+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right]G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right]G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{array}\right]T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (b'_{21})^{(3)}-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{array}\right]T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad 72$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1, 2\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$

$are\ fourth\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$
$are\ fifth\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$
$are\ sixth\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$
$are\ seventh\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$
$are\ eighth\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

$Where\ -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ $are\ first\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ $are\ second\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ $are\ third\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$
$are\ fourth\ \ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
$are\ fifth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
$are\ sixth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$
$are\ seventh\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
$are\ eighth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad (81)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad (84)$$

$where\ \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \ are\ first\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\ are\ second\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}\ are\ third\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\ ,\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\ ,\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad (87)$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\ ,\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\ ,\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\ are\ first\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ ,\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ ,\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ are\ second\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ ,\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ ,\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \ are\ third\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ ,\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ ,\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ ,\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ ,\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation

coefficients

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)} & -(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)} & -(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)} & -(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \qquad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt}$$ *95*

$$= (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

96

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{44}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{45}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{46}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second

augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b_{44}')^{(9)}\left[-(b_{44}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{45}')^{(9)}\left[-(b_{45}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{46}')^{(9)}\left[-(b_{46}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b_{44}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{46}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq (p_i)^{(1)} \leq (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} |T_{17} - T_{17}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} ||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad (110)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad (111)$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad (112)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(r_i)^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad (113)$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (114)

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: (115)

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)},\ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$ satisfy the inequalities 116

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\,(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\,(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le \ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}\,(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\,\big((G_{27}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - \ T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28,29,30$$ 122

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le (p_i)^{(5)} \le (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) \le (r_i)^{(5)} \le (b_i')^{(5)} \le (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)}$$ 123

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31}, t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34$$ 127

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$$ 128

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) = (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)} |T_{33} - T_{33}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)} ||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(UUUUUU) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38$ 131

(VVVVVV) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \le (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(WWWWWW) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(XXXXXX)

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(YYYYYY) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(ZZZZZZ) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \qquad 140$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \qquad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be

noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}<1 \qquad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)},(a_i')^{(8)},(b_i)^{(8)},(b_i')^{(8)},(p_i)^{(8)},\ (r_i)^{(8)},i=40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)}+(a_i')^{(8)}+\ (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}]<1 \qquad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[\ (b_i)^{(8)}+(b_i')^{(8)}+\ (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}+(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\ (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}]<1 \qquad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)},(a_i')^{(9)},(a_i'')^{(9)},(b_i)^{(9)},(b_i')^{(9)},(b_i'')^{(9)}>0,\quad i,j=44,45,46 \qquad 146A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)},(b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\le(p_i)^{(9)}\le(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\le\ (r_i)^{(9)}\le(b_i')^{(9)}\le(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)=(p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)=\ (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)},(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45}-\ T_{45}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t)-(b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)|<(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47})-(G_{47})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$

and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}<1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)},(a_i')^{(9)},(b_i)^{(9)},(b_i')^{(9)},(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)},i=44,45,46,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)}+(a_i')^{(9)}+\ (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+\ (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}]<1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)}+(b_i')^{(9)}+\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}+\ (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}]<1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t)\le\ (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ ,\ \boxed{G_i(0)=G_i^0>0}$$

$$T_i(t)\le\ (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ ,\ \boxed{T_i(0)=T_i^0>0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t)\le\ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\ ,\quad G_i(0)=G_i^0>0$$

$$T_i(t)\le\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\ ,\quad T_i(0)=T_i^0>0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t)\le\ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\ ,\quad G_i(0)=G_i^0>0$$

$$T_i(t)\le\ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\ ,\quad T_i(0)=T_i^0>0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{24}\right)^{(4)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{24}\right)^{(4)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{28}\right)^{(5)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{28}\right)^{(5)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{32}\right)^{(6)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{32}\right)^{(6)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{36}\right)^{(7)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{36}\right)^{(7)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{40}\right)^{(8)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{40}\right)^{(8)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{44}\right)^{(9)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{44}\right)^{(9)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$, 155

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ 156

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ 157

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) G_{13}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) G_{14}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) G_{15}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{13}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{14}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{15}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) G_{16}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)}) \right) G_{17}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) G_{18}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)} (G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) T_{16}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{22}(t) = T_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof: 166
Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t)-G_{20}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t)\leq G_{24}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\right)\right]ds_{(24)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{24})^{(4)}t\right)G_{25}^{0}+\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(z) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} \left(G_{37}^0 + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\right)\right] ds_{(36)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{36})^{(7)} t\right) G_{37}^0 + \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t) - G_{36}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \le \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0}{G_{37}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} \left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{45}, G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}:\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \quad 186$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on

$G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a_{14}')^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a_{14}')^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a_{15}')^{(1)}$$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If $G_{13}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t), t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}\,(t) > (m)^{(1)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\ and\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\ (G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i=16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on

(G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\} ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)} G_{17}$ and by integrating

$$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 / (a_{17}')^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 / (a_{18}')^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right)$, $t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

208

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$$

209

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 210

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}},\widetilde{T_{23}}:(\widetilde{(G_{23})},\widetilde{(T_{23})})=\mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}),(T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\,ds_{(20)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)},s_{(20)}\right)\right|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

214

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right)d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\,(G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i=20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on

$(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a_{21}')^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a_{21}')^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a_{22}')^{(3)}$$

If $G_{21}\ or\ G_{22}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}\,(t) > (m)^{(3)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq \quad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on

$(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 228

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(4)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a_{25}')^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a_{25}')^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a_{26}')^{(4)}$$

If $G_{25}\ or\ G_{26}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}\,((G_{27})(t), t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}\,(t) > (m)^{(4)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+\left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \tag{234}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \tag{235}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (236)

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),(T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)}-G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq \tag{237}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 239

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(5)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a_{29}')^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a_{29}')^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 / (a_{30}')^{(5)}$$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}\,((G_{31})(t), t)) = (b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}\,(t) > (m)^{(5)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b_{30}')^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{245}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{246}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (247)

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}),(\widetilde{T_{35}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq \tag{248}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 250

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(6)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a_{33}')^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a_{33}')^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a_{34}')^{(6)}$$

If $G_{33}\ or\ G_{34}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}\ ((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b_{33}')^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}\,(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{37} , $G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})},\widetilde{(T_{39})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{39})},\widetilde{(T_{39})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}),(T_{39}))$

It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\leq\int_0^t(a_{36})^{(7)}|G_{37}^{(1)}-G_{37}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\,ds_{(36)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}|(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})-(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(2)},s_{(36)})|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$|(G_{39})^{(1)}-(G_{39})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq \quad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right)d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)};\;(G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a_{37}')^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 / (a_{37}')^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 / (a_{38}')^{(7)}$$

If $G_{37}\ or\ G_{38}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\ ((G_{39})(t), t)) = (b_{37}')^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t), t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}\,(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t), t\right) = (b_{38}')^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have 266

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)}, (T_{43})^{(1)}), ((G_{43})^{(2)}, (T_{43})^{(2)})\right) = \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}), (T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)} - G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)} - G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}} +$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)} - G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}} +$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right) - (a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)}, s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)} - (G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)}, (T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)}, (T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by 274

$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(8)} - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\} ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a_{41}')^{(8)}G_{41}$ and by integrating

$$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a_{41}')^{(8)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a_{42}')^{(8)}$$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t), t)) = (b_{41}')^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)}), ((G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}), (\widetilde{T_{47}}) : \left((\widetilde{G_{47}}), (\widetilde{T_{47}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), (T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)} - G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right) - (a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)}, s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(9)} - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\} ds_{(44)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a_{45}')^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 / (a_{45}')^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 / (a_{46}')^{(9)}$$

If $G_{45}\ or\ G_{46}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right)) = (b_{45}')^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}\,(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) = (b_{46}')^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \le -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \le -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T^0_{13}}{T^0_{14}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(p_{13})^{(1)}-(S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)}-(p_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+G_{15}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\le G_{15}(t)\le\right. \quad (286)$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+G_{15}^{0}e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\le T_{13}(t)\le T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad (287)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\le T_{13}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad (288)$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}-(b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\le T_{15}(t)\le \quad (289)$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)}+(R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)},(S_2)^{(1)},(R_1)^{(1)},(R_2)^{(1)}$:- (290)

Where $(S_1)^{(1)}=(a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)}-(a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)}=(a_{15})^{(1)}-(p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)}=(b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)}-(b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)}=(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation (291)

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$: (292)

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\le-(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}-(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(2)} \quad (293)$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(2)}\le-(b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)-(b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(2)} \quad (294)$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)},(\nu_2)^{(2)},(u_1)^{(2)},(u_2)^{(2)}$: (295)

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(2)}<0$ the roots (296)

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ (297)

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ and (298)

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: (299)

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}<0$ the (300)

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ (301)

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\left(u^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$ 311

$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t} \le G_{18}(t) \le\right.$ 312

$\left.\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right)$

$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$ 313

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}$ 314

$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t} \le T_{18}(t) \le$ 315

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$

$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}$ 318

$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(3)}, (\nu_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(\nu_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(\nu^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$
and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(\nu^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T^0_{20}}{T^0_{21}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}\left((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)}\right)}\left[e^{\left((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad 324$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}\left((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)}\right)}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}\left((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)}\right)}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}\left((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)}\right)}\left[e^{\left((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(4)}, (v_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, v^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(v_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(4)}$, $(v_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(4)} < (v_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(v_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)}+(R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}\right]+T_{26}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)},(S_2)^{(4)},(R_1)^{(4)},(R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)}=(a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)}-(a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)}=(a_{26})^{(4)}-(p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)}=(b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)}-(b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)}=(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)},(\sigma_2)^{(5)},(\tau_1)^{(5)},(\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)},(\sigma_2)^{(5)},(\tau_1)^{(5)},(\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)}\le-(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}-(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)}\le-(b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)-(b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)},(\nu_2)^{(5)},(u_1)^{(5)},(u_2)^{(5)},\nu^{(5)},u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(5)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}-(a_{28})^{(5)}=0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)}-(b_{28})^{(5)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}-(a_{28})^{(5)}=0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)}-(b_{28})^{(5)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)},(m_2)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)},(\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)},(m_2)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_0)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(5)}<(\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(5)}<(\nu_0)^{(5)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)}=\frac{G_{28}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\nu_0)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}<(\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)}=(u_0)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)}=(u_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(5)}<(u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{28}(t) \leq G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{29}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \leq G_{30}(t) \leq \right. \quad 344$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} - (b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t} \leq T_{30}(t) \leq \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)} + (R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \leq -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \leq -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, \nu^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(\nu_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad (354)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad (355)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad (356)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}}T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}}T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}-(b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(7)}, (v_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, v^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(v_1)^{(7)} > 0, (v_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (v_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(7)} < (v_1)^{(7)}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)}$

and analogously 363

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)} + (R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0, (u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\nu_1)^{(8)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

and analogously 374

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} - (b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)} + (R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, \nu^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T^0_{44}}{T^0_{45}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

(

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G^0_{44}}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})}\Big[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\Big] + G^0_{46}e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t} \le G_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G^0_{44}}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}] + G^0_{46}e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t})$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}-(b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)}+(R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 386

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \le \nu^{(1)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \le u^{(1)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)}T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{dv^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)v^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}v^{(2)}$$

Definition of $v^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}, (v_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (v_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$

$$v^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(v_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}}{(v_0)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq (v_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$v^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}}{(v_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (v_1)^{(2)} < (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(v_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(v_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} \leq (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(v_1)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a''_{16})^{(2)} = (a''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)} G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)} T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{20}'')^{(3)} = (a_{21}'')^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{20}'')^{(3)} = (b_{21}'')^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a_{24}')^{(4)} - (a_{25}')^{(4)} + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right) - (a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)} G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)} T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

411

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{28}'')^{(5)} = (b_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a_{32}')^{(6)} - (a_{33}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)}-(\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \le u^{(6)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}\right)\leq\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}<(\nu_1)^{(7)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t)\geq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(7)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(7)}<(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}\leq\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(m_1)^{(7)},\quad\boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t)=\frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)} G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)} T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{40}'')^{(8)} = (a_{41}'')^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{40}'')^{(8)} = (b_{41}'')^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)}T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424 A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a_{44}')^{(9)} - (a_{45}')^{(9)} + (a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \leq u^{(9)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)} G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for**

the special case .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(1)} and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(2)} and\ (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0$ 439

$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0$ 440

$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0$ 441

$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0$ 442

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0$ 443

$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0$ 444

$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0$ 445

$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0$ 446

$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0$ 447

$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0$ 448

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0$ 449

$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0$ 450

$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0$ 451

$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0$ 452

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0 \quad 483$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0 \quad 484$$

$$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0 \quad 484\text{A}$$

$$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$$

$$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(z) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$F(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$$ 495

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{33}^* :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{33}^* for which $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{37}^* :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{37}^* for which $f(T_{37}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{41}^* :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{25}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507

$$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{29}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508

$$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{33}^{*} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509

$$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that

there exists a unique G_{37}^{*} such that $\varphi(G_{39}{}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510

$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right] + (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{41}^{*} such that $\varphi(G_{43}{}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right] + (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{45}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^{*} given by $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$, T_{14}^{*} given by $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \qquad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$$T_{28}^* = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}^*}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{30}^* = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}^*}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]} \qquad 519$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^* given by $\varphi((G_{35})^*) = 0$, T_{33}^* given by $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{32}^* = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}^*}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{34}^* = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}^*}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$$

$$T_{32}^* = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}^*}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{34}^* = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}^*}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]} \qquad 521$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^* given by $\varphi((G_{39})^*) = 0$, T_{37}^* given by $f(T_{37}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{36}^* = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}^*}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{38}^* = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}^*}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]}$$

$$T_{36}^* = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}^*}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{38}^* = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}^*}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^*)]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^* given by $\varphi((G_{43})^*) = 0$, T_{41}^* given by $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{40}^{*}=\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{42}^{*}=\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{40}^{*}=\frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{42}^{*}=\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523
A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*})=0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{44}^{*}=\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{46}^{*}=\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i=G_i^{*}+\mathbb{G}_i\qquad,T_i=T_i^{*}+\mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{14})^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*})=(q_{14})^{(1)}\quad,\ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(\,G^{*}\,)=s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{13}+(a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14}-(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13}-(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{15})^{(1)}+(p_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{15}+(a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14}-(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{13}+(b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{14})^{(1)}-(r_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{15}+(b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions

$(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \tag{532}$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \tag{533}$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{534}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{535}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{536}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{537}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T_{17}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{538}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T_{18}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{539}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{541}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{542}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{543}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{544}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^* \,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{31})^* \,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{33})^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T^*_{33}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{35})^*\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G^*_{34}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T^*_{32}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T^*_{33}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T^*_{34}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^{*}) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{43})^{*} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586 A

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{45})^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T^*_{45}) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b''_i)^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}(\ (G_{47})^*\ ) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ B$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ C$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ D$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ E$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ F$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ G$$

The characteristic equation of this system is 587

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(r_{18})^{(2)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}+(a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION TWENTY EIGHT

Quantum Measurement Problem

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Oxford Journals Science & Mathematics Progress of Theoretical Physics Supp Volume 177Pp. 128-142.String Theory on Thin Semiconductors) Holographic Realization of Fermi Points and Surfaces Soo-Jong Rey

(1) Authors find positive evidence for (e) this identification from (e) both equilibrium thermodynamics at or near zero temperature and out-of-equilibrium linear response and (e&eb) transport properties.
(2) In the final, **Soo-Jong Rey** argues that fluctuation of black hole horizon provides (eb) holographic realization of (e) the Landau's Fermi liquid theory. **Copyright (c) 2009 Progress of Theoretical Physics**

Cosmological inflation and the quantum measurement problem Phys. Rev. D 86, 103524 – Published 26 November 2012 Jérôme Martin, Vincent Vennin, and Patrick Peter figures and references are deleted due to spatial constraints for which act of deletion kindly pardon me.

(3) According to cosmological inflation, the inhomogeneities in our Universe are of (e) quantum-mechanical origin.
(4) This scenario is phenomenologically very appealing as it solves (e) the puzzles of (e) the standard hot big bang model and naturally explains (eb) why the spectrum of cosmological perturbations is (=) almost scale invariant.
(5) It is also an ideal playground to discuss a deep question among which is (=) the quantum measurement problem in a cosmological context.
(6) Although the large squeezing of the quantum state of the perturbations and the phenomenon of decoherence explain (eb) many aspects of the quantum-to-classical transition, it remains to understand how a specific outcome can be produced (eb) in the early Universe, in the absence of (e) any observer.
(7) The continuous spontaneous localization (CSL) approach to quantum mechanics attempts to solve (e) the quantum measurement question in a general context. In this framework, the wave function collapse is caused by adding new nonlinear and stochastic terms to the Schrödinger equation.
(8) In this paper, we apply this theory to inflation, which amounts to solving the CSL parametric oscillator case. We choose the wave function collapse to occur on an eigenstate of the Mukhanov-Sasaki variable and discuss the corresponding modified Schrödinger equation.
(9) Then, we compute the power spectrum of the perturbations and show that it acquires a universal shape with two branches, one which remains scale invariant and one with nS=4, a spectral index in obvious contradiction with the cosmic microwave background anisotropy observations.

NOTATION

Module One

Authors find

positive evidence for identification from (e) both equilibrium thermodynamics at or near zero temperature and out-of-equilibrium linear response and (e&eb) transport properties

G_{13} : Category one of equilibrium thermodynamics at or near zero temperature and out-of-equilibrium linear response and (e&eb) transport properties

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of positive evidence for identification

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

equilibrium thermodynamics at or near zero temperature and out-of-equilibrium linear response and (e&eb) transport properties

G_{16} : Category one of equilibrium thermodynamics at or near zero temperature and out-of-equilibrium linear response; transport properties

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of transport properties ;equilibrium thermodynamics at or near zero temperature and out-of-equilibrium linear response

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

In the final, **Soo-Jong Rey** argues that

Fluctuation of black hole horizon provides (eb) holographic realization of (e) the Landau's Fermi liquid theory.

Copyright (c) 2009 Progress of Theoretical Physics

G_{20} : Category one of fluctuation of black hole horizon

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of holographic realization of (e) the Landau's Fermi liquid theory.

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

inhomogeneities in our Universe according to cosmological inflation are of (e) quantum-mechanical Origin

G_{24} : Category one of quantum-mechanical Origin

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of inhomogeneities in our Universe according to cosmological inflation

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

This

scenario is phenomenologically very appealing as it solves (e) the puzzles of (e) the standard hot big bang model and naturally explains (eb) why the spectrum of cosmological perturbations is (=) almost scale invariant

G_{28} : Category one of puzzles of (e) the standard hot big bang model and naturally explains (eb) why the spectrum of cosmological perturbations is (=) almost scale invariant

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of scenario is phenomenologically very appealing

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

scenario is phenomenologically very appealing as it solves the puzzles of (e) the standard hot big bang model and naturally explains (eb) why the spectrum of cosmological perturbations is (=) almost scale invariant

G_{32} : Category one of standard hot big bang model and naturally explains (eb) why the spectrum of cosmological perturbations is (=) almost scale invariant

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of scenario is phenomenologically very appealing as it solves the puzzles

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

scenario is phenomenologically very appealing as it solves the puzzles of the standard hot big bang model and naturally explains (eb) why the spectrum of cosmological perturbations is (=) almost scale invariant

G_{36} : Category one of scenario is phenomenologically very appealing as it solves the puzzles of the standard hot big bang model

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of scale invariance of spectrum of cosmological perturbations

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

Although the

large squeezing of the quantum state of the perturbations and the phenomenon of decoherence explain (eb) many aspects of the quantum-to-classical transition, it remains to understand how a specific outcome can be produced (eb) in the early Universe, in the absence of (e) any observer

G_{40} : Category one of large squeezing of the quantum state of the perturbations and the phenomenon of decoherence

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of many aspects of the quantum-to-classical transition, it remains to understand how a specific outcome can be produced (eb) in the early Universe, in the absence of (e) any observer

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

large squeezing of the quantum state of the perturbations and the phenomenon of decoherence explain many aspects of the quantum-to-classical transition, it remains to understand how a specific outcome can be produced (eb) in the early Universe, in the absence of (e) any observer

G_{44} : Category one of specific outcome

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of early Universe, in the absence of (e) any observer

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}),t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}), t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}), t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}), t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}), t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} & -(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} & -(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{24}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{24} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{25}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{25} \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{26}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{26} \quad (75)$$

$\boxed{(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ *are fourth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ *are fifth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$

are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt}=(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt}=(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt}=(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{26} \quad (78)$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt}=(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{28})^{(5)} & +(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8\,,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients*

$for\ category$ 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ $are\ \ third\ \ detrition\ coefficients$ $for\ category$ 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt}=(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} \qquad 85$$

$$-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)\\ +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt}=(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)\\ +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{33} \qquad 86$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt}=(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)\\ +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{34} \qquad 87$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ $are\ \ first\ \ augmentation\ coefficients$ $for\ category$ 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ $are\ second\ augmentation$ $coefficients\ for\ category$ 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ $are\ third\ augmentation$ $coefficients\ for\ category$ 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$
seventh augmentation coefficients
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$
Eighth augmentation coefficients
$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt}=(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{32} \quad (88)$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt}=(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{33} \quad (89)$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt}=(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{34} \quad (90)$$

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \qquad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt}$$ *95*

$$= (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)} \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{42})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

96

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{44}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{45}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{46}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second

augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b_{44}')^{(9)}\left[-(b_{44}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{45}')^{(9)}\left[-(b_{45}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{46}')^{(9)}\left[-(b_{46}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b_{44}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{46}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b_i')^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} |T_{17} - T_{17}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} ||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad (110)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad (111)$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad (112)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(r_i)^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad (113)$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (114)

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: (115)

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)},\ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\,(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} +\ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} +\ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\,(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} +\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} +\ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le\ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}\,(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\,\big((G_{27}), t\big) =\ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31}, t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34$$ 127

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$$ 128

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(AAAAAAA) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38$ 131

(BBBBBBB) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \le (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(CCCCCCC) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(DDDDDDD)

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(EEEEEEE) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(FFFFFFF) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \qquad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \qquad 140$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \qquad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \qquad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be

noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}<1 \qquad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)},(a_i')^{(8)},(b_i)^{(8)},(b_i')^{(8)},(p_i)^{(8)},\ (r_i)^{(8)},i=40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)}+(a_i')^{(8)}+\ (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}]<1 \qquad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[\ (b_i)^{(8)}+(b_i')^{(8)}+\ (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}+(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\ (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}]<1 \qquad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)},(a_i')^{(9)},(a_i'')^{(9)},(b_i)^{(9)},(b_i')^{(9)},(b_i'')^{(9)}>0,\quad i,j=44,45,46 \qquad 146A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)},(b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\le(p_i)^{(9)}\le(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\le\ (r_i)^{(9)}\le(b_i')^{(9)}\le(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)=(p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)=\ (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)},(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45}-\ T_{45}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t)-(b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)|<(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47})-(G_{47})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$

and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}<1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)},(a_i')^{(9)},(b_i)^{(9)},(b_i')^{(9)},(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)},i=44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)}+(a_i')^{(9)}+\ (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+\ (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}]<1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)}+(b_i')^{(9)}+\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}+\ (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}]<1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t)\leq\ \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ ,\ \boxed{G_i(0)=G_i^0>0}$$

$$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\quad ,\quad \boxed{T_i(0)=T_i^0>0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\quad ,\quad G_i(0)=G_i^0>0$$

$$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\quad ,\quad T_i(0)=T_i^0>0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\quad ,\quad G_i(0)=G_i^0>0$$

$$T_i(t)\leq\ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\quad ,\quad T_i(0)=T_i^0>0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$, 155

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ 156

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ 157

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) G_{13}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) G_{14}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) G_{15}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{13}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{14}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{15}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) G_{16}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)}) \right) G_{17}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) G_{18}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)} (G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) T_{16}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{22}(t) = T_{22}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof: 166
Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t)-G_{20}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t)\leq G_{24}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\right)\right]ds_{(24)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{24})^{(4)}t\right)G_{25}^{0}+\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(aa) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} \left(G_{37}^0 + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\right)\right] ds_{(36)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{36})^{(7)} t\right) G_{37}^0 + \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t) - G_{36}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \le \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0}{G_{37}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} \left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{45}, G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}:\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \quad 186$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on

$G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a_{14}')^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a_{14}')^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a_{15}')^{(1)}$$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If $G_{13}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t), t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}\,(t) > (m)^{(1)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\ and\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\ (G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i=16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on

(G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\} ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)} G_{17}$ and by integrating

$$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 / (a_{17}')^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 / (a_{18}')^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right)$, $t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

208

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$$

209

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 210

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}},\widetilde{T_{23}}:(\widetilde{(G_{23})},\widetilde{(T_{23})})=\mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}),(T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\,ds_{(20)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)},s_{(20)}\right)\right|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

214

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right)d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\,(G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i=20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on

$(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a_{21}')^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a_{21}')^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a_{22}')^{(3)}$$

If $G_{21}\ or\ G_{22}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}\,(t) > (m)^{(3)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq \quad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on

$(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 228

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(4)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a_{25}')^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a_{25}')^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a_{26}')^{(4)}$$

If $G_{25}\ or\ G_{26}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}\ ((G_{27})(t), t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25}\ \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t\ > t_4$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}\,(t) > (m)^{(4)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+\left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \tag{234}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \tag{235}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (236)

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{31}}),(\widetilde{T_{31}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{31}}),(\widetilde{T_{31}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),(T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)}-G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq \tag{237}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 239

it results

$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(5)} t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a_{29}')^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a_{29}')^{(5)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 / (a_{30}')^{(5)}$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}\,((G_{31})(t), t)) = (b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}\,(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b_{30}')^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{245}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{246}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (247)

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq \tag{248}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 250

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(6)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a_{33}')^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a_{33}')^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a_{34}')^{(6)}$$

If $G_{33}\ or\ G_{34}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}\ ((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b_{33}')^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}\,(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{37} , $G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{39}}),(\widetilde{T_{39}}):\left((\widetilde{G_{39}}),(\widetilde{T_{39}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}),(T_{39}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{37}^{(1)}-G_{37}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\,ds_{(36)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}\left|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)}\right)\left|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)}\right)-(a''_{36})^{(7)}\left(T_{37}^{(2)},s_{(36)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)}-(G_{39})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq \quad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right)d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a_{37}')^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 / (a_{37}')^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 / (a_{38}')^{(7)}$$

If $G_{37}\ or\ G_{38}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\ ((G_{39})(t), t)) = (b_{37}')^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t), t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}\,(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t), t\right) = (b_{38}')^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have 266

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)}, (T_{43})^{(1)}), ((G_{43})^{(2)}, (T_{43})^{(2)})\right) = \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}), (T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)} - G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)} - G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}} +$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)} - G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}} +$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right) - (a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)}, s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)} - (G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)}, (T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)}, (T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by 274

$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i = 40{,}41{,}42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 275

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a_{41}')^{(8)}G_{41}$ and by integrating

$$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a_{41}')^{(8)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a_{42}')^{(8)}$$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\,((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b_{41}')^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}\,(t) > (m)^{(8)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)}), ((G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}), (\widetilde{T_{47}}) : \left((\widetilde{G_{47}}), (\widetilde{T_{47}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), (T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)} - G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right) - (a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)}, s_{(44)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(9)} - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\} ds_{(44)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a_{45}')^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 / (a_{45}')^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 / (a_{46}')^{(9)}$$

If $G_{45}\ or\ G_{46}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right)) = (b_{45}')^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}\,(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) = (b_{46}')^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \le -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \le -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T^0_{13}}{T^0_{14}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(p_{13})^{(1)}-(S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)}-(p_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+G_{15}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\le G_{15}(t)\le\right. \quad (286)$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+G_{15}^{0}e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\le T_{13}(t)\le T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad (287)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\le T_{13}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad (288)$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}-(b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\le T_{15}(t)\le \quad (289)$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)}+(R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)},(S_2)^{(1)},(R_1)^{(1)},(R_2)^{(1)}$:- (290)

Where $(S_1)^{(1)}=(a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)}-(a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)}=(a_{15})^{(1)}-(p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)}=(b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)}-(b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)}=(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation (291)

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$: (292)

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\le-(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}-(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(2)} \quad (293)$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(2)}\le-(b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)-(b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(2)} \quad (294)$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)},(\nu_2)^{(2)},(u_1)^{(2)},(u_2)^{(2)}$: (295)

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(2)}<0$ the roots (296)

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ (297)

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ and (298)

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: (299)

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}<0$ the (300)

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ (301)

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\left(u^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$ 311

$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t} \le G_{18}(t) \le\right.$ 312

$\left.\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right)$

$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$ 313

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}$ 314

$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t} \le T_{18}(t) \le$ 315

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}$$ 318

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(v^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$
and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(v^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T^0_{20}}{T^0_{21}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} \quad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad 324$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(4)}, (v_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, v^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(v_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}(v^{(4)})^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)} v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)},(\mu_2)^{(4)},(v_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)},(\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(4)} < (v_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(v_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)}+(R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}\right]+T_{26}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)},(S_2)^{(4)},(R_1)^{(4)},(R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)}=(a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)}-(a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)}=(a_{26})^{(4)}-(p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)}=(b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)}-(b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)}=(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)},(\sigma_2)^{(5)},(\tau_1)^{(5)},(\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)},(\sigma_2)^{(5)},(\tau_1)^{(5)},(\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)}\le-(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}-(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)}\le-(b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)-(b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)},(\nu_2)^{(5)},(u_1)^{(5)},(u_2)^{(5)},\nu^{(5)},u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(5)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}-(a_{28})^{(5)}=0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)}-(b_{28})^{(5)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}-(a_{28})^{(5)}=0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)}-(b_{28})^{(5)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)},(m_2)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)},(\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)},(m_2)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_0)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}<(\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)}<(\nu_0)^{(5)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)}=\frac{G_{28}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}<(\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)}=(u_0)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)}=(u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)}<(u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{28}(t) \leq G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{29}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \leq G_{30}(t) \leq \right. \quad 344$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} - (b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t} \leq T_{30}(t) \leq \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)} + (R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \leq -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \leq -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, \nu^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(\nu_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}}T_{32}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}}T_{32}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}-(b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t}-e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right]+T_{34}^{0}e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right]+T_{34}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)}$

and analogously 363

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)} + (R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0, (u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\nu_1)^{(8)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

and analogously 374

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} - (b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)} + (R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, \nu^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T^0_{44}}{T^0_{45}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

(

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G^0_{44}}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})}\Big[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\Big] + G^0_{46}e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t} \le G_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G^0_{44}}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}] + G^0_{46}e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t})$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}\left((R_1)^{(9)}-(b'_{46})^{(9)}\right)}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}\left((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)}+(R_2)^{(9)}\right)}\left[e^{\left((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 386

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)}T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{dv^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)v^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}v^{(2)}$$

Definition of $v^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}, (v_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (v_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$

$$v^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(v_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}}{(v_0)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq (v_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$v^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}}{(v_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (v_1)^{(2)} < (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(v_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(v_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} \leq (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(v_1)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)} G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)} T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a_{20}')^{(3)} - (a_{21}')^{(3)} + (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)} G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)} T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

411

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{28}'')^{(5)} = (b_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a_{32}')^{(6)} - (a_{33}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)}=\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(v_0)^{(6)}}{(v_0)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(v_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)}-(v_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)}-(v_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(6)}=\frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 415

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(6)}(t)=\frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \le u^{(6)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t)=\frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(v_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(v_0)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}$ then $v^{(6)}(t) = (v_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (v_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(v_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)v^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}v^{(7)}$$

Definition of $v^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}\right)\leq\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}<(\nu_1)^{(7)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t)\geq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(7)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(7)}<(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}\leq\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(m_1)^{(7)},\quad\boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t)=\frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)} G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)} T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)}T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424 A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \leq u^{(9)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)} G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for**

the special case .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(1)} and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(2)} and\ (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0$ 439

$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0$ 440

$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0$ 441

$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0$ 442

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0$ 443

$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0$ 444

$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0$ 445

$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0$ 446

$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0$ 447

$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0$ 448

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0$ 449

$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0$ 450

$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0$ 451

$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0$ 452

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0 \quad 483$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0 \quad 484$$

$$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0 \quad 484\text{A}$$

$$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$$

$$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(aa) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$F(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$$ 495

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{33}^* :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{33}^* for which $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{37}^* :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{37}^* for which $f(T_{37}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{41}^* :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{25}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507

$$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{29}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508

$$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{33}^{*} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509

$$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that

there exists a unique G_{37}^{*} such that $\varphi(G_{39}{}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510

$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right] + (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{41}^{*} such that $\varphi(G_{43}{}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right] + (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{45}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^{*} given by $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$, T_{14}^{*} given by $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \qquad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$$T_{28}^* = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}^*}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{30}^* = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}^*}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^*)]} \qquad 519$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^* given by $\varphi((G_{35})^*) = 0$, T_{33}^* given by $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{32}^* = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}^*}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{34}^* = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}^*}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$$

$$T_{32}^* = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}^*}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{34}^* = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}^*}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^*)]} \qquad 521$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^* given by $\varphi((G_{39})^*) = 0$, T_{37}^* given by $f(T_{37}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{36}^* = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}^*}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{38}^* = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}^*}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]}$$

$$T_{36}^* = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}^*}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{38}^* = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}^*}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^*)]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^* given by $\varphi((G_{43})^*) = 0$, T_{41}^* given by $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{40}^{*}=\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{42}^{*}=\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{40}^{*}=\frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{42}^{*}=\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*})=0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{44}^{*}=\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{46}^{*}=\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i=G_i^{*}+\mathbb{G}_i\qquad,T_i=T_i^{*}+\mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{14})^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*})=(q_{14})^{(1)}\quad,\ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(\,G^{*}\,)=s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{13}+(a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14}-(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13}-(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{15})^{(1)}+(p_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{15}+(a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14}-(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{13}+(b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{14})^{(1)}-(r_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{15}+(b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions

$(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \tag{532}$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \tag{533}$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{534}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{535}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{536}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{537}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T_{17}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{538}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T_{18}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{539}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{541}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{542}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{543}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{544}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^* \,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{31})^* \,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{33})^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T^*_{33}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G^*_{34}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T^*_{32}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T^*_{33}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T^*_{34}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^{*}) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{43})^{*} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586 A

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{45})^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T^*_{45}) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b''_i)^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}(\ (G_{47})^*\ ) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ B$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ C$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ D$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ E$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ F$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ G$$

The characteristic equation of this system is 587

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left(\ (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\ (\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left(\ (b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\ (\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(r_{18})^{(2)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}+(a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

ECTION TWENTY NINE

Cosmological Inflation

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Cosmological inflation and the quantum measurement problem Phys. Rev. D 86, 103524 – Published 26 November 2012 Jérôme Martin, Vincent Vennin, and Patrick Peter figures and references are deleted due to spatial constraints for which act of deletion kindly pardon me.

(1) Although the large squeezing of the quantum state of the perturbations and the phenomenon of decoherence explain (eb) many aspects of the quantum-to-classical transition, it remains to understand how a specific outcome can be produced (eb) in the early Universe, in the absence of (e) any observer.

(2) The continuous spontaneous localization (CSL) approach to quantum mechanics attempts to solve (e) the quantum measurement question in a general context. In this framework, the wave function collapse is caused by adding new nonlinear and stochastic terms to the Schrödinger equation.

(3) In this paper, we apply this theory to inflation, which amounts to solving the CSL parametric oscillator case. We choose the wave function collapse to occur on an eigenstate of the Mukhanov-Sasaki variable and discuss the corresponding modified Schrödinger equation.

(4) Then, we compute the power spectrum of the perturbations and show that it acquires a universal shape with two branches, one which remains scale invariant and one with nS=4, a spectral index in obvious contradiction with the cosmic microwave background anisotropy observations.

NOTATION

Module One

Although the large squeezing of the quantum state of the perturbations and the phenomenon of decoherence explain (eb) many aspects of the quantum-to-classical transition, it remains to understand how a specific outcome can be produced (eb) in the early Universe, in the absence of (e) any observer

G_{13} : Category one of specific outcome

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of early Universe, in the absence of (e) any observer

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

specific outcome can be produced in the early Universe, in the absence of (e) any observer

G_{16} : Category one of any observer

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of specific outcome can be produced in the early Universe

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

The continuous spontaneous localization (CSL) approach to quantum mechanics attempts to solve (e) the quantum measurement question in a general context.

In this framework, the

wave function collapse is caused by adding new nonlinear and stochastic terms to the Schrödinger equation

G_{20} : Category one of adding new nonlinear and stochastic terms to the Schrödinger equation

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of wave function collapse

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

In this paper, we apply this theory to inflation, which amounts to solving the CSL parametric oscillator case. We choose the wave function collapse to occur on an eigenstate of the Mukhanov-Sasaki variable and discuss the corresponding modified Schrödinger equation

G_{24} : Category one of wave function collapse is caused by adding new nonlinear and stochastic terms to the Schrödinger equation; theory to inflation

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of theory to inflation; wave function collapse is caused by adding new nonlinear and stochastic terms to the Schrödinger equation

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

In this paper, we apply this theory to inflation, which amounts to solving the CSL parametric oscillator case.

We choose the wave function collapse to occur on an eigenstate of the Mukhanov-Sasaki variable and discuss the corresponding modified Schrödinger equation

G_{28} : Category one of application of wave function theory to theory of inflation

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of solving the CSL parametric oscillator case

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

Authors choose the

wave function collapse to occur on an eigenstate of the Mukhanov-Sasaki variable and discuss the corresponding modified Schrödinger equation

G_{32} : Category one of wave function collapse

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of eigenstate of the Mukhanov-Sasaki variable and discuss the corresponding modified Schrödinger equation

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

wave function collapse to occur on an eigenstate of the Mukhanov-Sasaki variable and discuss the corresponding modified Schrödinger equation

G_{36} : Category one of wave function collapse to occur on an eigenstate of the Mukhanov-Sasaki variable; modified Schrödinger equation

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of modified Schrödinger equation ;wave function collapse to occur on an eigenstate of the Mukhanov-Sasaki variable

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

Then, we compute the power spectrum of the perturbations and show that it acquires (e) a universal shape with two branches, one which remains scale invariant and one with nS=4, a spectral index in obvious contradiction with the cosmic microwave background anisotropy observations

G_{40} : Category one of modified Schrödinger's wave equation

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of power spectrum of the perturbations

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

power spectrum of the perturbations and show that it acquires (e) a universal shape with two branches, one which remains scale invariant and one with nS=4, a spectral index in obvious contradiction with the cosmic microwave background anisotropy observations

G_{44} : Category one of universal shape with two branches, one which remains scale invariant and one with nS=4, a spectral index in obvious contradiction with the cosmic microwave background anisotropy observations

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of power spectrum of the perturbations

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}, \ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)} \ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$
$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\left[-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\left[-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\left[-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for

category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} & -(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} & -(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)} & +(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)} & +(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad 70$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad 71$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad 72$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition*

coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt}=(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}=(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt}=(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{26} \quad 75$$

$(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1,2\ 3$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad (78)$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)} & +(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)} & +(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)} & +(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad (81)$$

$Where\ \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ are\ first\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$And\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ are\ second\ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\ are\ third\ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{30} \quad 84$$

$where\ \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}\ are\ first\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\ are\ second\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}\ are\ third\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients

for category 1,2, and 3

$[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)]$, $[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)]$, $[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)]$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$[-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)]$, $[-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)]$, $[-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)]$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$[-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)]$, $[-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)]$, $[-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)]$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)}[+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)] & [+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)] & [+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)] \\ [+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)] & [+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)] & [+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)] \\ [+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)] & [+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)] & [+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)] \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}[+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)] & [+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)] & [+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)] \\ [+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)] & [+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)] & [+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)] \\ [+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)] & [+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)] & [+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)] \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}[+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)] & [+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)] & [+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)] \\ [+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)] & [+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)] & [+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)] \\ [+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)] & [+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)] & [+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)] \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad (87)$$

$[+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)]$, $[+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)]$, $[+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)]$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$[+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)]$, $[+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)]$, $[+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)]$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$[+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)]$, $[+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)]$, $[+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)]$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$[+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)]$, $[+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)]$, $[+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)]$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$[+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)]$, $[+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)]$, $[+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)]$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$[+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)]$, $[+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)]$, $[+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)]$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$[+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)]$, $[+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)]$, $[+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)]$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$[+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)]$, $[+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)]$, $[+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)]$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$[+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)]$, $[+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)]$, $[+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)]$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt}$ *93*

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$ 94

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for

category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third

augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$,$+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$,$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$,$+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$,$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients

for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)} & -(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)} & -(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)} & -(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15$ *97*

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(1)} \leq (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$

$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t) \leq (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$

$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) = (p_i)^{(1)}$ 98

$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G', t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$. (T_{14}', t) and (T_{14}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15$,
satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} |T_{17} - T_{17}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} ||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}\ (T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\ (G_{23}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\ , \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$ satisfy the inequalities 116

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(4)} \leq (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) = (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31}, t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}$, $(r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right) = (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

(GGGGGGG) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

(HHHHHHH) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$

$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$

132

(IIIIIII) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(JJJJJJJ)

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(KKKKKKK) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(LLLLLLL) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants

$(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \tag{136}$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: (137)

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \tag{138}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \tag{139}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \tag{140}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(8)} \tag{141}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \tag{142}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \tag{143}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \tag{144}$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$

Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad (145)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad (146)$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i, j = 44,45,46 \quad (146A)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)} (T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)} (G_{47}, t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}, \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}, \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}, \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}, \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$
$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$
$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$, 155

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ 156

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ 157

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{22}(t) = T_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{26}(t) = T_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{30}(t) = T_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166 A

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(bb) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t)\leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} \left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \tag{180}$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \tag{181}$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{45}, G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose $\tag{182}$

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \tag{183}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \tag{184}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric $\tag{185}$

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}:\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\le \qquad 186$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\,G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)},i=13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(1)}-(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}),s_{(13)})\}ds_{(13)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13}<(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1-(a'_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}$ and by integrating

$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a'_{14})^{(1)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a'_{15})^{(1)}$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b'_{14})^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b'_{15})^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\ (G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i=16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ and $T_i(t)=0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)}-(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}),s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)}>0\quad\text{for } t>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16}<(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1-(a'_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a'_{17})^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a'_{18})^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t),t)) = (b'_{17})^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t),t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right)$, $t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t),t\right) = (b'_{18})^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 210

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}},\widetilde{T_{23}}:(\widetilde{(G_{23})},\widetilde{(T_{23})})=\mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}),(T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\,ds_{(20)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)},s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\le \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right)d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)},i=20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on $(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3:$ 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$

If $G_{21}\ or\ G_{22}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If $G_{20}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) = (b'_{21})^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t) > (m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{22})^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) = (b'_{22})^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + \left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\le \qquad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24,25,26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 228

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(4)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b'_{25})^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b'_{26})^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),(T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)}-G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\le \quad 237$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};\;(G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 238

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i=28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$ 239

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(5)}-(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}),s_{(28)})\}ds_{(28)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a'_{29})^{(5)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}\ ((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\le \quad 248$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 249

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i=32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$ 250

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(6)}-(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}),s_{(32)})\}ds_{(32)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(6)}t)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}$ and by integrating

$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1/(a'_{33})^{(6)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If $G_{33}\ or\ G_{34}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}\ ((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right),\ \ t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{37} , $G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying

Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})},\widetilde{(T_{39})}:(\widetilde{(G_{39})},\widetilde{(T_{39})})=\mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}),(T_{39}))$

It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\le\int_0^t(a_{36})^{(7)}|G_{37}^{(1)}-G_{37}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}ds_{(36)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}|(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})-(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(2)},s_{(36)})|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$|(G_{39})^{(1)}-(G_{39})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\le \quad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}((a_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)})d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)};(G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i=36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(7)}-(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}),s_{(36)})\}ds_{(36)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(7)}t)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

268

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t] 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\leq \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40{,}41{,}42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on (G_{43})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(8)} - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\} ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)} t\right)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also G_{41} *and* G_{42} . indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a_{41}')^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)} \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 / (a_{41}')^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)} \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 / (a_{42}')^{(8)}$

If G_{41} *or* G_{42} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{41} *and* G_{42} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t), t)) = (b_{41}')^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{42}'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{42}')^{(8)}$

279 A

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\geq T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also G_{45} *and* G_{46} . indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a'_{45})^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(1)}, (v_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(v_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}$, $(m_2)^{(1)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(1)},(\mu_2)^{(1)},(\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}$, $(m_2)^{(1)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(1)},(\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}\ , if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(\ m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}\ , if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\ \mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$

$(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t} \le G_{15}(t) \le$ 286

$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t})$

$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}}$ 287

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}$ 288

$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t} \le T_{15}(t) \le$ 289

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)}+(R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)},(S_2)^{(1)},(R_1)^{(1)},(R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)}=(a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)}-(a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)}=(a_{15})^{(1)}-(p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)}=(b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)}-(b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)}=(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\le-(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}-(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)}\le-(b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)-(b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)},(\nu_2)^{(2)},(u_1)^{(2)},(u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(2)}<0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}<0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)},(m_2)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)},(m_2)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)}=(\nu_0)^{(2)},(m_1)^{(2)}=(\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)}<(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)}=(\nu_1)^{(2)},(m_1)^{(2)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)}<(\nu_0)^{(2)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)}=\frac{G_{16}^{0}}{G_{17}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$ 311

$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le\right.$ 312

$\left.\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$

$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$ 313

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}$ 314

$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le$ 315

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$

$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}$ 318

$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(3)}, (\nu_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(\nu_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(\nu^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(\nu^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T^0_{20}}{T^0_{21}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{20}e^{\left((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G^0_{20}e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}}G^0_{20}e^{\left((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}}G^0_{20}e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{20}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)}-(p_{20})^{(3)}-(S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)}-(p_{20})^{(3)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right]+G_{22}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\le G_{22}(t)\le\right. \quad 324$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{20}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)}-(a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}-e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right]+G_{22}^{0}e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}\le T_{20}(t)\le T_{20}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}\le T_{20}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}-e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right]+T_{22}^{0}e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\le T_{22}(t)\le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right]+T_{22}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)},(S_2)^{(3)},(R_1)^{(3)},(R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)}=(a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)}-(a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)}=(a_{22})^{(3)}-(p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)}=(b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)}-(b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)}=(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)},(\sigma_2)^{(4)},(\tau_1)^{(4)},(\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)},(\sigma_2)^{(4)},(\tau_1)^{(4)},(\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)}\le-(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}-(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(4)}\le-(b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)-(b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(4)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)},(\nu_2)^{(4)},(u_1)^{(4)},(u_2)^{(4)},\nu^{(4)},u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(4)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}-(a_{24})^{(4)}=0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)}-(b_{24})^{(4)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}-(a_{24})^{(4)}=0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)}-(b_{24})^{(4)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)},(m_2)^{(4)},(\mu_1)^{(4)},(\mu_2)^{(4)},(\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)},(m_2)^{(4)},(\mu_1)^{(4)},(\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(\ m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\ \mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{\left((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)}-(S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^0}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} - e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t} \le T_{30}(t) \le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \le -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \le -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)}, (v_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, v^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)} < (v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{v}_6)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (v_0)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)} + (R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(8)}, (v_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, v^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(v^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(v^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T^0_{40}}{T^0_{41}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G^0_{40} e^{\left((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G^0_{40} e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)}-(p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(p_{40})^{(8)}-(S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)}-(p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)}, (v_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, v^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\left(u^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(9)}$, $(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (v_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (v_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(9)} < (v_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (v_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(9)} < (v_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (v_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (v_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)} < (v_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}\left((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)}\right)}\left[e^{\left((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t} \le G_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}\left((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)}\right)}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t})$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}\left((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)}\right)}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}\left((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)}\right)}\left[e^{\left((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \leq \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

386

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{13}'')^{(1)} = (a_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b_{13}'')^{(1)} = (b_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a_{16}')^{(2)} - (a_{17}')^{(2)} + (a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\mathrm{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}^0}{\mathrm{G}_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\mathrm{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}^0}{\mathrm{G}_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \le (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $v^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}, (v_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (v_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$

$$v^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(v_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}}{(v_0)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(5)} \leq v^{(5)}(t) \leq (v_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$v^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}}{(v_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(5)} \leq v^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{v}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(v_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(v_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(v_1)^{(5)} \leq v^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq v^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{28})^{(5)} = (a''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

415

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{32}'')^{(6)} = (a_{33}'')^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{32}'')^{(6)} = (b_{33}'')^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a_{36}')^{(7)} - (a_{37}')^{(7)} + (a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have
Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition

$(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)} T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq v^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $v^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)v^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}v^{(9)}$$

Definition of $v^{(9)}$:- $\quad \boxed{v^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$v^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq v^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(2)} and\ (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

with $(p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0 \quad 437$$

$$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0 \quad 438$$

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27})\right)]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0$ 475

$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0$ 476

$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0$ 477

$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0$ 478

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(bb) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{14}^{*} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{14}^{*} for which $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that

there exists a unique T_{37}^{*} for which $f(T_{37}^{*})=0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36}=\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{38}=\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{41}^{*} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0)<0, f(\infty)>0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^{*} for which $f(T_{41}^{*})=0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40}=\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{42}=\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^{*} :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0)<0, f(\infty)>0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^{*} for which $f(T_{45}^{*})=0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44}=\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{46}=\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G)=(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)}-(b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)}-$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)+(b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right]+(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)=0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0)>0$, $\varphi(\infty)<0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi(G^{*})=0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19})=(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)}-$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})+(b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})=0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0)>0$, $\varphi(\infty)<0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^{*})=0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23})=(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)}-$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{21} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507

$$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508

$$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509

$$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510

$$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right] + (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G^*_{14} given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T^*_{14} given by $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

$$T^*_{13} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{15} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G^*_{17} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T^*_{17} given by $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$ and 512

$$G^*_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G^*_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad 513$$

$$T^*_{16} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T^*_{17}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{18} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T^*_{17}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G^*_{21} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T^*_{21} given by $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

$$T^*_{20} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T^*_{21}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{22} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T^*_{21}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G^*_{25} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T^*_{25} given by $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$, $T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$ 517

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$, $G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523

G_{45}^* given by $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$, T_{45}^* given by $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$ and A

$$G_{44}^* = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}^*}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46}^* = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}^*}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

$$T_{44}^* = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}^*}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{46}^* = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}^*}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^*)]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)} G_{13}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)} G_{15}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)} T_{13}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)} T_{14}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)} T_{15}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \quad 532$$

$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial \mathrm{T}_{17}}(\mathrm{T}_{17}^{*}) = (q_{17})^{(2)}$, $\frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial \mathrm{G}_j}(\,(G_{19})^{*}\,) = s_{ij}$ 533

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{dt}} = -\big((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{16}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17}$ 534

$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{G}_{17}}{\mathrm{dt}} = -\big((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{17}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17}$ 535

$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{G}_{18}}{\mathrm{dt}} = -\big((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17}$ 536

$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{T}_{16}}{\mathrm{dt}} = -\big((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\big(s_{(16)(j)}\mathrm{T}_{16}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big)$ 537

$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{T}_{17}}{\mathrm{dt}} = -\big((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\big(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}_{17}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big)$ 538

$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{T}_{18}}{\mathrm{dt}} = -\big((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\big(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}_{18}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big)$ 539

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\,\mathbb{R}_{+})$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^{*}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{23})^{*}\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\big((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21}$ 541

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\big((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21}$ 542

$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\big((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21}$ 543

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\big((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\big(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big)$ 544

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\big((b_{21}')^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\big(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big)$ 545

$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\big((b_{22}')^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\big(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big)$ 546

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions

$(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^* \ ) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\big((a_{24}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\big((a_{25}')^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\big((a_{26}')^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\big((b_{24}')^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\big((b_{25}')^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\big((b_{26}')^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}(\ (G_{31})^* \ ) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\big((a_{28}')^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\big((a_{29}')^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\big((a_{30}')^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\big((b_{28}')^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\big(s_{(28)(j)}T_{28}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{33})^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T^*_{33}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G^*_{34}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T^*_{32}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T^*_{33}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T^*_{34}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{37})^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T^*_{37}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G^*_{38}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T^*_{36}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T^*_{37}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T^*_{38}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{41})^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T^*_{41}) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G^*_{42}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T^*_{40}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS A

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \qquad 586\,B$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a_{45}')^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \qquad 586\,C$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a_{46}')^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \qquad 586\,D$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b_{44}')^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T_{44}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 586\,E$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b_{45}')^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T_{45}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 586\,F$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b_{46}')^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T_{46}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 586\,G$$

The characteristic equation of this system is 587

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^* + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T_{14}^*\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^* + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T_{13}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b_{13}')^{(1)} + (b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^* + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T_{13}^*\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)})\{((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)})$$

$$\left[\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)})(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)})s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)})(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)})s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2} + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2} + \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)} + (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2} + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)})s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)} + (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)})\{((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)})$$

$$\left[\left(((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)})(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)})s_{(21),(21)}T^{*}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^{*}_{21}\right)$$

$$+\left(((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)})(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^{*}_{21}\right)$$

$$\left(((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)})s_{(21),(20)}T^{*}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2} + \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2} + \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)} + (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2} + \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)})s_{(21),(22)}T^{*}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^{*}_{20}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^{*}_{25}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^{*}_{24}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^{*}_{29}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^{*}_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^{*}_{28}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^{*}_{33}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^{*}_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^{*}_{32}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^{*}_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^{*}_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^{*}_{36}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^{*}_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^{*}_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^{*}_{40}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^{*}_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^{*}_{44}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION THIRTY

Collapse Mechanism Of The Inflationary Fluctuations

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

Cosmological inflation and the quantum measurement problem Phys. Rev. D 86, 103524 – Published 26 November 2012 Jérôme Martin, Vincent Vennin, and Patrick Peter figures and references are deleted due to spatial constraints for which act of deletion kindly pardon me

(1) The requirement that the non-scale-invariant part be outside the observational window puts stringent constraints on the parameter controlling the deviations from ordinary quantum mechanics.

(2) Due to the absence of CSL amplification mechanism in field theory, this also has the consequence that the collapse mechanism of the inflationary fluctuations is not efficient. Then, we determine the collapse time.

(3) On small scales the collapse is almost instantaneous, and we recover exactly the behavior of the CSL harmonic oscillator (a case for which we present new results), whereas, on large scales, we find that the collapse is delayed and can take several e-folds to happen.

(4) They conclude that recovering the observational successes of inflation and, at the same time, reaching a satisfactory resolution of the inflationary "macro-objectification" issue seems problematic in the framework considered here.

(5) Work also provides a complete solution to the CSL parametric oscillator system, a topic we suggest could play a very important role to further constrain the CSL parameters.

(6) Our results illustrate the remarkable power of inflation and cosmology to constrain new physics. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.103524

NOTATION

Module One

The

requirement that the non-scale-invariant part be outside the observational window puts stringent constraints

(e) on the parameter controlling the deviations from ordinary quantum mechanics

G_{13} : Category one of parameter controlling the deviations from ordinary quantum mechanics

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of requirement that the non-scale-invariant part be outside the observational window

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

Due to the absence of CSL amplification mechanism in field theory, this also has the consequence that the collapse mechanism of the inflationary fluctuations is not efficient.

Then, we determine the collapse time.

G_{16} : Category one of absence of CSL amplification mechanism in field theory; efficiency of collapse mechanism of the inflationary fluctuations

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of collapse mechanism of the inflationary fluctuations ;absence of CSL amplification mechanism in field theory

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

collapse is almost instantaneous on small scales, and we recover exactly the behavior of the CSL harmonic oscillator (a case for which we present new results), whereas, on large scales, we find that the collapse is delayed and can take several e-folds to happen

G_{20} : Category one of collapse

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of almost instantaneous on small scales

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

G_{24} : Category one of collapse is almost instantaneous on small scales

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of behavior of the CSL harmonic oscillator (a case for which we present new results),

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

we find that the

collapse on large scales is delayed and can take several e-folds to happen

G_{28} : Category one of collapse on large scales

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of delayed and can take several e-folds to happen

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

They conclude that
recovering the observational successes of inflation and, at the same time, reaching a satisfactory resolution of the inflationary "macro-objectification" issue seems problematic in the framework considered here

G_{32} : Category one of recovering the observational successes of inflation and, at the same time, reaching a satisfactory resolution of the inflationary "macro-objectification" issue

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of problematic in the framework considered here

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

Work also provides a
complete solution to the CSL parametric oscillator system, a topic we suggest could play a very important role to further constrain the CSL parameters

G_{36} : Category one of complete solution to the CSL parametric oscillator system; further constrain the CSL parameters

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of further constrain the CSL parameters; complete solution to the CSL parametric oscillator system

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

G_{40} : Category one of recovering the observational successes of inflation and, at the same time, reaching a satisfactory resolution of the inflationary "macro-objectification" issue seems problematic in the framework considered here

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of complete solution to the CSL parametric oscillator system which could play a very important role to further constrain the CSL parameters

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

Our results illustrate the remarkable power of inflation and cosmology to constrain new physics. DOI: http://dx.doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevD.86.103524

G_{44} : Category one of inflation; cosmology

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of cosmology; inflation

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},\ \ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}\ ,$
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}),t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)} & +(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)} & +(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition

coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left[+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\right] \\ \left[+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\right] \\ \left[+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\right]\end{array}\right]G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{21})^{(3)}\left[+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\right] \\ \left[+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\right] \\ \left[+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\right]\end{array}\right]G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{22})^{(3)}\left[+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\right] \\ \left[+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\right] \\ \left[+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\right]\left[+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\right]\end{array}\right]G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for

category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} & -(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} & -(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} & +(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} & +(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$

are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$

are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$

are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$

are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$

are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{28}')^{(5)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{29}')^{(5)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{30}')^{(5)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{30} \quad (81)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation

coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad (84)$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & +(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)} & +(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{36}')^{(7)} & +(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{37}')^{(7)} & +(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{38}')^{(7)} & +(a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{45})^{(9)} \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{c} (a'_{46})^{(9)} \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{c} (b'_{44})^{(9)} \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b'_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G', t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$. (T_{14}', t) and (T_{14}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}, \ (\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\,(G_{23},t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\,(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\,(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$

$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \le \ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}\,(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)}$ 118

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\,\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}\,(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}\,(G_{31}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34$$ 127

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}\,(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$$ 128

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\,\big((G_{35}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(MMMMMMM) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38$ 131

(NNNNNNN) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(OOOOOOO) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$
(PPPPPPP)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(QQQQQQQ) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(RRRRRRR) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\,(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\,(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) \le (p_i)^{(8)} \le (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) \le (r_i)^{(8)} \le (b_i')^{(8)} \le (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \quad 140$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \quad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}|T_{41} - T_{41}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})',t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$. (T_{41}',t) and (T_{41},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \quad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \quad 146\,A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) \le (p_i)^{(9)} \le (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) \le (r_i)^{(9)} \le (b_i')^{(9)} \le (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45} - T_{45}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \quad , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \quad , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}$, $T_i^0 \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}$, 155

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 156

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 157

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''^{(2)}_{16}\right)\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)}\right)\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''^{(3)}_{20}\right)\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)}) \right) G_{28}(s_{(28)}) \right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(cc) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)} =$$
$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$
$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{45}, G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T} : \left(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} \} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. (188)

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right) - (a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} \} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)} - G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} (\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(3)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)} \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)} \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$
$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(7)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$$ 267

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$$ 268

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)}t)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$
Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28}e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}}G^0_{28}e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}}G^0_{28}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G^0_{30}e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{36}'')^{(7)} = (a_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{36}'')^{(7)} = (b_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a_{40}')^{(8)} - (a_{41}')^{(8)} + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(cc) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^{*}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^{*}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\ B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION THIRTY ONE

Accumulated Probabilities Against Chance Occurrences Exceeding Trillions To One (Radin, 1997)

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

National Institute for Discovery Science: Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram by Edgar Mitchell, Ph.D. Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram Fax: 561-641-5242, edgarmitchell@msn.com

Introduction

(1) A host of observed, but very basic human phenomena, including consciousness itself, have eluded

rigorous scientific description by all disciplines of science. This is true, not because of insufficient evidence for a particular phenomenon's existence, but rather for lack of a theoretical construct, which could fit within the prevailing paradigms of science.

(2) For millennia philosophers have pondered the nature of mind, consciousness and mind/ matter interactions but without sufficient knowledge and technical capability to propose properly testable theories.

(3) For the past century eminent men and women of science have accumulated thousands of pages of data on mind/mind and mind/matter interactions.

(4) Many of the most telling experiments have been criticized, perfected and repeated numerous times during the past five decades, using increasingly sophisticated technologies.

(5) Meta analysis of these experiments produce **accumulated probabilities against chance occurrences exceeding trillions to one (Radin, 1997).**

(6) It has required, however, that quantum science mature for seventy-five years and during that period, test, validate and synthesize a number of seemingly outrageous physical concepts arising from quantum theory, before testable theories could arise which offer hope that anomalous mind and consciousness data can be explained (Mitchell, 1996).

(7) The missing concepts that prevented the earliest investigators of consciousness from succeeding in their quest were 1) a generalized theory of information, and 2) quantum science itself, with the associated phenomena of non-locality, the zero point energy fields and the quantum hologram.

(8) These associated phenomena are still not well understood but are sufficiently validated today by both theory and experiment to provide a basis for postulating a necessary condition for the existence of consciousness phenomena, as file:///C|/Documents%20and%20Settings/Judy/My%20...m%20Hologram%20by%20Edgar%20Mitchell,%20Ph_D.htm (1 of 18) [6/25/2011 9:21:06 PM]National Institute for Discovery Science: Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram by Edgar Mitchell, Ph.D. experienced in the observable four dimensional space/time universe.

NOTATION

Module One

Host of observed, but very basic human phenomena, including consciousness itself, have eluded rigorous scientific description by all disciplines of science. This is true, not because of insufficient evidence for a particular phenomenon's existence, but rather for lack of a theoretical construct, which could fit within the prevailing paradigms of science

millennia philosophers have pondered the nature of mind, consciousness and mind/ matter interactions but without sufficient knowledge and technical capability to propose properly testable theories

G_{13} : Category one of anagrammatic mind; consciousness*note we have this as storage of information)

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of consciousness*note we have this as storage of information); anagrammatic mind

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

G_{16} : Category one of mind; matter

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of matter; mind

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

Meta analysis of these experiments produce **accumulated probabilities against chance occurrences exceeding trillions to one (Radin, 1997).**

G_{20} : Category one of Meta analysis of these experiments

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of **accumulated probabilities against chance occurrences exceeding trillions to one (Radin, 1997)**

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

It has required, however, that quantum science mature for seventy-five years and during that period, test, validate and synthesize a number of seemingly outrageous physical concepts arising from quantum theory, before testable theories could arise which offer hope that anomalous mind and consciousness data can be explained (Mitchell, 1996)

G_{24} : Category one of anomalous mind ;consciousness(we interpret this as cosmic consciousness)

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of consciousness(we interpret this as cosmic consciousness);anomalous mind

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

The missing concepts that prevented the earliest investigators of consciousness from succeeding in their quest were

1) a generalized theory of information
2) quantum science itself, with the associated phenomena of non-locality, the zero point energy fields and the quantum hologram

G_{28} : Category one of generalized theory of information; quantum science itself, with the associated

phenomena of non-locality, the zero point energy fields and the quantum hologram

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of quantum science itself, with the associated phenomena of non-locality, the zero point energy fields and the quantum hologram; generalized theory of information

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

The Radionic Principle: Mind over Matter Tony Scofield

(1) Explanations for how radionics works involve (e&eb) both a physical, scientific approach and more esoteric approaches involving the mind and consciousness. In this paper **Tony Scofield** reviews some of the latest explanations proposed in the light of advances in quantum mechanics as well as the increasing recognition that commentators place on (eb) the role of mind.
(2) As the practice of radionics has evolved so has the central role of the mind in radionic healing become ever more apparent? It is 24 years since David Tansley, in Radionics—Science or Magic? Highlighted the inconsistencies between radionic practice and the physical model that many adopted as a means of explaining what they did. He believed that radionics did not have a place within the belief system of orthodox science but was 'an interface with higher dimensions of reality and (e&eb) consciousness.'
(3) He considered radionics to be 'a form of mental healing in which instruments are not needed to detect or measure those energies which provide (eb) a picture of the patient.
(4) They are simply a link or key-factor in a process of energy exchanges which take place at a level beyond (e) the physical. Instruments may have their part to play but only in so far as they function as a link and a focus for (e) the healing power of the practitioner.'
(5) Tansley was forthright in his view that for at least 50 years radionic reactions and findings had been mistakenly interpreted in clinical terms taken straight from orthodox medicine when they should have been seen as (=) indices of energy reception, distribution and flow, or the lack thereof in the human personality.
(6) He introduced to radionics the concept of two dimensions of activity. In Dimension I, the left brain dominated (e) + and was (=) the area of logic and of our everyday activities, the level of physical reality.In Dimension II the right brain dominated and intuition held sway, (=) the dimension of transcendent holistic reality, of consciousness.
(7) In this latter dimension time and distance did not (e) exist and healing occurred (eb) instantly as the fields of the patient and practitioner became intertwined (e&eb) as soon as the practitioner brought the patient to mind.

G_{32} : Category one of higher dimensions of reality; consciousness

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of consciousness; higher dimensions of reality

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

He considered

radionics to be 'a form of mental healing in which instruments are not needed to detect or measure those energies which provide (eb) a picture of the patient

G_{36} : Category one of radionics to be 'a form of mental healing in which instruments are not needed to detect or measure those energies

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of picture of the patient

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

Tansley was forthright in his view that for at least 50 years radionic reactions and findings had been mistakenly interpreted in clinical terms taken straight from orthodox medicine when they should have been seen as (=) indices of energy reception, distribution and flow, or the lack thereof in the human personality

G_{40} : Category one of radionic reactions

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of indices of energy reception, distribution and flow, or the lack thereof in the human personality

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

He introduced to radionics the concept of two dimensions of activity. In Dimension I, the

Left brain dominated (e) + and was (=) the area of logic and of our everyday activities, the level of physical reality.

In Dimension II the

right brain dominated and intuition held sway, (=) the dimension of transcendent holistic reality, of

consciousness

G_{44} : Category one of left brain; right brain

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of area of logic and of our everyday activities, the level of physical reality; dimension of transcendent holistic reality, of consciousness

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$
$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$
$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$
$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**
$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$
$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$
$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$
$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$
$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$
$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$
$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$
$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$
$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$
$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$
$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$
$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$
$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$
$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$
$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$
$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$
$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$
$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)} & +(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)} & +(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)} & +(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{16}')^{(2)} & -(b_{16}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{17}')^{(2)} & -(b_{17}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{18}')^{(2)} & -(b_{18}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $-(b_{16}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad (75)$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1,2\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad (78)$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$

are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$

are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$

are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt}=(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt}=(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt}=(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{30} \quad (81)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt}=(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(b'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{array}\right]T_{28} \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad (84)$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & +(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)} & +(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)} & +(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad (87)$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)} & -(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad (88)$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)} & -(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad (89)$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)} & -(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad (90)$$

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition

coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition
coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition
coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for
category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second
augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third
augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth
augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation
coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation
coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh
augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation

coefficient for 1,2,3

$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}$$
$$- \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)} \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}$$
$$- \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)} \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)} \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b'_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G', t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$. (T_{14}', t) and (T_{14}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(\mathrm{p_i})^{(2)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}, \ (\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\,(G_{23},t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\,(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\,(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$

$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \le \ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}\,(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)}$ 118

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\,\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}\,(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}\,(G_{31}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}\,(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\,\big((G_{35}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(SSSSSSS) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38$ 131

(TTTTTTT) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(UUUUUUU) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$
(VVVVVVV)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(WWWWWWW) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(XXXXXXX) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\,(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\,(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) \le (p_i)^{(8)} \le (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) \le (r_i)^{(8)} \le (b_i')^{(8)} \le (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \quad 140$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \quad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}|T_{41} - T_{41}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})',t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$. (T_{41}',t) and (T_{41},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \quad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \quad 146A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) \le (p_i)^{(9)} \le (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) \le (r_i)^{(9)} \le (b_i')^{(9)} \le (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45} - T_{45}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \;,\quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \;,\quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \;,\quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \;,\quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}$, $T_i^0 \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}$, 155

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 156

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 157

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''^{(2)}_{16}\right)\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)}\right)\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''^{(3)}_{20}\right)\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad (169)$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (170)

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that (171)

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (172)

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that (173)

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (174)

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(dd) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)}=$$
$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)}= \quad 180$$
$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} \} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on G(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. (188)

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0$ for $t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on $(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{35})}, \widetilde{(T_{35})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{35})}, \widetilde{(T_{35})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)}; (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37} \; and \; G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\,((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28}e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}}G^0_{28}e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}}G^0_{28}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G^0_{30}e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{36}'')^{(7)} = (a_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{36}'')^{(7)} = (b_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a_{40}')^{(8)} - (a_{41}')^{(8)} + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(dd) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$T_{28}^{*}=\frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b_{28}')^{(5)}-(b_{28}'')^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*}=\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b_{30}')^{(5)}-(b_{30}'')^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*})=0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*})=0$ and

$G_{32}^{*}=\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a_{32}')^{(6)}+(a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*}=\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a_{34}')^{(6)}+(a_{34}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*}=\frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b_{32}')^{(6)}-(b_{32}'')^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*}=\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b_{34}')^{(6)}-(b_{34}'')^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*})=0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*})=0$ and

$G_{36}^{*}=\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a_{36}')^{(7)}+(a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*}=\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a_{38}')^{(7)}+(a_{38}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*}=\frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b_{36}')^{(7)}-(b_{36}'')^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*}=\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b_{38}')^{(7)}-(b_{38}'')^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*})=0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*})=0$ and

$G_{40}^{*}=\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a_{40}')^{(8)}+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*}=\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a_{42}')^{(8)}+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*}=\frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b_{40}')^{(8)}-(b_{40}'')^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*}=\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b_{42}')^{(8)}-(b_{42}'')^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*})=0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*})=0$ and

$G_{44}^{*}=\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a_{44}')^{(9)}+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*}=\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a_{46}')^{(9)}+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b_{44}')^{(9)}-(b_{44}'')^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b_{46}')^{(9)}-(b_{46}'')^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION THIRTY TWO

Irreversibility Of Nonlinear Processes And Thus The Irreversibility Of Time In The Macroscale Universe

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

National Institute for Discovery Science: Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram by Edgar Mitchell, Ph.D. Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram Fax: 561-641-5242, edgarmitchell@msn.com

(1) A third concept, chaos theory, is also necessary to understand the nonlinear evolutionary processes that caused consciousness to evolve toward the anthropic consciousness experienced by humans.

(2) In particular, chaos theory maps far from equilibrium systems and demonstrates the irreversibility

of nonlinear processes and thus the irreversibility of time in the macroscale universe.

(3) Another class of phenomena, including normal sensory perception and evolution, to cite but two, have explanatory theories in classical science, but which in view of current developments in late quantum physics and in chaos theory may be incomplete approximations to the correct theory.

(4) Information concepts have been examined by Weiner, von Neuman and Shannon in well known seminal works and by Frieden more recently (1998) to produce theories useful to physics, to computation and to communications technologies.

(5) These theories, although accurate and mathematically useful in their domains, fall short of being sufficiently encompassing when considering the problem of consciousness, its evolution and its associated phenomena.

(6) Even relatively simple perceptual organisms utilize patterns of energy, that is, information, not completely described by existing mathematical theories.

(7) Theory and experimental evidence for the zero point energy field has been published by many authors, but I shall cite Haisch, Rueda and Puthoff, (1997, 1998), as the most contemporary and relevant work for this paper. Theory and experimental evidence concerning the quantum hologram has been developed by Schempp (1992, 1993) and Marcer (1996, 1997, 1998), separately and jointly, based upon a new understanding of quantum mechanics. (See previous work by Cramer [1986], Berry [1988], Anandan [1992] and Resta [1997])

(8) Non-locality, although predicted by the earliest work in quantum theory and decisively demonstrated by Aspect in 1982, has been thought to be a curious property of particle physics but of little relevance to macro-scale reality until discovery of the quantum hologram.

(9) Further, it is widely believed that non-local quantum information represented by entanglement of particles could not be recovered locally as useable information (Eberhard's theorem).

NOTATION

Module One

A third concept,

chaos theory, is also necessary to understand the nonlinear evolutionary processes that caused consciousness to evolve toward the anthropic consciousness experienced by humans

G_{13} : Category one of chaos theory; nonlinear evolutionary processes that caused consciousness to evolve toward the anthropic consciousness experienced by humans

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of nonlinear evolutionary processes that caused consciousness to evolve toward the anthropic consciousness experienced by humans ;chaos theory

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

In particular,

chaos theory maps far from equilibrium systems and demonstrates the irreversibility of nonlinear processes and thus the irreversibility of time in the macroscale universe

G_{16} : Category one of chaos theory maps far from equilibrium systems

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of irreversibility of nonlinear processes and thus the irreversibility of time in the macroscale universe

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

irreversibility of nonlinear processes and thus the irreversibility of time in the macroscale universe

G_{20} : Category one of irreversibility of nonlinear processes

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of irreversibility of time in the macroscale universe

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

Another class of phenomena, including normal sensory perception and evolution, to cite but two, have explanatory theories in classical science, but which in view of current developments in late quantum physics and in chaos theory may be incomplete approximations to the correct theory

G_{24} : Category one of quantum physics; chaos theory

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of chaos theory ;quantum physics

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

Further, it is widely believed that

Non-local quantum information represented by entanglement of particles could not be recovered locally as useable information (Eberhard's theorem).

G_{28} : Category one of recovered locally as useable information (Eberhard's theorem).

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of non-local quantum information represented by entanglement of particles

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

Jorge Zanelli 2012 Class. Quantum Grav 29 133001 doi:10.1088/0264-9381/29/13/133001 Chern–Simons forms in gravitation theories FREE ARTICLE REVIEW ARTICLE

(1) The Chern–Simons (CS) form evolved from (e) an obstruction in mathematics into an important object in theoretical physics.
(2) In fact, the presence of CS terms in physics is more common than one may think: they seem to play an important role in (eb) high Tc superconductivity and in recently discovered topological insulators.
(3) In classical physics, the minimal couplings in electromagnetism and to the action for a mechanical system in Hamiltonian form are (=) examples of CS functionals.
(4) CS forms are (=) also the natural generalization of the minimal coupling between the electromagnetic field and (e&eb) a point charge when the source is not point like but an extended fundamental object, a membrane.
(5) Membranes are found in relation with (e&eb) anomalies in quantum field theories, and as (=) Lagrangians for gauge fields, including (e) gravity and supergravity. A cursory review of the role of CS forms in gravitation theories is presented at an introductory level.

G_{32} : Category one of obstruction in mathematics into an important object in theoretical physics.

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of Chern–Simons (CS) form

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

In fact, the

Presence of CS terms in physics is more common than one may think: they seem to play an important role in (eb) high Tc superconductivity and in recently discovered topological insulators

G_{36} : Category one of Presence of CS terms in physics is more common than one may think: they seem to play an important role

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of high Tc superconductivity and in recently discovered topological insulators

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

In classical physics, the

minimal couplings in electromagnetism and to the action for a mechanical system in Hamiltonian form are (=) examples of CS functionals

G_{40} : Category one of minimal couplings in electromagnetism and to the action for a mechanical system in Hamiltonian form

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of examples of CS functionals

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

CS forms are also the natural generalization of the minimal coupling between the electromagnetic field and (e&eb) a point charge when the source is not point like but an extended fundamental object, a membrane

G_{44} : Category one of CS forms are also the natural generalization of the minimal coupling between the electromagnetic field; point charge when the source is not point like but an extended fundamental object, a membrane

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of point charge when the source is not point like but an extended fundamental object, a membrane ;CS forms are also the natural generalization of the minimal coupling between the electromagnetic field

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$

$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}, \ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)} \ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right]G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right]G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients

for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{16}')^{(2)}\,+(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\,+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\,+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\,+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\,+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\,+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\,+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{17}')^{(2)}\,+(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\,+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\,+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\,+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\,+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\,+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\,+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{18}')^{(2)}\,+(a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\,+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\,+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\,+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\,+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\,+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\,+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $+(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for

category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad 70$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad 71$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad 72$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition*

coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt}=(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{24} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}=(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{25} \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt}=(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{26} \quad (75)$$

$(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1,2\ 3$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad (78)$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)} & +(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)} & +(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)} & +(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad (81)$$

$Where\ \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ are\ first\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$And\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ are\ second\ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\ are\ third\ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array}\right] T_{30} \quad 84$$

$where\ \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}\ are\ first\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\ are\ second\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}\ are\ third\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients

for category 1,2, and 3

$[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)]$, $[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)]$, $[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)]$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$[-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)]$, $[-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)]$, $[-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)]$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$[-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)]$, $[-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)]$, $[-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)]$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)}[+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)] & [+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)] & [+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)] \\ [+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)] & [+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)] & [+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)] \\ [+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)] & [+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)] & [+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)] \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}[+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)] & [+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)] & [+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)] \\ [+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)] & [+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)] & [+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)] \\ [+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)] & [+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)] & [+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)] \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}[+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)] & [+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)] & [+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)] \\ [+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)] & [+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)] & [+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)] \\ [+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)] & [+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)] & [+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)] \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad (87)$$

$[+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)]$, $[+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)]$, $[+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)]$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$[+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)]$, $[+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)]$, $[+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)]$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$[+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)]$, $[+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)]$, $[+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)]$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$[+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)]$, $[+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)]$, $[+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)]$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$[+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)]$, $[+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)]$, $[+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)]$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$[+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)]$, $[+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)]$, $[+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)]$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$[+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)]$, $[+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)]$, $[+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)]$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$[+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)]$, $[+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)]$, $[+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)]$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$[+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)]$, $[+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)]$, $[+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)]$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad (88)$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad (89)$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad (90)$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad (91)$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad (92)$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt}$ *93*

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$ 94

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for

category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{40}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{41}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{42}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third

augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$,$+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$,$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$,$+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$,$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients

for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)} & -(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)} & -(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)} & -(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15$ *97*

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(1)} \leq (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$

$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t) \leq (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$

$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) = (p_i)^{(1)}$ 98

$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G', t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$. (T_{14}', t) and (T_{14}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15$,
satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} |T_{17} - T_{17}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} ||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}\ (T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\ (G_{23}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\ , \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$ satisfy the inequalities 116

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(4)} \leq (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) = (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31}, t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}$, $(r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right) = (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

(YYYYYYY) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

(ZZZZZZZ) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$

$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ 132

(AAAAAAAA) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(BBBBBBBB)

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(CCCCCCCC) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(DDDDDDDD) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants

$(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \tag{136}$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: (137)

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \tag{138}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \tag{139}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)} (T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \tag{140}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)} \left((G_{43}), t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(8)} \tag{141}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \tag{142}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \tag{143}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \tag{144}$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$

Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad 146A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45} - T_{45}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}, \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}, \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}, \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}, \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$
$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$
$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$, 155

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ 156

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ 157

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{22}(t) = T_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{26}(t) = T_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{30}(t) = T_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166 A

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)}\left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)}\left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(ee) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t)\leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} \left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \tag{180}$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \tag{181}$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{45}, G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose $\tag{182}$

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \tag{183}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \tag{184}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric $\tag{185}$

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}:\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\le \qquad 186$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\,G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)},i=13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(1)}-(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}),s_{(13)})\}ds_{(13)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13}<(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1-(a'_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}$ and by integrating

$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a'_{14})^{(1)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a'_{15})^{(1)}$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}\,(G(t),t)) = (b'_{14})^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}\,(G(t),t) = (b'_{15})^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}), ((G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\ (G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i=16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ and $T_i(t)=0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)}-(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}),s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)}>0\quad\text{for } t>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16}<(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1-(a'_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a'_{17})^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a'_{18})^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t),t)) = (b'_{17})^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t),t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right)$, $t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t),t\right) = (b'_{18})^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 210

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}},\widetilde{T_{23}}:(\widetilde{(G_{23})},\widetilde{(T_{23})})=\mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}),(T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\,ds_{(20)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)},s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\le \qquad 214$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right)d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)},i=20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on $(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$

If $G_{21}\ or\ G_{22}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If $G_{20}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) = (b'_{21})^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t) > (m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{22})^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) = (b'_{22})^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + \left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\le \qquad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24,25,26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 228

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(4)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b'_{25})^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b'_{26})^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(5)}((G_{31}),(T_{31}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)}-G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\le \quad 237$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 238

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i=28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$ 239

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(5)}-(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}),s_{(28)})\}ds_{(28)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a'_{29})^{(5)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}\ ((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\le \quad 248$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 249

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i=32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 250

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(6)}-(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}),s_{(32)})\}ds_{(32)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}$ and by integrating

$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1/(a'_{33})^{(6)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If $G_{33}\ or\ G_{34}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}\ ((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right),\ \ t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{37} , $G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying

Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})},\widetilde{(T_{39})}:(\widetilde{(G_{39})},\widetilde{(T_{39})})=\mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}),(T_{39}))$

It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\le\int_0^t(a_{36})^{(7)}|G_{37}^{(1)}-G_{37}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}ds_{(36)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}|(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})-(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(2)},s_{(36)})|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$|(G_{39})^{(1)}-(G_{39})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\le \quad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}((a_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)})d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)};(G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i=36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(7)}-(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}),s_{(36)})\}ds_{(36)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(7)}t)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

268

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t] 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\leq \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on (G_{43})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(8)} - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\} ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)} t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also G_{41} *and* G_{42} . indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a_{41}')^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 / (a_{41}')^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 / (a_{42}')^{(8)}$

If G_{41} *or* G_{42} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{41} *and* G_{42} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t), t)) = (b_{41}')^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{42}'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{42}')^{(8)}$

279 A

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\;(G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\geq T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also G_{45} *and* G_{46} . indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a'_{45})^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(1)}, (v_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(v_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}$, $(m_2)^{(1)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(1)},(\mu_2)^{(1)},(\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}$, $(m_2)^{(1)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(1)},(\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}\ , if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(\ m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}\ , if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\ \mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)}+(R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)},(S_2)^{(1)},(R_1)^{(1)},(R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)}=(a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)}-(a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)}=(a_{15})^{(1)}-(p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)}=(b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)}-(b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)}=(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\le-(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}-(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)}\le-(b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)-(b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)},(\nu_2)^{(2)},(u_1)^{(2)},(u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)}>0,(\nu_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)}>0,(u_2)^{(2)}<0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\left(u^{(2)}\right)^2+(\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}>0,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}>0,(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}<0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\left(u^{(2)}\right)^2+(\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)},(m_2)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)},(m_2)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)}=(\nu_0)^{(2)},(m_1)^{(2)}=(\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)}<(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)}=(\nu_1)^{(2)},(m_1)^{(2)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)}<(\nu_0)^{(2)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)}=\frac{G_{16}^{0}}{G_{17}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$ 311

$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le\right.$ 312

$\left.\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$

$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$ 313

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}$ 314

$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le$ 315

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$

$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}$ 318

$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(3)}, (\nu_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(\nu_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(\nu^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(\nu^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T^0_{20}}{T^0_{21}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{20}e^{\left((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G^0_{20}e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}}G^0_{20}e^{\left((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}}G^0_{20}e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{20}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)}-(p_{20})^{(3)}-(S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)}-(p_{20})^{(3)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right]+G_{22}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\le G_{22}(t)\le\right. \quad 324$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{20}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)}-(a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}-e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right]+G_{22}^{0}e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}\le T_{20}(t)\le T_{20}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}\le T_{20}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}-e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right]+T_{22}^{0}e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\le T_{22}(t)\le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right]+T_{22}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)},(S_2)^{(3)},(R_1)^{(3)},(R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)}=(a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)}-(a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)}=(a_{22})^{(3)}-(p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)}=(b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)}-(b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)}=(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)},(\sigma_2)^{(4)},(\tau_1)^{(4)},(\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)},(\sigma_2)^{(4)},(\tau_1)^{(4)},(\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)}\le-(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}-(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(4)}\le-(b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)-(b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(4)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)},(\nu_2)^{(4)},(u_1)^{(4)},(u_2)^{(4)},\nu^{(4)},u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(4)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}-(a_{24})^{(4)}=0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)}-(b_{24})^{(4)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}-(a_{24})^{(4)}=0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)}-(b_{24})^{(4)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)},(m_2)^{(4)},(\mu_1)^{(4)},(\mu_2)^{(4)},(\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)},(m_2)^{(4)},(\mu_1)^{(4)},(\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{\left((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)}-(S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^0}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} - e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t} \le T_{30}(t) \le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \le -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \le -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)}, (v_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, v^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)} < (v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{v}_6)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (v_0)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)} + (R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(8)}, (v_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, v^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(v^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(v^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T^0_{40}}{T^0_{41}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G^0_{40} e^{\left((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G^0_{40} e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)}-(p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(p_{40})^{(8)}-(S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)}-(p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)}, (v_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, v^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\left(u^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(9)}$, $(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (v_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (v_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(9)} < (v_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (v_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(9)} < (v_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (v_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (v_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)} < (v_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}\left((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)}\right)}\left[e^{\left((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t} \le G_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}\left((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)}\right)}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t})$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}\left((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)}\right)}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}\left((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)}\right)}\left[e^{\left((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \leq \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

386

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{13}'')^{(1)} = (a_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b_{13}'')^{(1)} = (b_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a_{16}')^{(2)} - (a_{17}')^{(2)} + (a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\mathrm{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}^0}{\mathrm{G}_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\mathrm{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}^0}{\mathrm{G}_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \le \nu^{(4)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(4)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \le \nu^{(4)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \le \nu^{(4)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \le u^{(4)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{28})^{(5)} = (a''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

415

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{32}'')^{(6)} = (a_{33}'')^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{32}'')^{(6)} = (b_{33}'')^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a_{36}')^{(7)} - (a_{37}')^{(7)} + (a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have
Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition

$(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)} T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq v^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $v^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)v^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}v^{(9)}$$

Definition of $v^{(9)}$:- $\quad \boxed{v^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$v^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq v^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(2)} and\ (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

with $(p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0 \quad 437$$

$$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0 \quad 438$$

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0$ 475

$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0$ 476

$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0$ 477

$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0$ 478

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(ee) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{14}^* :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{14}^* for which $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that

there exists a unique T_{37}^{*} for which $f(T_{37}^{*})=0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36}=\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{38}=\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{41}^{*} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0)<0, f(\infty)>0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^{*} for which $f(T_{41}^{*})=0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40}=\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{42}=\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^{*} :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0)<0, f(\infty)>0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^{*} for which $f(T_{45}^{*})=0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44}=\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{46}=\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G)=(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)}-(b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)}-$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)+(b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right]+(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)=0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0)>0$, $\varphi(\infty)<0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi(G^{*})=0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19})=(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)}-$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})+(b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})=0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0)>0$, $\varphi(\infty)<0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^{*})=0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23})=(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)}-$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{21} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507

$$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508

$$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509

$$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510

$$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right] + (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G^*_{14} given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T^*_{14} given by $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

$$T^*_{13} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{15} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G^*_{17} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T^*_{17} given by $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$ and 512

$$G^*_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G^*_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad 513$$

$$T^*_{16} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T^*_{17}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{18} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T^*_{17}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G^*_{21} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T^*_{21} given by $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

$$T^*_{20} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T^*_{21}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{22} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T^*_{21}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G^*_{25} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T^*_{25} given by $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$, $T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$ 517

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$, $G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523

G_{45}^* given by $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$, T_{45}^* given by $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$ and A

$$G_{44}^* = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}^*}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46}^* = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}^*}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

$$T_{44}^* = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}^*}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{46}^* = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}^*}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^*)]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)} G_{13}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)} G_{15}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)} T_{13}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)} T_{14}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)} T_{15}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \quad 532$$

$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial \mathrm{T}_{17}}(\mathrm{T}_{17}^{*}) = (q_{17})^{(2)}$, $\frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial \mathrm{G}_j}(\,(G_{19})^{*}\,) = s_{ij}$ 533

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{dt}} = -\big((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{16}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 534$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{G}_{17}}{\mathrm{dt}} = -\big((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{17}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 535$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{G}_{18}}{\mathrm{dt}} = -\big((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 536$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{T}_{16}}{\mathrm{dt}} = -\big((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \textstyle\sum_{j=16}^{18}\big(s_{(16)(j)}\mathrm{T}_{16}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 537$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{T}_{17}}{\mathrm{dt}} = -\big((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \textstyle\sum_{j=16}^{18}\big(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}_{17}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{T}_{18}}{\mathrm{dt}} = -\big((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \textstyle\sum_{j=16}^{18}\big(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}_{18}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\,\mathbb{R}_{+})$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^{*}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{23})^{*}\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\big((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\big((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\big((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\big((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \textstyle\sum_{j=20}^{22}\big(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\big((b_{21}')^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \textstyle\sum_{j=20}^{22}\big(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\big((b_{22}')^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \textstyle\sum_{j=20}^{22}\big(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions

$(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^* \ ) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\big((a_{24}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\big((a_{25}')^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\big((a_{26}')^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\big((b_{24}')^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\big((b_{25}')^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\big((b_{26}')^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \ , \ \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}(\ (G_{31})^* \ ) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\big((a_{28}')^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\big((a_{29}')^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\big((a_{30}')^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\big((b_{28}')^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\big(s_{(28)(j)}T_{28}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{33})^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T^*_{33}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G^*_{34}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T^*_{32}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T^*_{33}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T^*_{34}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{37})^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T^*_{37}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G^*_{38}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T^*_{36}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T^*_{37}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T^*_{38}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{41})^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T^*_{41}) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{43})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G^*_{42}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T^*_{40}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS A

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \qquad 586\,B$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a_{45}')^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \qquad 586\,C$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a_{46}')^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \qquad 586\,D$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b_{44}')^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T_{44}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 586\,E$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b_{45}')^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T_{45}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 586\,F$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b_{46}')^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T_{46}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 586\,G$$

The characteristic equation of this system is 587

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^* + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T_{14}^*\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^* + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T_{13}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b_{13}')^{(1)} + (b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^* + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T_{13}^*\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)})\{((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)})$$

$$\left[\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)})(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)})s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)})(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)})s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2} + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2} + \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)} + (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2} + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)})s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)} + (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)})\{((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)})$$

$$\left[\left(((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)})(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)})s_{(21),(21)}T^{*}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^{*}_{21}\right)$$

$$+\left(((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)})(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^{*}_{21}\right)$$

$$\left(((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)})s_{(21),(20)}T^{*}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2} + \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2} + \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)} + (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2} + \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)})s_{(21),(22)}T^{*}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^{*}_{20}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^{*}_{25}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^{*}_{24}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^{*}_{29}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^{*}_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^{*}_{28}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^{*}_{33}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^{*}_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^{*}_{32}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^{*}_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^{*}_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^{*}_{36}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^{*}_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^{*}_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^{*}_{40}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^{*}_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^{*}_{44}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION THIRTY THREE

Basis Of Subjective Experience Is Non-Locality

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

National Institute for Discovery Science: Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram by Edgar Mitchell, Ph.D. Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram Fax: 561-641-5242, edgarmitchell@msn.com

(1) However recent work both in theory and experiment (e.g. see Nature, 1997, 11th December, vol. 390, Sudbury T pp551-552, and Bouwmeester D. et al pp 575-579) is in line with the work by Berry, Resta, Schempp and Marcer and makes it clear that this is not the general case for quantum information processing and communication.

(2) It has been widely accepted in science, until recently, particularly in the field of artificial intelligence, that the brain was likely a complex classical computer, incapable of supporting quantum processes. The work by Hameroff (1994) and Penrose in isolating (file:///C|/Documents%20and%20Settings/Judy/My%20...m%20Hologram%20by%20Edgar%20Mitchell,%20Ph_D.htm (2 of 18) [6/25/2011 9:21:06 PM]) National Institute for Discovery Science: Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram by Edgar Mitchell, Ph.D. and describing microtubules in brain tissue have caused a re-examination of this dogma, and renewed interest in uncovering the quantum processes involved. Based upon this earlier work I postulate and examine the evidence in this paper for the following theories:

(3) The basis of subjective experience is rooted in the quantum attribute of nature called non-locality. I will use the word "perception" in its most generic sense to denote a basic subjective experience at all levels of complex matter. Thus the nonlocal quantum correlation between entangled quantum particles is considered the root cause of the phenomenon experienced as perception in more complex matter, but the non-local quantum hologram is the non-local carrier of information for molecular and larger scale matter. Thus, perception is not an object but rather the label for a nonlinear process involving an object, a percipient and information. 2. The experience of humans is that they sometimes, perhaps often, perceive information from or about physical objects that is not available through normal, local, sensory mechanisms, nor classical space/time information.

(4) Objective testing data in overwhelming abundance provides evidence that this is true, though an explanatory mechanism has until contemporary times remained elusive. I shall call this intuitive information or intuitive perception.

(5) I postulate that a quantum hologram is the source of this intuitive perception and that the percipient is at that time in phase-conjugate-adaptive-resonance (pcar) with the entity or object associated with the quantum hologram.

(6) The phenomenon of "learning" in humans is a subjective process that involves perception, memory, intentionality, and evaluation of outcome and behavior change. This may be viewed as a classical nonlinear feedback loop. Although we cannot know precisely the subjective experience of another entity, presumably in the successful training of animals, an analogous subjective process is in effect. Sheldrake (1981) has published a successful theory of morphic resonance related to animal learning based upon non-local information. Marcer has published papers (1996,1997) theorizing a mechanism by which the quantum hologram causes learning to take place in both DNA molecules and prokaryote cells as an adaptation process of environmental resonance, rather than mutation and adaptation solely by random processes. I postulate that Marcer's concept can be generalized to nature at large and that the quantum hologram is the information structure suitable to explain Sheldrake's morphic resonance. The non-local quantum correlations observed in particles,

and the non-local quantum hologram associated with molecular and larger scale objects, serve the purpose of providing information at all scale sizes to guide evolutionary processes. That is to say, that quantum non-locality is the basis of perception, and thus fundamental and necessary to the complex organizations of matter and information in the universe. Further, since learning is an observed property of complex systems such as animals and, via the quantum hologram, is theorized to be a property of simple cells and molecules, one can also postulate the generalization that nature evolves through a learning process rather than because of random mutations.

(7) Marcer (1997) has proposed that the condition of phase-conjugate-adaptive resonance (pcar) is a necessary condition for an object in three-dimensional reality to be perceived as it really is. That is, resonance requires a virtual path mathematically equal but opposite to the incoming sensory information about the object. Further, that it is the incoming electromagnetic (space/time) information (visual, acoustic, etc), which decodes the information of the quantum hologram and establishes the condition of pcar so that accurate three-dimensional perception is possible. That is to say, both quantum information and space/time information are used in the act of perception by organisms having sensory preceptors. I propose that the two equal but opposite paths required by the pcar condition are the mathematical equivalent of perception and attention (or intention). (I shall distinguish between attention and intention in following pages.)

Discussion

(8) The anecdotal evidence for humans perceiving non-local information dates to prehistory. The data were sufficiently robust that both experiencer s and philosophers, from Plato and Aristotle forward, accepted that both physical and non-physical realms of reality must exist.

(9) Non-physical was thought to explain the subtle, ephemeral and mystical subjective experiences ubiquitously reported in human culture. After Descartes and Newton, however, classical western science rapidly discarded the non-physical hypothesis and systematically began to ignore all evidence for perception of non-local information.

NOTATION

Module One

It has been widely accepted in science, until recently, particularly in the field of artificial intelligence, that the brain was likely a complex classical computer, incapable of supporting quantum processes. The work by Hameroff (1994) and Penrose in isolating (file:///C|/Documents%20and%20Settings/Judy/My%20...m%20Hologram%20by%20Edgar%20Mitchell,%20Ph_D.htm (2 of 18) [6/25/2011 9:21:06 PM]) National Institute for Discovery Science: Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram by Edgar Mitchell, Ph.D. and describing

Microtubules in brain tissue have caused a re-examination of this dogma, and renewed interest in uncovering the quantum processes involved.

Based upon this earlier work I postulate and examine the evidence in this paper for the following theories:

G_{13} : Category one of Microtubules in brain tissue

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of re-examination of this dogma, and renewed interest in uncovering the quantum processes involved.

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

However recent work both in theory and experiment (e.g. see Nature, 1997, 11th December, vol. 390, Sudbury T pp551-552, and Bouwmeester D. et al pp 575-579) is in line with the work by Berry, Resta, Schempp and Marcer and makes it clear that this is not the general case for quantum information processing and communication.

G_{16} : Category one of quantum information processing; communication.

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of communication.; quantum information processing

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

The basis of subjective experience is rooted in the quantum attribute of nature called non-locality.

I will use the word

"Perception" in its most generic sense to denote a basic subjective experience at all levels of complex matter.

Thus the

nonlocal quantum correlation between entangled quantum particles is considered the root cause of the phenomenon experienced as perception in more complex matter,

Non-local quantum hologram is the non-local carrier of information for molecular and larger scale matter.

Thus, perception is not an object but rather the label for a nonlinear process involving an object, a percipient and information.

2. The experience of humans is that they sometimes, perhaps often, perceive information from or about physical objects that is not available through normal, local, sensory mechanisms, nor classical space/time information

G_{20} : Category one of quantum attributes of nature called non-locality.

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of subjective experiences

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

perception" in its most generic sense to denote a basic subjective experience at all levels of complex matter

G_{24} : Category one of perception

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of basic subjective experience at all levels of complex matter

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

nonlocal quantum correlation between entangled quantum particles is considered the root cause of the phenomenon experienced as perception in more complex matter

G_{28} : Category one of nonlocal quantum correlation between entangled quantum particles

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of phenomenon experienced as perception in more complex matter

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

Non-local quantum hologram is the non-local carrier of information for molecular and larger scale matter

G_{32} : Category one of Non-local quantum hologram

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of non-local carrier of information for molecular and larger scale matter

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

Multiple modules care

Thus,

Perception is not an object but rather the label for a nonlinear process involving an object, a percipient and information.

G_{36} : Category one of perception is not an object ;object percipient; percipient; information; object; information

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of label for a nonlinear process involving an object, a percipient and information; percipient; object; information; percipient ;information; object

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

experience of humans is that they sometimes, perhaps often, perceive information from or about physical objects that is not available through normal, local, sensory mechanisms, nor classical space/time information

G_{40} : Category one of physical objects that is not available through normal, local, sensory mechanisms, nor classical space/time information

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of experience of humans is that they sometimes, perhaps often, perceive information

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

I Postulate that a
quantum hologram is the source of this intuitive perception and that the percipient is at that time in phase-conjugate-adaptive-resonance (pcar) with the entity or object associated with the quantum hologram

There is a legend here that if rama nama is uttered 32 crores times, Anjaneya would manifest. Advert to the fact that holograms possibly appear due to continuous information flow

G_{44} : Category one of quantum hologram

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of source of this intuitive perception and that the percipient is at that time in phase-conjugate-adaptive-resonance (pcar) with the entity or object associated with the quantum hologram

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)\right]T_{18}$ 12

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20}$ 13

$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21}$ 14

$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22}$ 15

$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20}$ 16

$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21}$ 17

$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22}$ 18

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24}$ 19

$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25}$ 20

$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26}$ 21

$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t)\right]T_{24}$ 22

$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t)\right]T_{25}$ 23

$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t)\right]T_{26}$ 24

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27}), t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28}$ 25

$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29}$ 26

$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30}$ 27

$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t)\right]T_{28}$ 28

$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t)\right]T_{29}$ 29

$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t)\right]T_{30}$ 30

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$
$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$
$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$
$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$
$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$
$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$
$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$
$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$
$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$
$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$
$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$
$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$
$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$
$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$
$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$
$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$
$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$
$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$
$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b_{13}'')^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{16}')^{(2)} & +(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \quad +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{17}')^{(2)} & +(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \quad +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{18}')^{(2)} & +(a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \quad +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $+(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} & -(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} & -(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{24}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{25}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{26}')^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1, 2\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$

are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$

are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$

are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt}=(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt}=(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt}=(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{26} \quad (78)$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$

are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$

are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$

are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$

are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$

are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt}=(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8\,,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients*

$for\ category$ 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ $are\ \ third\ \ detrition\ coefficients$ $for\ category$ 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{32} \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{33} \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{34} \quad (87)$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ $are\ \ first\ \ augmentation\ coefficients$ $for\ category$ 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ $are\ second\ augmentation$ $coefficients\ for\ category$ 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ $are\ third\ augmentation$ $coefficients\ for\ category$ 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$
seventh augmentation coefficients
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$
Eighth augmentation coefficients
$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt}=(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{32})^{(6)}\,-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{32} \quad (88)$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt}=(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{33})^{(6)}\,-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{33} \quad (89)$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt}=(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{34})^{(6)}\,-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{34} \quad (90)$$

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = \qquad 94$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt}$$ *95*

$$= (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)} \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{40})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{41})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{42})^{(8)} \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

96

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{44}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{45}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{46}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second

augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b_{44}')^{(9)}\left[-(b_{44}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{45}')^{(9)}\left[-(b_{45}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{46}')^{(9)}\left[-(b_{46}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b_{44}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{46}'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq (p_i)^{(1)} \leq (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} |T_{17} - T_{17}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} ||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and\ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad (110)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad (111)$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad (112)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(r_i)^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad (113)$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (114)

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: (115)

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)},\ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\,(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\,(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le \ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}\,(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\,\big((G_{27}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)},\ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - \ T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31}, t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34$$ 127

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)}$$ 128

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(EEEEEEEE) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38$ 131

(FFFFFFFF) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \le (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(GGGGGGGG) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(HHHHHHHH)

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \le (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(IIIIIIII) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(JJJJJJJJ) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$$ 138

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$$ 139

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$$ 140

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)}$$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$ 142

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be

noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}<1 \qquad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)},(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)},(a_i')^{(8)},(b_i)^{(8)},(b_i')^{(8)},(p_i)^{(8)},\ (r_i)^{(8)},i=40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)}+(a_i')^{(8)}+\ (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+\ (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\ (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}]<1 \qquad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[\ (b_i)^{(8)}+(b_i')^{(8)}+\ (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}+\ (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}]<1 \qquad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)},(a_i')^{(9)},(a_i'')^{(9)},(b_i)^{(9)},(b_i')^{(9)},(b_i'')^{(9)}>0,\quad i,j=44,45,46 \qquad 146\text{A}$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)},(b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\le(p_i)^{(9)}\le(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)\le\ (r_i)^{(9)}\le(b_i')^{(9)}\le(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)=(p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t)=\ (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)},(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i=44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)|\le(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45}-\ T_{45}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t)-(b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)|<(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47})-(G_{47})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$

and $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}=1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\ ,\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}<1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)},(a_i')^{(9)},(b_i)^{(9)},(b_i')^{(9)},(p_i)^{(9)},\ (r_i)^{(9)},i=44,45,46,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)}+(a_i')^{(9)}+\ (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+\ (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}]<1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)}+(b_i')^{(9)}+\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}+\ (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}]<1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t)\le\ \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ ,\ \boxed{G_i(0)=G_i^0>0}$$

$$T_i(t)\le\ (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\quad ,\quad \boxed{T_i(0)=T_i^0>0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t)\le\ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\quad ,\quad G_i(0)=G_i^0>0$$

$$T_i(t)\le\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\quad ,\quad T_i(0)=T_i^0>0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t)\le\ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\quad ,\quad G_i(0)=G_i^0>0$$

$$T_i(t)\le\ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\quad ,\quad T_i(0)=T_i^0>0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\ ,T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{24}\right)^{(4)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{24}\right)^{(4)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{28}\right)^{(5)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{28}\right)^{(5)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{32}\right)^{(6)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{32}\right)^{(6)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{36}\right)^{(7)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{36}\right)^{(7)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{40}\right)^{(8)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{40}\right)^{(8)} t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{44}\right)^{(9)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{\left(\hat{M}_{44}\right)^{(9)} t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$, 155

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ 156

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ 157

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) G_{13}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) G_{14}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)} (T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) G_{15}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{13}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{14}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)} (G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)}) \right) T_{15}(s_{(13)}) \right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) G_{16}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)}) \right) G_{17}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)} (T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) G_{18}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)} (G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}) \right) T_{16}(s_{(16)}) \right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{22}(t) = T_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) G_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{32}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{33}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)}) \right) T_{34}(s_{(32)}) \right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof: 166
Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t)-G_{20}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_{21}^{0}}{G_{21}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t)\leq G_{24}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\right)\right]ds_{(24)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{24})^{(4)}t\right)G_{25}^{0}+\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(ff) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} \left(G_{37}^0 + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\right)\right] ds_{(36)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{36})^{(7)} t\right) G_{37}^0 + \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t) - G_{36}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \le \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\tilde{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_{37}^0}{G_{37}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} \left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\tilde{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$
$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{45}, G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \tag{183}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \tag{184}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (185)

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)}\right),\left(G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}:\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \tag{186}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on

$G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a_{14}')^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a_{14}')^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a_{15}')^{(1)}$$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If $G_{13}\ \ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t), t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}\,(t) > (m)^{(1)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}\,(G(t), t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\ and\ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\ (G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i=16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on

(G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\} ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)} G_{17}$ and by integrating

$$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 / (a_{17}')^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 / (a_{18}')^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right)$, $t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 210

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}},\widetilde{T_{23}}:(\widetilde{(G_{23})},\widetilde{(T_{23})})=\mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}),(T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\,ds_{(20)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)},s_{(20)}\right)\right|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\leq \quad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right)d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\,(G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i=20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on

$(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)} - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a_{21}')^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a_{21}')^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a_{22}')^{(3)}$$

If $G_{21}\ or\ G_{22}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right)) = (b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}\,(t) > (m)^{(3)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t), t\right) = (b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq \quad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on

$(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 228

it results

$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(4)} t\right)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a_{25}')^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a_{25}')^{(4)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a_{26}')^{(4)}$

If $G_{25}\ or\ G_{26}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}\ ((G_{27})(t), t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25}\ \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t\ > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t), t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}\,(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25}\ \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25}\ \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t), t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+\left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \tag{234}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \tag{235}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (236)

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{31}}),(\widetilde{T_{31}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{31}}),(\widetilde{T_{31}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),(T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)}-G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq \tag{237}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 239

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(5)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a_{29}')^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a_{29}')^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 / (a_{30}')^{(5)}$$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}\,((G_{31})(t), t)) = (b_{29}')^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t), t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}\,(t) > (m)^{(5)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t), t\right) = (b_{30}')^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+\left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{245}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right]\leq(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \tag{246}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric (247)

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq \tag{248}$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 250

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(6)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a_{33}')^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a_{33}')^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 / (a_{34}')^{(6)}$$

If $G_{33}\ or\ G_{34}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}\ ((G_{35})(t), t)) = (b_{33}')^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}\,(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{34}'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{34}')^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{37} , $G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose

$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+\left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})},\widetilde{(T_{39})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{39})},\widetilde{(T_{39})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}),(T_{39}))$

It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\leq\int_0^t(a_{36})^{(7)}|G_{37}^{(1)}-G_{37}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\,ds_{(36)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}|(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})-(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(2)},s_{(36)})|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$|(G_{39})^{(1)}-(G_{39})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq \quad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left((a_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right)d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)};\;(G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it

suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a_{37}')^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 / (a_{37}')^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 / (a_{38}')^{(7)}$$

If $G_{37}\ or\ G_{38}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{37}\ and\ G_{38}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\ ((G_{39})(t), t)) = (b_{37}')^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t), t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}\,(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t), t\right) = (b_{38}')^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have 266

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)}, (T_{43})^{(1)}), ((G_{43})^{(2)}, (T_{43})^{(2)})\right) = \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})}, \widetilde{(T_{43})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}), (T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)} - G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)} - G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}} +$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)} - G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}} +$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)}, s_{(40)}\right) - (a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)}, s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)} - (G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)}, (T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)}, (T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by 274

$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(8)} - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\} ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a_{41}')^{(8)}G_{41}$ and by integrating

$$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a_{41}')^{(8)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a_{42}')^{(8)}$$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t), t)) = (b_{41}')^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)}), ((G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}), (\widetilde{T_{47}}) : \left((\widetilde{G_{47}}), (\widetilde{T_{47}})\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}), (T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)} - G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)} +$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)} - G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}} +$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)}, s_{(44)}\right) - (a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)}, s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)}, (T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)}, (T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(9)} - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\} ds_{(44)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(9)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a_{45}')^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 / (a_{45}')^{(9)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 / (a_{46}')^{(9)}$$

If $G_{45}\ or\ G_{46}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right)) = (b_{45}')^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}\,(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{46}'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t), t\right) = (b_{46}')^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \le -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \le -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by
$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, \ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, \ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T^0_{13}}{T^0_{14}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G^0_{13} e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(p_{13})^{(1)}-(S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)}-(p_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+G_{15}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\le G_{15}(t)\le\right. \quad (286)$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{13}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)}-(a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+G_{15}^{0}e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\le T_{13}(t)\le T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad (287)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}\le T_{13}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}}T_{13}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad (288)$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}-(b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t}-e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\le T_{15}(t)\le \quad (289)$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)}+(R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)},(S_2)^{(1)},(R_1)^{(1)},(R_2)^{(1)}$:- (290)

Where $(S_1)^{(1)}=(a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)}-(a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)}=(a_{15})^{(1)}-(p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)}=(b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)}-(b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)}=(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation (291)

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$: (292)

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\le-(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}-(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(2)} \quad (293)$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(2)}\le-(b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)-(b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(2)} \quad (294)$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)},(\nu_2)^{(2)},(u_1)^{(2)},(u_2)^{(2)}$: (295)

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(2)}<0$ the roots (296)

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ (297)

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ and (298)

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: (299)

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}<0$ the (300)

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ (301)

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\left(u^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t}$ 311

$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)}t} \le G_{18}(t) \le\right.$ 312

$\left.\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right)$

$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$ 313

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}$ 314

$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)}t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)}t} \le T_{18}(t) \le$ 315

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)}t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(3)}, (\nu_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(\nu_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(\nu^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(\nu^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T^0_{20}}{T^0_{21}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} \quad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)} t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad 324$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}} T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} - (b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)} t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)} t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)} + (R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(4)}, (v_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, v^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(v_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(v^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)} v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(v^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}v^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(4)}$, $(v_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}$, $(m_2)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(4)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(4)} < (v_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(v_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (v_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (v_0)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_4)^{(4)} < (v_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)}t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)}t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)}t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}T_{24}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)}+(R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)}+(r_{24})^{(4)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}\right]+T_{26}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(4)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)},(S_2)^{(4)},(R_1)^{(4)},(R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)}=(a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)}-(a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)}=(a_{26})^{(4)}-(p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)}=(b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)}-(b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)}=(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)},(\sigma_2)^{(5)},(\tau_1)^{(5)},(\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)},(\sigma_2)^{(5)},(\tau_1)^{(5)},(\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)}\le-(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}-(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)}\le-(b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)-(b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)},(\nu_2)^{(5)},(u_1)^{(5)},(u_2)^{(5)},\nu^{(5)},u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(5)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}-(a_{28})^{(5)}=0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)}-(b_{28})^{(5)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}-(a_{28})^{(5)}=0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)}-(b_{28})^{(5)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)},(m_2)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)},(\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)},(m_2)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)},(\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_0)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(5)}<(\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(5)}<(\nu_0)^{(5)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)}=\frac{G_{28}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)}=(\nu_1)^{(5)},(m_1)^{(5)}=(\nu_0)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}<(\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)}=(u_0)^{(5)},(\mu_1)^{(5)}=(u_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(5)}<(u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T_{28}^0}{T_{29}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{28}(t) \leq G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \leq G_{29}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \leq G_{30}(t) \leq \right. \quad 344$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{28}^0}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} \leq T_{28}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} - (b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)} t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)} t} \leq T_{30}(t) \leq \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)} + (R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \leq -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \leq -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)}, (v_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, v^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},, (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}$, $(m_2)^{(6)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}}T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}}T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}-(b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)}+(R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)}+(r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(7)}, (v_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, v^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(v_1)^{(7)} > 0, (v_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (v_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(7)} < (v_1)^{(7)}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)}$

and analogously 363

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)} + (R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$

$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0, (u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}, (m_2)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\nu_1)^{(8)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

and analogously 374

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)} t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} - (b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)} t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)} t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)} + (R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, \nu^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(\nu_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(\nu^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T^0_{44}}{T^0_{45}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}}G^0_{44}e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

(

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G^0_{44}}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})}\Big[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\Big] + G^0_{46}e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t} \le G_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G^0_{44}}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}] + G^0_{46}e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t})$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}}T_{44}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}}T_{44}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}-(b'_{46})^{(9)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t}-e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right]+T_{46}^{0}e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}T_{44}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)}+(R_2)^{(9)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)}+(r_{44})^{(9)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}\right]+T_{46}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^{0}}{G_{14}^{0}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)}-(v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)}-(v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$\nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_0)^{(1)}}{(\nu_0)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(1)}+(C)^{(1)}(\nu_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\nu_1)^{(1)}-(\nu_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain 386

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}+(\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)}T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{dv^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)v^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}v^{(2)}$$

Definition of $v^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}, (v_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (v_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$

$$v^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(v_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}}{(v_0)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq (v_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$v^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (v_0)^{(2)}}{(v_0)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (v_1)^{(2)} < (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(v_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(v_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(v_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((v_1)^{(2)} - (v_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} \leq (v_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(v_1)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} + (\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(2)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}$, $\boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)} G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)} T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a_{20}')^{(3)} - (a_{21}')^{(3)} + (a_{20}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right) - (a_{21}'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)} e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)}+(C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)}-(\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{20}'')^{(3)} = (a_{21}'')^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{20}'')^{(3)} = (b_{21}'')^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a_{24}')^{(4)} - (a_{25}')^{(4)} + (a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right) - (a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)} G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)} T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

411

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}$, $\boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{28}'')^{(5)} = (b_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a_{32}')^{(6)} - (a_{33}')^{(6)} + (a_{32}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a_{33}'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)}=\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(v_0)^{(6)}}{(v_0)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(6)}+(C)^{(6)}(v_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)}-(v_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)}-(v_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(6)}=\frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain 415

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}+(\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}-(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(6)}(t)=\frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \le u^{(6)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t)=\frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(v_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(v_0)^{(6)} = (v_1)^{(6)}$ then $v^{(6)}(t) = (v_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (v_0)^{(6)}G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(v_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)}T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)v^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}v^{(7)}$$

Definition of $v^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}\right)\leq\frac{dv^{(7)}}{dt}\leq-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(v^{(7)}\right)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)}-(a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0<\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}<(\nu_1)^{(7)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t)\geq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(C)^{(7)}=\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\quad,\quad\boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)}=\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(7)}<(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq\frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0<(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}\leq\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)}=\frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}\leq(\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)}\leq\nu^{(7)}(t)\leq(m_1)^{(7)},\quad\boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t)=\frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}$, $\boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)} G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)} T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)}+(C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)}-(\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}+(\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{40}'')^{(8)} = (a_{41}'')^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{40}'')^{(8)} = (b_{41}'')^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)}T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424 A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a_{44}')^{(9)} - (a_{45}')^{(9)} + (a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \leq u^{(9)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)} G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for**

the special case .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(1)} and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(2)} and\ (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0$ 439

$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{13} = 0$ 440

$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{14} = 0$ 441

$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\ ]T_{15} = 0$ 442

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0$ 443

$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0$ 444

$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0$ 445

$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{16} = 0$ 446

$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{17} = 0$ 447

$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\ ]T_{18} = 0$ 448

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0$ 449

$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0$ 450

$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0$ 451

$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\ ]T_{20} = 0$ 452

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0 \quad 483$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0 \quad 484$$

$$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0 \quad 484\text{A}$$

$$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$$

$$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(ff) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$F(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]}$$ 495

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{33}^* :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{33}^* for which $f(T_{33}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{37}^* :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{37}^* for which $f(T_{37}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{41}^* :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{25}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507

$$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{29}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508

$$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{33}^{*} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509

$$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that

there exists a unique G_{37}^{*} such that $\varphi(G_{39}{}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510

$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right] + (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{41}^{*} such that $\varphi(G_{43}{}^{*}) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right] + (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{45}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^{*} given by $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$, T_{14}^{*} given by $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \qquad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]} \qquad 519$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]} \qquad 521$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{40}^{*}=\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{42}^{*}=\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{40}^{*}=\frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{42}^{*}=\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523
A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*})=0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*})=0$ and

$$G_{44}^{*}=\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}\quad,\quad G_{46}^{*}=\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{44}^{*}=\frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}\quad,\quad T_{46}^{*}=\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i=G_i^{*}+\mathbb{G}_i\qquad,T_i=T_i^{*}+\mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^{*})=(q_{14})^{(1)}\quad,\ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(\,G^{*}\,)=s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{13}+(a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14}-(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13}-(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt}=-\big((a'_{15})^{(1)}+(p_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{15}+(a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14}-(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{13}+(b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{14})^{(1)}-(r_{14})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt}=-\big((b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{15}+(b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14}+\textstyle\sum_{j=13}^{15}\big(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions

$(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \tag{532}$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \tag{533}$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{534}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{535}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \tag{536}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{537}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}T_{17}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{538}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}T_{18}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{539}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^*) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{541}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{542}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^*\mathbb{T}_{21} \tag{543}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \tag{544}$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^* \,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{31})^* \,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{33})^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T^*_{33}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{35})^*\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G^*_{34}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T^*_{32}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T^*_{33}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T^*_{34}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^{*}) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{43})^{*} \right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586 A

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{45})^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T^*_{45}) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \ , \ \frac{\partial(b''_i)^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}(\ (G_{47})^*\ ) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ B$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ C$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad 586\ D$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ E$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ F$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586\ G$$

The characteristic equation of this system is 587

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left(\ (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\ (\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left(\ (b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\ (\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{14})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}+(a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}+(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14}+(b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(r_{18})^{(2)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}+(a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION THIRTY FOUR

Phenomenon Of "Learning" In Humans Is A Subjective Process That Involves Perception, Memory, Intentionality, And Evaluation Of Outcome And Behavior Change

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

National Institute for Discovery Science: Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram by Edgar Mitchell, Ph.D. Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram Fax: 561-641-5242, edgarmitchell@msn.com

(1) The basis of subjective experience is rooted in the quantum attribute of nature called non-locality. I will use the word "perception" in its most generic sense to denote a basic subjective experience at all levels of complex matter. Thus the nonlocal quantum correlation between entangled quantum particles is considered the root cause of the phenomenon experienced as perception in more complex matter, but the non-local quantum hologram is the non-local carrier of information for molecular and larger scale matter. Thus, perception is not an object but rather the label for a nonlinear process involving an object, a percipient and information. 2. The experience of humans is that they sometimes, perhaps often, perceive information from or about physical objects that is not available through normal, local, sensory mechanisms, nor classical space/time information.

(2) Objective testing data in overwhelming abundance provides evidence that this is true, though an explanatory mechanism has until contemporary times remained elusive. I shall call this intuitive information or intuitive perception.

(3) I postulate that a quantum hologram is the source of this intuitive perception and that the percipient is at that time in phase-conjugate-adaptive-resonance (pcar) with the entity or object associated with the quantum hologram.

(4) The phenomenon of "learning" in humans is a subjective process that involves perception, memory, intentionality, and evaluation of outcome and behavior change. This may be viewed as a classical nonlinear feedback loop. Although we cannot know precisely the subjective experience of another entity, presumably in the successful training of animals, an analogous subjective process is in effect. Sheldrake (1981) has published a successful theory of morphic resonance related to animal learning based upon non-local information. Marcer has published papers (1996,1997) theorizing a mechanism by which the quantum hologram causes learning to take place in both DNA molecules and prokaryote cells as an adaptation process of environmental resonance, rather than mutation and adaptation solely by random processes. I postulate that Marcer's concept can be generalized to nature at large and that the quantum hologram is the information structure suitable to explain Sheldrake's morphic resonance. The non-local quantum correlations observed in particles, and the non-local quantum hologram associated with molecular and larger scale objects, serve the purpose of providing information at all scale sizes to guide evolutionary processes. That is to say,

that quantum non-locality is the basis of perception, and thus fundamental and necessary to the complex organizations of matter and information in the universe. Further, since learning is an observed property of complex systems such as animals and, via the quantum hologram, is theorized to be a property of simple cells and molecules, one can also postulate the generalization that nature evolves through a learning process rather than because of random mutations.

(5) Marcer (1997) has proposed that the condition of phase-conjugate-adaptive resonance (pcar) is a necessary condition for an object in three-dimensional reality to be perceived as it really is. That is, resonance requires a virtual path mathematically equal but opposite to the incoming sensory information about the object. Further, that it is the incoming electromagnetic (space/time) information (visual, acoustic, etc), which decodes the information of the quantum hologram and establishes the condition of pcar so that accurate three-dimensional perception is possible. That is to say, both quantum information and space/time information are used in the act of perception by organisms having sensory preceptors. I propose that the two equal but opposite paths required by the pcar condition are the mathematical equivalent of perception and attention (or intention). (I shall distinguish between attention and intention in following pages.)

Discussion

(6) The anecdotal evidence for humans perceiving non-local information dates to prehistory. The data were sufficiently robust that both experiencer s and philosophers, from Plato and Aristotle forward, accepted that both physical and non-physical realms of reality must exist.

(7) Non-physical was thought to explain the subtle, ephemeral and mystical subjective experiences ubiquitously reported in human culture. After Descartes and Newton, however, classical western science rapidly discarded the non-physical hypothesis and systematically began to ignore all evidence for perception of non-local information.

NOTATION

Module One

The

Phenomenon of "learning" in humans is a subjective process that involves perception, memory, intentionality, and evaluation of outcome and behavior change. This may be viewed as a classical nonlinear feedback loop.

Although we cannot know precisely the subjective experience of another entity, presumably in the successful training of animals, an analogous subjective process is in effect. Sheldrake (1981) has published a successful theory of morphic resonance related to animal learning based upon non-local information. Marcer has published papers (1996,1997) theorizing a mechanism by which the quantum hologram causes learning to take place in both DNA molecules and prokaryote cells as an adaptation process of environmental resonance, rather than mutation and adaptation solely by random processes

I postulate that Marcer’s concept can be generalized to nature at large and that the quantum hologram is the information structure suitable to explain Sheldrake’s morphic resonance.

The non-local quantum correlations observed in particles, and the non-local quantum hologram associated with molecular and larger scale objects, serve the purpose of providing information at all scale sizes to guide evolutionary processes.

That is to say, that quantum non-locality is the basis of perception, and thus fundamental and necessary to the complex organizations of matter and information in the universe. Further, since learning is an observed property of complex systems such as animals and, v ia the quantum hologram, is theorized to be a property

of simple cells and molecules, one can also postulate the generalization that nature evolves through a learning process rather than because of random mutations

G_{13} : Category one of phenomenon of "learning" in humans

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of subjective process that involves perception, memory, intentionality, and evaluation of outcome and behavior change

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

Phenomenon of "learning" in humans is a subjective process that involves perception, memory, intentionality, and evaluation of outcome and behavior change. This may be viewed as a classical nonlinear feedback loop

G_{16} : Category one of Phenomenon of "learning" in humans is a subjective process that involves perception, memory, intentionality, and evaluation of outcome and behavior change

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of classical nonlinear feedback loop

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

I postulate that
Marcer's concept can be generalized to nature at large and that the quantum hologram is the information structure suitable to explain Sheldrake's morphic resonance.

G_{20} : Category one of Marcer's concept can be generalized to nature at large and that the quantum hologram

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of information structure suitable to explain Sheldrake's morphic resonance.

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

The
Non-local quantum correlations observed in particles, and the non-local quantum hologram associated with molecular and larger scale objects, serve the purpose of providing information at all scale sizes to guide evolutionary processes.

G_{24} : Category one of non-local quantum correlations observed in particles, and the non-local quantum hologram associated with molecular and larger scale objects,

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of information at all scale sizes to guide evolutionary processes.

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

Non-local quantum correlations observed in particles, and the non-local quantum hologram associated with molecular and larger scale objects, serve the purpose of providing information at all scale sizes to guide evolutionary processes.

G_{28} : Category one of Non-local quantum correlations observed in particles; non-local quantum hologram

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of non-local quantum hologram; Non-local quantum correlations observed in particles

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

Non-local quantum correlations observed in particles, and the non-local quantum hologram associated with molecular and larger scale objects, serve the purpose of providing information at all scale sizes to guide evolutionary processes

G_{32} : Category one of non-local quantum hologram; molecular and larger scale objects

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of molecular and larger scale objects; non-local quantum hologram

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

Marcer (1997) has proposed that the

Condition of phase-conjugate-adaptive resonance (pcar) is a necessary condition for an object in three-dimensional reality to be perceived as it really is.

That is,

Resonance requires a virtual path mathematically equal but opposite to the incoming sensory information about the object.

Further, that it is the incoming electromagnetic (space/time) information (visual, acoustic, etc), which decodes (eb) the information of the quantum hologram and establishes the condition of pcar so that accurate three-dimensional perception is possible.

That is to say, both quantum information and space/time information are used in the act of perception by organisms having sensory preceptors. I propose that the two equal but opposite paths required by the pcar condition are the mathematical equivalent of perception and attention (or intention). (I shall distinguish between attention and intention in following pages.)

G_{36} : Category one of condition of phase-conjugate-adaptive resonance (pcar)

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of necessary condition for an object in three-dimensional reality to be perceived as it really is.

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

Resonance requires a virtual path mathematically equal but opposite to the incoming sensory information about the object.

G_{40} : Category one of Resonance requires a virtual path mathematically

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of opposite to the incoming sensory information about the object.

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

Further, that it is the incoming electromagnetic (space/time) information (visual, acoustic, etc), which decodes (eb) the information of the quantum hologram and establishes the condition of pcar so that accurate three-dimensional perception is possible.

G_{44} : Category one of incoming electromagnetic (space/time) information (visual, acoustic, etc),

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of information of the quantum hologram and establishes the condition of pcar so that accurate three-dimensional perception is possible.

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}, \ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}),t) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35}),t)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),t\right) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

59

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

60

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

61

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)} & +(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{16}$$

62

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)} & +(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{17}$$

63

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)} & +(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{18}$$

Where $+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{16}')^{(2)} & -(b_{16}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{17}')^{(2)} & -(b_{17}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{18}')^{(2)} & -(b_{18}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $-(b_{16}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt}=(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt}=(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt}=(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt}=(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{array}\right]T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad (75)$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1,2\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad (78)$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category $1,2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$

are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$

are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$

are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt}=(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt}=(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt}=(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{array}\right]G_{30} \quad (81)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt}=(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}-\left[\begin{array}{ccc}(b'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{array}\right]T_{28} \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad (84)$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & +(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)} & +(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)} & +(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad (87)$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)} & -(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)} & -(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)} & -(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition

coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \qquad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)} & +(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \qquad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)} & +(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \qquad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)} & +(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, $(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation

coefficient for 1,2,3

$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$ 94

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt}$$

$$= (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{45})^{(9)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt}$$
$$= (a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}$$
$$- \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{46})^{(9)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{array} \right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45} -$$
$$\left[\begin{array}{ccc} (b'_{44})^{(9)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} \quad \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{array} \right] T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) \le (r_i)^{(1)} \le (b'_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b''_i)^{(1)}(G,t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G', t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$. (T_{14}', t) and (T_{14}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i, j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(\mathrm{p_i})^{(2)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i, j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}, \ (\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad 113$$

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\,(G_{23},t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},$ are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\,(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\,(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$

$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \le \ (r_i)^{(4)} \le (b_i')^{(4)} \le (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}\,(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)}$ 118

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\,\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i, j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}\,(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}\,(G_{31}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i, j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}\,(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\,\big((G_{35}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(KKKKKKKK) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i, j = 36,37,38$ 131

(LLLLLLLL) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(MMMMMMMM) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(NNNNNNNN)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36{,}37{,}38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(OOOOOOOO) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(PPPPPPPP) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36{,}37{,}38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\,(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\,(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[\ (b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + \ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40{,}41{,}42 \qquad 136$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) \le (p_i)^{(8)} \le (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 138$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) \le (r_i)^{(8)} \le (b_i')^{(8)} \le (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 139$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \quad 140$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t) = (r_i)^{(8)} \quad 141$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}|T_{41} - T_{41}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 142$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})',t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad 143$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$. (T_{41}',t) and (T_{41},t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \quad 144$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$
Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \quad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \quad 146\,A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) \le (p_i)^{(9)} \le (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) \le (r_i)^{(9)} \le (b_i')^{(9)} \le (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47},t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45} - T_{45}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})',t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$. (T_{45}',t) and (T_{45},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \;,\quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{16}\right)^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \;,\quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \;,\quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{20}\right)^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \;,\quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{24}\right)^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{28}\right)^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{32}\right)^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \;,\quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)\,, T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)}$, $T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}$, 155

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 156

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ 157

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''^{(2)}_{16}\right)\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)}\right)\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)}\right)\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''^{(3)}_{20}\right)\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}\right)\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad (169)$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (170)

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that (171)

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (172)

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that (173)

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (174)

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(gg) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t)\leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)}=$$
$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t)\leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)}=$$ 180
$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{45}, G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $\left(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} \} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. (188)

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}ds_{(16)} +$

$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|\mathrm{e}^{-(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathrm{d}\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ and $(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $\mathrm{G}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{T}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) = 0$ 200

it results

$\mathrm{G}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{G}_i^0\mathrm{e}^{\left[-\int_0^{\mathrm{t}}\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}\mathrm{d}s_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$\mathrm{T}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{T}_i^0\mathrm{e}^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}\mathrm{t})} > 0$ for $\mathrm{t} > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$\mathrm{G}_{16} < (\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{\mathrm{dG}_{17}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{17}$ and by integrating

$\mathrm{G}_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = \mathrm{G}_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$\mathrm{G}_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = \mathrm{G}_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{\mathrm{t}\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}\,((G_{19})(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{t})) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $\mathrm{T}_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$
$sup_i\{max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)}; (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(7)} - (a_i'')^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{37} \; and \; G_{38}$. indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\,((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}}):\left((\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44{,}45{,}46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\left(u^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28}e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}}G^0_{28}e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}}G^0_{28}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G^0_{30}e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \qquad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \qquad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \qquad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad (378)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad (379)$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad (380)$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- (381)

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 (382)

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{dv^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)v^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}v^{(2)}$$

Definition of $v^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(v^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}v^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$v^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq v^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)}T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(v_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{dv^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)v^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}v^{(6)}$$

Definition of $v^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}, (v_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (v_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$

$$v^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(v_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)} - (v_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)} - (v_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(6)} - (v_0)^{(6)}}{(v_0)^{(6)} - (v_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (v_0)^{(6)}}{(v_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} < (v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(v_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)} - (v_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((v_1)^{(6)} - (v_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(v_1)^{(6)} \le v^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)}e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{36}'')^{(7)} = (a_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{36}'')^{(7)} = (b_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a_{40}')^{(8)} - (a_{41}')^{(8)} + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(gg) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \quad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \quad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{23})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^{*}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^{*}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\ B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION THIRTY FIVE

Brain Is Clearly A Quantum Computer (Schempp & Marcer, 1996) Which Utilizes Both Quantum And Space/Time Information

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

National Institute for Discovery Science: Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram by Edgar Mitchell, Ph.D. Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram Fax: 561-641-5242, edgarmitchell@msn.com

(1) Field theories and point particles were created to preserve the concept of physical contact between particles and to explain obvious examples of "spooky action at a distance" such as gravitation and electromagnetic interactions. Information, broadly defined as patterns of energy, reemerges

however, in non-local form in the mysterious quantum spin correlations of double slit experiments, although it has been widely believed that such nonlocal information could not be recovered and utilized by sensory systems.

(2) With validation of theory and experiments concerned with the non-local quantum hologram, information, including non-local information, suddenly acquires a more important status in physical theory, a status as important as energy itself.

(3) This is true because information is the basis of the cognition and knowing by which creatures perceive reality, and non-local information can now be seen as a ubiquitous and useful property of the cosmos, rather than a unique attribute of particles (and human animals). It is likely that most, if not all, subtle, ephemeral and unexplained phenomena associated with subjective experience are connected, directly or indirectly, with the phenomenon of non-locality.

(4) The brain is clearly a quantum computer (Schempp & Marcer, 1996) which utilizes both quantum and space/time information.

(5) This discovery alone almost certainly sets a necessary, but not sufficient condition, for intelligent life to have arisen in the cosmos, wherever environmental conditions permit. Many volumes have been written in this century by scientists experimenting with remote viewing, ESP, telepathy, clairvoyance, precognition, etc.

(6) Police agencies routinely use "psychics" to assist in criminal cases often with success Intelligence agencies of governments have clandestinely utilized the findings to successfully gain information about an adversary.

(7) Many reports of these activities have been recently declassified and printed in open professional journals, even though no explanatory physical mechanism has yet been reported which is acceptable to mainstream science.

(8) Most succinct modern summary of this activity and analysis of results have been published by Radin (1997).

NOTATION

Module One

Field theories and point particles were created to preserve the concept of physical contact between particles and to explain obvious examples of "spooky action at a distance" such as gravitation and electromagnetic interactions

Information, broadly defined as patterns of energy, reemerges however, in non-local form in the mysterious quantum spin correlations of double slit experiments, although it has been widely believed that such nonlocal information could not be recovered and utilized by sensory systems

G_{13} : Category one of Field theories and point particles were created to preserve the concept of physical contact between particles

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of obvious examples of "spooky action at a distance" such as gravitation and electromagnetic interactions

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

Information, broadly defined as patterns of energy, reemerges however, in non-local form in the mysterious quantum spin correlations of double slit experiments, although it has been widely believed that such nonlocal information could not be recovered and utilized by sensory systems

G_{16} : Category one of Information

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of patterns of energy, reemerges however, in non-local form in the mysterious quantum spin correlations of double slit experiments, although it has been widely believed that such nonlocal information could not be recovered and utilized by sensory systems

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

Information, broadly defined as patterns of energy, reemerges however, in non-local form in the mysterious quantum spin correlations of double slit experiments, although it has been widely believed that such nonlocal information could not be recovered and utilized by sensory systems

G_{20} : Category one of Information, broadly defined as patterns of energy, reemerges

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one ofnon-local form in the mysterious quantum spin correlations of double slit experiments, although it has been widely believed that such nonlocal information could not be recovered and utilized by sensory systems

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

With validation of theory and experiments concerned with the
non-local quantum hologram, information, including non-local information, suddenly acquires a more important status in physical theory, a status as important as energy itself

G_{24} : Category one of more important status in physical theory, a status as important as energy itself

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of non-local quantum hologram, information, including non-local information

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

This is true because
Information is the basis of the cognition and knowing by which creatures perceive reality,

Non-local information can now be seen as a ubiquitous and useful property of the cosmos, rather than a unique attribute of particles (and human animals). It is likely that most, if not all, subtle, ephemeral and unexplained phenomena associated with subjective experience are connected, directly or indirectly, with the phenomenon of non-locality.

G_{28} : Category one of information

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of cognition and knowing by which creatures perceive reality

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

Non-local information can now be seen as a ubiquitous and useful property of the cosmos, rather than a unique attribute of particles (and human animals)

. It is likely that most, if not all, subtle, ephemeral and unexplained phenomena associated with subjective experience are connected, directly or indirectly, with the phenomenon of non-locality.

G_{32} : Category one of Non-local information

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of ubiquitous and useful property of the cosmos, rather than a unique attribute of particles (and human animals).

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

It is likely that most, if not all,

Subtle, ephemeral and unexplained phenomena associated with subjective experience are connected, directly or indirectly, with the phenomenon of non-locality.

G_{36} : Category one of subtle, ephemeral and unexplained phenomena associated with subjective experience are connected, directly or indirectly; phenomenon of non-locality.

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of phenomenon of non-locality.; subtle, ephemeral and unexplained phenomena associated with subjective experience are connected, directly or indirectly

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

The brain is clearly a quantum computer (Schempp & Marcer, 1996) which utilizes both quantum and space/time information.

G_{40} : Category one of brain

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of quantum computer (Schempp & Marcer, 1996) which utilizes both quantum and space/time information.

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

G_{44} : Category one of quantum and space/time information.

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of brain is clearly a quantum computer (Schempp & Marcer, 1996)

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},\ \ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$

$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$
$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$
$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$
$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$
$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$
$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$
$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$
$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$
$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$
$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$
$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$
$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$
$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$
$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$
$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$
$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$
$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right]G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right]G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right]G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,

2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad 67$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} & -(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad 70$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} & -(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad 71$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad 72$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt}=(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{24})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}=(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{25})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt}=(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{26})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt}=(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{24})^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt}=(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{25})^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad 81$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation

coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3
$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad (82)$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad (83)$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad (84)$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} \quad 85$$

$$- \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad 86$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{40}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{41}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{42}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = \ (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \qquad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - \ T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\,(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\,(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) \le (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad (113)$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (114)

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: (115)

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with (116)
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \quad (117)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24{,}25{,}26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})',t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28{,}29{,}30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(QQQQQQQQ) $\quad (a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

(RRRRRRRR) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$

$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \leq (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ 132

(SSSSSSSS) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(TTTTTTTT)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}),t) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(UUUUUUUU) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(VVVVVVVV) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ 138

$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ 139

$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$,
Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad 146\ A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + \ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$, $G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$, $G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 \ , \ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad (169)$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (170)

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that (171)

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (172)

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that (173)

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (174)

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(hh) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$

$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}$: $(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\leq \qquad 186$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+\ (a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\ G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i=13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i\ (t)=0\ and\ T_i\ (t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i\ (t)\geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(1)}-(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}),s_{(13)})\}ds_{(13)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$$T_i\ (t)\geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13}<(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1-(a'_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2=G_{14}^0+2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15}\leq\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3=G_{15}^0+2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If $G_{14}\ or\ G_{15}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$:$\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$

$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$

$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$

$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq$ 248

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 249

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ 250

it results

$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(7)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(7)} - (a''_i)^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b'_i)^{(7)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\,((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)})|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}|(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)})-(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)})|\;e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)})d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\,(G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)}t)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)})_1,((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)})_2$ *and* $((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)})_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44{,}45{,}46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad (333)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad (334)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad (335)$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad (336)$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28}e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}}G^0_{28}e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}}G^0_{28}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G^0_{30}e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(7)}, (v_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, v^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(v_1)^{(7)} > 0, (v_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{v}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(v^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}v^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (v_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(7)} < (v_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (v_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (v_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (v_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(7)} < (v_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad (378)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad (379)$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad (380)$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- (381)

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 (382)

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{36}'')^{(7)} = (a_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{36}'')^{(7)} = (b_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a_{40}')^{(8)} - (a_{41}')^{(8)} + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(hh) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^{*} given by $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$, T_{14}^{*} given by $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \qquad 513$$

$$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \qquad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \qquad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^{*}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^{*}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION THIRTY SIX

Quantum Holography

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

National Institute for Discovery Science: Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram by Edgar Mitchell, Ph.D. Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram Fax: 561-641-5242, edgarmitchell@msn.com

Quantum Holography

(1) Non-locality and the non-local quantum hologram provide (eb) the only testable mechanism discovered to date which offer (eb) a possible solution to the host of enigmatic observations and

data associated with consciousness and such consciousness phenomena.

(2) Schempp (1992) has successfully validated the concept of recovery and utilization of non-local quantum information in the case of functional Magnetic Resonance Imaging (fMRI) using quantum holography. Marcer (1995) has made compelling arguments that a number of other chemical and electromagnetic processes in common use have a deeper quantum explanation that is not revealed by the classical interpretation of these processes.

(3) Hammeroff (1994) and Penrose have presented experimental data on microtubules in the brain supporting quantum processes.

(4) The absorption/re-emission phenomena associated with all matter is well recognized.

(5) That such re-emissions are sufficiently coherent to be considered a source of information about the object is due to the theoretical and experimental work of Schempp and Marcer, based upon the transactional interpretation of quantum mechanics of Cramer (1986), the Berry geometric phase analysis of information (Berry, 1988; Anandan, 1992) and the ability of quantum phase information to be recovered and utilized (Resta, 1997).

(6) The mathematical formalism appropriate to these analyses is consistent with standard quantum mechanical formalism, and is defined by means of the harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg nilpotent Lie group G, algebra g and nilmanifold (see Schempp (1986) for a full mathematical treatment).

(7) The information carried by a quantum hologram encodes the complete event history of the object with respect to its three dimensional environment.

(8) It evolves over time to provide an encoded non-local record of the "experience" of the object in the four dimensional space/time of the object as to its journey in space/time and the quantum states visited.

NOTATION

Module One

Non-locality and the non-local quantum hologram provide (eb) the only testable mechanism discovered to date which offer (eb) a possible solution to the host of enigmatic observations and data associated with consciousness and such consciousness phenomena

G_{13} : Category one of Non-locality; non-local quantum hologram

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of non-local quantum hologram; Non-locality

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

Non-locality and the non-local quantum hologram provide (eb) the only testable mechanism discovered to date which offer (eb) a possible solution to the host of enigmatic observations and data associated with consciousness and such consciousness phenomena

G_{16} : Category one of Non-locality and the non-local quantum hologram

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of testable mechanism discovered to date which offer (eb) a possible solution to the host of enigmatic observations and data associated with consciousness and such consciousness phenomena

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

Non-locality and the non-local quantum hologram provide the only testable mechanism discovered to date which offer (eb) a possible solution to the host of enigmatic observations and data associated with consciousness and such consciousness phenomena

G_{20} : Category one of Non-locality and the non-local quantum hologram provide the only testable mechanism

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of possible solution to the host of enigmatic observations and data associated with consciousness and such consciousness phenomena

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

Hammeroff (1994) and Penrose have presented

Experimental data on microtubules in the brain supporting quantum processes.

G_{24} : Category one of experimental data on microtubules in the brain

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of quantum processes.

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

The
absorption/re-emission phenomena associated with all matter is well recognized

G_{28} : Category one of absorption/re-emission phenomena; matter

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of matter; absorption/re-emission phenomena

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

re-emissions are sufficiently coherent to be considered a source of information about the object is due to the theoretical and experimental work of Schempp and Marcer, based upon the transactional interpretation of quantum mechanics of Cramer (1986), the Berry geometric phase analysis of information (Berry, 1988; Anandan, 1992) and the ability of quantum phase information to be recovered and utilized (Resta, 1997).

G_{32} : Category one of re-emissions; information about the object

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of information about the object ;re-emissions

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

The mathematical formalism appropriate to these analyses is consistent with

standard quantum mechanical formalism, and is defined by means of the harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg nilpotent Lie group G, algebra g and nilmanifold (see Schempp (1986) for a full mathematical treatment)

G_{36} : Category one of harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg nilpotent Lie group G, algebra g and nilmanifold (see Schempp (1986) for a full mathematical treatment)

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of standard quantum mechanical formalism

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

The information carried by a quantum hologram encodes the complete event history of the object with respect to its three dimensional environment.

G_{40} : Category one of complete event history of the object with respect to its three dimensional environment.

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of information carried by a quantum hologram

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

Information carried by a quantum hologram evolves over time to provide an encoded non-local record of the "experience" of the object in the four dimensional space/time of the object as to its journey in space/time and the quantum states visited.

G_{44} : Category one of information carried by a quantum hologram; evolution over time

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of evolution over time ;information carried by a quantum hologram

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\ \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;\; +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;\; +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;\; +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;\; +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;\; +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;\; +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;\; +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;\; +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;\; +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;\; +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;\; +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;\; +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\;\; +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\;\; +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;\; +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;\; +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;\; +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;\; +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{22} \quad (69)$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} & -(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} & -(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} & +(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} & +(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)} & +(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

$Where\ \boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}\ are\ first\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\ are\ second\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\ are\ third\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$

$are\ fourth\ \ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$

$are\ fifth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$

$are\ sixth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$

$are\ seventh\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$

$are\ eighth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{28}')^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{29}')^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{30}')^{(5)}\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\ \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{30} \quad (81)$$

$Where\ \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ are\ first\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$And\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ are\ second\ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\ are\ third\ \ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8\ ,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} \quad 85$$

$$-\left[\begin{matrix}(a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{33} \quad 86$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[\begin{matrix}(a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{matrix}\right]G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[\begin{matrix}(b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[\begin{matrix}(b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{matrix}\right]T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a_{40}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a_{41}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{matrix} (a_{42}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{matrix}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)}$ 98

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = \ (r_i)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\,(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\,(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)}$ 102

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - \ T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\,(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\,(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) \le (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \quad (113)$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: (114)

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: (115)

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with (116)
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \quad (117)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24{,}25{,}26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})',t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28{,}29{,}30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32{,}33{,}34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32{,}33{,}34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(WWWWWWWW) $\quad (a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36{,}37{,}38$ 131

(XXXXXXXX) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$

$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \leq (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ 132

(YYYYYYYY) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(ZZZZZZZZ)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(AAAAAAAAA) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(BBBBBBBBB) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ 138

$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ 139

$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$,
Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad (146A)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + \ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 \ , \ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) G_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{36}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{37}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)}) \right) T_{38}(s_{(36)}) \right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(ii) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)}=$$
$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)}= \quad 180$$
$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T} : \left(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} \} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. (188)

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$:$\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}), (T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}), ((G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)}; (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(7)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on (G_{39})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(7)} - (a''_i)^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b'_i)^{(7)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(1)}, (\nu_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, \nu^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(\nu_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(\nu^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}\nu^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28}e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}}G^0_{28}e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}}G^0_{28}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G^0_{30}e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \qquad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \qquad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \qquad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(ii) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^{*}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^{*}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION THIRTY SEVEN

Existence Of A Quantum Hologram Associated With Each Physical Object Provides Each Physical Object With The Non-Local Waveform Predicted By Quantum Theory's Wave/Particle Duality And Extends Quantum Theory To All Physical Matter

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

National Institute for Discovery Science: Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram by Edgar Mitchell, Ph.D. Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram Fax: 561-641-5242, edgarmitchell@msn.com

(1) The question of the brain's ability, as a massively parallel quantum processor, to decode this

information is addressed by Marcer and Schempp in "Model of the Neuron Working by Quantum Holography" (1997) and "The Brain as a Conscious System" (1998). They argue that an organism's ability to perceive objects as they are and where they actually are in three-dimensional reality requires (e) the phase conjugate relationship provided by quantum holography.

(2) It is not sufficient for the incoming electromagnetic illumination (or acoustic signal) carrying object information to present to the brain a wave front in the manner presented to a flat photographic plate. Rather, a virtual signal as mapped by the phase conjugation of quantum holographic formalism is required (e) to decode the information in order for perception and cognition to exist as we experience it in three dimensional realities.

(3) The percipient and the source of information are in a resonant relationship for the information to be accurately perceived. Many investigators have proposed a holography mechanism as a basis for brain functioning, beginning with Pribram, and indeed, others have proposed holography as a construct for the universe itself, but discovery of the non-local quantum hologram created by the absorption/remission phenomenon and characteristic of all physical objects provides the first quantum physical mechanism compatible with macro-scale three dimensional world as we experience it.

(4) The **existence of a quantum hologram associated with each physical object provides each physical object with the non-local waveform predicted by quantum theory's wave/particle duality and extends quantum theory to all physical matter**

(5) It allows, for the first time, a possible approach for understanding the mysterious world of consciousness.

(6) Postulating that this is globally true, we inhabit a quantum world where non-local effects should be expected at all levels of functioning, not just as a curious artifact of the subatomic level of reality.

(7) The thousands of pages of data recording non-local phenomena of mind/mind and mind/matter interactions suddenly no longer require agonized and embarrassed apologists, nor need accept the scorn of classical scientists.

(8) Existence of the non-local quantum hologram suggests that nature has utilized non-local information from the big bang forward, throughout its evolutionary history; and long before planetary environments self organized to permit living matter and complex space/time sensory systems to evolve.

NOTATION

Module One

Information carried by a quantum hologram evolves over time to provide (eb) an encoded non-local record of the "experience" of the object in the four dimensional space/time of the object as to its journey in space/time and the quantum states visited.

G_{13} : Category one of Information carried by a quantum hologram evolves over time

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of encoded non-local record of the "experience" of the object in the four dimensional space/time of the object as to its journey in space/time and the quantum states visited.

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

The question of the brain's ability, as a massively parallel quantum processor, to decode this information is addressed by Marcer and Schempp in "Model of the Neuron Working by Quantum Holography" (1997) and "The Brain as a Conscious System" (1998).

They argue that an

organism's ability to perceive objects as they are and where they actually are in three-dimensional reality requires(e) the phase conjugate relationship provided by quantum holography

G_{16} : Category one of phase conjugate relationship provided by quantum holography

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of organism's ability to perceive objects as they are and where they actually are in three-dimensional reality

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

phase conjugate relationship provided by quantum holography

G_{20} : Category one of quantum holography

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of phase conjugate relationship provided

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

It is not sufficient for the incoming electromagnetic illumination (or acoustic signal) carrying object information to present to the brain a wave front in the manner presented to a flat photographic plate. Rather, a

virtual signal as mapped by the phase conjugation of quantum holographic formalism is required (e) to decode the information in order for perception and cognition to exist as we experience it in three dimensional realities

G_{24} : Category one of virtual signal as mapped by the phase conjugation of quantum holographic formalism

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of decode the information in order for perception and cognition to exist as we experience it in three dimensional realities

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

The percipient and the source of information are in a resonant relationship for the information to be accurately perceived.

Many investigators have proposed a
holography mechanism as (=) a basis for brain functioning, beginning with Pribram, and indeed, others have proposed holography as a construct for the universe itself

, but discovery of the non-local quantum hologram created by the absorption/remission phenomenon and characteristic of all physical objects provides the first quantum physical mechanism compatible with macro-scale three dimensional world as we experience it

G_{28} : Category one of percipient and the source of information; information to be accurately perceived.

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of information to be accurately perceived; percipient and the source of information

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

holography mechanism as (=) a basis for brain functioning, beginning with Pribram, and indeed, others have proposed
holography as a construct for the universe itself

G_{32} : Category one of holography mechanism

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of basis for brain functioning

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

holography as a construct for the universe itself

G_{36} : Category one of holography

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of construct for the universe itself

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

Non-local quantum hologram created by the absorption/remission phenomenon and characteristic of all physical objects provides the first quantum physical mechanism compatible with macro-scale three dimensional worlds as we experience it.

G_{40} : Category one of absorption/remission phenomenon

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of Non-local quantum hologram

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

Non-local quantum hologram created by the absorption/remission phenomenon and characteristic of all physical objects provides (eb) the first quantum physical mechanism compatible with macro-scale three dimensional worlds as we experience it.

G_{44} : Category one of Non-local quantum hologram created by the absorption/remission phenomenon and characteristic of all physical objects

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of first quantum physical mechanism compatible with macro-scale three dimensional worlds as we experience it.

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$

$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}, \ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)} \ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$
$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$
$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$
$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$
$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$
$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$
$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$
$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$
$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$
$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$
$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**
$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$
$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$
$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$
$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$
$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$
$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$
$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**
$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$
$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$
$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$
$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$
$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$
$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$
$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right]G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right]G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad (57)$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients

for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{16}')^{(2)}\,+(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\;+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\;+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{17}')^{(2)}\,+(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\;+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\;+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[\begin{array}{c} (a_{18}')^{(2)}\,+(a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\;+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\;+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\;+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\;+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\;+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\;+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{array}\right] G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $+(a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for

category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad (67)$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad (68)$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad 70$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad 71$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad 72$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are fourth detrition*

coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt}=(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a_{24}')^{(4)}+(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{24} \quad (73)$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}=(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(a_{25}')^{(4)}+(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{25} \quad (74)$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt}=(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a_{26}')^{(4)}+(a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\ +(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{26} \quad (75)$$

$(a_{24}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a_{25}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a_{26}'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* $1,2\ 3$

$+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ *are fourth augmentation coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ *are fifth augmentation coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ *are sixth augmentation coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ *are seventh augmentation coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ *are eighth augmentation coefficients for category* $1,2$ *and* 3

$+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad (76)$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad (77)$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad (78)$$

Where $-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)} & +(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)} & +(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)} & +(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad (81)$$

$Where\ \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ are\ first\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$And\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ are\ second\ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\ are\ third\ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

$where\ \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)}\ are\ first\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}\ are\ second\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}\ are\ third\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients

for category 1,2, and 3

$[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)]$, $[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)]$, $[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)]$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$[-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)]$, $[-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)]$, $[-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)]$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$[-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)]$, $[-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)]$, $[-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)]$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)}[+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)] & [+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)] & [+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)] \\ [+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)] & [+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)] & [+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)] \\ [+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)] & [+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)] & [+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)] \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad (85)$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}[+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)] & [+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)] & [+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)] \\ [+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)] & [+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)] & [+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)] \\ [+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)] & [+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)] & [+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)] \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad (86)$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}[+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)] & [+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)] & [+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)] \\ [+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)] & [+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)] & [+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)] \\ [+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)] & [+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)] & [+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)] \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad (87)$$

$[+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)]$, $[+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)]$, $[+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)]$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$[+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)]$, $[+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)]$, $[+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)]$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$[+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)]$, $[+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)]$, $[+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)]$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$[+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)]$, $[+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)]$, $[+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)]$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$[+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)]$, $[+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)]$, $[+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)]$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$[+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)]$, $[+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)]$, $[+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)]$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$[+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)]$, $[+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)]$, $[+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)]$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$[+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)]$, $[+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)]$, $[+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)]$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$[+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)]$, $[+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)]$, $[+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)]$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad (88)$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad (89)$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad (90)$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad (91)$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad (92)$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt}$ *93*

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$ *94*

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for

category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{40}')^{(8)}+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{41}')^{(8)}+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{42}')^{(8)}+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third

augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3
$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$,$+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$,$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3
$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$,$+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$,$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients

for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{44}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{45}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{46}')^{(9)}\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$
$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)} & -(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)} & -(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$
$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)} & -(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15$ *97*

The functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}, (b_i'')^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(1)} \leq (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$

$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t) \leq (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$

$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) = (p_i)^{(1)}$ 98

$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t) = (r_i)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G', t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G, t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$. (T_{14}', t) and (T_{14}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15$,
satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[(b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t) = (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} |T_{17} - T_{17}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})', t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)} ||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$. (T_{17}', t) and (T_{17}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} [(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \qquad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \qquad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(3)} \leq (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(3)} \leq (b_i')^{(3)} \leq (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}\ (T_{21}, t) = (p_i)^{(3)} \qquad 113$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}\ (G_{23}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - \ T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}', t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$. (T_{21}', t) And (T_{21}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\ , \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, \ (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[\ (b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + \ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\ (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26 \qquad 117$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(4)} \leq (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) = (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24,25,26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})', t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$. (T_{25}', t) and (T_{25}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and\ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24,25,26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[(b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28,29,30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}$, $(r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \leq (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31}, t) = (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})', t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$. (T_{29}', t) and (T_{29}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and\ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[(b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}$, $(r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2 \to \infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right) = (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})', t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$. (T_{33}', t) and (T_{33}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and\ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

(CCCCCCCCC) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

(DDDDDDDDD) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}$, $(r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(EEEEEEEEE) $\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(FFFFFFFFF)

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})', t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$. (T_{37}', t) and (T_{37}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(GGGGGGGGG) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(HHHHHHHHH) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants

$(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42 \tag{136}$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}$: (137)

$$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} \tag{138}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} \tag{139}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)} \tag{140}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) = \ (r_i)^{(8)} \tag{141}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \tag{142}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \tag{143}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1 \tag{144}$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, \ (r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42,$

Satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 145$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1 \qquad 146$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad 146A$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = \ (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, \ (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}|T_{45} - T_{45}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and\ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[(b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}, \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}, \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}, \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}, \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}, \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}, \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$
$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$
$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , $T_i : \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$, 155

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ 156

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$ 157

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{15}(t) = T_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)} (T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) G_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{20}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{21}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{22}(t) = T_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)} (G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)}) \right) T_{22}(s_{(20)}) \right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$, $T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$,

$0 \leq G_i(t) - G_i^0 \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

$0 \leq T_i(t) - T_i^0 \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)} (T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) G_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{24}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{25}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{26}(t) = T_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)} (G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)}) \right) T_{26}(s_{(24)}) \right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)} (T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{30}(t) = T_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)} (G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0,t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By 164

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)} (T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)} (G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) G_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166 A

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)}\left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)}\left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)}\left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)}\left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t)-G_{24}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_{25}^{0}}{G_{25}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t)\leq G_{28}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{28})^{(5)}\left(G_{29}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\right)\right]ds_{(28)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{28})^{(5)}t\right)G_{29}^{0}+\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t)\leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)}=$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(jj) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t)\leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_{0}^{t}\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)}=$$
$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\leq\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} \left(G_{41}^0 + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} s_{(40)}}\right)\right] ds_{(40)} = \tag{180}$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{40})^{(8)} t\right) G_{41}^0 + \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{40}(t) - G_{40}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t} \le \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_{41}^0}{G_{41}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \tag{181}$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{41}, G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \le \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{45}, G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose $\tag{182}$

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \le (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \tag{183}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \le (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \tag{184}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric $\tag{185}$

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G},\tilde{T}:\left(\tilde{G},\tilde{T}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G,T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{14}^{(1)}-G_{14}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\,ds_{(13)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)}-G_{13}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}+$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)},s_{(13)}\right)-(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)},s_{(13)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\}ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\le \qquad 186$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)}+(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)}+(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right)d\left(\left(G^{(1)},T^{(1)};\,G^{(2)},T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)},i=13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(1)}-(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}),s_{(13)})\}ds_{(13)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: 187

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{14}\ and\ G_{15}$. indeed if

$G_{13}<(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1-(a'_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}$ and by integrating

$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1/(a'_{14})^{(1)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2/(a'_{15})^{(1)}$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. 188

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}\,(G(t),t)) = (b'_{14})^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}\,(G(t),t) = (b'_{15})^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ *and* $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}), ((G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}},\widetilde{T_{19}}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19},T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)}-G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)}-G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}+$$

$$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)},s_{(16)}\right)-(a''_{16})^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)},s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)}-(G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)}+(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)};\ (G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(b''_{16})^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i=16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ and $T_i(t)=0$ 200

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)}-(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}),s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)}>0\quad\text{for } t>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16}<(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1-(a'_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a'_{17})^{(2)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a'_{18})^{(2)}$$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t),t)) = (b'_{17})^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t),t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t),t\right) = (b'_{18})^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 210

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)}),((G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}},\widetilde{T_{23}}:(\widetilde{(G_{23})},\widetilde{(T_{23})})=\mathcal{A}^{(3)}((G_{23}),(T_{23}))$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\,ds_{(20)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}+$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)},s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)},s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\}ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,\mathrm{t}]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|{G_{23}}^{(1)}-{G_{23}}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\le \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right)d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)},i=20{,}21{,}22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on $(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$

If $G_{21}\ or\ G_{22}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If $G_{20}\ is$ bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{21}\ and\ G_{22}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) = (b'_{21})^{(3)}$ then $T_{21} \to \infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t) > (m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} \geq (a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)} - \varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}) + T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{22})^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right) = (b'_{22})^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + \left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{27}}),(\widetilde{T_{27}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\,ds_{(24)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}+$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)},s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)},s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\}ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\le \qquad 226$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right)d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 227

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i=24,25,26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$ 228

it results

$$G_i\,(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(4)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: 229

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b'_{25})^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b'_{26})^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})},\widetilde{(T_{31})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(5)}((G_{31}),(T_{31}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{29}^{(1)}-G_{29}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\,ds_{(28)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{28})^{(5)}\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)}-G_{28}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}+$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)},s_{(28)}\right)-(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)},s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}s_{(28)}}\}ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)}-(G_{31})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\le \quad 237$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)}+(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}(\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right)d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 238

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i=28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$ 239

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(5)}-(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}),s_{(28)})\}ds_{(28)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(5)}t)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: 240

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}$ and by integrating

$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1/(a'_{29})^{(5)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If $G_{29}\ or\ G_{30}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}\ ((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)=$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{35})},\widetilde{(T_{35})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),(T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{33}^{(1)}-G_{33}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\,ds_{(32)}+$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{32})^{(6)}\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)\left|G_{32}^{(1)}-G_{32}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}+$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)},s_{(32)}\right)-(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)},s_{(32)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\}ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)}-(G_{35})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\le \quad 248$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)}+(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}(\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right)d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)};\ (G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 249

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i=32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$ 250

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(6)}-(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}),s_{(32)})\}ds_{(32)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(6)}t)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}$ and by integrating

$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1/(a'_{33})^{(6)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If $G_{33}\ or\ G_{34}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{33}\ and\ G_{34}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}\ ((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right),\ \ t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{37} , $G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying

Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})},\widetilde{(T_{39})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{39})},\widetilde{(T_{39})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}),(T_{39}))$

It results

$$|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}|\le\int_0^t(a_{36})^{(7)}|G_{37}^{(1)}-G_{37}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}ds_{(36)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{36})^{(7)}|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})|G_{36}^{(1)}-G_{36}^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}+$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)}|(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(1)},s_{(36)})-(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{(2)},s_{(36)})|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\}ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$|(G_{39})^{(1)}-(G_{39})^{(2)}|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\le \quad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}((a_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)}+(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)})d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)};(G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(7)}, i=36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on (G_{39})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(7)}-(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}(s_{(36)}),s_{(36)})\}ds_{(36)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{(-(b_i')^{(7)}t)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

268

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad (269)$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote (270)

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results (271)

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t] (272)

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\leq \quad (273)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (274)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on (G_{43})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ (275)

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(8)} - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\} ds_{(40)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(8)} t)} > 0$ for t > 0

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also G_{41} *and* G_{42} . indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a_{41}')^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 / (a_{41}')^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 / (a_{42}')^{(8)}$

If G_{41} *or* G_{42} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{41} *and* G_{42} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})(t), t)) = (b_{41}')^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{42}'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t), t(t), t\right) = (b_{42}')^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}:\left(\widetilde{(G_{47})},\widetilde{(T_{47})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\leq\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\leq$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t)=0\ and\ T_i\,(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i\,(t)\geq G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t)\geq T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also G_{45} *and* G_{46} . indeed if

$G_{44} < (\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1 - (a'_{45})^{(9)} G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(1)}, (v_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(v_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}$, $(m_2)^{(1)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(1)},(\mu_2)^{(1)},(\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}$, $(m_2)^{(1)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(1)},(\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}\ , if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(\ m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}\ , if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\ \mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$

are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)}t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)}t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)}t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}T_{13}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)}+(R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)}+(r_{13})^{(1)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}\right]+T_{15}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(1)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)},(S_2)^{(1)},(R_1)^{(1)},(R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)}=(a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)}-(a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(S_2)^{(1)}=(a_{15})^{(1)}-(p_{15})^{(1)}$

$(R_1)^{(1)}=(b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)}-(b'_{13})^{(1)}$

$(R_2)^{(1)}=(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(r_{15})^{(1)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)},(\sigma_2)^{(2)},(\tau_1)^{(2)},(\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\le-(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}-(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)}\le-(b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)-(b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)},(\nu_2)^{(2)},(u_1)^{(2)},(u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(2)}<0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}<0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}-(a_{16})^{(2)}=0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}=0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)},(m_2)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)},(m_2)^{(2)},(\mu_1)^{(2)},(\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)}=(\nu_0)^{(2)},(m_1)^{(2)}=(\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)}<(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)}=(\nu_1)^{(2)},(m_1)^{(2)}=(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)}<(\nu_0)^{(2)}<(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)}=\frac{G_{16}^{0}}{G_{17}^{0}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$ 311

$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le\right.$ 312

$\left.\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$

$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}}$ 313

$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}$ 314

$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le$ 315

$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$

$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}$ 318

$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(3)}, (\nu_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(\nu_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(\nu^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\big(\nu^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\big(u^{(3)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}, (m_2)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T^0_{20}}{T^0_{21}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G^0_{20}e^{\left((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G^0_{20}e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}}G^0_{20}e^{\left((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}}G^0_{20}e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad 323$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{20}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)}-(p_{20})^{(3)}-(S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)}-(p_{20})^{(3)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right]+G_{22}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\le G_{22}(t)\le\right. \quad 324$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{20}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)}-(a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}-e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right]+G_{22}^{0}e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}\le T_{20}(t)\le T_{20}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad 325$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}\le T_{20}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t}-e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right]+T_{22}^{0}e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\le T_{22}(t)\le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right]+T_{22}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)},(S_2)^{(3)},(R_1)^{(3)},(R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)}=(a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)}-(a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)}=(a_{22})^{(3)}-(p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)}=(b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)}-(b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)}=(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)},(\sigma_2)^{(4)},(\tau_1)^{(4)},(\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)},(\sigma_2)^{(4)},(\tau_1)^{(4)},(\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)}\le-(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}-(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(4)}\le-(b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)-(b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(4)}$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)},(\nu_2)^{(4)},(u_1)^{(4)},(u_2)^{(4)},\nu^{(4)},u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(4)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}-(a_{24})^{(4)}=0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)}-(b_{24})^{(4)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},,(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}-(a_{24})^{(4)}=0$ and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)}-(b_{24})^{(4)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)},(m_2)^{(4)},(\mu_1)^{(4)},(\mu_2)^{(4)},(\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)},(m_2)^{(4)},(\mu_1)^{(4)},(\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(\ m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}\ , \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\ \mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}((G_{31}), t) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\left(u^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}, (m_2)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G_{28}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^0}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)}-(S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)}-(p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^0}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} - e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right] + G_{30}^0 e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} \le T_{28}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}} T_{28}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t} - e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t} \le T_{30}(t) \le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + T_{30}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)}, (S_2)^{(5)}, (R_1)^{(5)}, (R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)} = (a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)} - (a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)} = (a_{30})^{(5)} - (p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)} = (b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)} = (b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)}, (\sigma_2)^{(6)}, (\tau_1)^{(6)}, (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)} \le -(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(6)} \le -(b'_{32})^{(6)} + (b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)}, (v_2)^{(6)}, (u_1)^{(6)}, (u_2)^{(6)}, v^{(6)}, u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\left(v^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)} = 0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\left(u^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)}, (m_2)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{32}(t) \le G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \le G_{33}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)}t} \le G_{34}(t) \le \right. \quad 355$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} \le T_{32}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)}t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)}t} \le T_{34}(t) \le \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}\right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T_{36}^0}{T_{37}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}} G_{36}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)} - (S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)} - (p_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(7)} t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{36}^0}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)} - (a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + G_{38}^0 e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}} T_{36}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} - (b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)} t} - e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)} t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)} T_{36}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)} + (R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}\right] + T_{38}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(7)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\big((G_{43}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(\nu_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\big(\nu^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\big(u^{(8)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T^0_{40}}{T^0_{41}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G^0_{40} e^{\left((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G^0_{40} e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)}-(p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(p_{40})^{(8)}-(S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)}-(p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} - e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} \le T_{40}(t) \le T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} \le T_{40}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}} T_{40}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t} - e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t} \le T_{42}(t) \le \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} T_{40}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + T_{42}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)}, (S_2)^{(8)}, (R_1)^{(8)}, (R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)} = (a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)} - (a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)} = (a_{42})^{(8)} - (p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)} = (b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)} = (b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)}, (\sigma_2)^{(9)}, (\tau_1)^{(9)}, (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)} \le -(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)} \le -(b'_{44})^{(9)} + (b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) - (b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)}, (v_2)^{(9)}, (u_1)^{(9)}, (u_2)^{(9)}, v^{(9)}, u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}, , (\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)} = 0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\left(u^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(9)}$, $(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)}$, $(m_2)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(9)}$, $(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (v_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (v_1)^{(9)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_0)^{(9)} < (v_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (v_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (v_1)^{(9)} < (v_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}$, and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (v_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (v_0)^{(9)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{v}_1)^{(9)} < (v_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{\left((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}\left((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)}\right)}\left[e^{\left((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)}t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}\left((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)}\right)}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{\left((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}\left((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)}\right)}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)}t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)}t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}\left((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)}\right)}\left[e^{\left((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}\right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \leq \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

386

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)} e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq \nu^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{13}'')^{(1)} = (a_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $\nu^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b_{13}'')^{(1)} = (b_{14}'')^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a_{16}')^{(2)} - (a_{17}')^{(2)} + (a_{16}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right) - (a_{17}'')^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}}{G_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(2)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\mathrm{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}^0}{\mathrm{G}_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\mathrm{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}^0}{\mathrm{G}_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{\mathrm{C}})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{16})^{(2)} = (b''_{17})^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\nu^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}\nu^{(3)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(\nu^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}\nu^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$\nu^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_0)^{(3)}}{(\nu_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(3)} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} < (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(\nu_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\nu_1)^{(3)} - (\nu_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)} \le (\nu_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(\nu_1)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}+(\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)}T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \le \nu^{(4)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(4)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \le \nu^{(4)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \le \nu^{(4)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \le u^{(4)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{24})^{(4)} = (a''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{24})^{(4)} = (b''_{25})^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} - (a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $v^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(v^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}v^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}, (v_0)^{(5)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (v_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$

$$v^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(v_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}}{(v_0)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(5)} \leq v^{(5)}(t) \leq (v_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$v^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (v_0)^{(5)}}{(v_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(5)} \leq v^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{v}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(5)} < (v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(v_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(v_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(v_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((v_1)^{(5)} - (v_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq v^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(v_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(v_1)^{(5)} \leq v^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)}e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (v_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq v^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{28})^{(5)} = (a''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{32}'')^{(6)} = (a_{33}'')^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{32}'')^{(6)} = (b_{33}'')^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a_{36}')^{(7)} - (a_{37}')^{(7)} + (a_{36}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a_{37}'')^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get 417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have
Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition

$(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)} T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G^0_{40}}{G^0_{41}}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)} e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq v^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $v^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)v^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}v^{(9)}$$

Definition of $v^{(9)}$:- $\quad \boxed{v^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{dv^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(v^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$v^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq v^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0$,

$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(2)} and\ (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(7)} and\ (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(8)} and\ (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(9)} and\ (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 45,46,27,28) 436 A

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

with $(p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0 \quad 437$$

$$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0 \quad 438$$

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0 \quad 479$$

$$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0 \quad 480$$

$$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0 \quad 481$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0 \quad 482$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0 \quad 483$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0 \quad 484$$

$$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0 \quad 484\text{A}$$

$$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$$

$$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$$

$$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$$

Proof: 486

(jj) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{14}^{*} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{14}^{*} for which $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that

there exists a unique T_{37}^{*} for which $f(T_{37}^{*})=0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36}=\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{38}=\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{41}^{*} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0)<0, f(\infty)>0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^{*} for which $f(T_{41}^{*})=0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40}=\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{42}=\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^{*} :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0)<0, f(\infty)>0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^{*} for which $f(T_{45}^{*})=0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44}=\frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{46}=\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G)=(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)}-(b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)}-$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)+(b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right]+(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)=0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0)>0$, $\varphi(\infty)<0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi(G^{*})=0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19})=(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)}-$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})+(b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right]+(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})=0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0)>0$, $\varphi(\infty)<0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^{*} such that $\varphi((G_{19})^{*})=0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23})=(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)}-$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right]+(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{21} such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507

$$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508

$$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509

$$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510

$$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right] + (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G^*_{14} given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T^*_{14} given by $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G^*_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

$$T^*_{13} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)}-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{15} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)}T^*_{14}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)}-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G^*_{17} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T^*_{17} given by $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$ and 512

$$G^*_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G^*_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G^*_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad 513$$

$$T^*_{16} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)}T^*_{17}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{18} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)}T^*_{17}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)}-(b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G^*_{21} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T^*_{21} given by $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

$$T^*_{20} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)}T^*_{21}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T^*_{22} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T^*_{21}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G^*_{25} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T^*_{25} given by $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$ and

$$G^*_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G^*_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$, $T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]}$ 517

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$, $G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523

G_{45}^* given by $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$, T_{45}^* given by $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$ and A

$$G_{44}^* = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}^*}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46}^* = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}^*}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

$$T_{44}^* = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}^*}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{46}^* = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}^*}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^*)]}$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)} G_{13}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)} G_{15}^* \mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)} T_{13}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)} T_{14}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)} T_{15}^* \mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \quad 532$$

$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial \mathrm{T}_{17}}(\mathrm{T}_{17}^{*}) = (q_{17})^{(2)}$, $\frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial \mathrm{G}_j}(\,(G_{19})^{*}\,) = s_{ij}$ 533

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{dt}} = -\big((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{16}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 534$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{G}_{17}}{\mathrm{dt}} = -\big((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{17}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 535$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{G}_{18}}{\mathrm{dt}} = -\big((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 536$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{T}_{16}}{\mathrm{dt}} = -\big((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \textstyle\sum_{j=16}^{18}\big(s_{(16)(j)}\mathrm{T}_{16}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 537$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{T}_{17}}{\mathrm{dt}} = -\big((b_{17}')^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \textstyle\sum_{j=16}^{18}\big(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}_{17}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\mathbb{T}_{18}}{\mathrm{dt}} = -\big((b_{18}')^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \textstyle\sum_{j=16}^{18}\big(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}_{18}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}\ and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\,\mathbb{R}_{+})$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{21}'')^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T_{21}^{*}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{23})^{*}\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\big((a_{20}')^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G_{20}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\big((a_{21}')^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\big((a_{22}')^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\big((b_{20}')^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \textstyle\sum_{j=20}^{22}\big(s_{(20)(j)}T_{20}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\big((b_{21}')^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \textstyle\sum_{j=20}^{22}\big(s_{(21)(j)}T_{21}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\big((b_{22}')^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \textstyle\sum_{j=20}^{22}\big(s_{(22)(j)}T_{22}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions

$(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{25}'')^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T_{25}^*) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{27})^* \,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\big((a_{24}')^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G_{24}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\big((a_{25}')^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\big((a_{26}')^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}^*\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\big((b_{24}')^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(24)(j)}T_{24}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\big((b_{25}')^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(25)(j)}T_{25}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\big((b_{26}')^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\big(s_{(26)(j)}T_{26}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{29}'')^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T_{29}^*) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}(\,(G_{31})^*\,) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\big((a_{28}')^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G_{28}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\big((a_{29}')^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G_{29}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\big((a_{30}')^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}^*\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\big((b_{28}')^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\big)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\big(s_{(28)(j)}T_{28}^*\mathbb{G}_j\big) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{33})^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T^*_{33}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G^*_{34}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T^*_{32}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T^*_{33}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T^*_{34}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{37})^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T^*_{37}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G^*_{38}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T^*_{36}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T^*_{37}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T^*_{38}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 580

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{41})^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T^*_{41}) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{43})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 581$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 582$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G^*_{42}\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad 583$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T^*_{40}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 584$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T^*_{41}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 585$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T^*_{42}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 586$$

586

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS A

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \qquad 586\,B$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a_{45}')^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \qquad 586\,C$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a_{46}')^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \qquad 586\,D$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b_{44}')^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T_{44}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 586\,E$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b_{45}')^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T_{45}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 586\,F$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b_{46}')^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T_{46}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 586\,G$$

The characteristic equation of this system is 587

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^* + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T_{14}^*\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^* + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T_{13}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b_{13}')^{(1)} + (b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^* + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T_{14}^* + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T_{13}^*\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)})\{((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)})$$

$$\left[\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)})(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)})s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)})(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)})s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2} + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2} + \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)} + (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^{2} + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^{*}_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)})s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^{*}_{16}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)} + (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)})\{((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)})$$

$$\left[\left(((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)})(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)})s_{(21),(21)}T^{*}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^{*}_{21}\right)$$

$$+\left(((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)})(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^{*}_{21}\right)$$

$$\left(((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)})s_{(21),(20)}T^{*}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2} + \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2} + \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)} + (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^{2} + \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^{*}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^{*}_{20}\right)$$

$$\left(((\lambda)^{(3)} + (b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)})s_{(21),(22)}T^{*}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^{*}_{20}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^{*}_{25}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^{*}_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^{*}_{24}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^{*}_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^{*}_{24}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^{*}_{29}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^{*}_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^{*}_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^{*}_{28}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^{*}_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^{*}_{28}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^{*}_{33}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^{*}_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^{*}_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^{*}_{32}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^{*}_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^{*}_{32}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^{*}_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^{*}_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^{*}_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^{*}_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^{*}_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^{*}_{36}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^{*}_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^{*}_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^{*}_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^{*}_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^{*}_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^{*}_{40}\right)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^{*}_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^{2}+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^{*}_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^{*}_{44}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^{*}_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^{*}_{44}\right)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION THIRTY EIGHT

We Inhabit A Quantum World Where Non-Local Effects Should Be Expected At All Levels Of Functioning

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

National Institute for Discovery Science: Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram by Edgar Mitchell, Ph.D. Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram Fax: 561-641-5242, edgarmitchell@msn.com

(1) The **existence of a quantum hologram associated with each physical object provides each physical object with the non-local waveform predicted by quantum theory's wave/particle duality and extends quantum theory to all physical matter**

(2) It allows, for the first time, a possible approach for understanding the mysterious world of consciousness. Postulating that this is globally true, we inhabit a quantum world where non-local effects should be expected at all levels of functioning, not just as a curious artifact of the subatomic level of reality.

(3) The thousands of pages of data recording non-local phenomena of mind/mind and mind/matter interactions suddenly no longer require agonized and embarrassed apologists, nor need accept the scorn of classical scientists.

(4) Existence of the non-local quantum hologram suggests that nature has utilized non-local information from the big bang forward, throughout its evolutionary history; and long before planetary environments self organized to permit living matter and complex space/time sensory systems to evolve.

NOTATION

Module One

existence of a quantum hologram associated with each physical object provides each physical object with the non-local waveform predicted by quantum theory's wave/particle duality and extends quantum theory to all physical matter

G_{13} : Category one of **existence of a quantum hologram associated with each physical object**

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of **each physical object with the non-local waveform predicted by quantum theory's wave/particle duality and extends quantum theory to all physical matter**

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

existence of a quantum hologram associated with each physical object provides each physical object with the non-local waveform predicted by quantum theory's wave/particle duality and extends quantum theory to all physical matter

G_{16} : Category one of **existence of a quantum hologram associated with each physical object provides each physical object with the non-local waveform predicted by quantum theory's wave/particle**

duality

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of **quantum theory to all physical matter**

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

It allows, for the first time, a possible approach for understanding the mysterious world of consciousness.
Postulating that this is globally true,
we inhabit a quantum world where non-local effects should be expected at all levels of functioning, not just as a curious artifact of the subatomic level of reality

G_{20} : Category one of non-local effects should be expected at all levels of functioning, not just as a curious artifact of the subatomic level of reality

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of we inhabit a quantum world

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

Existence of the non-local quantum hologram suggests that nature has utilized non-local information from the big bang forward, throughout its evolutionary history; and long before planetary environments self organized to permit living matter and complex space/time sensory systems to evolve.

G_{24} : Category one of Existence of the non-local quantum hologram

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of nature has utilized non-local information from the big bang forward, throughout its evolutionary history; and long before planetary environments self organized to permit living matter and complex space/time sensory systems to evolve.

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

Existence of the non-local quantum hologram suggests that nature has utilized non-local information from the big bang forward, throughout its evolutionary history; and long before planetary environments self

organized to permit (eb) living matter and complex space/time sensory systems to evolve.

G_{28} : Category one of Existence of the non-local quantum hologram suggests that nature has utilized non-local information from the big bang forward, throughout its evolutionary history; and long before planetary environments self organized

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of living matter and complex space/time sensory systems to evolve.

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

Ground state entanglement in quantum spin chains J. I. Latorre, E. Rico, and G. Vidal

(1) A microscopic calculation of ground state entanglement for the XY and Heisenberg models shows (eb) the emergence of universal scaling behavior at quantum phase transitions.
(2) Entanglement is thus controlled by (e&eb) conformal symmetry.
(3) Away from the critical point, entanglement gets saturated by (e) a mass scale.
(4) Results borrowed from conformal field theory imply (eb) irreversibility of entanglement loss along renormalization group trajectories.
(5) Entanglement does not saturate in higher dimensions which appears to limit (e) the success of the density matrix renormalization group technique.
(6) A possible connection between majorization and (e&eb) renormalization group irreversibility emerges from our numerical analysis. Journal reference: Quant.Inf.Comput. 4 (2004) 48-92 Cite as:arXiv: quant-ph/0304098

G_{32} : Category one of microscopic calculation of ground state entanglement for the XY and Heisenberg models

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of emergence of universal scaling behavior at quantum phase transitions

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

Entanglement is thus controlled by (e&eb) conformal symmetry

G_{36} : Category one of Entanglement; conformal symmetry

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of conformal symmetry ;Entanglement

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

entanglement away from the critical point gets saturated by (e) a mass scale

G_{40} : Category one of mass scale

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of entanglement away from the critical point gets saturated

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

Results borrowed from conformal field theory imply (eb) irreversibility of entanglement loss along renormalization group trajectories

G_{44} : Category one of Results borrowed from conformal field theory

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of irreversibility of entanglement loss along renormalization group trajectories

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}, \ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$

$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$
$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$
$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$
$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$
$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}),t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}),t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$
$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$
$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$
$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$
$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$
$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$
$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$
$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$
$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$
$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$
$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$
$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$
$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$
$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$
$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)} & -(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)} & -(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)} & -(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,

2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt}=(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{16})^{(2)}&+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)&+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)&+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)&+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)&+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)&+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)&+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt}=(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{17})^{(2)}&+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)&+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)&+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)&+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)&+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)&+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)&+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt}=(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{18})^{(2)}&+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)&+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)&+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)\\+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)&+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)&+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)&+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)&+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{bmatrix}G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt}=(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{16})^{(2)}&-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)&-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)&-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\\-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)&-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)&-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\\-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)&-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)&-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt}=(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{17})^{(2)}&-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)&-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)&-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\\-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)&-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)&-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\\-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)&-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)&-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)\end{bmatrix}T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)} & -(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)} & +(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad 67$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)} & +(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)} & +(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} & -(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad 70$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} & -(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad 71$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad 72$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt}=(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{24})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}=(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{25})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt}=(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{26})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt}=(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{24})^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt}=(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{25})^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad (78)$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad (81)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation

coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3
$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32} \quad 85$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad 86$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{40}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{41}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{42}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = \ (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \qquad 102$$

$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ 103

$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) = (p_i)^{(2)}$ 104

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(2)}$ 105

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - \ T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ 107

$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ 108

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1$ 110

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1$ 111

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22$ 112

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}, \ (\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) \le (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) = (p_i)^{(3)}$ 113

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24{,}25{,}26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})',t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28{,}29{,}30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - \ T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32{,}33{,}34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32{,}33{,}34,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(IIIIIIIII) $\qquad (a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36{,}37{,}38$ 131

(JJJJJJJJJ)The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \le (p_i)^{(7)} \le (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \le (r_i)^{(7)} \le (b_i')^{(7)} \le (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$$

132

(KKKKKKKKK) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(LLLLLLLLL)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}),t\big) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\big((G_{39}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(MMMMMMMMM) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(NNNNNNNNN) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ 138

$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ 139

$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}$ are positive constants and $i = 40,41,42$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$,
Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} [(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} [(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad (146A)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + \ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \ , \quad G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \ , \quad T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \ , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 \ , \ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(kk) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$

$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T} : \left(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} \} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on G(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. (188)

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for } t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\} ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)} G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 / (a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 / (a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)}\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)-(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)}+\ (a'_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)}+(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}(\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on $(G_{27})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)}-(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}),s_{(24)})\}ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)}t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{25}\ and\ G_{26}$. indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)}G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1/(a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)}\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2/(a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$sup_i\{max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)}; (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(7)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on (G_{39})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(7)} - (a''_i)^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b'_i)^{(7)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\,((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}}):\left((\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44,45,46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t}>0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(1)}, (v_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(v_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},$ ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}} G^0_{28} e^{(S_1)^{(5)} t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t}\right] + G^0_{30} e^{-(S_2)^{(5)} t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)}$$ where $(u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad (378)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad (379)$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad (380)$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- (381)

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 (382)

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{36}'')^{(7)} = (a_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{36}'')^{(7)} = (b_{37}'')^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a_{40}')^{(8)} - (a_{41}')^{(8)} + (a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(kk) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^{*}) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^{*}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^{*} + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^{*} + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^{*}) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^{*}\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^{*}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

(586 A)

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\ B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION THIRTY NINE

Fractal Geometry Of Chaos Theory

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

National Institute for Discovery Science: Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram by Edgar Mitchell, Ph.D. Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram Fax: 561-641-5242, edgarmitchell@msn.com

(1) The papers of Marcer and Schempp on learning inherent in DNA and prokaryote cells using quantum holography, when generalized, helps explain the ubiquitous appearance in nature across distances, scale sizes and species, of similar processes, organs and sensory systems.
(2) This certainly conforms to the **fractal geometry of chaos theory**. Certainly the similarities of

DNA, cell structure, organs and brains across species are easier to reconcile with a nonlocal learning process than with a theory of localized random mutation and natural adaptation.

(3) It is important to observe that in standard particle physics experiments the object is to discover the quantum characteristics of the individual types of particles, and the conditions under which they split and recombine.

(4) In quantum holography the object is to treat the entire group of re-emitted quanta as a whole, and as in laser holography, to examine the information carried in the interference pattern and phase relationships.

(5) These represent two quite different levels of approach to quantum information. In particle experiments, it is considered that the eigenvalues of the applicable matrix represent measurable values; and that information is lost during measurement due to decoherence of the particles and energy exchange.

(6) But in the quantum holographic formalism, the information is carried in the phase relationships, which are represented by off-diagonal terms in the matrix, and the information is recoverable under the proper conditions as Berry and Resta have predicted, and as Schempp has demonstrated with fMRI.

(7) The quantum mathematics is consistent with standard quantum theory in both cases. In decoding the quantum holographic information, however, the energy exchange is insignificant

(8) The similarity of the mathematical treatment in these various experiments is important to the thesis of this paper. In examining the quantum non-locality of particles it is spin numbers and/or polarization that are the parameters of interest.

NOTATION

Module One

Ground state entanglement in quantum spin chains J. I. Latorre, E. Rico, and G. Vidal

(1) Entanglement does not saturate in higher dimensions which appears to limit (e) the success of the density matrix renormalization group technique.

(2) A possible connection between majorization and (e&eb) renormalization group irreversibility emerges from our numerical analysis. Journal reference: Quant.Inf.Comput. 4 (2004) 48-92 Cite as:arXiv: quant-ph/0304098

G_{13} : Category one of **success** of the density matrix renormalization group technique.

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of Entanglement does not saturate in higher dimensions which appears

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

A possible connection between majorization and (e&eb) renormalization group irreversibility emerges from our numerical analysis. Journal reference: Quant.Inf.Comput. 4 (2004) 48-92 Cite as:arXiv: quant-ph/0304098

G_{16} : Category one of majorization; renormalization group irreversibility

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of renormalization group irreversibility; majorization

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

The papers of Marcer and Schempp on

learning inherent in DNA and prokaryote cells using quantum holography, when generalized, helps explain the ubiquitous appearance in nature across distances, scale sizes and species, of similar processes, organs and sensory systems

G_{20} : Category one of learning inherent in DNA and prokaryote cells using quantum holography

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of ubiquitous appearance in nature across distances, scale sizes and species, of similar processes, organs and sensory systems

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

This certainly conforms to the **fractal geometry of chaos theory**.

Certainly the

similarities of DNA, cell structure, organs and brains across species are easier to reconcile with a nonlocal learning process than with a theory of localized random mutation and natural adaptation

G_{24} : Category one of similarities of DNA, cell structure, organs and brains across species; nonlocal learning process than with a theory of localized random mutation and natural adaptation

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of nonlocal learning process than with a theory of localized random mutation and natural adaptation ;similarities of DNA, cell structure, organs and brains across species

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

In quantum holography the object is to treat the entire group of re-emitted quanta as a whole, and as in laser

holography, to examine the information carried in the interference pattern and phase relationships

G_{28} : Category one of entire group of re-emitted quanta

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of whole, and as in laser holography, to examine the information carried in the interference pattern and phase relationships

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

whole, and as in laser holography, to examine the

information carried in the interference pattern and phase relationships

G_{32} : Category one of information carried

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of interference pattern and phase relationships

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

These represent two quite different levels of approach to quantum information.
In particle experiments, it is considered that the
Eigenvalues of the applicable matrix represent measurable values; and that information is lost during measurement due to decoherence of the particles and energy exchange.

G_{36} : Category one of eigenvalues of the applicable matrix

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of measurable values

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

information is lost during measurement due to decoherence of the particles and energy exchange

G_{40} : Category one of decoherence of the particles and energy exchange

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of information is lost during measurement

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

But in the quantum holographic formalism, the
Information is carried in the phase relationships, which are represented by off-diagonal terms in the matrix, and the information is recoverable under the proper conditions as Berry and Resta have predicted, and as Schempp has demonstrated with fMRI.

G_{44} : Category one of Information

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of carried in the phase relationships, which are represented by off-diagonal terms in the matrix, and the information is recoverable under the proper conditions

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

SECTION FORTY

Brain Stored Information Encoded As In A Hologram And Mapped By The Fourier Transform

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

National Institute for Discovery Science: Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram by Edgar Mitchell, Ph.D. Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram Fax: 561-641-5242, edgarmitchell@msn.com

(1) A standard technique of analysis is to use the Fourier transform to map the state of the particles into the frequency domain. In the formalism of the quantum hologram, mapping into the frequency domain is also fundamental; however, the requirement for pcar assures that the phase relationships are matched so that the percipient (sensory system) is able to decode the information carried in the phase relationships.

(2) It is precisely the pcar requirement that permits the encoded holographic information to be decoded

by the percipient

(3) Mathematically, decoding is simply reversing the rotation of the phase vector in phase space. Physically, it is matching the frequencies and phase of the information such that resonance results.

(4) Frequency, phase matching and resonance are an operational characteristic of every type receiver technology.

(5) Pribram's earliest proposal that **the brain stored information encoded as in a hologram and mapped by the Fourier transform** is in complete agreement with the evidence presented by quantum holographic mathematical formalism.

(6) It is the spin and polarization attributes of particles (both are mapped by wave mathematics) that represent the puzzling non-local property of subatomic matter. It is the phase relationships that carry the information in holography (again mapped by waveform mathematics).

(7) And it appears that the brain stores and manages information not as a classical digital machine, but rather as an analog device using non-local properties of the quantum hologram, which can be analyzed by wave form mathematics (harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg Lie group

(8) In the cosmological evolutionary scheme of things this similarity of appropriate mapping techniques is too bizarre a coincidence to be ignored as a cosmic accident. Thus there is ample evidence that the non-local attribute of nature is much more than just a curious artifact of subatomic particle interactions, but rather is a more fundamental phenomenon that appears at all scale sizes and is, in particular, associated with the utilization of information in nature, and associated with the fact that information has a causal effect independent of distance. It is precisely information, however, that is the basis of the phenomena of perception, cognition, memory, learning, etc, that is to say, consciousness and the subjective experience.

(9) Though the evidence is quite ample to postulate that non-locality is the unique, universal basis for perception and the subjective experience, the evidence though compelling is not sufficient to be conclusive that such is indeed the case.

NOTATION

Module One

Information is carried in the phase relationships, which are represented by off-diagonal terms in the matrix, and the information is recoverable under the proper conditions as Berry and Resta have predicted, and as Schempp has demonstrated with fMRI.

G_{13} : Category one of Information is carried in the phase relationships

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of off-diagonal terms in the matrix, and the information is recoverable under the proper conditions

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

Information is carried in the phase relationships, which are represented by off-diagonal terms in the matrix, and the information is recoverable under the proper conditions as Berry and Resta have predicted, and as Schempp has demonstrated with fMRI.

G_{16} : Category one of Information is carried in the phase relationships, which are represented by off-

diagonal terms in the matrix, and the information

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of recoverable under the proper conditions

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

A standard technique of analysis is to use the Fourier transform to map the state of the particles into the frequency domain.

In the formalism of the quantum hologram, mapping into the frequency domain is also fundamental; however, the requirement for pcar assures that the phase relationships are matched so that the percipient (sensory system) is able to decode the information carried in the phase relationships.

G_{20} : Category one of Fourier transform

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of map of the state of the particles into the frequency domain

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

In the formalism of the quantum hologram, mapping into the frequency domain is also fundamental; however, the

requirement for pcar assures that the phase relationships are matched so that the percipient (sensory system) is able to decode the information carried in the phase relationships

G_{24} : Category one of formalism of the quantum hologram; mapping into the frequency domain

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of mapping into the frequency domain; formalism of the quantum hologram

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

requirement for pcar assures that the phase relationships are matched so that the percipient (sensory system)

is able to decode the information carried in the phase relationships

G_{28} : Category one of requirement for pcar assures that the phase relationships are matched so that the percipient (sensory system

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of decode the information carried in the phase relationships

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

It is precisely the
pcar requirement that permits the encoded holographic information to be decoded by the percipient

G_{32} : Category one of pcar requirement

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of encoded holographic information to be decoded by the percipient

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

Mathematically, decoding is simply reversing the rotation of the phase vector in phase space.

Physically, it is matching the frequencies and phase of the information such that resonance results

G_{36} : Category one of decoding

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of reversing the rotation of the phase vector in phase space

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

Physically, it is matching the

frequencies and phase of the information such that resonance results

G_{40} : Category one of frequencies; phase of the information such that resonance results

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of phase of the information such that resonance results ;frequencies

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

Pribram's earliest proposal that **the brain stored information encoded as in a hologram and mapped by the Fourier transform** is in complete agreement with the evidence presented by quantum holographic mathematical formalism

G_{44} : Category one of **brain stored information encoded**

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of **hologram and mapped by the Fourier transform**

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}$, $(b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)}$, $(b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}$,
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)}$,
$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)}$,
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)}$,
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & +(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & +(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & +(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, $(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad (58)$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad (59)$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\,-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad (60)$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad (61)$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad (62)$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad (63)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad (64)$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad (65)$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad (66)$$

where $-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\ +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\ +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\ +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\ +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\ +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\ +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{20} \quad 67$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\ +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\ +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\ +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\ +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\ +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\ +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[\begin{array}{c}(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\ +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)\ +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)\\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)\ +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)\ +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)\\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)\ +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)\ +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)\end{array}\right]G_{22} \quad 69$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)}\left[-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad (70)$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)}\left[-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad (71)$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)}\left[-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad (72)$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{24})^{(4)} & +(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{25})^{(4)} & +(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{26})^{(4)} & +(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t) \\ & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ & +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t) \end{bmatrix} G_{26} \quad 75$$

$(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, $(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ *are fourth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ *are fifth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ *are sixth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ *are seventh augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ *are eighth augmentation coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{24})^{(4)} & -(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{25})^{(4)} & -(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)} & -(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad 78$$

$Where\ \boxed{-(b_{24}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{25}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{26}'')^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}\ are\ first\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}\ are\ second\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\ are\ third\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$

$are\ fourth\ \ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$

$are\ fifth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$

$are\ sixth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$

$are\ seventh\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$

$are\ eighth\ detrition\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{28}')^{(5)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{28} \qquad 79$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{29}')^{(5)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{29} \qquad 80$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{30}')^{(5)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \quad \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{30} \qquad 81$$

$Where\ \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}\ are\ first\ augmentation\ coefficients\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$And\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}\ are\ second\ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\ are\ third\ \ augmentation\ coefficient\ for\ category\ 1,2\ and\ 3$

$\boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{28})^{(5)} & -(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{29})^{(5)} & -(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{30})^{(5)} & -(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} \quad 85$$

$$- \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad 86$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{40}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{41}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[\begin{array}{ccc} (a_{42}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{array}\right] G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\left[-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = \ (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\,(a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\,(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \qquad 102$$

$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ 103

$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) = (p_i)^{(2)}$ 104

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(2)}$ 105

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - \ T_{17}'|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ 107

$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ 108

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\,(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\,(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1$ 110

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1$ 111

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22$ 112

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) \le (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) = (p_i)^{(3)}$ 113

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$ satisfy the inequalities 116

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24{,}25{,}26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})',t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28{,}29{,}30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - \ T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32{,}33{,}34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32{,}33{,}34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(OOOOOOOOO) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36{,}37{,}38$ 131

(PPPPPPPPP) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$

$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \leq (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ 132

(QQQQQQQQQ) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(RRRRRRRRR)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(SSSSSSSSS) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(TTTTTTTTT) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ 138

$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ 139

$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$,
Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad 146\text{A}$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} and (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + \ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$, $G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$, $G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 \ , \ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 159

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By 160

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By 161

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \text{ , } T_i(0) = T_i^0 \text{ , } G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \text{ , } T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{40}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{41}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{42}(t) = T_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)} (G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)}) \right) T_{42}(s_{(40)}) \right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)} (T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) G_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{44}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{45}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{46}(t) = T_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)} (G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)}) \right) T_{46}(s_{(44)}) \right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} \right) \right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1 \right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0 \right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0} \right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad 169$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 170

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 171

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 172

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 173

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 174

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(ll) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$

$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T} : \left(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} \} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on $G(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. (188)

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|\mathrm{e}^{-(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{\mathrm{A}}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathrm{d}\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)};\ (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{\mathrm{P}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ and $(\widehat{\mathrm{Q}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{e}^{(\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{t}}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $\mathrm{G}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) = 0$ and $\mathrm{T}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) = 0$ 200

it results

$\mathrm{G}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{G}_i^0\mathrm{e}^{\left[-\int_0^{\mathrm{t}}\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}\mathrm{d}s_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$\mathrm{T}_i\,(\mathrm{t}) \geq \mathrm{T}_i^0\mathrm{e}^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}\mathrm{t})} > 0$ for $\mathrm{t} > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$\mathrm{G}_{16} < (\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{\mathrm{dG}_{17}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{17}$ and by integrating

$\mathrm{G}_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = \mathrm{G}_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$\mathrm{G}_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = \mathrm{G}_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{\mathrm{M}}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{\mathrm{t}\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}\,((G_{19})(\mathrm{t}), \mathrm{t})) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $\mathrm{T}_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on (G_{23})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on (G_{31})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also G_{29} *and* G_{30} . indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28}, G_{30} and G_{28}, G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30}. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{33}, G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$$

$$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq \qquad (248)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (249)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ (250)

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: (251)

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$$
$$sup_i\{max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(7)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(7)} - (a''_i)^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b'_i)^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \le \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\,((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \ge (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \ge \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \le (\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \le (\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{43})},\widetilde{(T_{43})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}}):\left((\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44{,}45{,}46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(1)}, (v_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(v_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28}e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}}G^0_{28}e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}}G^0_{28}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G^0_{30}e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\leq T_{28}(t)\leq T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \qquad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\leq T_{28}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \qquad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\leq T_{30}(t)\leq \qquad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\leq -(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\leq -(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \quad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \quad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \quad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$

$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$

$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq -(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq -(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq -(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq -(\tau_1)^{(9)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\right) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case, 406

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain 407

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(ll) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^* given by $\varphi(G^*) = 0$, T_{14}^* given by $f(T_{14}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^* = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^* = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^*}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^*)]}$$

$$T_{13}^* = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^* = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^*}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^* given by $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$, T_{17}^* given by $f(T_{17}^*) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^* = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^* = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^*}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^*)]} \quad 513$$

$$T_{16}^* = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^* = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^*}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^*)]} \quad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^* given by $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$, T_{21}^* given by $f(T_{21}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^* = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^* = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^*}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^*)]}$$

$$T_{20}^* = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^* = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^*}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^*)]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^* given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^* given by $f(T_{25}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^* = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^* = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^*}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^*)]}$$

$$T_{24}^* = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^* = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^*}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^*)]} \quad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^* given by $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$, T_{29}^* given by $f(T_{29}^*) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^* = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^* = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^*}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^*)]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \quad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \quad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \quad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \quad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{35})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

(586 A)

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\ B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

SECTION FORTY ONE

Brain: Analog Device Using Non-Local Properties Of The Quantum Hologram

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

National Institute for Discovery Science: Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram by Edgar Mitchell, Ph.D. Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram Fax: 561-641-5242, edgarmitchell@msn.com

(1) It is the spin and polarization attributes of particles (both are mapped by wave mathematics) that represent (eb) the puzzling non-local property of subatomic matter.
(2) It is the phase relationships that carry (e&eb) the information in holography (again mapped by waveform mathematics).

(3) And it appears that the brain stores and manages (e&eb) information not as a classical digital machine, but rather as an analog device using non-local properties of the quantum hologram, which can be analyzed by (e) wave form mathematics (harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg Lie group

(4) In the cosmological evolutionary scheme of things this similarity of appropriate mapping techniques is too bizarre a coincidence to be ignored as a cosmic accident. Thus there is ample evidence that the non-local attribute of nature is (=) much more than just a curious artifact of subatomic particle interactions, but rather is a more fundamental phenomenon that appears at all scale sizes and is, in particular, associated with (e&eb) the utilization of information in nature, and associated with the fact that information has (e) a causal effect independent of distance.

(5) It is precisely information, however, that is (=) the basis of the phenomena of perception, cognition, memory, learning, etc, that is to say, consciousness and (e&eb) the subjective experience.

(6) Though the evidence is quite ample to postulate that non-locality is the unique, universal basis for (e) perception and the subjective experience, the evidence though compelling is not sufficient to be conclusive that such is indeed the case.

NOTATION

Module One

It is the

spin and polarization attributes of particles (both are mapped by wave mathematics) that represent (eb) the puzzling non-local property of subatomic matter

G_{13} : Category one of spin and polarization attributes of particles (both are mapped by wave mathematics)

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of puzzling non-local property of subatomic matter

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

It is the

phase relationships that carry (e&eb) the information in holography (again mapped by waveform mathematics)

G_{16} : Category one of phase relationships; information in holography (again mapped by waveform mathematics)

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of information in holography (again mapped by waveform mathematics); phase relationships

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

information in holography is again mapped by (e) waveform mathematics

G_{20} : Category one of waveform mathematics

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of information in holography is again mapped

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

And it appears that the
brain stores and manages (e&eb) information not as a classical digital machine, but rather as an analog device using non-local properties of the quantum hologram, which can be analyzed by (e) wave form mathematics (harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg Lie group

G_{24} : Category one of brain stores and manages

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of information not as a classical digital machine, but rather as an analog device using non-local properties of the quantum hologram, which can be analyzed by (e) wave form mathematics (harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg Lie group

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

brain stores and manages information not as a classical digital machine, but rather as an analog device using non-local properties of the quantum hologram, which can be analyzed by (e) wave form mathematics (harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg Lie group

G_{28} : Category one of non-local properties of the quantum hologram, which can be analyzed by (e) wave form mathematics (harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg Lie group

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of brain stores and manages information not as a classical digital machine, but rather as an analog device

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

brain stores and manages information not as a classical digital machine, but rather as an analog device using non-local properties of the quantum hologram, which can be analyzed by (e) wave form mathematics (harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg Lie group

G_{32} : Category one of wave form mathematics (harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg Lie group

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of brain stores and manages information not as a classical digital machine, but rather as an analog device using non-local properties of the quantum hologram

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

harmonic analysis on the Heisenberg Lie group

G_{36} : Category one of harmonic analysis; Heisenberg Lie group

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of Heisenberg Lie group; harmonic analysis

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

In the cosmological evolutionary scheme of things this similarity of appropriate mapping techniques is too bizarre a coincidence to be ignored as a cosmic accident. Thus there is ample evidence that the

non-local attribute of nature is (=) much more than just a curious artifact of subatomic particle interactions, but rather is a more fundamental phenomenon that appears at all scale sizes and is, in particular, associated with (e&eb) the utilization of information in nature, and associated with the fact that information has (e) a causal effect independent of distance

G_{40} : Category one of non-local attribute of nature

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of fundamental phenomenon that appears at all scale sizes and is, in particular, associated with (e&eb) the utilization of information in nature, and associated with the fact that information has (e) a causal effect independent of distance

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

non-local attribute of nature is a more fundamental phenomenon that appears at all scale sizes and is, in particular, associated with (e&eb) the utilization of information in nature, and associated with the fact that information has (e) a causal effect independent of distance

G_{44} : Category one of non-local attribute of nature is a more fundamental phenomenon that appears at all scale sizes and is, in particular; utilization of information in nature, and associated with the fact that information has (e) a causal effect independent of distance

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of utilization of information in nature, and associated with the fact that information has (e) a causal effect independent of distance ;non-local attribute of nature is a more fundamental phenomenon that appears at all scale sizes and is, in particular

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

SECTION FORTY TWO

Non-Locality In Nature

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

National Institute for Discovery Science: Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram by Edgar Mitchell, Ph.D. Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram Fax: 561-641-5242, edgarmitchell@msn.com

(1) The next steps are to validate more completely with experimental evidence that non-locality plays (e&eb) major roles at all scale sizes and that all physical objects are (=) quantum objects and thus interconnected by (e) information in this strange way.

Non-Locality in Nature

(2) There is experimental evidence to strongly suggest that simple organisms perceive and respond (e&eb) to information non-locally as well.

(3) Cleve Backster was perhaps the earliest to experiment with plants and simple life forms in electromagnetic isolation in late 1960's and early 1970's. His work was not confirmed through replication by others at that time.

(4) Other investigators have had mixed results replicating non-local information perception by simple organisms and living tissues.

(5) In the area of human experimentation, results likewise have been mixed and controversial for three-quarters of a century. However metaanalysis by Radin (1997) and independently by Utts (1991) across a large and appropriate spectrum of experiments demonstrates compelling statistics that the perception of nonlocal information exists and is real.

(6) Perhaps were there larger bodies of experimental evidence for simple life forms, similar Meta patterns would emerge. Failure to replicate results in well constructed experiments does not, in the case of subtle consciousness phenomena, prove that the phenomenon is missing but rather that a hidden mechanism below the threshold of classical measurement is operating. For example, the most telling experimental evidence to explain the sometimes inconsistent results relates to direct nonlocal observer and/or experimenter effects.

(7) Gertrude Schmiedler isolated the "sheep/goat" effect in human experimentation decades ago (1972). Experimenters and/or participants in a human telepathy (or similar non-local) experiments exhibited results statistically above or below chance results depending upon their subjective bias toward the experiment.

NOTATION

Module One

non-local attribute of nature is a more fundamental phenomenon that appears at all scale sizes and is, in particular, associated with the utilization of information in nature, and associated with the fact that information has (e) a causal effect independent of distance

G_{13} : Category one of non-local attribute of nature is a more fundamental phenomenon that appears at all scale sizes

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of non-local attribute of nature is a more fundamental phenomenon that appears at all scale sizes

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

The next steps are to validate more completely with experimental evidence that

non-locality plays (e&eb) major roles at all scale sizes and that all physical objects are (=) quantum objects and thus interconnected by (e) information in this strange way

G_{16} : Category one of non-locality; major roles at all scale sizes and that all physical objects are (=) quantum objects and thus interconnected by (e) information in this strange way

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of major roles at all scale sizes and that all physical objects are (=) quantum objects and thus interconnected by (e) information in this strange way; non-locality

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

non-locality plays major roles at all scale sizes and that all physical objects are (=) quantum objects and thus interconnected by (e) information in this strange way

G_{20} : Category one of non-locality plays major roles at all scale sizes and that all physical objects

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of quantum objects and thus interconnected by (e) information in this strange way

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

non-locality plays major roles at all scale sizes and that all physical objects are quantum objects and thus interconnected by (e) information in this strange way

G_{24} : Category one of non-locality plays major roles at all scale sizes and that all physical objects are quantum objects; information

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of information; non-locality plays major roles at all scale sizes and that all physical objects are quantum objects

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

There is experimental evidence to strongly suggest that
simple organisms perceive and respond (e&eb) to information non-locally as well

G_{28} : Category one of simple organisms perceive and respond; information non-locally as well

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of information non-locally as well ;simple organisms perceive and respond

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

Other investigators have had mixed results replicating
Non-local information perception by simple organisms and living tissues.
We have assumed the veracity of the proposition and give a model

G_{32} : Category one of non-local information perception; simple organisms and living tissues

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of simple organisms and living tissues; non-local information perception

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

In the area of human experimentation, results likewise have been mixed and controversial for three-quarters of a century. However metaanalysis by Radin (1997) and independently by Utts (1991) across a large and appropriate spectrum of experiments demonstrates compelling statistics that the

perception of nonlocal information exists and is real

G_{36} : Category one of perception of nonlocal information exists

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of reality thereof

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

Perhaps were there larger bodies of experimental evidence for simple life forms, similar Meta patterns would emerge. Failure to replicate results in well constructed experiments does not, in the case of subtle consciousness phenomena, prove that the phenomenon is missing but rather that a hidden mechanism below the threshold of classical measurement is operating. For example, the most telling experimental evidence to explain the sometimes

inconsistent results relates to direct nonlocal observer and/or experimenter effects

G_{40} : Category one of inconsistent results; direct nonlocal observer and/or experimenter effects

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of direct nonlocal observer and/or experimenter effects; inconsistent results

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

Gertrude Schmiedler isolated the "sheep/goat" effect in human experimentation decades ago (1972). Experimenters and/or participants in a human telepathy (or similar non-local) experiments exhibited results statistically above or below chance results depending upon their subjective bias toward the experiment.

G_{44} : Category one of Experimenters and/or participants in a human telepathy (or similar non-local) experiments exhibited results statistically above or below chance results; subjective bias toward the experiment

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of subjective bias toward the experiment; Experimenters and/or participants in a human telepathy (or similar non-local) experiments exhibited results statistically above or below chance results

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

TITLE

Subtle Realms Of Mind And Consciousness Studies, Bias, Belief And Intention Clearly Have An Effect

INTRODUCTION—VARIABLES USED

National Institute for Discovery Science: Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram by Edgar Mitchell, Ph.D. Nature's Mind: the Quantum Hologram Fax: 561-641-5242, edgarmitchell@msn.com

(1) In other words, 100% wrong answers would be as statistically significant as 100% correct answers in such tests, and in addition betrays (eb) the mind set or intention of the subject; whereas only chance results would be inconclusive.)

(2) More recently, a series of experiments by Marilyn Schlitz (1997) investigating "intentionality" clearly demonstrated that experimenter bias (intentionality) affected (e&eb) the outcome even of double blind experiments.

(3) Thus, in the **subtle realms of mind and consciousness studies, bias, belief and intention clearly have an effect (e&eb) on results**

(4) The lack of an existing theoretical structure in classical science to support any type perception of non-local information, much less to support bias, belief or intention as having a non-local effect, when in fact it does have a non-local effect, is quite sufficient to account for anomalous results in many scientific experiments.

(5) Further validation and acceptance of the non-local thesis will have strong positive repercussions for the prevailing scientific paradigm and particularly the theory of measurement. The prevailing dogma of the 20th century against any type of non-local action at macro-scale reality has not prevented experiments from being successfully conducted, but has caused positive results to be dismissed as anomalous, of faulty design or outright fraud, when in most cases the results were defensible had a proper non-local theory been available.

NOTATION

Module One

In other words,

100% wrong answers would be as statistically significant as 100% correct answers in such tests, and in addition betrays (eb) the mind set or intention of the subject; whereas only chance results would be inconclusive

G_{13} : Category one of 100% wrong answers would be as statistically significant as 100% correct answers in such tests, and in addition betrays

G_{14} : Category two of SAS(same as superior/above)

G_{15} : Category three of SAS

T_{13} : Category one of mind set or intention of the subject; whereas only chance results would be inconclusive.)

T_{14} : Category two of SAS

T_{15} : Category three of SAS

Module Two

More recently, a

series of experiments by Marilyn Schlitz (1997) investigating "intentionality" clearly demonstrated that experimenter bias (intentionality) affected (e&eb) the outcome even of double blind experiments

G_{16} : Category one of series of experiments investigating "intentionality"

G_{17} : Category two of SAS

G_{18} : Category three of SAS

T_{16} : Category one of experimenter bias (intentionality) affected (e&eb) the outcome even of double blind experiments

T_{17} : Category two of SAS

T_{18} : Category three of SAS

Module three

series of experiments by Marilyn Schlitz (1997) investigating "intentionality" clearly demonstrated that experimenter bias (intentionality) affected (e&eb) the outcome even of double blind experiments

G_{20} : Category one of series of experiments by Marilyn Schlitz (1997) investigating "intentionality" clearly demonstrated that experimenter bias (intentionality); outcome even of double blind experiments

G_{21} : Category two of SAS

G_{22} : Category three of SAS

T_{20} : Category one of outcome even of double blind experiments; series of experiments by Marilyn Schlitz (1997) investigating "intentionality" clearly demonstrated that experimenter bias (intentionality)

T_{21} : Category two of SAS

T_{22} : Category three of SAS

Module four

series of experiments by Marilyn Schlitz (1997) investigating "intentionality" clearly demonstrated that experimenter bias (intentionality) affected (e&eb) the outcome even of double blind experiments

G_{24} : Category one of "intentionality" ;predictability

G_{25} : Category two of SAS

G_{26} : Category three of SAS

T_{24} : Category one of predictability; "intentionality"

T_{25} : Category two of SAS

T_{26} : Category three of SAS

Module five

subterranean realms of mind and consciousness studies, bias, belief and intention clearly have an

effect (e&eb) on results

G_{28} : Category one of **subterranean realms of mind and consciousness studies, bias, belief and intention; results**

G_{29} : Category two of SAS

G_{30} : Category three of SAS

T_{28} : Category one of **results ;subterranean realms of mind and consciousness studies, bias, belief and intention**

T_{29} : Category two of SAS

T_{30} : Category three of SAS

Module six

The lack of an existing theoretical structure in classical science to support any type perception of non-local information, much less to support bias, belief or intention as having a non-local effect, when in fact it does have a non-local effect, is quite sufficient to account for anomalous results in many scientific experiments.

G_{32} : Category one of existing theoretical structure to support any type perception of non-local information, much less to support bias, belief or intention

G_{33} : Category two of SAS

G_{34} : Category three of SAS

T_{32} : Category one of non-local effect (proposition assumed to be true)

T_{33} : Category two of SAS

T_{34} : Category three of SAS

Module seven

G_{36} : Category one of type perception of non-local information; non-local effects

G_{37} : Category two of SAS

G_{38} : Category three of SAS

T_{36} : Category one of non-local effects; type perception of non-local information

T_{37} : Category two of SAS

T_{38} : Category three of SAS

Module eight

G_{40} : Category one of bias, belief or intention; non-local effect

G_{41} : Category two of SAS

G_{42} : Category three of SAS

T_{40} : Category one of non-local effect ;bias, belief or intention

T_{41} : Category two of SAS

T_{42} : Category three of SAS

Module Nine

Further validation and acceptance of the non-local thesis will have strong positive repercussions for the prevailing scientific paradigm and particularly the theory of measurement. The prevailing dogma of the 20th century against any type of non-local action at macro-scale reality has not prevented experiments from being successfully conducted, but has caused positive results to be dismissed as anomalous, of faulty design or outright fraud, when in most cases the results were defensible had a proper non-local theory been available.

We assume that there is a exists a relationship between non locality and subjective orientation and give a model

G_{44} : Category one of non-local thesis; subjective orientation(Example see Gerald Kramer's Model on American Presidential election)

G_{45} : Category two of SAS

G_{46} : Category three of SAS

T_{44} : Category one of subjective orientation; non-local thesis

T_{45} : Category two of SAS

T_{46} : Category three of SAS

The Coefficients:

$(a_{13})^{(1)}, (a_{14})^{(1)}, (a_{15})^{(1)}, (b_{13})^{(1)}, (b_{14})^{(1)}, (b_{15})^{(1)}\ (a_{16})^{(2)}, (a_{17})^{(2)}, (a_{18})^{(2)}\ (b_{16})^{(2)}, (b_{17})^{(2)}, (b_{18})^{(2)}$:
$(a_{20})^{(3)}, (a_{21})^{(3)}, (a_{22})^{(3)}\ ,\ (b_{20})^{(3)}, (b_{21})^{(3)}, (b_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a_{24})^{(4)}, (a_{25})^{(4)}, (a_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{24})^{(4)}, (b_{25})^{(4)}, (b_{26})^{(4)}, (b_{28})^{(5)}, (b_{29})^{(5)}, (b_{30})^{(5)}, (a_{28})^{(5)}, (a_{29})^{(5)}, (a_{30})^{(5)},$
$(a_{32})^{(6)}, (a_{33})^{(6)}, (a_{34})^{(6)}, (b_{32})^{(6)}, (b_{33})^{(6)}, (b_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a_{36})^{(7)}, (a_{37})^{(7)}, (a_{38})^{(7)}, (b_{36})^{(7)}, (b_{37})^{(7)}, (b_{38})^{(7)}$
$(a_{40})^{(8)}, (a_{41})^{(8)}, (a_{42})^{(8)}, (b_{40})^{(8)}, (b_{41})^{(8)}, (b_{42})^{(8)}$
$(a_{44})^{(9)}, (a_{45})^{(9)}, (a_{46})^{(9)}, (b_{44})^{(9)}, (b_{45})^{(9)}, (b_{46})^{(9)}$

are Accentuation coefficients
$(a'_{13})^{(1)}, (a'_{14})^{(1)}, (a'_{15})^{(1)}, (b'_{13})^{(1)}, (b'_{14})^{(1)}, (b'_{15})^{(1)}, (a'_{16})^{(2)}, (a'_{17})^{(2)}, (a'_{18})^{(2)},\ (b'_{16})^{(2)}, (b'_{17})^{(2)}, (b'_{18})^{(2)}$
$, (a'_{20})^{(3)}, (a'_{21})^{(3)}, (a'_{22})^{(3)}, (b'_{20})^{(3)}, (b'_{21})^{(3)}, (b'_{22})^{(3)}$
$(a'_{24})^{(4)}, (a'_{25})^{(4)}, (a'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{24})^{(4)}, (b'_{25})^{(4)}, (b'_{26})^{(4)}, (b'_{28})^{(5)}, (b'_{29})^{(5)}, (b'_{30})^{(5)}\ (a'_{28})^{(5)}, (a'_{29})^{(5)}, (a'_{30})^{(5)}\ ,$
$(a'_{32})^{(6)}, (a'_{33})^{(6)}, (a'_{34})^{(6)}, (b'_{32})^{(6)}, (b'_{33})^{(6)}, (b'_{34})^{(6)}$
$(a'_{36})^{(7)}, (a'_{37})^{(7)}, (a'_{38})^{(7)}, (b'_{36})^{(7)}, (b'_{37})^{(7)}, (b'_{38})^{(7)},$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}, (a'_{41})^{(8)}, (a'_{42})^{(8)}, (b'_{40})^{(8)}, (b'_{41})^{(8)}, (b'_{42})^{(8)},$
$(a'_{44})^{(9)}, (a'_{45})^{(9)}, (a'_{46})^{(9)}, (b'_{44})^{(9)}, (b'_{45})^{(9)}, (b'_{46})^{(9)},$
are Dissipation coefficients

Module Numbered One

The differential system of this model is now (Module Numbered one)

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{13} \quad 1$$
$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{14} \quad 2$$
$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t)\right]G_{15} \quad 3$$
$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{13} \quad 4$$
$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \left[(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{14} \quad 5$$
$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \left[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G, t)\right]T_{15} \quad 6$$

$+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Two

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered two)

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{16} \quad 7$$
$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{17} \quad 8$$
$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t)\right]G_{18} \quad 9$$
$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{16} \quad 10$$
$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \left[(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{17} \quad 11$$
$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \left[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right)\right]T_{18} \quad 12$$

$+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{16})^{(2)}\left((G_{19}), t\right) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Three

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered three)

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{20} \quad 13$$
$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{21} \quad 14$$
$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t)\right]G_{22} \quad 15$$
$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{20} \quad 16$$
$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \left[(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{21} \quad 17$$
$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \left[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t)\right]T_{22} \quad 18$$

$+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) =$ First augmentation factor
$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) =$ First detritions factor

Module Numbered Four

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Four)

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{24} \quad 19$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} = (a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{25} \quad 20$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt} = (a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right]G_{26} \quad 21$$

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt} = (b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{24} \quad 22$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} = (b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - \left[(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{25} \quad 23$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \left[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right)\right]T_{26} \quad 24$$

$+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{24})^{(4)}\left((G_{27}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Five:

The differential system of this model is now (Module number five)

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{28} \quad 25$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{29} \quad 26$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right]G_{30} \quad 27$$

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{28} \quad 28$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \left[(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{29} \quad 29$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \left[(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right)\right]T_{30} \quad 30$$

$+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{28})^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), t\right) =$ **First detritions factor**

Module Numbered Six

The differential system of this model is now (Module numbered Six)

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{32} \quad 31$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{33} \quad 32$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right]G_{34} \quad 33$$

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{32} \quad 34$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \left[(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{33} \quad 35$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \left[(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), t\right)\right]T_{34} \quad 36$$

$+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Seven:

The differential system of this model is now (Seventh Module)

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{36} \quad 37$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} = (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{37} \quad 38$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} = (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right]G_{38} \quad 39$$

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} = (b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{36} \quad 40$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} = (b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \left[(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\left((G_{39}), t\right)\right]T_{37} \quad 41$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} = (b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \left[(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39}),t)\right]T_{38} \quad 42$$

$+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

Module Numbered Eight

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{40} \quad 43$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{41} \quad 44$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41},t)\right]G_{42} \quad 45$$

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} = (b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{40} \quad 46$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} = (b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \left[(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{41} \quad 47$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} = (b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \left[(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43}),t)\right]T_{42} \quad 48$$

Module Numbered Nine

The differential system of this model is now

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{44} \quad 49$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{45} \quad 50$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\right]G_{46} \quad 51$$

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{44} \quad 52$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} = (b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \left[(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{45} \quad 53$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} = (b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \left[(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t)\right]T_{46} \quad 54$$

$+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t) =$ **First augmentation factor**

$-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47}),t) =$ **First detrition factor**

$$\frac{dG_{13}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{13})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13} \quad 55$$

$$\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} = (a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{14})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14} \quad 56$$

$$\frac{dG_{15}}{dt} = (a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{15})^{(1)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15} \quad 57$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation

coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{38})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ $+(a''_{36})^{(7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{13}}{dt} = (b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{13})^{(1)}\left[-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{13} \quad 58$$

$$\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} = (b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{14})^{(1)}\left[-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{14} \quad 59$$

$$\frac{dT_{15}}{dt} = (b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{15})^{(1)}\left[-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)\right] \\ \left[-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)\right] & \left[-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)\right] \end{bmatrix} T_{15} \quad 60$$

Where $-(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1)}(G,t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{16}}{dt} = (a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{16})^{(2)} & +(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{16} \quad 61$$

$$\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} = (a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{17})^{(2)} & +(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{17} \quad 62$$

$$\frac{dG_{18}}{dt} = (a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{18})^{(2)} & +(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t) & +(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t) & +(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t) \\ +(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t) & +(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t) & +(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t) & \\ +(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t) & +(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t) & +(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t) & \end{bmatrix} G_{18} \quad 63$$

Where $+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$, $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(T_{14},t)$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{16}}{dt} = (b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{16})^{(2)} & -(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{16} \quad 64$$

$$\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} = (b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{17})^{(2)} & -(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{17} \quad 65$$

$$\frac{dT_{18}}{dt} = (b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{18})^{(2)}\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{18} \quad 66$$

where $\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,)}(G,t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{20}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{20})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{20} \quad 67$$

$$\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} = (a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{21})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{21} \quad 68$$

$$\frac{dG_{22}}{dt} = (a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{22})^{(3)}\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{22} \quad 69$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(T_{14},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$, $+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)$ are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$, $+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$, $+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eight augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dT_{20}}{dt} = (b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{20})^{(3)} & -(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{20} \quad 70$$

$$\frac{dT_{21}}{dt} = (b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{21})^{(3)} & -(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{21} \quad 71$$

$$\frac{dT_{22}}{dt} = (b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{22})^{(3)} & -(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) & \\ -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) & \end{bmatrix} T_{22} \quad 72$$

$-(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category 1,2 and 3*

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ *are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3*

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eight detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{24}}{dt}=(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{24})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{24} \quad 73$$

$$\frac{dG_{25}}{dt}=(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{25})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{25} \quad 74$$

$$\frac{dG_{26}}{dt}=(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(a'_{26})^{(4)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}\\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}\end{bmatrix}G_{26} \quad 75$$

$\boxed{(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients category* 1, 2 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$
are fourth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$
are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$
are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$
are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$
are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dT_{24}}{dt}=(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{24})^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{24} \quad 76$$

$$\frac{dT_{25}}{dt}=(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24}-\begin{bmatrix}(b'_{25})^{(4)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}\\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}\end{bmatrix}T_{25} \quad 77$$

$$\frac{dT_{26}}{dt} = (b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{26})^{(4)}\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{26} \quad (78)$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$
are fourth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$
are fifth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$
are sixth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$
are seventh detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$
are eighth detrition coefficients for category $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}, \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1 2 3

$$\frac{dG_{28}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{28})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{28} \quad (79)$$

$$\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} = (a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{29})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{29} \quad (80)$$

$$\frac{dG_{30}}{dt} = (a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{30})^{(5)}\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{30} \quad (81)$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

And $\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficient for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are fourth augmentation

coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$, $+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)$ are fifth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2,and 3
$+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$, $+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)$ are sixth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$, $+(a_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3
$+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficients for category 1,2, 3

$$\frac{dT_{28}}{dt} = (b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{28}')^{(5)} & -(b_{28}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{28} \quad 82$$

$$\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} = (b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{29}')^{(5)} & -(b_{29}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{29} \quad 83$$

$$\frac{dT_{30}}{dt} = (b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - \begin{bmatrix} (b_{30}')^{(5)} & -(b_{30}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t) & -(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ & -(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t) & -(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ & -(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{30} \quad 84$$

where $-(b_{28}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{29}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b_{30}'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t)$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3
$-(b_{24}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{25}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b_{26}'')^{(4,4,)}(G_{27},t)$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3
$-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,)}(G,t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$, $-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3
$-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1,2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{32}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} \quad 85$$

$$- \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{32}$$

$$\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} = (a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{33} \quad 86$$

$$\frac{dG_{34}}{dt} = (a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{34})^{(6)}\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{34} \quad 87$$

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)}$ *are first augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ *are second augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(T_{25},t)}$ *are third augmentation coefficients for category* $1, 2$ *and* 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ - are fourth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ - fifth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ sixth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ seventh augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ Eighth augmentation coefficients

$\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}, \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ ninth augmentation coefficients

$$\frac{dT_{32}}{dt} = (b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{32})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{32} \quad 88$$

$$\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} = (b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{33})^{(6)}\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{33} \quad 89$$

$$\frac{dT_{34}}{dt} = (b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{34})^{(6)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} & \\ \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} & \end{bmatrix} T_{34} \quad 90$$

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35},t)}$ *are first detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ *are second detrition coefficients for category* 1, 2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,)}(G_{27},t)}$ *are third detrition coefficients for category* 1,2 *and* 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2, and 3

$$\frac{dG_{36}}{dt} \quad 91$$

$$= (a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{36})^{(7)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \quad 92$$

$$= (a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{37})^{(7)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{38}}{dt} \quad 93$$

$$= (a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{38})^{(7)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} & \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} & \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$, $\boxed{(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,)}(T_{41},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{36}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{36})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{37})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{38}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{38})^{(7)}\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{14}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{40}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{41}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{42}'')^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{40}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{40}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{36}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{44}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} = (a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{41}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{13}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{37}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{45}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{42}}{dt} = (a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (a_{42}')^{(8)}\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a_{15}'')^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{38}'')^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a_{46}'')^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a_{40}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{41}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{42}'')^{(8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{16}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{17}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{18}'')^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{20}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{21}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{22}'')^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{24}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{25}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{26}'')^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{28}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{29}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{30}'')^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a_{32}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{33}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a_{34}'')^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ $+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)$ are seventh augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$, $+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$+(a''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$, $+(a''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(T_{45},t)$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{40}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{40})^{(8)}\,-(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{41})^{(8)}\,-(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{42}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{42})^{(8)}\,-(b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43},t) & -(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t) & -(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t) \\ -(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t) & -(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t) & -(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t) \\ -(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t) & -(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t) & -(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t) \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $-(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39},t)$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$, $-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$, $-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$, $-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$, $-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,)}(G_{35},t)$, $-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,)}(G_{39},t)$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$, $-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$-(b''_{44})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{45})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$, $-(b''_{46})^{(9,9,9,9,9,9,9,9)}(G_{47},t)$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$$\frac{dG_{44}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{13}$$

$$\frac{dG_{45}}{dt} = (a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{14}$$

$$\frac{dG_{46}}{dt} = (a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (a'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)} \\ \boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)} & \boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)} \end{bmatrix} G_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{37},t)}$ are first augmentation coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(T_{17},t)}$ are second augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(T_{21},t)}$ are third augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(T_{25},t)}$ are fourth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(T_{29},t)}$ are fifth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(T_{33},t)}$ are sixth augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(T_{14},t)}$ are Seventh augmentation coefficient for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{+(a''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ $\boxed{+(a''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(T_{37},t)}$ are eighth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$\boxed{+(a''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$, $\boxed{+(a''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(T_{41},t)}$ are ninth augmentation coefficient for 1,2,3

$$\frac{dT_{44}}{dt} = (b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} -$$

$$\begin{bmatrix} (b'_{44})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{13}$$

$$\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{45})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{14}$$

$$\frac{dT_{46}}{dt} =$$

$$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - \begin{bmatrix} (b'_{46})^{(9)}\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)} \\ \boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)} & \boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)} \end{bmatrix} T_{15}$$

Where $\boxed{-(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47},t)}$ are first detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{16})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{17})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{18})^{(2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2,2)}(G_{19},t)}$ are second detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{20})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{21})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{22})^{(3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3,3)}(G_{23},t)}$ are third detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{24})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{25})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{26})^{(4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4,4)}(G_{27},t)}$ are fourth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{28})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{29})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{30})^{(5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5,5)}(G_{31},t)}$ are fifth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{32})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{33})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{34})^{(6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6,6)}(G_{35},t)}$ are sixth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{15})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{14})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{13})^{(1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1,1)}(G,t)}$ are seventh detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{37})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{36})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{38})^{(7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7,7)}(G_{39},t)}$ are eighth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

$\boxed{-(b''_{42})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{41})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$, $\boxed{-(b''_{40})^{(8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8,8)}(G_{43},t)}$ are ninth detrition coefficients for category 1, 2 and 3

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(1)}, (a'_i)^{(1)}, (a''_i)^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b'_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)} > 0, \quad i,j = 13,14,15 \qquad 97$$

The functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}, (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(1)}$, $(r_i)^{(1)}$:

$$(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \le (p_i)^{(1)} \le (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(1)} \leq (b_i')^{(1)} \leq (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t) = (p_i)^{(1)} \qquad 98$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t) = \ (r_i)^{(1)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 13,14,15}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 99

$$|(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t) - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}|T_{14} - T_{14}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(1)}(G',t) - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G,t)| < (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}||G - G'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}',t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$. (T_{14}',t) and (T_{14},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$: 100

$(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$: 101

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$which together With $(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}, (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(1)}, (a_i')^{(1)}, (b_i)^{(1)}, (b_i')^{(1)}, (p_i)^{(1)}, \ (r_i)^{(1)}, i = 13,14,15,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (a_i)^{(1)} + (a_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}[\ (b_i)^{(1)} + (b_i')^{(1)} + \ (\hat{B}_{13})^{(1)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\ (\hat{k}_{13})^{(1)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)} > 0, \quad i,j = 16,17,18$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}, (b_i'')^{(2)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(2)}$, $(r_i)^{(2)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) \leq (p_i)^{(2)} \leq \left(\hat{A}_{16}\right)^{(2)} \qquad 102$$

$$(b_i'')^{(2)}(G_{19},t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(2)} \leq (b_i')^{(2)} \leq (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 103$$

$$\lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t) = (p_i)^{(2)} \quad 104$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(2)} \quad 105$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$: 106

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 16,17,18}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t) - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}|T_{17} - \ T_{17}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 107$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})',t) - (b_i'')^{(2)}\big((G_{19}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}||(G_{19}) - (G_{19})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \quad 108$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$. (T_{17}',t) and (T_{17},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}$, are positive constants 109

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{13})^{(2)}, (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(2)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}, (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} and \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(2)}, (a_i')^{(2)}, (b_i)^{(2)}, (b_i')^{(2)}, (p_i)^{(2)}, \ (r_i)^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18,$

satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\,(a_i)^{(2)} + (a_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\,(\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 110$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}[\ (b_i)^{(2)} + (b_i')^{(2)} + \ (\hat{B}_{16})^{(2)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\ \ (\hat{k}_{16})^{(2)}] < 1 \quad 111$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)} > 0, \quad i,j = 20,21,22 \quad 112$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}, (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(3)}$, $(\mathrm{r_i})^{(3)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) \le (p_i)^{(3)} \le (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) \le (r_i)^{(3)} \le (b_i')^{(3)} \le (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$$

$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t) = (p_i)^{(3)}$ 113

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t) = (r_i)^{(3)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 20,21,22}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 114

$$|(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t) - (a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)| \le (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}|T_{21} - T_{21}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23}',t) - (b_i'')^{(3)}(G_{23},t)| < (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}||G_{23} - G_{23}'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$. (T_{21}',t) And (T_{21},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21},t)$, the first augmentation coefficient attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$: 115

$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$

There exists two constants There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ which together with 116
$(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}, (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} and\ (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)}$ and the constants
$(a_i)^{(3)}, (a_i')^{(3)}, (b_i)^{(3)}, (b_i')^{(3)}, (p_i)^{(3)}, (r_i)^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22,$
satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(a_i)^{(3)} + (a_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{A}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}[(b_i)^{(3)} + (b_i')^{(3)} + (\hat{B}_{20})^{(3)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}(\hat{k}_{20})^{(3)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)} > 0, \quad i,j = 24,25,26$ 117

The functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}, (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(4)}$, $(r_i)^{(4)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) \le (p_i)^{(4)} \le (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(4)} \leq (b_i')^{(4)} \leq (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t) = (p_i)^{(4)} \qquad 118$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 24{,}25{,}26}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 119

$$|(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t) - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}|T_{25} - T_{25}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})',t) - (b_i'')^{(4)}\big((G_{27}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}||(G_{27}) - (G_{27})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$. (T_{25}',t) and (T_{25},t) are points belonging to the interval $\big[(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\big]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$: 120

$(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$: 121

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}, (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} and \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(4)}, (a_i')^{(4)}, (b_i)^{(4)}, (b_i')^{(4)}, (p_i)^{(4)}, \ (r_i)^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$, satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\,(a_i)^{(4)} + (a_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\,(\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}[\ (b_i)^{(4)} + (b_i')^{(4)} + \ (\hat{B}_{24})^{(4)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\ (\hat{k}_{24})^{(4)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)} > 0, \quad i,j = 28{,}29{,}30 \qquad 122$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}, (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) \leq (p_i)^{(5)} \leq (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big) \leq \ (r_i)^{(5)} \leq (b_i')^{(5)} \leq (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t) = (p_i)^{(5)} \qquad 123$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(5)}(G_{31},t) = \ (r_i)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 28,29,30}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 124

$$|(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t) - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}|T_{29} - T_{29}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})',t) - (b_i'')^{(5)}\big((G_{31}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}||(G_{31}) - (G_{31})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$. (T_{29}',t) and (T_{29},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}$: 125

$(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$: 126

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}, (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} and \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(5)}, (a_i')^{(5)}, (b_i)^{(5)}, (b_i')^{(5)}, (p_i)^{(5)}, \ (r_i)^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\,(a_i)^{(5)} + (a_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\,(\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}[\ (b_i)^{(5)} + (b_i')^{(5)} + \ (\hat{B}_{28})^{(5)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\ (\hat{k}_{28})^{(5)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)} > 0, \quad i,j = 32,33,34 \qquad 127$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}, (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) \leq (p_i)^{(6)} \leq (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35}),t) \leq \ (r_i)^{(6)} \leq (b_i')^{(6)} \leq (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$$

$$lim_{T_2\to\infty}(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t) = (p_i)^{(6)} \qquad 128$$

$$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big) = \ (r_i)^{(6)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 32,33,34}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t) - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}|T_{33} - T_{33}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})',t) - (b_i'')^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)| < (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}||(G_{35}) - (G_{35})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$. (T_{33}',t) and (T_{33},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}$: 129

$(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)},$ are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \ , \frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$: 130

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}, (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} and \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(6)}, (a_i')^{(6)}, (b_i)^{(6)}, (b_i')^{(6)}, (p_i)^{(6)}, \ (r_i)^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\,(a_i)^{(6)} + (a_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\,(\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}[\ (b_i)^{(6)} + (b_i')^{(6)} + \ (\hat{B}_{32})^{(6)} + \ (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\ (\hat{k}_{32})^{(6)}] < 1$$

Where we suppose

(UUUUUUUUU) $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)} > 0, \quad i,j = 36,37,38$ 131

(VVVVVVVVV) The functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}, (b_i'')^{(7)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(7)}, \ (r_i)^{(7)}$:

$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) \leq (p_i)^{(7)} \leq (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}$

$(b_i'')^{(7)}(G_{39},t) \leq (r_i)^{(7)} \leq (b_i')^{(7)} \leq (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$

132

(WWWWWWWWW) $\lim_{T_2\to\infty} (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t) = (p_i)^{(7)}$

(XXXXXXXXX)

$\lim_{G\to\infty}(b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right) = (r_i)^{(7)}$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 36,37,38}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition: 133

$|(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t) - (a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}|T_{37} - T_{37}'|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

$|(b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})',t) - (b_i'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39}),t\right)| < (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}||(G_{39}) - (G_{39})'||e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37}',t)$ and$(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$. (T_{37}',t) and (T_{37},t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(7)}(T_{37},t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$: 134

(YYYYYYYYY) $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$: 135

(ZZZZZZZZZ) There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}, (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} and\ (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(7)}, (a_i')^{(7)}, (b_i)^{(7)}, (b_i')^{(7)}, (p_i)^{(7)}, (r_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$, satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(a_i)^{(7)} + (a_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}[(b_i)^{(7)} + (b_i')^{(7)} + (\hat{B}_{36})^{(7)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{k}_{36})^{(7)}] < 1$

Where we suppose

$(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)} > 0, \quad i,j = 40,41,42$ 136

The functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}, (b_i'')^{(8)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded

Definition of $(p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}$: 137

$(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(8)} \leq (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ 138

$(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) \leq (r_i)^{(8)} \leq (b_i')^{(8)} \leq (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ 139

$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) = (p_i)^{(8)}$ 140

$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t) = (r_i)^{(8)}$ 141

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}, (r_i)^{(8)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 40,41,42}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$|(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t) - (a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} |T_{41} - T_{41}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 142

$|(b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43})', t) - (b_i'')^{(8)}((G_{43}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)} ||(G_{43}) - (G_{43})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$ 143

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$. (T_{41}', t) and (T_{41}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}$, are positive constants

$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ 144

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}, (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)}$ $(\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(8)}, (a_i')^{(8)}, (b_i)^{(8)}, (b_i')^{(8)}, (p_i)^{(8)}$, $(r_i)^{(8)}, i = 40,41,42$,
Satisfy the inequalities

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(a_i)^{(8)} + (a_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{A}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 145

$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}[(b_i)^{(8)} + (b_i')^{(8)} + (\hat{B}_{40})^{(8)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} (\hat{k}_{40})^{(8)}] < 1$ 146

Where we suppose

$$(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)} > 0, \quad i,j = 44,45,46 \qquad (146A)$$

The functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}, (b_i'')^{(9)}$ are positive continuous increasing and bounded.

Definition of $(p_i)^{(9)}$, $(r_i)^{(9)}$:

$$(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) \leq (p_i)^{(9)} \leq (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$(b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) \leq (r_i)^{(9)} \leq (b_i')^{(9)} \leq (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{T_2 \to \infty} (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t) = (p_i)^{(9)}$$

$$\lim_{G \to \infty} (b_i'')^{(9)}(G_{47}, t) = (r_i)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$:

Where $\boxed{(\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}}$ are positive constants and $\boxed{i = 44,45,46}$

They satisfy Lipschitz condition:

$$|(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t) - (a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)| \leq (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} |T_{45} - T_{45}'| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$|(b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47})', t) - (b_i'')^{(9)}((G_{47}), t)| < (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)} ||(G_{47}) - (G_{47})'|| e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

With the Lipschitz condition, we place a restriction on the behavior of functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}', t)$ and $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$. (T_{45}', t) and (T_{45}, t) are points belonging to the interval $\left[(\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$. It is to be noted that $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$ is uniformly continuous. In the eventuality of the fact, that if $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} = 1$ then the function $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)$, the **first augmentation coefficient** attributable to the system, would be absolutely continuous.

Definition of $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$:

$(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}$, are positive constants

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$$

Definition of $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$:

There exists two constants $(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ which together with $(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}, (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)}$ *and* $(\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)}$ and the constants $(a_i)^{(9)}, (a_i')^{(9)}, (b_i)^{(9)}, (b_i')^{(9)}, (p_i)^{(9)}, (r_i)^{(9)}, i = 44,45,46,$ satisfy the inequalities

$$\frac{1}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(a_i)^{(9)} + (a_i')^{(9)} + (\hat{A}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right] < 1$$

$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}[\ (b_i)^{(9)} + (b_i')^{(9)} + \ (\hat{B}_{44})^{(9)} + (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\ (\hat{k}_{44})^{(9)}] < 1$$

Theorem 1: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 147

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 2 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 148

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$, $G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$

Theorem 3 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 149

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$, $G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0$

Theorem 4 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 150

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 5 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 151

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 6 : if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 152

Definition of $G_i(0), T_i(0)$:

$G_i(t) \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$, $\boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$

$T_i(t) \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$, $\boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$

Theorem 7: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{36}\right)^{(7)} e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 8: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 A

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{40}\right)^{(8)} e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \quad , \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Theorem 9: if the conditions above are fulfilled, there exists a solution satisfying the conditions 153 B

Definition of $G_i(0)$, $T_i(0)$:

$$G_i(t) \le \left(\hat{P}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{G_i(0) = G_i^0 > 0}$$

$$T_i(t) \le \left(\hat{Q}_{44}\right)^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t} \quad , \quad \boxed{T_i(0) = T_i^0 > 0}$$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i , T_i: $\mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy 154

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0 \ , \ T_i(0) = T_i^0 \ , \ G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} , T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)}, \quad 155$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le \left(\hat{P}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 156$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le \left(\hat{Q}_{13}\right)^{(1)} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \quad 157$$

By 158

$$\bar{G}_{13}(t) = G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{14}(t) = G_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{14})^{(1)} G_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{15}(t) = G_{15}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) G_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{13}(t) = T_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{13}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{14}(t) = T_{14}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{14})^{(1)} T_{13}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{14}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

$$\overline{\mathrm{T}}_{15}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{15}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}(s_{(13)}) - \left((b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\right) T_{15}(s_{(13)})\right] ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{16}(t) = G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{17}(t) = G_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{17})^{(2)} G_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(17)})\right) G_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{18}(t) = G_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) G_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{16}(t) = T_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{16}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{17}(t) = T_{17}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{17})^{(2)} T_{16}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{17}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{18}(t) = T_{18}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}(s_{(16)}) - \left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\right) T_{18}(s_{(16)})\right] ds_{(16)}$$

Where $s_{(16)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{20}(t) = G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{21}(t) = G_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{21})^{(3)} G_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{22}(t) = G_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) G_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{20}(t) = T_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{20}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{21}(t) = T_{21}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{21})^{(3)} T_{20}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{21}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{22}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{22}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}(s_{(20)}) - \left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}(s_{(20)}), s_{(20)})\right) T_{22}(s_{(20)})\right] ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$$

By 162

$$\bar{G}_{24}(t) = G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{25}(t) = G_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{25})^{(4)} G_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{26}(t) = G_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) G_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{24}(t) = T_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{24}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{25}(t) = T_{25}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{25})^{(4)} T_{24}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{25}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{26}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{26}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}(s_{(24)}) - \left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}(G_{27}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\right) T_{26}(s_{(24)})\right] ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}, T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$$

By 163

$$\bar{G}_{28}(t) = G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{29}(t) = G_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{29})^{(5)} G_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{30}(t) = G_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) G_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{28}(t) = T_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{28})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{28}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{29}(t) = T_{29}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{29})^{(5)} T_{28}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{29}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{30}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{30}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{30})^{(5)} T_{29}(s_{(28)}) - \left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\right) T_{30}(s_{(28)})\right] ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$,

$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\bar{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$

By

$$\bar{G}_{32}(t) = G_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{32})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} + a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{33}(t) = G_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{33})^{(6)} G_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{34}(t) = G_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) G_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{32}(t) = T_{32}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{32})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{32}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{33}(t) = T_{33}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{33})^{(6)} T_{32}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{33}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{34}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{34}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{33}(s_{(32)}) - \left((b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\right) T_{34}(s_{(32)})\right] ds_{(32)}$$

Where $s_{(32)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$G_i(0) = G_i^0$, $T_i(0) = T_i^0$, $G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$, $T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$,

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\bar{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$$

By 165

$$\bar{G}_{36}(t) = G_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{36})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} + a''_{36})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{37}(t) = G_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{37})^{(7)} G_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{38}(t) = G_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{38})^{(7)} G_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) G_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{36}(t) = T_{36}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{36})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{36}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{37}(t) = T_{37}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{37})^{(7)} T_{36}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{37}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{38}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{38}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{38})^{(7)} T_{37}(s_{(36)}) - \left((b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)} (G_{39}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\right) T_{38}(s_{(36)})\right] ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof:

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} e^{(\bar{M}_{40})^{(8)} t}$$

By 166

$$\bar{G}_{40}(t) = G_{40}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} + a''_{40})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{41}(t) = G_{41}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{41})^{(8)} G_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{42}(t) = G_{42}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)} (T_{41}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) G_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{40}(t) = T_{40}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{40})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{40}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{41}(t) = T_{41}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{41})^{(8)} T_{40}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{41}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{42}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{42}^{0} + \int_0^t \left[(b_{42})^{(8)} T_{41}(s_{(40)}) - \left((b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43}(s_{(40)}), s_{(40)})\right) T_{42}(s_{(40)})\right] ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

Proof: 166

Consider operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ defined on the space of sextuples of continuous functions G_i, $T_i: \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+$ which satisfy A

$$G_i(0) = G_i^0,\ T_i(0) = T_i^0,\ G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)},\ T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)},$$

$$0 \le G_i(t) - G_i^0 \le (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

$$0 \le T_i(t) - T_i^0 \le (\hat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{44})^{(9)} t}$$

By

$$\bar{G}_{44}(t) = G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} + a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{45}(t) = G_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{45})^{(9)} G_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{G}_{46}(t) = G_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) G_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{44}(t) = T_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{44})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{44}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{T}_{45}(t) = T_{45}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{45})^{(9)} T_{44}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{45}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

$$\bar{\mathrm{T}}_{46}(\mathrm{t}) = \mathrm{T}_{46}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{45}(s_{(44)}) - \left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47}(s_{(44)}), s_{(44)})\right) T_{46}(s_{(44)})\right] ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ is the integrand that is integrated over an interval $(0, t)$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 167

$$G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{13})^{(1)} \left(G_{14}^0 + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}}\right)\right] ds_{(13)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{13})^{(1)} t\right) G_{14}^0 + \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left(e^{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 168

$$(G_{13}(t) - G_{13}^0) e^{-(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)} t} \le \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}}{(\tilde{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_{14}^0}{G_{14}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 1

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{14}, G_{15}, T_{13}, T_{14}, T_{15}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{16})^{(2)} \left(G_{17}^0 + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(6)} e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} s_{(16)}}\right)\right] ds_{(16)} = \quad (169)$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{16})^{(2)} t\right) G_{17}^0 + \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (170)

$$(G_{16}(t) - G_{16}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)} t} \le \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_{17}^0}{G_{17}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{17}, G_{18}, T_{16}, T_{17}, T_{18}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that (171)

$$G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{20})^{(3)} \left(G_{21}^0 + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} s_{(20)}}\right)\right] ds_{(20)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{20})^{(3)} t\right) G_{21}^0 + \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (172)

$$(G_{20}(t) - G_{20}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)} t} \le \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_{21}^0}{G_{21}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}\right]$$

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{21}, G_{22}, T_{20}, T_{21}, T_{22}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying into itself .Indeed it is obvious that (173)

$$G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{24})^{(4)} \left(G_{25}^0 + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\right)\right] ds_{(24)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{24})^{(4)} t\right) G_{25}^0 + \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that (174)

$$(G_{24}(t) - G_{24}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \le \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} + G_{25}^0}{G_{25}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 4

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{28}(t) \le G_{28}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{28})^{(5)} \left(G_{29}^0 + (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\right)\right] ds_{(28)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{28})^{(5)} t\right) G_{29}^0 + \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that 175

$$(G_{28}(t)-G_{28}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}}{(\hat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_{29}^{0}}{G_{29}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 5

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 176

$$G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{32})^{(6)}\left(G_{33}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}s_{(32)}}\right)\right]ds_{(32)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{32})^{(6)}t\right)G_{33}^{0}+\frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 177

$$(G_{32}(t)-G_{32}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}}{(\hat{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_{33}^{0}}{G_{33}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 6

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{25}, G_{26}, T_{24}, T_{25}, T_{26}$

(mm) The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that 178

$$G_{36}(t) \leq G_{36}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{36})^{(7)}\left(G_{37}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}s_{(36)}}\right)\right]ds_{(36)} =$$

$$\left(1+(a_{36})^{(7)}t\right)G_{37}^{0}+\frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{36}(t)-G_{36}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_{37}^{0}}{G_{37}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 7

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying Equations into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{40}(t) \leq G_{40}^{0}+\int_0^t\left[(a_{40})^{(8)}\left(G_{41}^{0}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\right)\right]ds_{(40)} = \quad 180$$

$$\left(1+(a_{40})^{(8)}t\right)G_{41}^{0}+\frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left(e^{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}-1\right)$$

From which it follows that 181

$$(G_{40}(t)-G_{40}^{0})e^{-(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t} \leq \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0})e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_{41}^{0}}{G_{41}^{0}}\right)}+(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 8

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{41} , $G_{42}, T_{40}, T_{41}, T_{42}$

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ maps the space of functions satisfying 34,35,36 into itself .Indeed it is obvious that

$$G_{44}(t) \leq G_{44}^0 + \int_0^t \left[(a_{44})^{(9)} \left(G_{45}^0 + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} s_{(44)}}\right)\right] ds_{(44)} =$$

$$\left(1 + (a_{44})^{(9)} t\right) G_{45}^0 + \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left(e^{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} - 1\right)$$

From which it follows that

$$(G_{44}(t) - G_{44}^0) e^{-(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)} t} \leq \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}}{(\hat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} \left[\left((\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0\right) e^{\left(-\frac{(\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_{45}^0}{G_{45}^0}\right)} + (\hat{P}_{44})^{(9)}\right]$$

(G_i^0) is as defined in the statement of theorem 9

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{45} , $G_{46}, T_{44}, T_{45}, T_{46}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} < 1$ and to choose 182

$(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + \left((\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} + G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 183$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(1)}}{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)}} \left[\left((\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0\right) e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} + T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} \quad 184$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(1)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 185

$$d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}\right), \left(G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right) =$$

$$\sup_i \left\{\max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}, \max_{t \in \mathbb{R}_+} \left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} t}\right\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\tilde{G}, \tilde{T} : \left(\tilde{G}, \tilde{T}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(1)}(G, T)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{13}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{13})^{(1)} \left|G_{14}^{(1)} - G_{14}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} e^{(\hat{M}_{13})^{(1)} s_{(13)}} ds_{(13)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{13})^{(1)}\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right)\left|G_{13}^{(1)} - G_{13}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} +$$

$$G_{13}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(1)}, s_{(13)}\right) - (a''_{13})^{(1)}\left(T_{14}^{(2)}, s_{(13)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}s_{(13)}}\} ds_{(13)}$$

Where $s_{(13)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G^{(1)} - G^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t} \leq \qquad (186)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}}\left((a_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{A}_{13})^{(1)} + (\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)}(\widehat{k}_{13})^{(1)}\right) d\left(\left(G^{(1)}, T^{(1)}; G^{(2)}, T^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 1: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{13})^{(1)}$ and $(b''_{13})^{(1)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{13})^{(1)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(1)}, i = 13{,}14{,}15$ depend only on T_{14} and respectively on G(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 2: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$

From 19 to 24 it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(1)} - (a_i'')^{(1)}(T_{14}(s_{(13)}), s_{(13)})\} ds_{(13)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(1)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3$: (187)

Remark 3: if G_{13} is bounded, the same property have also G_{14} *and* G_{15} . indeed if

$G_{13} < (\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{14}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 - (a'_{14})^{(1)} G_{14}$ and by integrating

$$G_{14} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 = G_{14}^0 + 2(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_1 / (a'_{14})^{(1)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{15} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_3 = G_{15}^0 + 2(a_{15})^{(1)}\left((\widehat{M}_{13})^{(1)}\right)_2 / (a'_{15})^{(1)}$$

If G_{14} *or* G_{15} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{13} , G_{15} and G_{13} , G_{14} respectively.

Remark 4: If G_{13} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{14} *and* G_{15} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{14} is bounded from below. (188)

Remark 5: If T_{13} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t)) = (b_{14}')^{(1)}$ then $T_{14} \to \infty$. 189

Definition of $(m)^{(1)}$ and ε_1 :

Indeed let t_1 be so that for $t > t_1$

$(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b_i'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) < \varepsilon_1, T_{13}(t) > (m)^{(1)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{14}}{dt} \geq (a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)} - \varepsilon_1 T_{14}$ which leads to

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{\varepsilon_1}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}) + T_{14}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_1 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_1 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{14} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{14})^{(1)}(m)^{(1)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_1}$ By taking now ε_1 sufficiently small one sees that T_{14} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{15} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{15}'')^{(1)}(G(t),t) = (b_{15}')^{(1)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}} < 1$ and to choose 190

$(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + \left((\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 191$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(2)}}{(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{16})^{(2)} \quad 192$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself 193

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(2)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 194

$d\left(((G_{19})^{(1)},(T_{19})^{(1)}),((G_{19})^{(2)},(T_{19})^{(2)})\right) =$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 195

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}$: $\left(\widetilde{G_{19}}, \widetilde{T_{19}}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(2)}(G_{19}, T_{19})$

It results 196

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{16}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{16})^{(2)}\left|G_{17}^{(1)} - G_{17}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\,ds_{(16)} +$$

$$\int_0^t\{(a_{16}')^{(2)}\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$$

$(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right)\left|G_{16}^{(1)} - G_{16}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}} +$

$G_{16}^{(2)}\left|(a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(1)}, s_{(16)}\right) - (a_{16}'')^{(2)}\left(T_{17}^{(2)}, s_{(16)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}s_{(16)}}\}ds_{(16)}$

Where $s_{(16)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$ 197

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{19})^{(1)} - (G_{19})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t} \leq$
$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}}\left((a_{16})^{(2)} + (a_{16}')^{(2)} + (\widehat{A}_{16})^{(2)} + (\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}(\widehat{k}_{16})^{(2)}\right)d\left(\left((G_{19})^{(1)}, (T_{19})^{(1)}; (G_{19})^{(2)}, (T_{19})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for G_i and T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows 198

Remark 6: The fact that we supposed $(a_{16}'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_{16}'')^{(2)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{16})^{(2)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 199

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}, i = 16,17,18$ depend only on T_{17} and respectively on (G_{19})(and not on t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 7: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ and $T_i(t) = 0$ 200

it results

$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(2)} - (a_i'')^{(2)}(T_{17}(s_{(16)}), s_{(16)})\}ds_{(16)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(2)}t)} > 0$ for $t > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1$, $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2$ and $\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3$: 201

Remark 8: if G_{16} is bounded, the same property have also G_{17} and G_{18} . indeed if

$G_{16} < (\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{17}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1 - (a_{17}')^{(2)}G_{17}$ and by integrating

$G_{17} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2 = G_{17}^0 + 2(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_1/(a_{17}')^{(2)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{18} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_3 = G_{18}^0 + 2(a_{18})^{(2)}\left((\widehat{M}_{16})^{(2)}\right)_2/(a_{18}')^{(2)}$

If G_{17} or G_{18} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{16} , G_{18} and G_{16} , G_{17} respectively.

Remark 9: If G_{16} is bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{17} and G_{18} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{17} is bounded from below. 202

Remark 10: If T_{16} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t)) = (b_{17}')^{(2)}$ then $T_{17} \to \infty$. 203

Definition of $(m)^{(2)}$ and ε_2 :

Indeed let t_2 be so that for $t > t_2$

$(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b_i'')^{(2)}((G_{19})(t), t) < \varepsilon_2, T_{16}(t) > (m)^{(2)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{17}}{dt} \geq (a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)} - \varepsilon_2 T_{17}$ which leads to 204

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{\varepsilon_2}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}) + T_{17}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_2 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_2 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{17} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{17})^{(2)}(m)^{(2)}}{2}\right), \quad t = \log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_2}$ By taking now ε_2 sufficiently small one sees that T_{17} is unbounded. 205
The same property holds for T_{18} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{18}'')^{(2)}\left((G_{19})(t), t\right) = (b_{18}')^{(2)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}, \frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}} < 1$ and to choose 207

$(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + \left((\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 208$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(3)}}{(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{20})^{(3)} \quad 209$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying 210
Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(3)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 211

$$d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)}, (T_{23})^{(1)}), ((G_{23})^{(2)}, (T_{23})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 212

Definition of $\widetilde{G_{23}}, \widetilde{T_{23}}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{23})}, \widetilde{(T_{23})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(3)}\left((G_{23}), (T_{23})\right)$

It results 213

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{20}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{20})^{(3)} \left|G_{21}^{(1)}-G_{21}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\, ds_{(20)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{20})^{(3)}\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)\left|G_{20}^{(1)}-G_{20}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} +$$

$$G_{20}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(1)}, s_{(20)}\right)-(a''_{20})^{(3)}\left(T_{21}^{(2)}, s_{(20)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}s_{(20)}}\} ds_{(20)}$$

Where $s_{(20)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|G_{23}{}^{(1)}-G_{23}{}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t} \leq \qquad 214$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}}\left((a_{20})^{(3)}+\ (a'_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{A}_{20})^{(3)}+(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}(\widehat{k}_{20})^{(3)}\right) d\left(((G_{23})^{(1)},(T_{23})^{(1)};\ (G_{23})^{(2)},(T_{23})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 11: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{20})^{(3)}$ and $(b''_{20})^{(3)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{20})^{(3)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 215

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(3)}, i = 20,21,22$ depend only on T_{21} and respectively on $(G_{23})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 12: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0\ and\ T_i\,(t) = 0$ 216

it results

$$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(3)}-(a_i'')^{(3)}(T_{21}(s_{(20)}),s_{(20)})\}ds_{(20)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(3)}t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3$: 217

Remark 13: if G_{20} is bounded, the same property have also G_{21} *and* G_{22} . indeed if

$G_{20} < (\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{21}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1 - (a'_{21})^{(3)}G_{21}$ and by integrating

$$G_{21} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2 = G_{21}^0 + 2(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_1/(a'_{21})^{(3)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{22} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_3 = G_{22}^0 + 2(a_{22})^{(3)}\left((\widehat{M}_{20})^{(3)}\right)_2/(a'_{22})^{(3)}$$

If G_{21} *or* G_{22} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{20} , G_{22} and G_{20} , G_{21} respectively.

Remark 14: If G_{20} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{21} *and* G_{22} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{21} is bounded from below. 218

Remark 15: If T_{20} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{21}')^{(3)}$ then $T_{21}\to\infty$. 219

Definition of $(m)^{(3)}$ and ε_3 :

Indeed let t_3 be so that for $t > t_3$

$(b_{21})^{(3)}-(b_i'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)<\varepsilon_3, T_{20}(t)>(m)^{(3)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{21}}{dt}\geq(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}-\varepsilon_3 T_{21}$ which leads to 220

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{\varepsilon_3}\right)(1-e^{-\varepsilon_3 t})+T_{21}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_3 t}=\frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{21}\geq\left(\frac{(a_{21})^{(3)}(m)^{(3)}}{2}\right),\quad t=log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_3}$ By taking now ε_3 sufficiently small one sees that T_{21} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{22} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{22}'')^{(3)}\left((G_{23})(t),t\right)=(b_{22}')^{(3)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}<1$ and to choose 221

$(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+\left((\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right]\leq(\hat{P}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 222$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(4)}}{(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)}+(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)}\right]\leq(\hat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} \quad 223$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself 224

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(4)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 225

$d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)},(T_{27})^{(1)}),((G_{27})^{(2)},(T_{27})^{(2)})\right)=$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{24})^{(4)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{27})},\widetilde{(T_{27})}\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(4)}((G_{27}),(T_{27}))$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{24}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{25}^{(1)}-G_{25}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\, ds_{(24)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{24})^{(4)} \left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right)\left|G_{24}^{(1)}-G_{24}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} +$$

$$G_{24}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(1)}, s_{(24)}\right) - (a''_{24})^{(4)}\left(T_{25}^{(2)}, s_{(24)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} s_{(24)}}\} ds_{(24)}$$

Where $s_{(24)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on Equations it follows

$$\left|(G_{27})^{(1)}-(G_{27})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t} \leq \qquad (226)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}}\left((a_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{A}_{24})^{(4)} + (\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} (\widehat{k}_{24})^{(4)}\right) d\left(((G_{27})^{(1)}, (T_{27})^{(1)};\ (G_{27})^{(2)}, (T_{27})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 16: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{24})^{(4)}$ and $(b''_{24})^{(4)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{24})^{(4)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (227)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(4)}, i = 24{,}25{,}26$ depend only on T_{25} and respectively on (G_{27})(*and not on* t) and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 17: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ (228)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(4)} - (a_i'')^{(4)}(T_{25}(s_{(24)}), s_{(24)})\} ds_{(24)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{(-(b_i')^{(4)} t)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3$: (229)

Remark 18: if G_{24} is bounded, the same property have also G_{25} *and* G_{26} . indeed if

$G_{24} < (\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{25}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 - (a'_{25})^{(4)} G_{25}$ and by integrating

$$G_{25} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 = G_{25}^0 + 2(a_{25})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_1 / (a'_{25})^{(4)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{26} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_3 = G_{26}^0 + 2(a_{26})^{(4)} \left((\widehat{M}_{24})^{(4)}\right)_2 / (a'_{26})^{(4)}$$

If G_{25} *or* G_{26} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{24} , G_{26} and G_{24} , G_{25} respectively.

Remark 19: If G_{24} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{25} *and* G_{26} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{25} is bounded from below. 230

Remark 20: If T_{24} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t)) = (b_{25}')^{(4)}$ then $T_{25} \to \infty$. 231

Definition of $(m)^{(4)}$ and ε_4 :

Indeed let t_4 be so that for $t > t_4$

$(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b_i'')^{(4)}((G_{27})(t),t) < \varepsilon_4, T_{24}(t) > (m)^{(4)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{25}}{dt} \geq (a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)} - \varepsilon_4 T_{25}$ which leads to 232

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{\varepsilon_4}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}) + T_{25}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_4 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_4 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{25} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{25})^{(4)}(m)^{(4)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_4}$ By taking now ε_4 sufficiently small one sees that T_{25} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{26} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{26}'')^{(4)}\left((G_{27})(t),t\right) = (b_{26}')^{(4)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 42

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{29} , $G_{30}, T_{28}, T_{29}, T_{30}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}} < 1$ and to choose 233

$(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + \left((\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 234$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(5)}}{(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} \quad 235$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(5)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 236

$$d\left(((G_{31})^{(1)},(T_{31})^{(1)}),((G_{31})^{(2)},(T_{31})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{28})^{(5)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}$: $\left(\widetilde{(G_{31})}, \widetilde{(T_{31})}\right) = \mathcal{A}^{(5)}\left((G_{31}), (T_{31})\right)$

It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{28}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{29}^{(1)} - G_{29}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}}\, ds_{(28)} +$$

$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{28})^{(5)} \left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right)\left|G_{28}^{(1)} - G_{28}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} +$$

$$G_{28}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(1)}, s_{(28)}\right) - (a''_{28})^{(5)}\left(T_{29}^{(2)}, s_{(28)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} s_{(28)}} \} ds_{(28)}$$

Where $s_{(28)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{31})^{(1)} - (G_{31})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t} \leq \qquad (237)$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}}\left((a_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{A}_{28})^{(5)} + (\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} (\widehat{k}_{28})^{(5)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{31})^{(1)}, (T_{31})^{(1)};\ (G_{31})^{(2)}, (T_{31})^{(2)}\right)\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 21: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{28})^{(5)}$ and $(b''_{28})^{(5)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{28})^{(5)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. (238)

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(5)}, i = 28,29,30$ depend only on T_{29} and respectively on $(G_{31})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 22: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0\ and\ T_i(t) = 0$ (239)

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(5)} - (a_i'')^{(5)}(T_{29}(s_{(28)}), s_{(28)})\} ds_{(28)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(5)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3$: (240)

Remark 23: if G_{28} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{29}\ and\ G_{30}$. indeed if

$G_{28} < (\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{29}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 - (a'_{29})^{(5)} G_{29}$ and by integrating

$$G_{29} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2 = G_{29}^0 + 2(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_1 / (a'_{29})^{(5)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{30} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_3 = G_{30}^0 + 2(a_{30})^{(5)}\left((\widehat{M}_{28})^{(5)}\right)_2/(a'_{30})^{(5)}$$

If G_{29} *or* G_{30} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{28} , G_{30} and G_{28} , G_{29} respectively.

Remark 24: If G_{28} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{29} *and* G_{30} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{29} is bounded from below. 241

Remark 25: If T_{28} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t)) = (b'_{29})^{(5)}$ then $T_{29} \to \infty$. 242

Definition of $(m)^{(5)}$ and ε_5 :

Indeed let t_5 be so that for $t > t_5$

$(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b_i'')^{(5)}((G_{31})(t),t) < \varepsilon_5, T_{28}(t) > (m)^{(5)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{29}}{dt} \geq (a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)} - \varepsilon_5 T_{29}$ which leads to 243

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{\varepsilon_5}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}) + T_{29}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_5 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_5 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{29} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{29})^{(5)}(m)^{(5)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_5}$ By taking now ε_5 sufficiently small one sees that T_{29} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{30} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{30}'')^{(5)}\left((G_{31})(t),t\right) = (b'_{30})^{(5)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for G_{33} , $G_{34}, T_{32}, T_{33}, T_{34}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}} < 1$ and to choose 244

$(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + \left((\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 245$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(6)}}{(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} \quad 246$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself

The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(6)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 247

$$d\left(((G_{35})^{(1)},(T_{35})^{(1)}),((G_{35})^{(2)},(T_{35})^{(2)})\right) =$$

$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}, \max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\tilde{M}_{32})^{(6)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{35}}), (\widetilde{T_{35}}) \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(6)}\left((G_{35}), (T_{35})\right)$

It results

$\left|\tilde{G}_{32}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{33}^{(1)} - G_{33}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}}\, ds_{(32)} +$

$\int_0^t \{(a'_{32})^{(6)} \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$

$(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) \left|G_{32}^{(1)} - G_{32}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} +$

$G_{32}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(1)}, s_{(32)}\right) - (a''_{32})^{(6)}\left(T_{33}^{(2)}, s_{(32)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} s_{(32)}} \} ds_{(32)}$

Where $s_{(32)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses it follows

$\left|(G_{35})^{(1)} - (G_{35})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \leq$ 248

$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}} \left((a_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{A}_{32})^{(6)} + (\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} (\widehat{k}_{32})^{(6)}\right) d\left(\left((G_{35})^{(1)}, (T_{35})^{(1)}; \; (G_{35})^{(2)}, (T_{35})^{(2)}\right)\right)$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i \; and \; T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 26: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{32})^{(6)}$ and $(b''_{32})^{(6)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t} \; and \; (\widehat{Q}_{32})^{(6)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 249

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(6)}, i = 32,33,34$ depend only on T_{33} and respectively on $(G_{35})(and \; not \; on \; t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 27: There does not exist any t where $G_i\,(t) = 0 \; and \; T_i\,(t) = 0$ 250

it results

$G_i\,(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a_i')^{(6)} - (a_i'')^{(6)}(T_{33}(s_{(32)}), s_{(32)})\} ds_{(32)}\right]} \geq 0$

$T_i\,(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(6)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 \; and \; \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3$: 251

Remark 28: if G_{32} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{33} \; and \; G_{34}$. indeed if

$G_{32} < (\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{33}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 - (a'_{33})^{(6)} G_{33}$ and by integrating

$G_{33} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2 = G_{33}^0 + 2(a_{33})^{(6)} \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_1 / (a'_{33})^{(6)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{34} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_3 = G_{34}^0 + 2(a_{34})^{(6)}\left((\widehat{M}_{32})^{(6)}\right)_2/(a'_{34})^{(6)}$

If G_{33} *or* G_{34} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{32} , G_{34} and G_{32} , G_{33} respectively.

Remark 29: If G_{32} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{33} *and* G_{34} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{33} is bounded from below. 252

Remark 30: If T_{32} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(6)}((G_{35})(t),t)) = (b'_{33})^{(6)}$ then $T_{33} \to \infty$. 253

Definition of $(m)^{(6)}$ and ε_6 :

Indeed let t_6 be so that for $t > t_6$

$(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b_i'')^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_6, T_{32}(t) > (m)^{(6)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{33}}{dt} \geq (a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)} - \varepsilon_6 T_{33}$ which leads to 254

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{\varepsilon_6}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}) + T_{33}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_6 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_6 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{33} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{33})^{(6)}(m)^{(6)}}{2}\right), \quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_6}$ By taking now ε_6 sufficiently small one sees that T_{33} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{34} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{34})^{(6)}\left((G_{35})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{34})^{(6)}$

We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

Analogous inequalities hold also for $G_{37}, G_{38}, T_{36}, T_{37}, T_{38}$ 255

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} < 1$ and to choose
$(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + \left((\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 256$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(7)}}{(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} \quad 257$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i, T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(7)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric 258

$d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)},(T_{39})^{(1)}),((G_{39})^{(2)},(T_{39})^{(2)})\right) =$
$sup_i\{max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t) - G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}, max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t) - T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\hat{M}_{36})^{(7)}t}\}$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} : \left(\widetilde{(G_{39})}, \widetilde{(T_{39})} \right) = \mathcal{A}^{(7)}((G_{39}), (T_{39}))$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{36}^{(1)} - \tilde{G}_{i}^{(2)}\right| \leq \int_0^t (a_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{37}^{(1)} - G_{37}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}}\, ds_{(36)} +$$
$$\int_0^t \{(a'_{36})^{(7)} \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) \left|G_{36}^{(1)} - G_{36}^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} +$$
$$G_{36}^{(2)} \left|(a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(1)}, s_{(36)}\right) - (a''_{36})^{(7)} \left(T_{37}^{(2)}, s_{(36)}\right)\right| \; e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} s_{(36)}} \} ds_{(36)}$$

Where $s_{(36)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0, t]$

From the hypotheses on it follows

$$\left|(G_{39})^{(1)} - (G_{39})^{(2)}\right| e^{-(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t} \leq \qquad 259$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}} \left((a_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{A}_{36})^{(7)} + (\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} (\widehat{k}_{36})^{(7)}\right) d\left(((G_{39})^{(1)}, (T_{39})^{(1)};\ (G_{39})^{(2)}, (T_{39})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 31: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{36})^{(7)}$ and $(b''_{36})^{(7)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{36})^{(7)} e^{(\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)} t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 260

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a''_i)^{(7)}$ and $(b''_i)^{(7)}, i = 36,37,38$ depend only on T_{37} and respectively on $(G_{39})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 32: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t) = 0$ *and* $T_i(t) = 0$ 261

it results

$$G_i(t) \geq G_i^0 e^{\left[-\int_0^t \{(a'_i)^{(7)} - (a''_i)^{(7)} (T_{37}(s_{(36)}), s_{(36)})\} ds_{(36)}\right]} \geq 0$$

$$T_i(t) \geq T_i^0 e^{\left(-(b'_i)^{(7)} t\right)} > 0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1, \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3$: 262

Remark 33: if G_{36} is bounded, the same property have also G_{37} *and* G_{38} . indeed if

$G_{36} < (\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{37}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1 - (a'_{37})^{(7)} G_{37}$ and by integrating

$$G_{37} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2 = G_{37}^0 + 2(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_1/(a'_{37})^{(7)}$$

In the same way , one can obtain

$$G_{38} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_3 = G_{38}^0 + 2(a_{38})^{(7)}\left((\widehat{M}_{36})^{(7)}\right)_2/(a'_{38})^{(7)}$$

If G_{37} *or* G_{38} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{36} , G_{38} and G_{36} , G_{37} respectively.

Remark 34: If G_{36} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{37} *and* G_{38} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{37} is bounded from below. 263

Remark 35: If T_{36} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(7)}\,((G_{39})(t),t)) = (b'_{37})^{(7)}$ then $T_{37} \to \infty$. 264

Definition of $(m)^{(7)}$ and ε_7 :

Indeed let t_7 be so that for $t > t_7$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b_i'')^{(7)}((G_{39})(t),t) < \varepsilon_7, T_{36}(t) > (m)^{(7)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{37}}{dt} \geq (a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)} - \varepsilon_7 T_{37}$ which leads to 265

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{\varepsilon_7}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}) + T_{37}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_7 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_7 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{37} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{37})^{(7)}(m)^{(7)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\,\frac{2}{\varepsilon_7}$ By taking now ε_7 sufficiently small one sees that T_{37} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{38} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b_{38}'')^{(7)}\left((G_{39})(t),t\right) = (b'_{38})^{(7)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}} < 1$ and to choose 266
$(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}$ large to have

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + \left((\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\hat{P}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 267$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(8)}}{(\hat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left[\left((\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}\right] \leq (\hat{Q}_{40})^{(8)} \quad 268$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying Equations into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(8)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)}),((G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)= \quad 269$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote 270

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})$: $\left((\widetilde{G_{43}}),(\widetilde{T_{43}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(8)}((G_{43}),(T_{43}))$

It results 271

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{40}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{41}^{(1)}-G_{41}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\,ds_{(40)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{40})^{(8)}\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)\left|G_{40}^{(1)}-G_{40}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}+$$
$$G_{40}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(1)},s_{(40)}\right)-(a''_{40})^{(8)}\left(T_{41}^{(2)},s_{(40)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}s_{(40)}}\}ds_{(40)}$$

Where $s_{(40)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval $[0,t]$ 272

From the hypotheses it follows

$$\left|(G_{43})^{(1)}-(G_{43})^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}\le \quad 273$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}}\left((a_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{A}_{40})^{(8)}+(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}(\widehat{k}_{40})^{(8)}\right)d\left(((G_{43})^{(1)},(T_{43})^{(1)};\ (G_{43})^{(2)},(T_{43})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for G_i *and* T_i. Taking into account the hypothesis the result follows

Remark 36: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{40})^{(8)}$ and $(b''_{40})^{(8)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ *and* $(\widehat{Q}_{40})^{(8)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$. 274

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(8)}, i=40,41,42$ depend only on T_{41} and respectively on $(G_{43})(and\ not\ on\ \ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 37 There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0$ *and* $T_i(t)=0$ 275

it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(8)}-(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41}(s_{(40)}),s_{(40)})\}ds_{(40)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(8)}t\right)}>0 \quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2$ *and* $\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3$: 276

Remark 38: if G_{40} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. indeed if

$G_{40} < (\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{41}}{dt} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1 - (a'_{41})^{(8)} G_{41}$ and by integrating

$G_{41} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2 = G_{41}^0 + 2(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_1/(a'_{41})^{(8)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{42} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_3 = G_{42}^0 + 2(a_{42})^{(8)}\left((\widehat{M}_{40})^{(8)}\right)_2/(a'_{42})^{(8)}$

If $G_{41}\ or\ G_{42}$ is bounded, the same property follows for G_{40} , G_{42} and G_{40} , G_{41} respectively.

Remark 39: If G_{40} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for $G_{41}\ and\ G_{42}$. The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{41} is bounded from below. 277

Remark 40: If T_{40} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(8)}\ ((G_{43})(t),t)) = (b'_{41})^{(8)}$ then $T_{41} \to \infty$. 278

Definition of $(m)^{(8)}$ and ε_8 :

Indeed let t_8 be so that for $t > t_8$

$(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b_i'')^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_8, T_{40}(t) > (m)^{(8)}$

Then $\frac{dT_{41}}{dt} \geq (a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)} - \varepsilon_8 T_{41}$ which leads to 279

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{\varepsilon_8}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}) + T_{41}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_8 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_8 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{41} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{41})^{(8)}(m)^{(8)}}{2}\right),\quad t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_8}$ By taking now ε_8 sufficiently small one sees that T_{41} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{42} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{42})^{(8)}\left((G_{43})(t),t(t),t\right) = (b'_{42})^{(8)}$

It is now sufficient to take $\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}$, $\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}} < 1$ and to choose $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$ large to have 279 A

$$\frac{(a_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + \left((\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)} + G_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}+G_j^0}{G_j^0}\right)}\right] \leq (\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}$$

$$\frac{(b_i)^{(9)}}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left[\left((\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)} + T_j^0\right)e^{-\left(\frac{(\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}+T_j^0}{T_j^0}\right)} + (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}\right] \leq (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}$$

In order that the operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ transforms the space of sextuples of functions G_i , T_i satisfying 39,35,36 into itself
The operator $\mathcal{A}^{(9)}$ is a contraction with respect to the metric

$$d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)}),((G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)=$$
$$\sup_i\{\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|G_i^{(1)}(t)-G_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t},\max_{t\in\mathbb{R}_+}\left|T_i^{(1)}(t)-T_i^{(2)}(t)\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\}$$

Indeed if we denote

Definition of $(\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}}):\left((\widetilde{G_{47}}),(\widetilde{T_{47}})\right)=\mathcal{A}^{(9)}\left((G_{47}),(T_{47})\right)$
It results

$$\left|\tilde{G}_{44}^{(1)}-\tilde{G}_i^{(2)}\right|\le\int_0^t(a_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{45}^{(1)}-G_{45}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\,ds_{(44)}+$$
$$\int_0^t\{(a'_{44})^{(9)}\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)\left|G_{44}^{(1)}-G_{44}^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}+$$
$$G_{44}^{(2)}\left|(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(1)},s_{(44)}\right)-(a''_{44})^{(9)}\left(T_{45}^{(2)},s_{(44)}\right)\right|\ e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}s_{(44)}}\}ds_{(44)}$$

Where $s_{(44)}$ represents integrand that is integrated over the interval [0, t]

From the hypotheses on 45,46,47,28 and 29 it follows

$$\left|(G_{47})^{(1)}-G^{(2)}\right|e^{-(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\le$$
$$\frac{1}{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}}\left((a_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{A}_{44})^{(9)}+(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}(\widehat{k}_{44})^{(9)}\right)d\left(((G_{47})^{(1)},(T_{47})^{(1)};\ (G_{47})^{(2)},(T_{47})^{(2)})\right)$$

And analogous inequalities for $G_i\ and\ T_i$. Taking into account the hypothesis (39,35,36) the result follows

Remark 41: The fact that we supposed $(a''_{44})^{(9)}$ and $(b''_{44})^{(9)}$ depending also on t can be considered as not conformal with the reality, however we have put this hypothesis ,in order that we can postulate condition necessary to prove the uniqueness of the solution bounded by $(\widehat{P}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}\ and\ (\widehat{Q}_{44})^{(9)}e^{(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}t}$ respectively of $\mathbb{R}_+$.

If instead of proving the existence of the solution on $\mathbb{R}_+$, we have to prove it only on a compact then it suffices to consider that $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(9)}, i=44{,}45{,}46$ depend only on T_{45} and respectively on $(G_{47})(and\ not\ on\ t)$ and hypothesis can replaced by a usual Lipschitz condition.

Remark 42: There does not exist any t where $G_i(t)=0\ and\ T_i(t)=0$

From 99 to 44 it results

$$G_i(t)\ge G_i^0e^{\left[-\int_0^t\{(a_i')^{(9)}-(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45}(s_{(44)}),s_{(44)})\}ds_{(44)}\right]}\ge 0$$

$$T_i(t)\ge T_i^0e^{\left(-(b_i')^{(9)}t\right)}>0\quad \text{for t} > 0$$

Definition of $\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1,\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2\ and\ \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3$:

Remark 43: if G_{44} is bounded, the same property have also $G_{45}\ and\ G_{46}$. indeed if

$G_{44}<(\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}$ it follows $\frac{dG_{45}}{dt}\le\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1-(a'_{45})^{(9)}G_{45}$ and by integrating

$G_{45} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2 = G_{45}^0 + 2(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_1/(a'_{45})^{(9)}$

In the same way , one can obtain

$G_{46} \leq \left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_3 = G_{46}^0 + 2(a_{46})^{(9)}\left((\widehat{M}_{44})^{(9)}\right)_2/(a'_{46})^{(9)}$

If G_{45} *or* G_{46} is bounded, the same property follows for G_{44} , G_{46} and G_{44} , G_{45} respectively.

Remark 44: If G_{44} *is* bounded, from below, the same property holds for G_{45} *and* G_{46} . The proof is analogous with the preceding one. An analogous property is true if G_{45} is bounded from below.

Remark 45: If T_{44} is bounded from below and $\lim_{t\to\infty}((b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{45})^{(9)}$ then $T_{45} \to \infty$.

Definition of $(m)^{(9)}$ and ε_9 :

Indeed let t_9 be so that for $t > t_9$

$$(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b_i'')^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) < \varepsilon_9, T_{44}(t) > (m)^{(9)}$$

Then $\frac{dT_{45}}{dt} \geq (a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)} - \varepsilon_9 T_{45}$ which leads to

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{\varepsilon_9}\right)(1 - e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}) + T_{45}^0 e^{-\varepsilon_9 t}$ If we take t such that $e^{-\varepsilon_9 t} = \frac{1}{2}$ it results

$T_{45} \geq \left(\frac{(a_{45})^{(9)}(m)^{(9)}}{2}\right)$, $t = log\frac{2}{\varepsilon_9}$ By taking now ε_9 sufficiently small one sees that T_{45} is unbounded.
The same property holds for T_{46} if $\lim_{t\to\infty}(b''_{46})^{(9)}\left((G_{47})(t),t\right) = (b'_{46})^{(9)}$
We now state a more precise theorem about the behaviors at infinity of the solutions of equations 37 to 92

Behavior of the solutions of equation 280

Theorem If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(1)}, (\sigma_2)^{(1)}, (\tau_1)^{(1)}, (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ four constants satisfying
$-(\sigma_2)^{(1)} \leq -(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t) \leq -(\sigma_1)^{(1)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(1)} \leq -(b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G,t) - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G,t) \leq -(\tau_1)^{(1)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(1)}, (v_2)^{(1)}, (u_1)^{(1)}, (u_2)^{(1)}, v^{(1)}, u^{(1)}$: 281

By $(v_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)},, (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(1)}$: 282

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(1)} < 0$ the roots of the equations
$(a_{14})^{(1)}\left(v^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)} = 0$ and $(b_{14})^{(1)}\left(u^{(1)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(1)}u^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}, (\nu_0)^{(1)}$:- 283

If we define $(m_1)^{(1)}, (m_2)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_2)^{(1)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\nu_1)^{(1)}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (\nu_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}^0}{G_{14}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}, (m_1)^{(1)} = (\nu_0)^{(1)},\ if\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)} < (\nu_0)^{(1)}$

and analogously 284

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)},\ if\ (u_0)^{(1)} < (u_1)^{(1)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}, if\ (u_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(1)} = \frac{T_{13}^0}{T_{14}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}, (\mu_1)^{(1)} = (u_0)^{(1)}, if\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)} < (u_0)^{(1)}$ where $(u_1)^{(1)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$ are defined

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 285

$$G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{13}(t) \le G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(1)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} \le G_{14}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(1)}} G_{13}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_1)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)} - (S_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(1)} - (p_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(1)} t} \le G_{15}(t) \le \right. \quad 286$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{13}^0}{(m_2)^{(1)}((S_1)^{(1)} - (a'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + G_{15}^0 e^{-(a'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t}} \quad 287$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} \le T_{13}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}} T_{13}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} \quad 288$$

$$\frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} - (b'_{15})^{(1)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(1)} t} - e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(b'_{15})^{(1)} t} \le T_{15}(t) \le \quad 289$$

$$\frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} T_{13}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(1)}((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)} + (R_2)^{(1)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}\right] + T_{15}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(1)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(1)}, (S_2)^{(1)}, (R_1)^{(1)}, (R_2)^{(1)}$:- 290

Where $(S_1)^{(1)} = (a_{13})^{(1)}(m_2)^{(1)} - (a'_{13})^{(1)}$

$$(S_2)^{(1)} = (a_{15})^{(1)} - (p_{15})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(1)} = (b_{13})^{(1)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(1)} = (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 291

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$: 292

$(\sigma_1)^{(2)}, (\sigma_2)^{(2)}, (\tau_1)^{(2)}, (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(2)} \le -(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(2)}$ 293

$-(\tau_2)^{(2)} \le -(b'_{16})^{(2)} + (b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) - (b''_{17})^{(2)}\big((G_{19}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(2)}$ 294

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_2)^{(2)}, (u_1)^{(2)}, (u_2)^{(2)}$: 295

By $(\nu_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the roots 296

of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 297

and $(b_{14})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ and 298

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(2)}$: 299

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(2)} < 0$ the 300

roots of the equations $(a_{17})^{(2)}\big(\nu^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 301

and $(b_{17})^{(2)}\big(u^{(2)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(2)}u^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)} = 0$ 302

Definition of $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$:- 303

If we define $(m_1)^{(2)}, (m_2)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_2)^{(2)}$ by 304

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ 305

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$, 306

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G^0_{16}}{G^0_{17}}}$

$(m_2)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}, (m_1)^{(2)} = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ 307

and analogously 308

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(2)} < (u_1)^{(2)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(2)} = \frac{T_{16}^0}{T_{17}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}, (\mu_1)^{(2)} = (u_0)^{(2)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)} < (u_0)^{(2)}$ 309

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 310

$$G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{16}(t) \le G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t}$$

$(p_i)^{(2)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} \le G_{17}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(2)}} G_{16}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} \quad 311$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_1)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)} - (S_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(2)} - (p_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(2)} t} \le G_{18}(t) \le \right. \quad 312$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{16}^0}{(m_2)^{(2)}((S_1)^{(2)} - (a'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + G_{18}^0 e^{-(a'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t}} \quad 313$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} \le T_{16}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}} T_{16}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} \quad 314$$

$$\frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} - (b'_{18})^{(2)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(2)} t} - e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(b'_{18})^{(2)} t} \le T_{18}(t) \le \quad 315$$

$$\frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} T_{16}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(2)}((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)} + (R_2)^{(2)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}\right] + T_{18}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(2)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(2)}, (S_2)^{(2)}, (R_1)^{(2)}, (R_2)^{(2)}$:- 316

Where $(S_1)^{(2)} = (a_{16})^{(2)}(m_2)^{(2)} - (a'_{16})^{(2)}$ 317

$$(S_2)^{(2)} = (a_{18})^{(2)} - (p_{18})^{(2)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(2)} = (b_{16})^{(2)}(\mu_2)^{(1)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)} \quad 318$$

$$(R_2)^{(2)} = (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}$$

Behavior of the solutions 319

Theorem 3: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(3)}, (\sigma_2)^{(3)}, (\tau_1)^{(3)}, (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(3)} \le -(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(3)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(3)} \le -(b'_{20})^{(3)} + (b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}, t) - (b''_{21})^{(3)}\big((G_{23}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(3)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(3)}, (v_2)^{(3)}, (u_1)^{(3)}, (u_2)^{(3)}$: 320

By $(v_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$ and

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(3)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

and $(b_{21})^{(3)}\left(u^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(3)}u^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$:- 321

If we define $(m_1)^{(3)}$, $(m_2)^{(3)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_2)^{(3)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_0)^{(3)} < (v_1)^{(3)}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$,

and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}^0}{G_{21}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(3)} = (v_1)^{(3)}, (m_1)^{(3)} = (v_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)}$

and analogously 322

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(3)} < (u_1)^{(3)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$, and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(3)} = \frac{T_{20}^0}{T_{21}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}, (\mu_1)^{(3)} = (u_0)^{(3)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(3)} < (u_0)^{(3)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{20}(t) \le G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t}$$

$(p_i)^{(3)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} \le G_{21}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(3)}} G_{20}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} \quad (323)$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_1)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)} - (S_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(3)} - (p_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(3)}t} \le G_{22}(t) \le \right. \quad (324)$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{20}^0}{(m_2)^{(3)}((S_1)^{(3)} - (a'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + G_{22}^0 e^{-(a'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)})t}} \quad (325)$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} \le T_{20}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}}T_{20}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} \quad 326$$

$$\frac{(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}-(b'_{22})^{(3)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(3)}t} - e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(b'_{22})^{(3)}t} \le T_{22}(t) \le \quad 327$$

$$\frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}T_{20}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(3)}((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)}+(R_2)^{(3)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(3)}+(r_{20})^{(3)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}\right] + T_{22}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(3)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(3)}, (S_2)^{(3)}, (R_1)^{(3)}, (R_2)^{(3)}$:- 328

Where $(S_1)^{(3)} = (a_{20})^{(3)}(m_2)^{(3)} - (a'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(S_2)^{(3)} = (a_{22})^{(3)} - (p_{22})^{(3)}$

$(R_1)^{(3)} = (b_{20})^{(3)}(\mu_2)^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}$

$(R_2)^{(3)} = (b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(4)}, (\sigma_2)^{(4)}, (\tau_1)^{(4)}, (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(4)} \le -(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(4)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(4)} \le -(b'_{24})^{(4)} + (b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(4)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_2)^{(4)}, (u_1)^{(4)}, (u_2)^{(4)}, \nu^{(4)}, u^{(4)}$: 329

By $(\nu_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, , (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(4)}$: 330

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(4)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{25})^{(4)}\big(\nu^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)} = 0$
and $(b_{25})^{(4)}\big(u^{(4)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(4)}u^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(4)}, (m_2)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_2)^{(4)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(4)} = (\nu_4)^{(4)}, (m_1)^{(4)} = (\nu_0)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_4)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)}$

and analogously

331

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(4)} < (u_1)^{(4)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)},$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(4)} = \frac{T_{24}^0}{T_{25}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}, (\mu_1)^{(4)} = (u_0)^{(4)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)} < (u_0)^{(4)}$ where $(u_1)^{(4)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(4)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities

332

$$G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{24}(t) \le G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(4)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} \le G_{25}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(4)}} G_{24}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} \quad 333$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_1)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)} - (S_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(4)} - (p_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(4)} t} \le G_{26}(t) \le \right. \quad 334$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{24}^0}{(m_2)^{(4)}((S_1)^{(4)} - (a'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + G_{26}^0 e^{-(a'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} \le T_{24}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}} T_{24}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} \quad 335$$

$$\frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} - (b'_{26})^{(4)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(4)} t} - e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(b'_{26})^{(4)} t} \le T_{26}(t) \le \quad 336$$

$$\frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} T_{24}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(4)}((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)} + (R_2)^{(4)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}\right] + T_{26}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(4)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(4)}, (S_2)^{(4)}, (R_1)^{(4)}, (R_2)^{(4)}$:- 337

Where $(S_1)^{(4)} = (a_{24})^{(4)}(m_2)^{(4)} - (a'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(S_2)^{(4)} = (a_{26})^{(4)} - (p_{26})^{(4)}$

$(R_1)^{(4)} = (b_{24})^{(4)}(\mu_2)^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}$

$(R_2)^{(4)} = (b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 338

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(5)}, (\sigma_2)^{(5)}, (\tau_1)^{(5)}, (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(5)} \le -(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(5)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(5)} \le -(b'_{28})^{(5)} + (b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) - (b''_{29})^{(5)}\big((G_{31}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(5)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_2)^{(5)}, (u_1)^{(5)}, (u_2)^{(5)}, \nu^{(5)}, u^{(5)}$: 339

By $(\nu_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\nu_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(5)}$: 340

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(5)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{29})^{(5)}\big(\nu^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)} = 0$
and $(b_{29})^{(5)}\big(u^{(5)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(5)}u^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(5)}$, $(m_2)^{(5)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_2)^{(5)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G^0_{28}}{G^0_{29}}}$

$(m_2)^{(5)} = (\nu_1)^{(5)}, (m_1)^{(5)} = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)}$

and analogously 341

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_0)^{(5)} < (u_1)^{(5)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(u_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(5)} = \frac{T^0_{28}}{T^0_{29}}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}, (\mu_1)^{(5)} = (u_0)^{(5)}$, ***if*** $(\bar{u}_1)^{(5)} < (u_0)^{(5)}$ where $(u_1)^{(5)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 342

$$G^0_{28}e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{28}(t) \le G^0_{28}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(5)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_5)^{(5)}}G^0_{28}e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} \le G_{29}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(5)}}G^0_{28}e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t} \quad 343$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G^0_{28}}{(m_1)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)} - (S_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(5)} - (p_{28})^{(5)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t}\right] + G^0_{30}e^{-(S_2)^{(5)}t} \le G_{30}(t) \le \right. \quad 344$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{28}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(5)}((S_1)^{(5)}-(a'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+\ G_{30}^{0}e^{-(a'_{30})^{(5)}t}\Big)$$

$$\boxed{T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}} \quad 345$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}\le T_{28}(t)\le\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}}T_{28}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t} \quad 346$$

$$\frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}-(b'_{30})^{(5)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(5)}t}-e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(b'_{30})^{(5)}t}\le T_{30}(t)\le \quad 347$$

$$\frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}T_{28}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(5)}((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)}+(R_2)^{(5)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(5)}+(r_{28})^{(5)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}\right]+T_{30}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(5)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(5)},(S_2)^{(5)},(R_1)^{(5)},(R_2)^{(5)}$:- 348

Where $(S_1)^{(5)}=(a_{28})^{(5)}(m_2)^{(5)}-(a'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(S_2)^{(5)}=(a_{30})^{(5)}-(p_{30})^{(5)}$

$(R_1)^{(5)}=(b_{28})^{(5)}(\mu_2)^{(5)}-(b'_{28})^{(5)}$

$(R_2)^{(5)}=(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 349

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(6)},(\sigma_2)^{(6)},(\tau_1)^{(6)},(\tau_2)^{(6)}$ four constants satisfying

$-(\sigma_2)^{(6)}\le-(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}-(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)+(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33},t)\le-(\sigma_1)^{(6)}$

$-(\tau_2)^{(6)}\le-(b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)-(b''_{33})^{(6)}\big((G_{35}),t\big)\le-(\tau_1)^{(6)}$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(6)},(v_2)^{(6)},(u_1)^{(6)},(u_2)^{(6)},v^{(6)},u^{(6)}$: 350

By $(v_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}$: 351

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(6)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(6)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{33})^{(6)}\big(v^{(6)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(6)}v^{(6)}-(a_{32})^{(6)}=0$
and $(b_{33})^{(6)}\big(u^{(6)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(6)}u^{(6)}-(b_{32})^{(6)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)},(v_0)^{(6)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(6)},(m_2)^{(6)},(\mu_1)^{(6)},(\mu_2)^{(6)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(6)}=(v_0)^{(6)},(m_1)^{(6)}=(v_1)^{(6)},$ ***if*** $(v_0)^{(6)}<(v_1)^{(6)}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_6)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}^0}{G_{33}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}, (m_1)^{(6)} = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)}$

and analogously 352

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(6)} < (u_1)^{(6)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$,

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(6)} = \frac{T_{32}^0}{T_{33}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}, (\mu_1)^{(6)} = (u_0)^{(6)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(6)} < (u_0)^{(6)}$ where $(u_1)^{(6)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 353

$$G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{32}(t) \leq G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(6)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} \leq G_{33}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(6)}} G_{32}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} \quad 354$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_1)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)} - (S_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(6)} - (p_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(6)} t} \leq G_{34}(t) \leq \right. \quad 355$$

$$\left. \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} G_{32}^0}{(m_2)^{(6)}((S_1)^{(6)} - (a'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(S_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + G_{34}^0 e^{-(a'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t}} \quad 356$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} \leq T_{32}(t) \leq \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}} T_{32}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} \quad 357$$

$$\frac{(b_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} - (b'_{34})^{(6)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(6)} t} - e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(b'_{34})^{(6)} t} \leq T_{34}(t) \leq \quad 358$$

$$\frac{(a_{34})^{(6)} T_{32}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(6)}((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)} + (R_2)^{(6)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t} \right] + T_{34}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(6)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(6)}, (S_2)^{(6)}, (R_1)^{(6)}, (R_2)^{(6)}$:- 359

Where $(S_1)^{(6)} = (a_{32})^{(6)}(m_2)^{(6)} - (a'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(S_2)^{(6)} = (a_{34})^{(6)} - (p_{34})^{(6)}$

$(R_1)^{(6)} = (b_{32})^{(6)}(\mu_2)^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}$

$(R_2)^{(6)} = (b'_{34})^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}$

Behavior of the solutions of equation

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(7)}, (\sigma_2)^{(7)}, (\tau_1)^{(7)}, (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(7)} \le -(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(7)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(7)} \le -(b'_{36})^{(7)} + (b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) - (b''_{37})^{(7)}\big((G_{39}), t\big) \le -(\tau_1)^{(7)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_2)^{(7)}, (u_1)^{(7)}, (u_2)^{(7)}, \nu^{(7)}, u^{(7)}$: 361

By $(\nu_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\nu_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(7)} > 0, (u_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)}$: 362

By $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} > 0, (\bar{u}_2)^{(7)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{37})^{(7)}\big(\nu^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)} = 0$
and $(b_{37})^{(7)}\big(u^{(7)}\big)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(7)}u^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(7)}, (m_2)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_2)^{(7)}$ by

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\nu_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}}$

$$(m_2)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}, (m_1)^{(7)} = (\nu_0)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

and analogously 363

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, \ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(7)} < (u_1)^{(7)}$$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)},$$

and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(7)} = \frac{T^0_{36}}{T^0_{37}}}$

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}, (\mu_1)^{(7)} = (u_0)^{(7)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)} < (u_0)^{(7)} \text{ where } (u_1)^{(7)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 364

$$G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{36}(t) \le G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(7)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_7)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t} \le G_{37}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(7)}}G_{36}^{0}e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t} \quad 365$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_1)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)}-(S_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(7)}-(p_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+G_{38}^{0}e^{-(S_2)^{(7)}t} \le G_{38}(t) \le \right. \quad 366$$

$$\left.\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{36}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(7)}((S_1)^{(7)}-(a'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(S_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+\ G_{38}^{0}e^{-(a'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}} \quad 367$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t} \le T_{36}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}}T_{36}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t} \quad 368$$

$$\frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}-(b'_{38})^{(7)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(7)}t}-e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(b'_{38})^{(7)}t} \le T_{38}(t) \le \quad 369$$

$$\frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}T_{36}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(7)}((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)}+(R_2)^{(7)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(7)}+(r_{36})^{(7)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}\right]+T_{38}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(7)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(7)}, (S_2)^{(7)}, (R_1)^{(7)}, (R_2)^{(7)}$:- 370

Where $(S_1)^{(7)} = (a_{36})^{(7)}(m_2)^{(7)} - (a'_{36})^{(7)}$

$$(S_2)^{(7)} = (a_{38})^{(7)} - (p_{38})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(7)} = (b_{36})^{(7)}(\mu_2)^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(7)} = (b'_{38})^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 371

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(8)}, (\sigma_2)^{(8)}, (\tau_1)^{(8)}, (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(8)} \le -(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t) \le -(\sigma_1)^{(8)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(8)} \le -(b'_{40})^{(8)} + (b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) - (b''_{41})^{(8)}\left((G_{43}), t\right) \le -(\tau_1)^{(8)}$$

Definition of $(\nu_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_2)^{(8)}, (u_1)^{(8)}, (u_2)^{(8)}, \nu^{(8)}, u^{(8)}$: 372

By $(v_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(v_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(u_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$
and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_1)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_2)^{(8)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} > 0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(8)} < 0$ the

roots of the equations $(a_{41})^{(8)}\left(v^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}v^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

and $(b_{41})^{(8)}\left(u^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\tau_2)^{(8)}u^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)} = 0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}, (v_0)^{(8)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(8)}$, $(m_2)^{(8)}$, $(\mu_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_2)^{(8)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_0)^{(8)} < (v_1)^{(8)}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(v_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(v_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(8)} = (v_1)^{(8)}, (m_1)^{(8)} = (v_0)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{v}_1)^{(8)} < (v_0)^{(8)}$

and analogously 374

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_0)^{(8)} < (u_1)^{(8)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$, $\boldsymbol{if}$ $(u_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$,
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(8)} = \frac{T_{40}^0}{T_{41}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}, (\mu_1)^{(8)} = (u_0)^{(8)}, \boldsymbol{if}$ $(\bar{u}_1)^{(8)} < (u_0)^{(8)}$ where $(u_1)^{(8)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$

Then the solution of global equations satisfies the inequalities 375

$$G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{40}(t) \le G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(8)}$ is defined by equation

$$\frac{1}{(m_1)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} \le G_{41}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(8)}} G_{40}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t} \quad 376$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{40}^0}{(m_1)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)} - (S_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((S_1)^{(8)} - (p_{40})^{(8)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t}\right] + G_{42}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(8)}t} \le G_{42}(t) \le \right. \quad 377$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{40}^{0}}{(m_2)^{(8)}((S_1)^{(8)}-(a'_{42})^{(8)})}[e^{(S_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t}]+\ G_{42}^{0}e^{-(a'_{42})^{(8)}t})$$

$$\boxed{T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}} \qquad 378$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}\leq T_{40}(t)\leq\frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}}T_{40}^{0}e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t} \qquad 379$$

$$\frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_1)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}-(b'_{42})^{(8)})}\left[e^{(R_1)^{(8)}t}-e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(b'_{42})^{(8)}t}\leq T_{42}(t)\leq \qquad 380$$

$$\frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}T_{40}^{0}}{(\mu_2)^{(8)}((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)}+(R_2)^{(8)})}\left[e^{((R_1)^{(8)}+(r_{40})^{(8)})t}-e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}\right]+T_{42}^{0}e^{-(R_2)^{(8)}t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(8)},(S_2)^{(8)},(R_1)^{(8)},(R_2)^{(8)}$:- 381

Where $(S_1)^{(8)}=(a_{40})^{(8)}(m_2)^{(8)}-(a'_{40})^{(8)}$

$$(S_2)^{(8)}=(a_{42})^{(8)}-(p_{42})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_1)^{(8)}=(b_{40})^{(8)}(\mu_2)^{(8)}-(b'_{40})^{(8)}$$

$$(R_2)^{(8)}=(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}$$

Behavior of the solutions of equation 37 to 92 382

Theorem 2: If we denote and define

Definition of $(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$:

$(\sigma_1)^{(9)},(\sigma_2)^{(9)},(\tau_1)^{(9)},(\tau_2)^{(9)}$ four constants satisfying

$$-(\sigma_2)^{(9)}\leq-(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}-(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)+(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45},t)\leq-(\sigma_1)^{(9)}$$

$$-(\tau_2)^{(9)}\leq-(b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)-(b''_{45})^{(9)}\big((G_{47}),t\big)\leq-(\tau_1)^{(9)}$$

Definition of $(v_1)^{(9)},(v_2)^{(9)},(u_1)^{(9)},(u_2)^{(9)},v^{(9)},u^{(9)}$:

By $(v_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(v_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(u_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(u_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_1)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_1)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$ and

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)},,(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}$:

By $(\bar{v}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{v}_2)^{(9)}<0$ and respectively $(\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}>0$, $(\bar{u}_2)^{(9)}<0$ the roots of the equations $(a_{45})^{(9)}\big(v^{(9)}\big)^2+(\sigma_2)^{(9)}v^{(9)}-(a_{44})^{(9)}=0$
and $(b_{45})^{(9)}\big(u^{(9)}\big)^2+(\tau_2)^{(9)}u^{(9)}-(b_{44})^{(9)}=0$

Definition of $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)},(v_0)^{(9)}$:-

If we define $(m_1)^{(9)},(m_2)^{(9)},(\mu_1)^{(9)},(\mu_2)^{(9)}$ by

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\nu_1)^{(9)}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$

$(m_2)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}, (m_1)^{(9)} = (\nu_0)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)}$

and analogously

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)},\ \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_0)^{(9)} < (u_1)^{(9)}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (u_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)} < (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)},$
and $\boxed{(u_0)^{(9)} = \frac{T_{44}^0}{T_{45}^0}}$

$(\mu_2)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}, (\mu_1)^{(9)} = (u_0)^{(9)}, \boldsymbol{if}\ (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)} < (u_0)^{(9)}$ where $(u_1)^{(9)}, (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$ are defined by 59 and 69 respectively

Then the solution of 19,20,21,22,23 and 24 satisfies the inequalities

$$G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{44}(t) \le G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

where $(p_i)^{(9)}$ is defined by equation 45

$$\frac{1}{(m_9)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} \le G_{45}(t) \le \frac{1}{(m_2)^{(9)}} G_{44}^0 e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t}$$

$$\left(\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_1)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)} - (S_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((S_1)^{(9)} - (p_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(S_2)^{(9)} t} \le G_{46}(t) \le \right.$$
$$\left. \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{44}^0}{(m_2)^{(9)}((S_1)^{(9)} - (a'_{46})^{(9)})} [e^{(S_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t}] + G_{46}^0 e^{-(a'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right)$$

$$\boxed{T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}}$$

$$\frac{1}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} \le T_{44}(t) \le \frac{1}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}} T_{44}^0 e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t}$$

$$\frac{(b_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_1)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} - (b'_{46})^{(9)})} \left[e^{(R_1)^{(9)} t} - e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(b'_{46})^{(9)} t} \le T_{46}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} T_{44}^0}{(\mu_2)^{(9)}((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)} + (R_2)^{(9)})} \left[e^{((R_1)^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)})t} - e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t} \right] + T_{46}^0 e^{-(R_2)^{(9)} t}$$

Definition of $(S_1)^{(9)}, (S_2)^{(9)}, (R_1)^{(9)}, (R_2)^{(9)}$:-

Where $(S_1)^{(9)} = (a_{44})^{(9)}(m_2)^{(9)} - (a'_{44})^{(9)}$

$(S_2)^{(9)} = (a_{46})^{(9)} - (p_{46})^{(9)}$

$(R_1)^{(9)} = (b_{44})^{(9)}(\mu_2)^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}$

$$(R_2)^{(9)} = (b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}$$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 383

$$\frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} = (a_{13})^{(1)} - \Big((a'_{13})^{(1)} - (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)\Big) - (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14},t)v^{(1)} - (a_{14})^{(1)}v^{(1)}$$

Definition of $v^{(1)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(1)} = \frac{G_{13}}{G_{14}}}$

It follows

$$-\Big((a_{14})^{(1)}\big(v^{(1)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\Big) \le \frac{dv^{(1)}}{dt} \le -\Big((a_{14})^{(1)}\big(v^{(1)}\big)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(1)}v^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}\Big)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}, (v_0)^{(1)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}} < (v_1)^{(1)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

$$v^{(1)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(1)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(1)}$

In the same manner , we get 384

$$v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(1)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (v_0)^{(1)}}{(v_0)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} < (v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$ we find like in the previous case, 385

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(1)} + (C)^{(1)}(v_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((v_1)^{(1)} - (v_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(1)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} \le \boxed{(v_0)^{(1)} = \frac{G^0_{13}}{G^0_{14}}}$, we obtain 386

$$(v_1)^{(1)} \le v^{(1)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} + (\bar{C})^{(1)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(1)}e^{\left[-(a_{14})^{(1)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(1)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(1)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(1)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(1)} \leq v^{(1)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{v^{(1)}(t) = \frac{G_{13}(t)}{G_{14}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(1)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(1)} \leq u^{(1)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(1)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(1)}(t) = \frac{T_{13}(t)}{T_{14}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{13})^{(1)} = (a''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(1)} = (\sigma_2)^{(1)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(1)} = (\nu_1)^{(1)}$ then $v^{(1)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)}$ and as a consequence $G_{13}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(1)} G_{14}(t)$ this also defines $(\nu_0)^{(1)}$ for the special case

Analogously if $(b''_{13})^{(1)} = (b''_{14})^{(1)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(1)} = (\tau_2)^{(1)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(1)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(1)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(1)} = (u_1)^{(1)}$ then $T_{13}(t) = (u_0)^{(1)} T_{14}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(1)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(1)}$, and definition of $(u_0)^{(1)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 387

$$\frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} = (a_{16})^{(2)} - \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} - (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\right) - (a''_{17})^{(2)}(\mathrm{T}_{17}, \mathrm{t})\nu^{(2)} - (a_{17})^{(2)}\nu^{(2)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}_{16}}{\mathrm{G}_{17}}}$ 388

It follows 389

$$-\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right) \leq \frac{\mathrm{d}\nu^{(2)}}{\mathrm{dt}} \leq -\left((a_{17})^{(2)}\left(\nu^{(2)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(2)}\nu^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}\right)$$

From which one obtains 390

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}, (\nu_0)^{(2)}$:-

For $0 < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{\mathrm{G}^0_{16}}{\mathrm{G}^0_{17}} < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\mathrm{C})^{(2)} e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\mathrm{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)} - (\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)} - (\nu_2)^{(2)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(2)}$

In the same manner , we get 391

$$\nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(2)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_0)^{(2)}}{(\nu_0)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ 392

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} < (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ we find like in the previous case, 393

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(2)}+(C)^{(2)}(\nu_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\nu_1)^{(2)}-(\nu_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)} = \frac{G_{16}^0}{G_{17}^0}$, we obtain 394

$$(\nu_1)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}+(\bar{C})^{(2)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(2)}e^{\left[-(a_{17})^{(2)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(2)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(2)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(2)}(t)$:- 395

$$(m_2)^{(2)} \leq \nu^{(2)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(2)}(t) = \frac{G_{16}(t)}{G_{17}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain 396

Definition of $u^{(2)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(2)} \leq u^{(2)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(2)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(2)}(t) = \frac{T_{16}(t)}{T_{17}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case : 397

If $(a_{16}'')^{(2)} = (a_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(2)} = (\sigma_2)^{(2)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(2)} = (\nu_1)^{(2)}$ then $\nu^{(2)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}$ and as a consequence $G_{16}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(2)}G_{17}(t)$

Analogously if $(b_{16}'')^{(2)} = (b_{17}'')^{(2)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(2)} = (\tau_2)^{(2)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(2)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(2)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(2)} = (u_1)^{(2)}$ then $T_{16}(t) = (u_0)^{(2)}T_{17}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(2)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(2)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 398

$$\frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} = (a_{20})^{(3)} - \left((a'_{20})^{(3)} - (a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)\right) - (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21},t)v^{(3)} - (a_{21})^{(3)}v^{(3)}$$

Definition of $v^{(3)}$:- $\boxed{v^{(3)} = \frac{G_{20}}{G_{21}}}$ 399

It follows

$$-\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right) \le \frac{dv^{(3)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{21})^{(3)}\left(v^{(3)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(3)}v^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}\right)$$

400

From which one obtains

For $0 < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (v_1)^{(3)} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

$$v^{(3)}(t) \ge \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(3)} = \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}}}$$

it follows $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (v_1)^{(3)}$

In the same manner , we get 401

$$v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(3)} = \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (v_0)^{(3)}}{(v_0)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}}}$$

Definition of $(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$:-

From which we deduce $(v_0)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} < (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}} < (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$ we find like in the previous case, 402

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le \frac{(v_1)^{(3)} + (C)^{(3)}(v_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((v_1)^{(3)} - (v_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)}$$

If $0 < (v_1)^{(3)} \le (\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} \le (v_0)^{(3)} = \frac{G^0_{20}}{G^0_{21}}$, we obtain 403

$$(v_1)^{(3)} \le v^{(3)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} + (\bar{C})^{(3)}(\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(3)}e^{\left[-(a_{21})^{(3)}\left((\bar{v}_1)^{(3)} - (\bar{v}_2)^{(3)}\right)t\right]}} \le (v_0)^{(3)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $v^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(3)} \leq \nu^{(3)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(3)}(t) = \frac{G_{20}(t)}{G_{21}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(3)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(3)} \leq u^{(3)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(3)}$, $\boxed{u^{(3)}(t) = \frac{T_{20}(t)}{T_{21}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{20})^{(3)} = (a''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(3)} = (\sigma_2)^{(3)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(3)} = (\nu_1)^{(3)}$ then $\nu^{(3)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)}$ and as a consequence $G_{20}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(3)} G_{21}(t)$

Analogously if $(b''_{20})^{(3)} = (b''_{21})^{(3)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(3)} = (\tau_2)^{(3)}$ and then

$(u_1)^{(3)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(3)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(3)} = (u_1)^{(3)}$ then $T_{20}(t) = (u_0)^{(3)} T_{21}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(3)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(3)}$

Proof : From global equations we obtain 404

$$\frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} = (a_{24})^{(4)} - \left((a'_{24})^{(4)} - (a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\right) - (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}, t)\nu^{(4)} - (a_{25})^{(4)}\nu^{(4)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}}{G_{25}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(4)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{25})^{(4)}\left(\nu^{(4)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_4)^{(4)}\nu^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}, (\nu_0)^{(4)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G^0_{24}}{G^0_{25}}} < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} + (C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (C)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(4)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\nu_2)^{(4)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(4)}$

In the same manner , we get 405

$$\nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} + (\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{4 + (\bar{C})^{(4)} e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(4)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} - (\nu_0)^{(4)}}{(\nu_0)^{(4)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$

406

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} < (\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(4)}+(C)^{(4)}(\nu_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\nu_1)^{(4)}-(\nu_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$$

407

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(4)} = \frac{G_{24}^0}{G_{25}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}+(\bar{C})^{(4)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(4)}e^{\left[-(a_{25})^{(4)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(4)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(4)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(4)} \leq \nu^{(4)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(4)}(t) = \frac{G_{24}(t)}{G_{25}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(4)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(4)} \leq u^{(4)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(4)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(4)}(t) = \frac{T_{24}(t)}{T_{25}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{24}'')^{(4)} = (a_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(4)} = (\sigma_2)^{(4)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(4)} = (\nu_1)^{(4)}$ then $\nu^{(4)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}$ and as a consequence $G_{24}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(4)}G_{25}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(4)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b_{24}'')^{(4)} = (b_{25}'')^{(4)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(4)} = (\tau_2)^{(4)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(4)} = (\bar{u}_4)^{(4)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(4)} = (u_1)^{(4)}$ then $T_{24}(t) = (u_0)^{(4)}T_{25}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(4)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(4)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(4)}$.

408

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} = (a_{28})^{(5)} - \left((a_{28}')^{(5)} - (a_{29}')^{(5)} + (a_{28}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\right) - (a_{29}'')^{(5)}(T_{29}, t)\nu^{(5)} - (a_{29})^{(5)}\nu^{(5)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}}{G_{29}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(5)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{29})^{(5)}\left(\nu^{(5)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(5)}\nu^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}, (\nu_0)^{(5)}$:-

$$\text{For } 0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(5)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(5)}$

In the same manner , we get 409

$$\nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{5 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(5)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_0)^{(5)}}{(\nu_0)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_5)^{(5)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} < (\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ we find like in the previous case, 410

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(5)} + (C)^{(5)}(\nu_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\nu_1)^{(5)} - (\nu_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(5)} = \frac{G_{28}^0}{G_{29}^0}}$, we obtain 411

$$(\nu_1)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} + (\bar{C})^{(5)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(5)} e^{\left[-(a_{29})^{(5)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(5)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(5)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(5)} \leq \nu^{(5)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(5)}(t) = \frac{G_{28}(t)}{G_{29}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(5)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(5)} \leq u^{(5)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(5)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(5)}(t) = \frac{T_{28}(t)}{T_{29}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a_{28}'')^{(5)} = (a_{29}'')^{(5)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(5)} = (\sigma_2)^{(5)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(5)} = (\nu_5)^{(5)}$ then $\nu^{(5)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)}$ and as a consequence $G_{28}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(5)} G_{29}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(5)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{28})^{(5)} = (b''_{29})^{(5)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(5)} = (\tau_2)^{(5)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(5)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(5)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(5)} = (u_1)^{(5)}$ then $T_{28}(t) = (u_0)^{(5)} T_{29}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(5)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(5)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(5)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 412

$$\frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} = (a_{32})^{(6)} - \left((a'_{32})^{(6)} - (a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\right) - (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}, t)\nu^{(6)} - (a_{33})^{(6)}\nu^{(6)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(6)} = \frac{G_{32}}{G_{33}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right) \le \frac{d\nu^{(6)}}{dt} \le -\left((a_{33})^{(6)}\left(\nu^{(6)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(6)}\nu^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}, (\nu_0)^{(6)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}} < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \ge \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(6)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\nu_1)^{(6)}$

In the same manner , we get 413

$$\nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(6)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_0)^{(6)}}{(\nu_0)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} < (\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ we find like in the previous case, 414

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(6)} + (C)^{(6)}(\nu_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\nu_1)^{(6)} - (\nu_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(6)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(6)} = \frac{G^0_{32}}{G^0_{33}}}$, we obtain 415

$$(\nu_1)^{(6)} \le \nu^{(6)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} + (\bar{C})^{(6)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(6)} e^{\left[-(a_{33})^{(6)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(6)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(6)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(m_2)^{(6)} \leq \nu^{(6)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{\nu^{(6)}(t) = \frac{G_{32}(t)}{G_{33}(t)}}$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(6)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(6)} \leq u^{(6)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(6)}$, $\boxed{u^{(6)}(t) = \frac{T_{32}(t)}{T_{33}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{32})^{(6)} = (a''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(6)} = (\sigma_2)^{(6)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(6)} = (\nu_1)^{(6)}$ then $\nu^{(6)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)}$ and as a consequence $G_{32}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(6)} G_{33}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(6)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{32})^{(6)} = (b''_{33})^{(6)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(6)} = (\tau_2)^{(6)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(6)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(6)}$ if in addition $(u_0)^{(6)} = (u_1)^{(6)}$ then $T_{32}(t) = (u_0)^{(6)} T_{33}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(6)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(6)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(6)}$.

416

Proof : From global equations we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} = (a_{36})^{(7)} - \left((a'_{36})^{(7)} - (a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\right) - (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}, t)\nu^{(7)} - (a_{37})^{(7)}\nu^{(7)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}}{G_{37}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(7)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{37})^{(7)}\left(\nu^{(7)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(7)}\nu^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}, (\nu_0)^{(7)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G^0_{36}}{G^0_{37}}} < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} + (C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(7)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\nu_2)^{(7)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(7)}$

In the same manner , we get

417

$$\nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} + (\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(7)} e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(7)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} - (\nu_0)^{(7)}}{(\nu_0)^{(7)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} < (\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ we find like in the previous case, 418

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(7)}+(C)^{(7)}(\nu_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\nu_1)^{(7)}-(\nu_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(7)} = \frac{G_{36}^0}{G_{37}^0}}$, we obtain 419

$$(\nu_1)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}+(\bar{C})^{(7)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(7)}e^{\left[-(a_{37})^{(7)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(7)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(7)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(7)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(7)} \leq \nu^{(7)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(7)}(t) = \frac{G_{36}(t)}{G_{37}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(7)}(t)$:- 420

$$(\mu_2)^{(7)} \leq u^{(7)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(7)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(7)}(t) = \frac{T_{36}(t)}{T_{37}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{36})^{(7)} = (a''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(7)} = (\sigma_2)^{(7)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(7)} = (\nu_1)^{(7)}$ then $\nu^{(7)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}$ and as a consequence $G_{36}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(7)}G_{37}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(7)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{36})^{(7)} = (b''_{37})^{(7)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(7)} = (\tau_2)^{(7)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(7)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(7)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(7)} = (u_1)^{(7)}$ then $T_{36}(t) = (u_0)^{(7)}T_{37}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(7)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(7)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(7)}$.

Proof : From global equations we obtain 421

$$\frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} = (a_{40})^{(8)} - \left((a'_{40})^{(8)} - (a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\right) - (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}, t)\nu^{(8)} - (a_{41})^{(8)}\nu^{(8)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}}{G_{41}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(8)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{41})^{(8)}\left(\nu^{(8)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(8)}\nu^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}, (\nu_0)^{(8)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}} < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(8)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\nu_1)^{(8)}$

In the same manner , we get 422

$$\nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(8)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_0)^{(8)}}{(\nu_0)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_8)^{(8)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} < (\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ we find like in the previous case, 423

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(8)} + (C)^{(8)}(\nu_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\nu_1)^{(8)} - (\nu_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} \leq \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(8)} = \frac{G_{40}^0}{G_{41}^0}}$, we obtain 424

$$(\nu_1)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} + (\bar{C})^{(8)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(8)}e^{\left[-(a_{41})^{(8)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(8)}\right)t\right]}} \leq (\nu_0)^{(8)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(8)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(8)} \leq \nu^{(8)}(t) \leq (m_1)^{(8)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(8)}(t) = \frac{G_{40}(t)}{G_{41}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(8)}(t)$:-

$(\mu_2)^{(8)} \leq u^{(8)}(t) \leq (\mu_1)^{(8)}$, $\boxed{u^{(8)}(t) = \frac{T_{40}(t)}{T_{41}(t)}}$

Now, using this result and replacing it in global equations we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{40})^{(8)} = (a''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(8)} = (\sigma_2)^{(8)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(8)} = (\nu_1)^{(8)}$ then $\nu^{(8)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)}$ and as a consequence $G_{40}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(8)} G_{41}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(8)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{40})^{(8)} = (b''_{41})^{(8)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(8)} = (\tau_2)^{(8)}$ and then
$(u_1)^{(8)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(8)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(8)} = (u_1)^{(8)}$ then $T_{40}(t) = (u_0)^{(8)} T_{41}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(8)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(8)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(8)}$.

424
A

Proof : From 99,20,44,22,23,44 we obtain

$$\frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} = (a_{44})^{(9)} - \left((a'_{44})^{(9)} - (a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\right) - (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}, t)\nu^{(9)} - (a_{45})^{(9)}\nu^{(9)}$$

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}$:- $\boxed{\nu^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}}{G_{45}}}$

It follows

$$-\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_2)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right) \leq \frac{d\nu^{(9)}}{dt} \leq -\left((a_{45})^{(9)}\left(\nu^{(9)}\right)^2 + (\sigma_1)^{(9)}\nu^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}\right)$$

From which one obtains

Definition of $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}, (\nu_0)^{(9)}$:-

For $0 < \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}}} < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \geq \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} + (C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (C)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(C)^{(9)} = \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\nu_2)^{(9)}}}$$

it follows $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\nu_9)^{(9)}$

In the same manner , we get

$$\nu^{(9)}(t) \leq \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} + (\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1 + (\bar{C})^{(9)} e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \quad , \quad \boxed{(\bar{C})^{(9)} = \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} - (\nu_0)^{(9)}}{(\nu_0)^{(9)} - (\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}}}$$

From which we deduce $(\nu_0)^{(9)} \leq \nu^{(9)}(t) \leq (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} < (\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G^0_{44}}{G^0_{45}} < (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ we find like in the previous case,

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \frac{(\nu_1)^{(9)}+(C)^{(9)}(\nu_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(C)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\nu_1)^{(9)}-(\nu_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le$$

$$\frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$$

If $0 < (\nu_1)^{(9)} \le (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)} \le \boxed{(\nu_0)^{(9)} = \frac{G_{44}^0}{G_{45}^0}}$, we obtain

$$(\nu_1)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le \frac{(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}+(\bar{C})^{(9)}(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}}{1+(\bar{C})^{(9)}e^{\left[-(a_{45})^{(9)}\left((\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}-(\bar{\nu}_2)^{(9)}\right)t\right]}} \le (\nu_0)^{(9)}$$

And so with the notation of the first part of condition (c) , we have

Definition of $\nu^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(m_2)^{(9)} \le \nu^{(9)}(t) \le (m_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{\nu^{(9)}(t) = \frac{G_{44}(t)}{G_{45}(t)}}$$

In a completely analogous way, we obtain

Definition of $u^{(9)}(t)$:-

$$(\mu_2)^{(9)} \le u^{(9)}(t) \le (\mu_1)^{(9)}, \quad \boxed{u^{(9)}(t) = \frac{T_{44}(t)}{T_{45}(t)}}$$

Now, using this result and replacing it in 99, 20,44,22,23, and 44 we get easily the result stated in the theorem.

Particular case :

If $(a''_{44})^{(9)} = (a''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\sigma_1)^{(9)} = (\sigma_2)^{(9)}$ and in this case $(\nu_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$ if in addition $(\nu_0)^{(9)} = (\nu_1)^{(9)}$ then $\nu^{(9)}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}$ and as a consequence $G_{44}(t) = (\nu_0)^{(9)}G_{45}(t)$ **this also defines** $(\nu_0)^{(9)}$ **for the special case** .

Analogously if $(b''_{44})^{(9)} = (b''_{45})^{(9)}, then\ (\tau_1)^{(9)} = (\tau_2)^{(9)}$ and then $(u_1)^{(9)} = (\bar{u}_1)^{(9)}$if in addition $(u_0)^{(9)} = (u_1)^{(9)}$ then $T_{44}(t) = (u_0)^{(9)}T_{45}(t)$ This is an important consequence of the relation between $(\nu_1)^{(9)}$ and $(\bar{\nu}_1)^{(9)}$, **and definition of** $(u_0)^{(9)}$.
We can prove the following 425

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(1)} and\ (b''_i)^{(1)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$$(a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(p_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}(p_{14})^{(1)} > 0$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} > 0\,,$$

$$(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} - (b'_{14})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} + (r_{13})^{(1)}(r_{14})^{(1)} < 0$$

$with\ (p_{13})^{(1)}, (r_{14})^{(1)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(2)} and\ (b''_i)^{(2)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 426

$$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} < 0$$ 427

$(a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(p_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}(p_{17})^{(2)} > 0$ 428

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} > 0$, 429

$(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} - (b'_{17})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} + (r_{16})^{(2)}(r_{17})^{(2)} < 0$ 430

$with\ \ (p_{16})^{(2)}, (r_{17})^{(2)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(3)} and\ (b_i'')^{(3)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 431

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$(a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a_{20})^{(3)}(p_{20})^{(3)} + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}(p_{21})^{(3)} > 0$

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} > 0$,

$(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} - (b'_{21})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} + (r_{20})^{(3)}(r_{21})^{(3)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{20})^{(3)}, (r_{21})^{(3)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

We can prove the following 432

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(4)} and\ (b_i'')^{(4)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$(a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a_{24})^{(4)}(p_{24})^{(4)} + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}(p_{25})^{(4)} > 0$

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} > 0$,

$(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} - (b'_{25})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} + (r_{24})^{(4)}(r_{25})^{(4)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{24})^{(4)}, (r_{25})^{(4)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a_i'')^{(5)} and\ (b_i'')^{(5)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 433

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$(a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a_{28})^{(5)}(p_{28})^{(5)} + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}(p_{29})^{(5)} > 0$

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} > 0$,

$(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} - (b'_{29})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} + (r_{28})^{(5)}(r_{29})^{(5)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{28})^{(5)}, (r_{29})^{(5)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem If $(a_i'')^{(6)} and\ (b_i'')^{(6)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 434

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$(a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a_{32})^{(6)}(p_{32})^{(6)} + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}(p_{33})^{(6)} > 0$

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} > 0$,

$(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} - (b'_{33})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} + (r_{32})^{(6)}(r_{33})^{(6)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{32})^{(6)}, (r_{33})^{(6)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(7)} and\ (b''_i)^{(7)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 435

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$(a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a_{36})^{(7)}(p_{36})^{(7)} + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}(p_{37})^{(7)} > 0$

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} > 0$,

$(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} - (b'_{37})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} + (r_{36})^{(7)}(r_{37})^{(7)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{36})^{(7)}, (r_{37})^{(7)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(8)} and\ (b''_i)^{(8)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions with the notations 436

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$(a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a_{40})^{(8)}(p_{40})^{(8)} + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}(p_{41})^{(8)} > 0$

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} > 0$,

$(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} - (b'_{41})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} + (r_{40})^{(8)}(r_{41})^{(8)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{40})^{(8)}, (r_{41})^{(8)}$ as defined by equation are satisfied , then the system

Theorem : If $(a''_i)^{(9)} and\ (b''_i)^{(9)}$ are independent on t , and the conditions (with the notations 436 A
45,46,27,28)

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$(a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a_{44})^{(9)}(p_{44})^{(9)} + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}(p_{45})^{(9)} > 0$

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} > 0$,

$(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} - (b'_{45})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} + (r_{44})^{(9)}(r_{45})^{(9)} < 0$

$with\ \ (p_{44})^{(9)}, (r_{45})^{(9)}$ as defined by equation 45 are satisfied , then the system

$(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{13} = 0$ 437

$(a_{14})^{(1)}G_{13} - \left[(a'_{14})^{(1)} + (a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{14} = 0$ 438

$$(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14} - \left[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14})\right]G_{15} = 0 \quad 439$$

$$(b_{13})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{13} = 0 \quad 440$$

$$(b_{14})^{(1)}T_{13} - [(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b''_{14})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{14} = 0 \quad 441$$

$$(b_{15})^{(1)}T_{14} - [(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G)\,]T_{15} = 0 \quad 442$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution for the system

$$(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{16} = 0 \quad 443$$

$$(a_{17})^{(2)}G_{16} - \left[(a'_{17})^{(2)} + (a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{17} = 0 \quad 444$$

$$(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17} - \left[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17})\right]G_{18} = 0 \quad 445$$

$$(b_{16})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{16} = 0 \quad 446$$

$$(b_{17})^{(2)}T_{16} - [(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{17} = 0 \quad 447$$

$$(b_{18})^{(2)}T_{17} - [(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}(G_{19})\,]T_{18} = 0 \quad 448$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{20} = 0 \quad 449$$

$$(a_{21})^{(3)}G_{20} - \left[(a'_{21})^{(3)} + (a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{21} = 0 \quad 450$$

$$(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21} - \left[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21})\right]G_{22} = 0 \quad 451$$

$$(b_{20})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{20} = 0 \quad 452$$

$$(b_{21})^{(3)}T_{20} - [(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{21} = 0 \quad 453$$

$$(b_{22})^{(3)}T_{21} - [(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23})\,]T_{22} = 0 \quad 454$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{24} = 0 \quad 455$$

$$(a_{25})^{(4)}G_{24} - \left[(a'_{25})^{(4)} + (a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{25} = 0 \quad 456$$

$$(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25} - \left[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25})\right]G_{26} = 0 \quad 457$$

$$(b_{24})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{24} = 0 \quad 458$$

$$(b_{25})^{(4)}T_{24} - [(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b''_{25})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{25} = 0 \quad 459$$

$$(b_{26})^{(4)}T_{25} - [(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}\big((G_{27})\big)\,]T_{26} = 0 \quad 460$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{28} = 0 \quad 461$$

$$(a_{29})^{(5)}G_{28} - \left[(a'_{29})^{(5)} + (a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{29} = 0 \quad 462$$

$$(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29} - \left[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29})\right]G_{30} = 0 \quad 463$$

$$(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{28})^{(5)} - (b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{28} = 0 \quad 464$$

$$(b_{29})^{(5)}T_{28} - [(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{29} = 0 \quad 465$$

$$(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29} - [(b'_{30})^{(5)} - (b''_{30})^{(5)}(G_{31})\,]T_{30} = 0 \quad 466$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{32})^{(6)} + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{32} = 0 \quad 467$$

$$(a_{33})^{(6)}G_{32} - \left[(a'_{33})^{(6)} + (a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{33} = 0 \quad 468$$

$$(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33} - \left[(a'_{34})^{(6)} + (a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33})\right]G_{34} = 0 \quad 469$$

$$(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{32})^{(6)} - (b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{32} = 0 \quad 470$$

$$(b_{33})^{(6)}T_{32} - [(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{33} = 0 \quad 471$$

$$(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33} - [(b'_{34})^{(6)} - (b''_{34})^{(6)}(G_{35})\,]T_{34} = 0 \quad 472$$

has a unique positive solution , which is an equilibrium solution

$$(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{36})^{(7)} + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{36} = 0 \quad 473$$

$$(a_{37})^{(7)}G_{36} - \left[(a'_{37})^{(7)} + (a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{37} = 0 \quad 474$$

$$(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37} - \left[(a'_{38})^{(7)} + (a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37})\right]G_{38} = 0 \quad 475$$

$$(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{36})^{(7)} - (b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})]T_{36} = 0 \quad 476$$

$$(b_{37})^{(7)}T_{36} - [(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{37} = 0 \quad 477$$

$$(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37} - [(b'_{38})^{(7)} - (b''_{38})^{(7)}(G_{39})\,]T_{38} = 0 \quad 478$$

$(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{40} = 0$ 479

$(a_{41})^{(8)}G_{40} - \left[(a'_{41})^{(8)} + (a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{41} = 0$ 480

$(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41} - \left[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41})\right]G_{42} = 0$ 481

$(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{40})^{(8)} - (b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{40} = 0$ 482

$(b_{41})^{(8)}T_{40} - [(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{41} = 0$ 483

$(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41} - [(b'_{42})^{(8)} - (b''_{42})^{(8)}(G_{43})\,]T_{42} = 0$ 484

$(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{44} = 0$ 484 A

$(a_{45})^{(9)}G_{44} - \left[(a'_{45})^{(9)} + (a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{45} = 0$

$(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45} - \left[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45})\right]G_{46} = 0$

$(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{44})^{(9)} - (b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{44} = 0$

$(b_{45})^{(9)}T_{44} - [(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{45} = 0$

$(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45} - [(b'_{46})^{(9)} - (b''_{46})^{(9)}(G_{47})\,]T_{46} = 0$

Proof: 485

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{13}, G_{14} if

$F(T) = (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a'_{14})^{(1)} - (a_{13})^{(1)}(a_{14})^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)}(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a'_{14})^{(1)}(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}) + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14})(a''_{14})^{(1)}(T_{14}) = 0$

Proof: 486

(mm) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{16}, G_{17} if

$\mathrm{F}(T_{19}) = (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a'_{17})^{(2)} - (a_{16})^{(2)}(a_{17})^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)}(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a'_{17})^{(2)}(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}) + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17})(a''_{17})^{(2)}(T_{17}) = 0$

Proof: 487

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{20}, G_{21} if

$F(T_{23}) = (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a'_{21})^{(3)} - (a_{20})^{(3)}(a_{21})^{(3)} + (a'_{20})^{(3)}(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a'_{21})^{(3)}(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}) + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21})(a''_{21})^{(3)}(T_{21}) = 0$

Proof: 488

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{24}, G_{25} if

$$F(T_{27}) = (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a'_{25})^{(4)} - (a_{24})^{(4)}(a_{25})^{(4)} + (a'_{24})^{(4)}(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a'_{25})^{(4)}(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}) + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25})(a''_{25})^{(4)}(T_{25}) = 0$$

Proof: 489

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{28}, G_{29} if

$$F(T_{31}) = (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a'_{29})^{(5)} - (a_{28})^{(5)}(a_{29})^{(5)} + (a'_{28})^{(5)}(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a'_{29})^{(5)}(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}) + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29})(a''_{29})^{(5)}(T_{29}) = 0$$

Proof: 490

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{32}, G_{33} if

$$F(T_{35}) = (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a'_{33})^{(6)} - (a_{32})^{(6)}(a_{33})^{(6)} + (a'_{32})^{(6)}(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a'_{33})^{(6)}(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}) + (a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33})(a''_{33})^{(6)}(T_{33}) = 0$$

Proof: 491

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{36}, G_{37} if

$$F(T_{39}) = (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a'_{37})^{(7)} - (a_{36})^{(7)}(a_{37})^{(7)} + (a'_{36})^{(7)}(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a'_{37})^{(7)}(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}) + (a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37})(a''_{37})^{(7)}(T_{37}) = 0$$

Proof: 492

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{40}, G_{41} if

$$F(T_{43}) = (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a'_{41})^{(8)} - (a_{40})^{(8)}(a_{41})^{(8)} + (a'_{40})^{(8)}(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a'_{41})^{(8)}(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}) + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41})(a''_{41})^{(8)}(T_{41}) = 0$$

Proof: 492 A

(a) Indeed the first two equations have a nontrivial solution G_{44}, G_{45} if

$$F(T_{47}) = (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a'_{45})^{(9)} - (a_{44})^{(9)}(a_{45})^{(9)} + (a'_{44})^{(9)}(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a'_{45})^{(9)}(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}) + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45})(a''_{45})^{(9)}(T_{45}) = 0$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{14} :- 493

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{14})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{14} for which $f(T^*_{14}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{13} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)}+(a''_{13})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]} \quad , \quad G_{15} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)}G_{14}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)}+(a''_{15})^{(1)}(T^*_{14})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{17} :- 494

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(2)}(T_{17})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{17} for which $f(T^*_{17}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first

equations

$$G_{16} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a''_{16})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \quad , \quad G_{18} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)}G_{17}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)}+(a''_{18})^{(2)}(T^*_{17})]} \qquad 495$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{21} :- 496

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(1)}(T_{21})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{21} for which $f(T^*_{21}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{20} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a''_{20})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]} \quad , \quad G_{22} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)}G_{21}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(a''_{22})^{(3)}(T^*_{21})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{25} :- 497

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(4)}(T_{25})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{25} for which $f(T^*_{25}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{24} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a''_{24})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]} \quad , \quad G_{26} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)}G_{25}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(a''_{26})^{(4)}(T^*_{25})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{29} :- 498

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(5)}(T_{29})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{29} for which $f(T^*_{29}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{28} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a''_{28})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]} \quad , \quad G_{30} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)}G_{29}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(a''_{30})^{(5)}(T^*_{29})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{33} :- 499

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(6)}(T_{33})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{33} for which $f(T^*_{33}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{32} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]} \quad , \quad G_{34} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T^*_{33})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{37} :- 500

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a''_i)^{(7)}(T_{37})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T^*_{37} for which $f(T^*_{37}) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{36} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]} \quad , \quad G_{38} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T^*_{37})]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T^*_{41} :- 501

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}(T_{41})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{41}^* for which $f(T_{41}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{40} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)} + (a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{42} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)} G_{41}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)} + (a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^*)]}$$

Definition and uniqueness of T_{45}^* :- 501 A

After hypothesis $f(0) < 0, f(\infty) > 0$ and the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}(T_{45})$ being increasing, it follows that there exists a unique T_{45}^* for which $f(T_{45}^*) = 0$. With this value , we obtain from the three first equations

$$G_{44} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)} + (a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]} \quad , \quad G_{46} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)} G_{45}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)} + (a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^*)]}$$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{13}, G_{14} if 502

$$\varphi(G) = (b'_{13})^{(1)}(b'_{14})^{(1)} - (b_{13})^{(1)}(b_{14})^{(1)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{13})^{(1)}(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) + (b'_{14})^{(1)}(b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)\right] + (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G)(b''_{14})^{(1)}(G) = 0$$

Where in $G(G_{13}, G_{14}, G_{15}), G_{13}, G_{15}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{14} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi(G^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{16}, G_{17} if 503

$$\varphi(G_{19}) = (b'_{16})^{(2)}(b'_{17})^{(2)} - (b_{16})^{(2)}(b_{17})^{(2)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{16})^{(2)}(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) + (b'_{17})^{(2)}(b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})\right] + (b''_{16})^{(2)}(G_{19})(b''_{17})^{(2)}(G_{19}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{19})(G_{16}, G_{17}, G_{18}), G_{16}, G_{18}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{17} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{14}^* such that $\varphi((G_{19})^*) = 0$ 504

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{20}, G_{21} if 505

$$\varphi(G_{23}) = (b'_{20})^{(3)}(b'_{21})^{(3)} - (b_{20})^{(3)}(b_{21})^{(3)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{20})^{(3)}(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) + (b'_{21})^{(3)}(b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})\right] + (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23})(b''_{21})^{(3)}(G_{23}) = 0$$

Where in $G_{23}(G_{20}, G_{21}, G_{22}), G_{20}, G_{22}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{21} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G_{21}^* such that $\varphi((G_{23})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{24}, G_{25} if 506

$$\varphi(G_{27}) = (b'_{24})^{(4)}(b'_{25})^{(4)} - (b_{24})^{(4)}(b_{25})^{(4)} -$$

$$\left[(b'_{24})^{(4)}(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) + (b'_{25})^{(4)}(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})\right]+(b''_{24})^{(4)}(G_{27})(b''_{25})^{(4)}(G_{27}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{27})(G_{24}, G_{25}, G_{26}), G_{24}, G_{26}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{25} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{25} such that $\varphi((G_{27})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{28}, G_{29} if 507
$\varphi(G_{31}) = (b'_{28})^{(5)}(b'_{29})^{(5)} - (b_{28})^{(5)}(b_{29})^{(5)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{28})^{(5)}(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) + (b'_{29})^{(5)}(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})\right]+(b''_{28})^{(5)}(G_{31})(b''_{29})^{(5)}(G_{31}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{31})(G_{28}, G_{29}, G_{30}), G_{28}, G_{30}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{29} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{29} such that $\varphi((G_{31})^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{32}, G_{33} if 508
$\varphi(G_{35}) = (b'_{32})^{(6)}(b'_{33})^{(6)} - (b_{32})^{(6)}(b_{33})^{(6)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{32})^{(6)}(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) + (b'_{33})^{(6)}(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})\right]+(b''_{32})^{(6)}(G_{35})(b''_{33})^{(6)}(G_{35}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{35})(G_{32}, G_{33}, G_{34}), G_{32}, G_{34}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{33} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{33} such that $\varphi({G_{35}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{36}, G_{37} if 509
$\varphi(G_{39}) = (b'_{36})^{(7)}(b'_{37})^{(7)} - (b_{36})^{(7)}(b_{37})^{(7)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{36})^{(7)}(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) + (b'_{37})^{(7)}(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})\right]+(b''_{36})^{(7)}(G_{39})(b''_{37})^{(7)}(G_{39}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{39})(G_{36}, G_{37}, G_{38}), G_{36}, G_{38}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{37} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{37} such that $\varphi({G_{39}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations admit solutions G_{40}, G_{41} if 510
$\varphi(G_{43}) = (b'_{40})^{(8)}(b'_{41})^{(8)} - (b_{40})^{(8)}(b_{41})^{(8)} -$
$$\left[(b'_{40})^{(8)}(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) + (b'_{41})^{(8)}(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})\right]+(b''_{40})^{(8)}(G_{43})(b''_{41})^{(8)}(G_{43}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{43})(G_{40}, G_{41}, G_{42}), G_{40}, G_{42}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{41} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{41} such that $\varphi({G_{43}}^*) = 0$

By the same argument, the equations 92,93 admit solutions G_{44}, G_{45} if
$\varphi(G_{47}) = (b'_{44})^{(9)}(b'_{45})^{(9)} - (b_{44})^{(9)}(b_{45})^{(9)} -$

$$\left[(b'_{44})^{(9)}(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) + (b'_{45})^{(9)}(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})\right]+(b''_{44})^{(9)}(G_{47})(b''_{45})^{(9)}(G_{47}) = 0$$

Where in $(G_{47})(G_{44}, G_{45}, G_{46}), G_{44}, G_{46}$ must be replaced by their values from 96. It is easy to see that φ is a decreasing function in G_{45} taking into account the hypothesis $\varphi(0) > 0$, $\varphi(\infty) < 0$ it follows that there exists a unique G^*_{45} such that $\varphi((G_{47})^*) = 0$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 511

G_{14}^{*} given by $\varphi(G^{*}) = 0$, T_{14}^{*} given by $f(T_{14}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{13}^{*} = \frac{(a_{13})^{(1)} G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a''_{13})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{15}^{*} = \frac{(a_{15})^{(1)} G_{14}^{*}}{[(a'_{15})^{(1)} + (a''_{15})^{(1)}(T_{14}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{13}^{*} = \frac{(b_{13})^{(1)} T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{13})^{(1)} - (b''_{13})^{(1)}(G^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{15}^{*} = \frac{(b_{15})^{(1)} T_{14}^{*}}{[(b'_{15})^{(1)} - (b''_{15})^{(1)}(G^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution

G_{17}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{19})^{*}) = 0$, T_{17}^{*} given by $f(T_{17}^{*}) = 0$ and 512

$$G_{16}^{*} = \frac{(a_{16})^{(2)} G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a''_{16})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{18}^{*} = \frac{(a_{18})^{(2)} G_{17}^{*}}{[(a'_{18})^{(2)} + (a''_{18})^{(2)}(T_{17}^{*})]} \qquad 513$$

$$T_{16}^{*} = \frac{(b_{16})^{(2)} T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{16})^{(2)} - (b''_{16})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{18}^{*} = \frac{(b_{18})^{(2)} T_{17}^{*}}{[(b'_{18})^{(2)} - (b''_{18})^{(2)}((G_{19})^{*})]} \qquad 514$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

Finally we obtain the unique solution 515

G_{21}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{23})^{*}) = 0$, T_{21}^{*} given by $f(T_{21}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{20}^{*} = \frac{(a_{20})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{20})^{(3)} + (a''_{20})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{22}^{*} = \frac{(a_{22})^{(3)} G_{21}^{*}}{[(a'_{22})^{(3)} + (a''_{22})^{(3)}(T_{21}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{20}^{*} = \frac{(b_{20})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{20})^{(3)} - (b''_{20})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{22}^{*} = \frac{(b_{22})^{(3)} T_{21}^{*}}{[(b'_{22})^{(3)} - (b''_{22})^{(3)}(G_{23}{}^{*})]}$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 516

G_{25}^{*} given by $\varphi(G_{27}) = 0$, T_{25}^{*} given by $f(T_{25}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{24}^{*} = \frac{(a_{24})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{24})^{(4)} + (a''_{24})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{26}^{*} = \frac{(a_{26})^{(4)} G_{25}^{*}}{[(a'_{26})^{(4)} + (a''_{26})^{(4)}(T_{25}^{*})]}$$

$$T_{24}^{*} = \frac{(b_{24})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{24})^{(4)} - (b''_{24})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \quad , \quad T_{26}^{*} = \frac{(b_{26})^{(4)} T_{25}^{*}}{[(b'_{26})^{(4)} - (b''_{26})^{(4)}((G_{27})^{*})]} \qquad 517$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 518

G_{29}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{31})^{*}) = 0$, T_{29}^{*} given by $f(T_{29}^{*}) = 0$ and

$$G_{28}^{*} = \frac{(a_{28})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{28})^{(5)} + (a''_{28})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]} \quad , \quad G_{30}^{*} = \frac{(a_{30})^{(5)} G_{29}^{*}}{[(a'_{30})^{(5)} + (a''_{30})^{(5)}(T_{29}^{*})]}$$

$T_{28}^{*} = \frac{(b_{28})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(b''_{28})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$, $T_{30}^{*} = \frac{(b_{30})^{(5)}T_{29}^{*}}{[(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(b''_{30})^{(5)}((G_{31})^{*})]}$ 519

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 520

G_{33}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{35})^{*}) = 0$, T_{33}^{*} given by $f(T_{33}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{32}^{*} = \frac{(a_{32})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a''_{32})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$, $G_{34}^{*} = \frac{(a_{34})^{(6)}G_{33}^{*}}{[(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(a''_{34})^{(6)}(T_{33}^{*})]}$

$T_{32}^{*} = \frac{(b_{32})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(b''_{32})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$, $T_{34}^{*} = \frac{(b_{34})^{(6)}T_{33}^{*}}{[(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(b''_{34})^{(6)}((G_{35})^{*})]}$ 521

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution of global equations

Finally we obtain the unique solution 522

G_{37}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{39})^{*}) = 0$, T_{37}^{*} given by $f(T_{37}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{36}^{*} = \frac{(a_{36})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a''_{36})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$, $G_{38}^{*} = \frac{(a_{38})^{(7)}G_{37}^{*}}{[(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(a''_{38})^{(7)}(T_{37}^{*})]}$

$T_{36}^{*} = \frac{(b_{36})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(b''_{36})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$, $T_{38}^{*} = \frac{(b_{38})^{(7)}T_{37}^{*}}{[(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(b''_{38})^{(7)}((G_{39})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution 523

G_{41}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{43})^{*}) = 0$, T_{41}^{*} given by $f(T_{41}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{40}^{*} = \frac{(a_{40})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a''_{40})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$, $G_{42}^{*} = \frac{(a_{42})^{(8)}G_{41}^{*}}{[(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(a''_{42})^{(8)}(T_{41}^{*})]}$

$T_{40}^{*} = \frac{(b_{40})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(b''_{40})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$, $T_{42}^{*} = \frac{(b_{42})^{(8)}T_{41}^{*}}{[(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(b''_{42})^{(8)}((G_{43})^{*})]}$

Finally we obtain the unique solution of 89 to 99 523 A

G_{45}^{*} given by $\varphi((G_{47})^{*}) = 0$, T_{45}^{*} given by $f(T_{45}^{*}) = 0$ and

$G_{44}^{*} = \frac{(a_{44})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a''_{44})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$, $G_{46}^{*} = \frac{(a_{46})^{(9)}G_{45}^{*}}{[(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(a''_{46})^{(9)}(T_{45}^{*})]}$

$T_{44}^{*} = \frac{(b_{44})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(b''_{44})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$, $T_{46}^{*} = \frac{(b_{46})^{(9)}T_{45}^{*}}{[(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(b''_{46})^{(9)}((G_{47})^{*})]}$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 524

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(1)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(1)}$ Belong to $C^{(1)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{14}'')^{(1)}}{\partial T_{14}}(T_{14}^*) = (q_{14})^{(1)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(1)}}{\partial G_j}(G^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((a_{13}')^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{13})^{(1)}G_{13}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 525$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((a_{14}')^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{13} - (q_{14})^{(1)}G_{14}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 526$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((a_{15}')^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{15} + (a_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{G}_{14} - (q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}^*\mathbb{T}_{14} \qquad 527$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{13}}{dt} = -\left((b_{13}')^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{13} + (b_{13})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(13)(j)}T_{13}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 528$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{14}}{dt} = -\left((b_{14}')^{(1)} - (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{13} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(14)(j)}T_{14}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 529$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{15}}{dt} = -\left((b_{15}')^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{15} + (b_{15})^{(1)}\mathbb{T}_{14} + \sum_{j=13}^{15}\left(s_{(15)(j)}T_{15}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 530$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 531

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(2)}$ and $(b_i'')^{(2)}$ Belong to $C^{(2)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i \qquad 532$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{17}'')^{(2)}}{\partial T_{17}}(T_{17}^*) = (q_{17})^{(2)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(2)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{19})^*) = s_{ij} \qquad 533$$

taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((a_{16}')^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{16})^{(2)}G_{16}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 534$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((a_{17}')^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{16} - (q_{17})^{(2)}G_{17}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 535$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((a_{18}')^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{18} + (a_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{G}_{17} - (q_{18})^{(2)}G_{18}^*\mathbb{T}_{17} \qquad 536$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{16}}{dt} = -\left((b_{16}')^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{16} + (b_{16})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(16)(j)}T_{16}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \qquad 537$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{17}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{17})^{(2)} - (r_{17})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{16} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(17)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 538$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{18}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{18} + (b_{18})^{(2)}\mathbb{T}_{17} + \sum_{j=16}^{18}\left(s_{(18)(j)}\mathrm{T}^*_{18}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 539$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 540

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(3)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(3)}$ Belong to $C^{(3)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{21})^{(3)}}{\partial T_{21}}(T^*_{21}) = (q_{21})^{(3)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(3)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{23})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{20})^{(3)} + (p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{20} + (a_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 541$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{21})^{(3)} + (p_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{21} + (a_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{20} - (q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 542$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{22})^{(3)} + (p_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{22} + (a_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{G}_{21} - (q_{22})^{(3)}G^*_{22}\mathbb{T}_{21} \quad 543$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{20}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{20})^{(3)} - (r_{20})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{20} + (b_{20})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(20)(j)}T^*_{20}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 544$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{21}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{21})^{(3)} - (r_{21})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{21} + (b_{21})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{20} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(21)(j)}T^*_{21}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 545$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{22}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{22})^{(3)} - (r_{22})^{(3)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{22} + (b_{22})^{(3)}\mathbb{T}_{21} + \sum_{j=20}^{22}\left(s_{(22)(j)}T^*_{22}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 546$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 547

Theorem 4: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(4)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(4)}$ Belong to $C^{(4)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 548

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{25})^{(4)}}{\partial T_{25}}(T^*_{25}) = (q_{25})^{(4)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(4)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{27})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{24})^{(4)} + (p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{24} + (a_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 549$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{25})^{(4)} + (p_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{25} + (a_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{24} - (q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 550$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{26})^{(4)} + (p_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{26} + (a_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{G}_{25} - (q_{26})^{(4)}G^*_{26}\mathbb{T}_{25} \quad 551$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{24}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{24})^{(4)} - (r_{24})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{24} + (b_{24})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(24)(j)}T^*_{24}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 552$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{25}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{25})^{(4)} - (r_{25})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{25} + (b_{25})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{24} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(25)(j)}T^*_{25}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 553$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{26}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{26})^{(4)} - (r_{26})^{(4)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{26} + (b_{26})^{(4)}\mathbb{T}_{25} + \sum_{j=24}^{26}\left(s_{(26)(j)}T^*_{26}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 554$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 555

Theorem 5: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(5)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(5)}$ Belong to $C^{(5)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 556

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a''_{29})^{(5)}}{\partial T_{29}}(T^*_{29}) = (q_{29})^{(5)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(5)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{31})^*\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{28})^{(5)} + (p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{28} + (a_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 557$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{29})^{(5)} + (p_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{29} + (a_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{28} - (q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 558$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{30})^{(5)} + (p_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{30} + (a_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{G}_{29} - (q_{30})^{(5)}G^*_{30}\mathbb{T}_{29} \quad 559$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{28}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{28})^{(5)} - (r_{28})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{28} + (b_{28})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(28)(j)}T^*_{28}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 560$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{29}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{29})^{(5)} - (r_{29})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{29} + (b_{29})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{28} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(29)(j)}T^*_{29}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 561$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{30}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{30})^{(5)} - (r_{30})^{(5)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{30} + (b_{30})^{(5)}\mathbb{T}_{29} + \sum_{j=28}^{30}\left(s_{(30)(j)}T^*_{30}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 562$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 563

Theorem 6: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(6)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(6)}$ Belong to $C^{(6)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 564

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$\frac{\partial(a_{33}'')^{(6)}}{\partial T_{33}}(T_{33}^*) = (q_{33})^{(6)}$, $\frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(6)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{35})^*) = s_{ij}$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((a_{32}')^{(6)} + (p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{32} + (a_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{32})^{(6)}G_{32}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 565$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((a_{33}')^{(6)} + (p_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{33} + (a_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{32} - (q_{33})^{(6)}G_{33}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 566$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((a_{34}')^{(6)} + (p_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{34} + (a_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{G}_{33} - (q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}^*\mathbb{T}_{33} \quad 567$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{32}}{dt} = -\left((b_{32}')^{(6)} - (r_{32})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{32} + (b_{32})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(32)(j)}T_{32}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 568$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{33}}{dt} = -\left((b_{33}')^{(6)} - (r_{33})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{33} + (b_{33})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{32} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(33)(j)}T_{33}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 569$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{34}}{dt} = -\left((b_{34}')^{(6)} - (r_{34})^{(6)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{34} + (b_{34})^{(6)}\mathbb{T}_{33} + \sum_{j=32}^{34}\left(s_{(34)(j)}T_{34}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 570$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS 571

Theorem 7: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(7)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(7)}$ Belong to $C^{(7)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:- 572

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \quad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial(a_{37}'')^{(7)}}{\partial T_{37}}(T_{37}^*) = (q_{37})^{(7)} \quad , \frac{\partial(b_i'')^{(7)}}{\partial G_j}\left((G_{39})^{**}\right) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((a_{36}')^{(7)} + (p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{36} + (a_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{36})^{(7)}G_{36}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 573$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((a_{37}')^{(7)} + (p_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{37} + (a_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{36} - (q_{37})^{(7)}G_{37}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 574$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((a_{38}')^{(7)} + (p_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{38} + (a_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{G}_{37} - (q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}^*\mathbb{T}_{37} \quad 575$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{36}}{dt} = -\left((b_{36}')^{(7)} - (r_{36})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{36} + (b_{36})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(36)(j)}T_{36}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 576$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{37}}{dt} = -\left((b_{37}')^{(7)} - (r_{37})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{37} + (b_{37})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{36} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(37)(j)}T_{37}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 578$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{38}}{dt} = -\left((b_{38}')^{(7)} - (r_{38})^{(7)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{38} + (b_{38})^{(7)}\mathbb{T}_{37} + \sum_{j=36}^{38}\left(s_{(38)(j)}T_{38}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad 579$$

Obviously, these values represent an equilibrium solution

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS

Theorem 8: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(8)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(8)}$ Belong to $C^{(8)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{41}'')^{(8)}}{\partial T_{41}}(T_{41}^*) = (q_{41})^{(8)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(8)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{43})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((a_{40}')^{(8)} + (p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{40} + (a_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{40})^{(8)}G_{40}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (581)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((a_{41}')^{(8)} + (p_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{41} + (a_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{40} - (q_{41})^{(8)}G_{41}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (582)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((a_{42}')^{(8)} + (p_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{42} + (a_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{G}_{41} - (q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}^*\mathbb{T}_{41} \quad (583)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{40}}{dt} = -\left((b_{40}')^{(8)} - (r_{40})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{40} + (b_{40})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(40)(j)}T_{40}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (584)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{41}}{dt} = -\left((b_{41}')^{(8)} - (r_{41})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{41} + (b_{41})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{40} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(41)(j)}T_{41}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (585)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{42}}{dt} = -\left((b_{42}')^{(8)} - (r_{42})^{(8)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{42} + (b_{42})^{(8)}\mathbb{T}_{41} + \sum_{j=40}^{42}\left(s_{(42)(j)}T_{42}^*\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586)$$

ASYMPTOTIC STABILITY ANALYSIS (586 A)

Theorem 9: If the conditions of the previous theorem are satisfied and if the functions $(a_i'')^{(9)}$ *and* $(b_i'')^{(9)}$ Belong to $C^{(9)}(\mathbb{R}_+)$ then the above equilibrium point is asymptotically stable.

Proof: Denote

Definition of $\mathbb{G}_i, \mathbb{T}_i$:-

$$G_i = G_i^* + \mathbb{G}_i \qquad , T_i = T_i^* + \mathbb{T}_i$$

$$\frac{\partial (a_{45}'')^{(9)}}{\partial T_{45}}(T_{45}^*) = (q_{45})^{(9)} \quad , \frac{\partial (b_i'')^{(9)}}{\partial G_j}((G_{47})^*) = s_{ij}$$

Then taking into account equations 89 to 99 and neglecting the terms of power 2, we obtain from 99 to 44

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((a_{44}')^{(9)} + (p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{44} + (a_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{44})^{(9)}G_{44}^*\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586\,B)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{45})^{(9)} + (p_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{45} + (a_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{44} - (q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586C)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{G}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((a'_{46})^{(9)} + (p_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{G}_{46} + (a_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{G}_{45} - (q_{46})^{(9)}G^*_{46}\mathbb{T}_{45} \quad (586D)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{44}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{44})^{(9)} - (r_{44})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{44} + (b_{44})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(44)(j)}T^*_{44}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586E)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{45}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{45})^{(9)} - (r_{45})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{45} + (b_{45})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{44} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(45)(j)}T^*_{45}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586F)$$

$$\frac{d\mathbb{T}_{46}}{dt} = -\left((b'_{46})^{(9)} - (r_{46})^{(9)}\right)\mathbb{T}_{46} + (b_{46})^{(9)}\mathbb{T}_{45} + \sum_{j=44}^{46}\left(s_{(46)(j)}T^*_{46}\mathbb{G}_j\right) \quad (586G)$$

The characteristic equation of this system is (587)

$$\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{15})^{(1)} - (r_{15})^{(1)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{15})^{(1)} + (p_{15})^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(14)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(14)}T^*_{14}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13} + (a_{13})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(13)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(13)}T^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((b'_{13})^{(1)} + (b'_{14})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)} + (r_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{13})^{(1)} + (a'_{14})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{14})^{(1)}\right)(\lambda)^{(1)}\right)(q_{15})^{(1)}G_{15}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (a'_{13})^{(1)} + (p_{13})^{(1)}\right)\left((a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{14})^{(1)}G^*_{14} + (a_{14})^{(1)}(a_{15})^{(1)}(q_{13})^{(1)}G^*_{13}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(1)} + (b'_{13})^{(1)} - (r_{13})^{(1)}\right)s_{(14),(15)}T^*_{14} + (b_{14})^{(1)}s_{(13),(15)}T^*_{13}\right)\} = 0$$

$$+$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{18})^{(2)} - (r_{18})^{(2)}\right)\{\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{18})^{(2)} + (p_{18})^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)}\right)(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17} + (a_{17})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(17)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16} + (a_{16})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)} + (b'_{16})^{(2)} - (r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17} + (b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(16)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2 + \left((a'_{16})^{(2)} + (a'_{17})^{(2)} + (p_{16})^{(2)} + (p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{16})^{(2)}+(b'_{17})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}+(r_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(2)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{16})^{(2)}+(a'_{17})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{17})^{(2)}\right)(\lambda)^{(2)}\right)(q_{18})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}_{18}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(a'_{16})^{(2)}+(p_{16})^{(2)}\right)\left((a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{17})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{17}+(a_{17})^{(2)}(a_{18})^{(2)}(q_{16})^{(2)}\mathrm{G}^*_{16}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(2)}+(b'_{16})^{(2)}-(r_{16})^{(2)}\right)s_{(17),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{17}+(b_{17})^{(2)}s_{(16),(18)}\mathrm{T}^*_{16}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{22})^{(3)}-(r_{22})^{(3)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{22})^{(3)}+(p_{22})^{(3)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(21)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(21)}T^*_{21}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}+(a_{20})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(1)}G^*_{21}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(20)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(20)}T^*_{20}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{20})^{(3)}+(b'_{21})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}+(r_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(3)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{20})^{(3)}+(a'_{21})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{21})^{(3)}\right)(\lambda)^{(3)}\right)(q_{22})^{(3)}G_{22}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(a'_{20})^{(3)}+(p_{20})^{(3)}\right)\left((a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{21})^{(3)}G^*_{21}+(a_{21})^{(3)}(a_{22})^{(3)}(q_{20})^{(3)}G^*_{20}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(3)}+(b'_{20})^{(3)}-(r_{20})^{(3)}\right)s_{(21),(22)}T^*_{21}+(b_{21})^{(3)}s_{(20),(22)}T^*_{20}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{26})^{(4)}-(r_{26})^{(4)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{26})^{(4)}+(p_{26})^{(4)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)\Big]$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(25)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(25)}T^*_{25}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}+(a_{24})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(24)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(24)}T^*_{24}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{24})^{(4)}+(b'_{25})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}+(r_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(4)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{24})^{(4)}+(a'_{25})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{25})^{(4)}\right)(\lambda)^{(4)}\right)(q_{26})^{(4)}G_{26}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(a'_{24})^{(4)}+(p_{24})^{(4)}\right)\left((a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{25})^{(4)}G^*_{25}+(a_{25})^{(4)}(a_{26})^{(4)}(q_{24})^{(4)}G^*_{24}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(4)}+(b'_{24})^{(4)}-(r_{24})^{(4)}\right)s_{(25),(26)}T^*_{25}+(b_{25})^{(4)}s_{(24),(26)}T^*_{24}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{30})^{(5)}-(r_{30})^{(5)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{30})^{(5)}+(p_{30})^{(5)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(29)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(29)}T^*_{29}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}+(a_{28})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(28)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(28)}T^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{28})^{(5)}+(b'_{29})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}+(r_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(5)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{28})^{(5)}+(a'_{29})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{29})^{(5)}\right)(\lambda)^{(5)}\right)(q_{30})^{(5)}G_{30}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(a'_{28})^{(5)}+(p_{28})^{(5)}\right)\left((a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{29})^{(5)}G^*_{29}+(a_{29})^{(5)}(a_{30})^{(5)}(q_{28})^{(5)}G^*_{28}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(5)}+(b'_{28})^{(5)}-(r_{28})^{(5)}\right)s_{(29),(30)}T^*_{29}+(b_{29})^{(5)}s_{(28),(30)}T^*_{28}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{34})^{(6)}-(r_{34})^{(6)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{34})^{(6)}+(p_{34})^{(6)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(33)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(33)}T^*_{33}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}+(a_{32})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(32)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(32)}T^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{32})^{(6)}+(b'_{33})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}+(r_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(6)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{32})^{(6)}+(a'_{33})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{33})^{(6)}\right)(\lambda)^{(6)}\right)(q_{34})^{(6)}G_{34}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(a'_{32})^{(6)}+(p_{32})^{(6)}\right)\left((a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{33})^{(6)}G^*_{33}+(a_{33})^{(6)}(a_{34})^{(6)}(q_{32})^{(6)}G^*_{32}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(6)}+(b'_{32})^{(6)}-(r_{32})^{(6)}\right)s_{(33),(34)}T^*_{33}+(b_{33})^{(6)}s_{(32),(34)}T^*_{32}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{38})^{(7)}-(r_{38})^{(7)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{38})^{(7)}+(p_{38})^{(7)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(37)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(37)}T^*_{37}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}+(a_{36})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(36)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(36)}T^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{36})^{(7)}+(b'_{37})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}+(r_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(7)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{36})^{(7)}+(a'_{37})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{37})^{(7)}\right)(\lambda)^{(7)}\right)(q_{38})^{(7)}G_{38}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(a'_{36})^{(7)}+(p_{36})^{(7)}\right)\left((a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{37})^{(7)}G^*_{37}+(a_{37})^{(7)}(a_{38})^{(7)}(q_{36})^{(7)}G^*_{36}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(7)}+(b'_{36})^{(7)}-(r_{36})^{(7)}\right)s_{(37),(38)}T^*_{37}+(b_{37})^{(7)}s_{(36),(38)}T^*_{36}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{42})^{(8)}-(r_{42})^{(8)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{42})^{(8)}+(p_{42})^{(8)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(41)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(41)}T^*_{41}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}+(a_{40})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(40)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(40)}T^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{40})^{(8)}+(b'_{41})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}+(r_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(8)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{40})^{(8)}+(a'_{41})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{41})^{(8)}\right)(\lambda)^{(8)}\right)(q_{42})^{(8)}G_{42}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(a'_{40})^{(8)}+(p_{40})^{(8)}\right)\left((a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{41})^{(8)}G^*_{41}+(a_{41})^{(8)}(a_{42})^{(8)}(q_{40})^{(8)}G^*_{40}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(8)}+(b'_{40})^{(8)}-(r_{40})^{(8)}\right)s_{(41),(42)}T^*_{41}+(b_{41})^{(8)}s_{(40),(42)}T^*_{40}\Big)\}=0$$

$$+$$

$$(\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{46})^{(9)}-(r_{46})^{(9)}\}\{\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{46})^{(9)}+(p_{46})^{(9)}\right)$$

$$\left[\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)\right]$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(45)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(45)}T^*_{45}\right)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}+(a_{44})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}\right)$$

$$\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(44)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(44)}T^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((b'_{44})^{(9)}+(b'_{45})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}+(r_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\Big)$$

$$+\left(\left((\lambda)^{(9)}\right)^2+\left((a'_{44})^{(9)}+(a'_{45})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{45})^{(9)}\right)(\lambda)^{(9)}\right)(q_{46})^{(9)}G_{46}$$

$$+\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(a'_{44})^{(9)}+(p_{44})^{(9)}\right)\left((a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{45})^{(9)}G^*_{45}+(a_{45})^{(9)}(a_{46})^{(9)}(q_{44})^{(9)}G^*_{44}\right)$$

$$\left((\lambda)^{(9)}+(b'_{44})^{(9)}-(r_{44})^{(9)}\right)s_{(45),(46)}T^*_{45}+(b_{45})^{(9)}s_{(44),(46)}T^*_{44}\Big)\}=0$$

And as one sees, all the coefficients are positive. It follows that all the roots have negative real part, and this proves the theorem.

References:

(1) Jump up ^ Rovelli, Carlo (August 2008). "Loop Quantum Gravity". CERN. Retrieved 14 September 2014.
(2) Jump up ^ Rovelli, C. (2011). "Zakopane lectures on loop gravity". arXiv:1102.3660 [gr-qc].
(3) Jump up ^ Muxin, H. (2011). "Cosmological constant in loop quantum gravity vertex amplitude". Physical Review D 84 (6): 064010. arXiv:1105.2212. Bibcode:2011PhRvD..84f4010H. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.84.064010.
(4) Jump up ^ Fairbairn, W. J.; Meusburger, C. (2011). "q-Deformation of Lorentzian spin foam models". arXiv:1112.2511 [gr-qc].

(5) Jump up ^ Rovelli, C. (2004). Quantum Gravity. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. p. 71. ISBN 978-0-521-83733-0.
(6) Jump up ^ Kauffman, S.; Smolin, L. (7 April 1997). "A Possible Solution For The Problem Of Time In Quantum Cosmology". Edge.org. Retrieved 2014-08-20.
(7) Jump up ^ Smolin, L. (2006). Rickles, D.; French, S.; Saatsi, J. T., eds. "The Structural Foundations of Quantum Gravity". Clarendon Press. pp. 196ff. ISBN 978-0-19-926969-3. |chapter= ignored (help)
(8) Jump up ^ Rovelli, C. (2004). Quantum Gravity. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. p. 13ff. ISBN 978-0-521-83733-0.
(9) ^ Jump up to: a b Thiemann, T. (1996). "Anomaly-free formulation of non-perturbative, four-dimensional Lorentzian quantum gravity". Physics Letters B 380: 257–264. arXiv:gr-qc/9606088. Bibcode:1996PhLB..380..257T. doi:10.1016/0370-2693(96)00532-1.
(10) Jump up ^ Baez, J.; de Muniain, J. P. (1994). Gauge Fields, Knots and Quantum Gravity. Series on Knots and Everything. Vol. 4. World Scientific. Part III, chapter 4. ISBN 978-981-02-1729-7.
(11) Jump up ^ Thiemann, T. (2003). "Lectures on Loop Quantum Gravity". Lecture Notes in Physics 631: 41–135. doi:10.1007/978-3-540-45230-0_3.
(12) ^ Jump up to: a b Rovelli, C.; Smolin, L. (1988). "Knot Theory and Quantum Gravity". Physical Review Letters 61 (10): 1155–1958. Bibcode:1988PhRvL..61.1155R. doi:10.1103/PhysRevLett.61.1155.
(13) Jump up ^ Gambini, R.; Pullin, J. (2011). A First Course in Loop Quantum Gravity. Oxford University Press. Section 8.2. ISBN 978-0-19-959075-9.
(14) Arveson, W. (1976), An Invitation to C*-Algebra, Springer-Verlag, ISBN 0-387-90176-0. An excellent introduction to the subject, accessible for those with a knowledge of basic functional analysis.
(15) Connes, Alain, Non-commutative geometry, ISBN 0-12-185860-X. This book is widely regarded as a source of new research material, providing much supporting intuition, but it is difficult.
(16) Dixmier, Jacques (1969), Les C*-algèbres et leurs représentations, Gauthier-Villars, ISBN 0-7204-0762-1. This is a somewhat dated reference, but is still considered as a high-quality technical exposition. It is available in English from North Holland press.
(17) Doran, Robert S.; Belfi, Victor A. (1986), Characterizations of C*-algebras: The Gelfand-Naimark Theorems, CRC Press, ISBN 978-0-8247-7569-8.
(18) Emch, G. (1972), Algebraic Methods in Statistical Mechanics and Quantum Field Theory, Wiley-Interscience, ISBN 0-471-23900-3. Mathematically rigorous reference which provides extensive physics background.
(19) A.I. Shtern (2001), "C* algebra", in Hazewinkel, Michiel, Encyclopedia of Mathematics, Springer, ISBN 978-1-55608-010-4
(20) Jump up ^ Fernando, J.; Barbero, G. (1995). "Reality Conditions and Ashtekar Variables: A Different Perspective". Physical Review D 51: 5498–5506. arXiv:gr-qc/9410013. Bibcode:1995PhRvD..51.5498B. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.51.5498.
(21) Jump up ^ Fernando, J.; Barbero, G. (1995). "Real Ashtekar Variables for Lorentzian Signature Space-times". Physical Review D 51: 5507–5520. arXiv:gr-qc/9410014. Bibcode:1995PhRvD..51.5507B. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.51.5507.
(22) Jump up ^ Bojowald, M.; Alejandro, P.. "Spin Foam Quantization and Anomalies". arXiv:gr-qc/0303026 [gr-qc].
(23) Jump up ^ Barrett, J.; Crane, L. (2000). Classical and Quantum Gravity 17: 3101. arXiv:gr-qc/9904025. Bibcode:2000CQGra..17.3101B. doi:10.1088/0264-9381/17/16/302..
(24) Jump up ^ Rovelli, C.; Alesci, E. (2007). "The complete LQG propagator I. Difficulties with the Barrett–Crane vertex". Physical Review D 76: 104012. arXiv:hep-th/0703074. Bibcode:2007PhRvD..76b4012B. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.76.024012.
(25) Jump up ^ Engle, J.; Pereira, R.; Rovelli, C. (2009). Physical Review Letters 99: 161301. doi:10.1103/physrevlett.99.161301.
(26) Jump up ^ Freidal, L.; Krasnov, K. (2008). Classical and Quantum Gravity 25: 125018.

(27) Jump up ^ Alesci, E.; Thiemann, T.; Zipfel, A. (2011). "Linking covariant and canonical LQG: new solutions to the Euclidean Scalar Constraint". arXiv:1109.1290.
(28) Jump up ^ Bohm, D. (1989). Quantum Theory. Dover Publications. ISBN 978-0-486-65969-5.
(29) Jump up ^ Tipler, P.; Llewellyn, R. (2008). Modern Physics (5th ed.). W. H. Freeman and Co. pp. 160–161. ISBN 978-0-7167-7550-8.
(30) Jump up ^ Bohr, N. (1920). "Über die Serienspektra der Element". Zeitschrift für Physik 2 (5): 423–478. Bibcode:1920ZPhy....2..423B. doi:10.1007/BF01329978. (English translation in Bohr 1976, pp. 241–282)
(31) Jump up ^ Jammer, M. (1989). The Conceptual Development of Quantum Mechanics (2nd ed.). Tomash Publishers. Section 3.2. ISBN 978-0-88318-617-6.
(32) Jump up ^ Ashtekar, A.; Bombelli, L.; Corichi, A. (2005). "Semiclassical States for Constrained Systems". Physical Review D 72: 025008. arXiv:hep-ph/0504114. Bibcode:2005PhRvD..72a5008C. doi:10.1103/PhysRevD.72.015008.
(33) Jump up ^ Lewandowski, J.; Okołów, A.; Sahlmann, H.; Thiemann, T. (2005). "Uniqueness of Diffeomorphism Invariant States on Holonomy-Flux Algebras". Communications in Mathematical Physics 267: 703–733. doi:10.1007/s00220-006-0100-7.
(34) Jump up ^ Fleischhack, C. (2006). "Irreducibility of the Weyl algebra in loop quantum gravity". Physical Review Letters 97: 061302. doi:10.1103/physrevlett.97.061302.
(35) ^ Jump up to: a b Thiemann, T. (2008). Modern Canonical General Relativity. Cambridge Monographs on Mathematical Physics. Cambridge University Press. Section 10.6. ISBN 978-0-521-74187-3.
(36) Jump up ^ "Partial and Complete Observables for Hamiltonian Constrained Systems". General Relativity and Gravitation 39: 1891–1927. 2007. arXiv:gr-qc/0411013. Bibcode:2007GReGr..39.1891D. doi:10.1007/s10714-007-0495-2.
(37) Jump up ^ "Partial and Complete Observables for Canonical General Relativity". Classical and Quantum Gravity 23: 6155–6184. arXiv:gr-qc/0507106. Bibcode:2006CQGra..23.6155D. doi:10.1088/0264-9381/23/22/006.
(38) Jump up ^ Testing the Master Constraint Programme for Loop Quantum Gravity II. Finite Dimensional Systems, Bianca Dittrich, Thomas Thiemann, Class.Quant.Grav. 23 (2006) 1067-1088.
(39) Jump up ^ Testing the Master Constraint Programme for Loop Quantum Gravity III. SL(2,R) Models, Bianca Dittrich, Thomas Thiemann, Class.Quant.Grav. 23 (2006) 1089-1120.
(40) Jump up ^ Testing the Master Constraint Programme for Loop Quantum Gravity IV. Free Field Theories, Bianca Dittrich, Thomas Thiemann, Class.Quant.Grav. 23 (2006) 1121-1142.
(41) Jump up ^ Testing the Master Constraint Programme for Loop Quantum Gravity V. Interacting Field Theories, Bianca Dittrich, Thomas Thiemann, Class.Quant.Grav. 23 (2006) 1143-1162.
(42) Jump up ^ Quantum Spin Dynamics VIII. The Master Constraint, Thomas Thiemann, Class.Quant.Grav. 23 (2006) 2249-2266.
(43) Jump up ^ Approximating the physical inner product of Loop Quantum Cosmology, Benjamin Bahr, Thomas Thiemann, Class.Quant.Grav.24:2109-2138,2007.
(44) Jump up ^ On the Relation between Operator Constraint --, Master Constraint --, Reduced Phase Space --, and Path Integral Quantisation, Muxin Han, Thomas Thiemann, Class.Quant.Grav.27:225019,2010.
(45) Jump up ^ On the Relation between Rigging Inner Product and Master Constraint Direct Integral Decomposition, Muxin Han, Thomas Thiemann, J.Math.Phys.51:092501,2010.

@@@